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“The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the United States; ...” Constitution for the United States of America, Article IV, Section 2
“Section 2 Definitions (1) In this Act, owned means, subject to the regulations,…..;” Canadian Ownership and Control Determination Act
Dictatorship is Here!!

- If you are subject to regulations then you are owned
- Your parents sold you into slavery with the birth certificate, and Social Security Number
Registered Vehicles ONLY
At common law no harm no foul
At common law a stop sign is a yield sign
Banksters demanding Social Security Numbers are subjecting you to regulations
Taxes

- All taxes are for slaves ONLY
- You are forced to work for nothing to pay the tax
- Being to forced to work for nothing is the definition of slavery
- A slave is property
“Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments [taxes] of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain [emphasis added]
“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.” which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at 2, where it says;

“And be it further enacted, That in the interpretation and construction of said code the following rules shall be observed namely:…

“Third. The word “person” shall be held to apply to partnerships and corporations, …”, [emphasis added]
“The Legal Estate to be in Cestui Que Use” Chapter Fifty-Six in Sec. 1617, at 31 Stat. 1432
and at Chapter three – Absence for Seven Years, in Sec. 252, at 31 Stat. 1230, where it says;
“SEC. 252. PRESUMPTION OF DEATH. - If any person shall leave his domicile without any known intention of changing the same, and shall not return or be heard from for seven years from the time of his so leaving,……..,”
“Corporation” shall be deemed to include any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members, and has shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, and any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, without shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, except partnerships, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members.” 15 USC 44 Definitions
Lindsay Williams says that the elite have just postponed their plans.

At best Trump will be in office for 8 years.

The elite are NOT going away.

Google, Facebook, Youtube are suppressing free speech to promote the agenda of their deep state handlers.

Main Stream Media are still promoting their fake news and suppressing the real news.
War of Independence was precipitated because of an emerging dictatorship

“...statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property......to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial........ and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.

We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.” Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)
“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.”

Declaration of Independence 1776
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“....But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.--

Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.” Declaration of Independence 1776
Dictatorship is Here!!!

- “He has made Judges dependent on his Will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.
- He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harass our people, and eat out their substance.
- He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.
- He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:” Declaration of Independence 1776
“For protecting them, by a mock Trial, from punishment for any Murders which they should commit on the Inhabitants of these States:

For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent:

For depriving us, in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury:

For transporting us beyond Seas to be tried for pretended offences:

For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:” Declaration of Independence 1776
Dictatorship is Here!!

- For taking away our Charters, abolishing our most valuable Laws, and **altering fundamentally** the Forms of our Governments:
- He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his protection, and waging War against us.
- He has plundered our seas, ravaged our Coasts, burnt our towns, and **destroyed the Lives of our People.**
- He has **excited domestic insurrections amongst us**, and has endeavoured to bring on the inhabitants of our frontiers, the merciless Indian Savages, whose known rule of warfare, is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, sexes and conditions.

©Common Law Copyright 2018
Dictatorship is Here!!

- Everything is in place and has been for decades
- The Uniform Commercial Code
- The Criminal Conversion of citizenship making everybody slaves
EMERGENCY POWERS STATUTES:
Provisions of Federal Law Now in Effect Delegating to the Executive Extraordinary Authority in Time of National Emergency

REPORT
OF THE
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON THE TERMINATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY
UNITED STATES SENATE

NOVEMBER 19, 1973

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON · 1973
FOREWORD

Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency. In fact, there are now in effect four presidentially proclaimed states of national emergency: In addition to the national emergency declared by President Roosevelt in 1933, there are also the national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on December 16, 1950, during the Korean conflict, and the states of national emergency declared by President Nixon on March 23, 1970, and August 15, 1971.

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens.

With the melting of the cold war—the developing détente with the Soviet Union and China, the stable truce of over 20 years duration between North and South Korea, and the end of U.S. involvement in the war in Indochina—there is no present need for the United States Government to continue to function under emergency conditions.

The Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency was created to examine the consequences of terminating the declared states of national emergency that now prevail; to recommend what steps the Congress should take to ensure that the termination can be accomplished without adverse effect upon the necessary tasks of governing; and, also, to recommend ways in which the United States can meet future emergency situations with speed and effectiveness but without relinquishment of congressional oversight and control.

In accordance with this mandate, the Special Committee—in conjunction with the Executive branch, expert constitutional authorities, as well as former high officials of this Government—is now engaged

1 S. Res. 9, 93d Cong., 1st Sess.
FOREWORD

Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency. In fact, there are now in effect four presiden-
tially proclaimed states of national emergency: In addition to the
national emergency declared by President Roosevelt in 1933, there are
also the national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on De-
cember 16, 1950, during the Korean conflict, and the states of national
emergency declared by President Nixon on March 23, 1970, and

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal
law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extra-
ordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the
lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This
vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule
the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may:
seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize
commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law;
seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the
emergency declared by President Nixon on March 26, 1970, and

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal
law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extra-
ordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect
the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This
vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule
the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may:
seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize
commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law;
seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the
operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of
particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens.

With the melting of the cold war—the developing détente with the
Soviet Union and China, the stable truce of over 20 years duration
between North and South Korea, and the end of U.S. involvement in
the war in Indochina—there is no present need for the United States
Government to continue to function under emergency conditions.

The Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emer-
gency was created to examine the consequences of terminating the
declared states of national emergency that now prevail; to recommend
whether the Congress should hold 2 more national emergency
proclamations.
should have known of the existence and extent of the restrictions or order and that his act was in violation thereof, be fined not more than $5,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

18 U.S.C. 1883 does not appear on its face to be an emergency power. It was used as the basis for internment of Japanese-Americans in World War II. Although it seems to be cast as a permanent power, the legislative history of the section shows that the statute was intended as a World War II emergency power only, and was not to apply in "normal" peacetime circumstances. Two years ago, the Emergency Detention Act was repealed, yet 18 U.S.C. 1883 has almost the same effect.

Another pertinent question among many, that the Special Committee's work has revealed, concerns the statutory authority for domestic surveillance by the FBI. According to some experts, the authority for domestic surveillance appears to be based upon an Executive Order issued by President F[rou]elvelt during an emergency period. If it is correct that no firm statutory authority exists, then it is reasonable to suggest that the appropriate committees enact proper statutory authority for the FBI with adequate provision for oversight by Congress.

What these examples suggest and what the magnitude of emergency powers affirm is that most of these laws do not provide for congressional oversight or termination. There are two reasons which can be adduced as to why this is so. First, few, if any, foresees that the temporary states of emergency declared in 1933, 1939, 1941, 1950, 1970, and 1971 would become what are now regarded collectively as virtually permanent states of emergency (the 1939 and 1941 emergencies were terminated in 1952). Forty years can, in no way, be defined as a temporary emergency. Second, the various administrations who drafted these laws for a variety of reasons were understandably not concerned about providing for congressional review, oversight, or termination of these delegated powers which gave the President enormous powers and flexibility to use those powers.

The intense anxiety and sense of crisis was contained in the rhetoric of Truman’s 1950 proclamation:

Whereas recent events in Korea and elsewhere constitute a grave threat to the peace of the world and imperil the efforts of this country and those of the United Nations to prevent aggression and armed conflict; and

Whereas world conquest by communist imperialism is the goal of the forces of aggression that have been loosed upon the world; and

Whereas, if the goal of communist imperialism were to be achieved, the people of this country would no longer enjoy the full and rich life they have with God's help built for themselves and their children; they would no longer enjoy the blessings of the freedom of worshipping as they severally choose, the freedom of reading and listening to what they choose, the right of free speech, including the right to criticize their Government, the right to choose those who con-
able to suggest that the appropriate committees enact proper statutory authority for the FBI with adequate provision for oversight by Congress.

What these examples suggest and what the magnitude of emergency powers affirm is that most of these laws do not provide for congressional oversight or termination. There are two reasons which can be adduced as to why this is so. First, few, if any, foresaw that the temporary states of emergency declared in 1933, 1939, 1941, 1950, 1970, and 1971 would become what are now regarded collectively as virtually permanent states of emergency (the 1939 and 1941 emergencies were terminated in 1952). Forty years can, in no way, be defined as a temporary emergency. Second, the various administrations who drafted these laws for a variety of reasons were understandably not concerned about providing for congressional review, oversight, or termination of these delegated powers which gave the President enormous powers and flexibility to use those powers.

The intense anxiety and sense of crisis was contained in the rhetoric of Truman's 1950 proclamation:

Whereas recent events in Korea and elsewhere constitute a grave threat to the peace of the world and imperil the efforts of this country and those of the United Nations to prevent aggression and armed conflict; and

Whereas world conquest by communist imperialism is the goal of the forces of aggression that have been loosed upon the world; and

Whereas, if the goal of communist imperialism is not

The heightened sense of crisis of the cold war so evident in Truman's proclamation has fortunately eased. The legislative shortcomings contained in this body of laws can be corrected on the basis of rational study and inquiry.

In the view of the Special Committee, an emergency does not now exist. Congress, therefore, should act in the near future to terminate officially the states of national emergency now in effect.

At the same time, the Special Committee is of the view that it is essential to provide the means for the Executive to act effectively in an emergency. It is reasonable to have a body of laws in readiness to delegate to the President extraordinary powers to use in times of real national emergency. The portion of the concurring opinion given by Justice Jackson in the Youngstown Steel case with regard to emergency powers provides sound and pertinent guidelines for the maintenance of such a body of emergency laws kept in readiness to be used in times of extreme crisis. Justice Jackson, supporting the majority opinion that the “President's power must stem either from an act of Congress or from the Constitution itself” wrote:

The appeal, however, that we declare the existence of inherent powers ex necessitate to meet an emergency asks us to do what many think would be wise, although it is something the forefathers omitted. They knew what emergencies were, knew the pressures they engender for authoritative action, knew, too, how they afford a ready pretext for usurpation. We may also suspect that they suspected that emergency powers would tend to kindle emergencies. Aside from suspension of the privilege of the writ of habeas corpus in time of rebellion or invasion, when the public safety may require it, they made no express provision for exercise of extraordinary authority because of a crisis. I do not think we rightfully may so amend their work, and, if we could, I am not convinced it would be wise to do so, although many modern nations have forthrightly recognized that war and economic crises may upset the normal balance between liberty and authority. Their experience with emergency powers may not be irrelevant to the argument here that we should say that the Executive, of his own volition, can invest himself with undefined emergency powers.

Germany, after the First World War, framed the Weimar Constitution, designed to secure her liberties in the Western tradition. However, the President of the Republic, without concurrence of the Reichstag, was empowered temporarily to suspend any or all individual rights if public safety and order were seriously disturbed or endangered. This proved a temptation to every government, whatever its shade of opinion, and in 13 years suspension of rights was invoked on more than 250 occasions. Finally, Hitler persuaded President Von Hindenburg to suspend all such rights, and they were never restored.

The French Republic provided for a very different kind of emergency government known as the “state of siege.” It differed from the German emergency dictatorship particularly in that emergency powers could not be assumed at will.
may so amend their work, and, if we could, I am not convinced it would be wise to do so, although many modern nations have forthrightly recognized that war and economic crises may upset the normal balance between liberty and authority. Their experience with emergency powers may not be irrelevant to the argument here that we should say that the Executive, of his own volition, can invest himself with undefined emergency powers.

Germany, after the First World War, framed the Weimar Constitution, designed to secure her liberties in the Western tradition. However, the President of the Republic, without concurrence of the Reichstag, was empowered temporarily to suspend any or all individual rights if public safety and order were seriously disturbed or endangered. This proved a temptation to every government, whatever its shade of opinion, and in 13 years suspension of rights was invoked on more than 250 occasions. Finally, Hitler persuaded President Von Hindenburg to suspend all such rights, and they were never restored.

The French Republic provided for a very different kind of emergency government known as the “state of siege.” It differed from the German emergency dictatorship particularly in that emergency powers could not be assumed at will
by the Executive but could only be granted as a parliamentary measure. And it did not, as in Germany, result in a suspension or abrogation of law but was a legal institution governed by special legal rules and terminable by parliamentary authority.

Great Britain also has fought both World Wars under a sort of temporary dictatorship created by legislation. As Parliament is not bound by written constitutional limitations, it established a crisis government simply by delegation to its Ministers of a larger measure than usual of its own unlimited power, which is exercised under its supervision by Ministers whom it may dismiss. This has been called the “high-water mark in the voluntary surrender of liberty,” but, as Churchill put it, “Parliament stands custodian of these surrendered liberties, and its most sacred duty will be to restore them in their fullness when victory has crowned our exertions and our perseverance.” Thus, parliamentary controls made emergency powers compatible with freedom.

This contemporary foreign experience may be inconclusive as to the wisdom of lodging emergency powers somewhere in a modern government. But it suggests that emergency powers are consistent with free government only when their control is lodged elsewhere than in the Executive who exercises them. That is the safeguard that would be nullified by our adoption of the “inherent powers” formula. Nothing in my experience convinces me that such risks are warranted by any real necessity, although such powers would, of course, be an executive convenience.

In the practical working of our Government we already have evolved a technique within the framework of the Constitution by which normal executive powers may be considerably expanded to meet an emergency. Congress may and has granted extraordinary authorities which lie dormant in normal times but may be called into play by the Executive in war or upon proclamation of a national emergency. In 1898, upon congressional request, the Attorney General listed ninety-nine such separate statutory grants by Congress of emergency or wartime executive powers. They were invoked from time to time as need appeared. Under this procedure we retain Government by law—special, temporary law, perhaps, but law nonetheless. The public may know the extent and limitations of the powers that can be asserted, and persons affected may be informed from the statute of their rights and duties.

In view of the ease, expedition and safety with which Congress can grant and has granted large emergency powers, certainly ample to embrace this crisis, I am quite unimpressed with the argument that we should affirm possession of them without statute. Such power either has no beginning or it has no end. If it exists, it need submit to no legal restraint. I am not alarmed that it would plunge us straightway into dictatorship, but it is at least a step in that wrong direction.

* * * * *
by the Executive but could only be granted as a parliamentary measure. And it did not, as in Germany, result in a suspension or abrogation of law but was a legal institution governed by special legal rules and terminable by parliamentary authority.

Great Britain also has fought both World Wars under a sort of temporary dictatorship created by legislation. As Parliament is not bound by written constitutional limitations, it established a crisis government simply by delegation to its Ministers of a larger measure than usual of its own unlimited power, which is exercised under its supervision by Ministers whom it may dismiss. This has been called the “high-water mark in the voluntary surrender of liberty,” but, as Churchill put it, “Parliament stands custodian of these surrendered liberties, and its most sacred duty will be to restore them in their fullness when victory has crowned our exertions and our perseverance.” Thus, parliamentary controls made emergency powers compatible with freedom.

This contemporary foreign experience may be inconclusive as to the wisdom of lodging emergency powers somewhere in a modern government. But it suggests that emergency powers should be subject to parliamentary control and the courts.
war or upon proclamation of a national emergency. In 1939, upon congressional request, the Attorney General listed ninety-nine such separate statutory grants by Congress of emergency or wartime executive powers. They were invoked from time to time as need appeared. Under this procedure we retain Government by law—special, temporary law, perhaps, but law nonetheless. The public may know the extent and limitations of the powers that can be asserted, and persons affected may be informed from the statute of their rights and duties.

In view of the ease, expedition and safety with which Congress can grant and has granted large emergency powers, certainly ample to embrace this crisis, I am quite unimpressed with the argument that we should affirm possession of them without statute. Such power either has no beginning or it has no end. If it exists, it need submit to no legal restraint. I am not alarmed that it would plunge us straightway into dictatorship, but it is at least a step in that wrong direction.
gency Banking Act or Bank Conservation Act. 48 Stat. 1. That Act
provided that the actions and proclamations "heretofore or hereafter
taken . . . or issued by the President of the United States . . . since
March 4, 1933, pursuant to the authority conferred by subdivision
(b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, are
hereby approved and confirmed." (48 Stat. 1; 12 U.S.C. 95b (1970)).
Congress thus "spread its protective approval over executive acts the
legality of which was uncertain." Ellingwood, op. cit. supra at 27
Nw. U.L. Rev. 929 (1933). Congress also amended Section 5(b) to
provide, among other things, that "[d]uring time of war or during
any other period of national emergency declared by the President,
the President may . . . regulate, under such rules and regulations as
he may prescribe . . . transfers of credit between or payments by
banking institutions as defined by the President . . . " 48 Stat. 1.
In the enactment clause Congress declared "that a serious emergency
exists." 48 Stat. 1. The exclusion of domestic transactions, formerly
found in the Act, was deleted from § 5(b) at this time.

The legislative history of the Emergency Banking Act is short;
only eight hours elapsed from the time the bill was introduced until
it was signed into law. There were no committee reports. Indeed, the
bill was not even in print at the time it was passed. 77 Cong. Rec.
76, 80 (1933); Schlesinger, The Coming of the New Deal 8.

The abbreviated history shows Congress recognized that the
powers conferred on the President by the Act were great. In the
debate preceding the bill's passage those supporting it made such
remarks as:

... in time of storm there can only be one pilot. In my
judgment, the House of Representatives realize that the
pilot in this case must be the President of the United
States, and they will steer their course by him (Rep. Golds-
borough, 77 Cong. Rec. 81).

It is a dictatorship over finance in the United States. It is
complete control over the banking system in the United

I realize that in time of peace we have perhaps never
been called upon to vest such transcendent powers in the
Executive as are provided for in this bill. . . . It is an emer-
geney which can be adequately dealt with only by the
strong arm of Executive power, and therefore I expect to
vote for the bill, though it contains grants of powers which
I never before thought I would approve in time of peace.
(Sen. Connally, 77 Cong. Rec. 65).

The courts later upheld the validity of the bank holiday under the
Act, as amended. E.g., Smith v. Witherow, 102 F. 2d 638, 641 (3d Cir.,
1939); Hardee v. Washington Loan & Trust Co., 91 F. 2d 314 (D.C. Cir.
1937). Because of the prompt action taken by Congress in ratify-
ing the March 6 proclamation, no judicial decisions were rendered on
the question of whether the President's action, if taken alone, would
have been lawful.

[Emphasis supplied.]
Judgment, the House of Representatives realize that the pilot in this case must be the President of the United States, and they will steer their course by him (Rep. Goldsborough, 77 Cong. Rec. 81).

It is a dictatorship over finance in the United States. It is complete control over the banking system in the United States. (Rep. McFadden, 77 Cong. Rec. 80).

I realize that in time of peace we have perhaps never been called upon to vest such transcendent powers in the Executive as are provided for in this bill. . . . It is an emergency which can be adequately dealt with only by the strong arm of Executive power, and therefore I expect to vote for the bill, though it contains grants of powers which I never before thought I would approve in time of peace. (Sen. Connally, 77 Cong. Rec. 65).

The courts later upheld the validity of the bank holiday under the Act, as amended. E.g., Smith v. Witherow, 102 F. 2d 638, 641 (3d Cir., 1939); Hardee v. Washington Loan & Trust Co., 91 F. 2d 314 (D.C. Cir. 1937). Because of the prompt action taken by Congress in ratifying the March 6 proclamation, no judicial decisions were rendered on the question of whether the President's action, if taken alone, would have been lawful.

[Emphasis supplied.]
Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

For a complete set of Youtube videos with Private Information Shares, a DVD with over 50 searchable Law Dictionaries, and other books and forms contact me privately at engineerwin@yahoo.com

Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
“Under International Law of Warfare, all parties to a cause must appear by *nom de guerre*, because an "alien enemy cannot maintain an action during the war in his own name". Merriam-Webster Dictionary, pg. 1534

"A mixed war is one which is made on one side by public authority, and the other by mere private persons." Black's Law Dictionary 5th Ed., page 1420
Dictatorship = Roman Cult

ROMAN CONQUEST

24 SEPTEMBER 2015
Dictatorship = Roman Cult = Murder & Slavery

- Roman aquila military staff carried in battle by all Roman commands. Planted on all conquered nations.
- Devout Roman catholic, honorary degree from Jesuit Scranton University
- Roman bundle of rods bound to a weapon symbolizing subservient under the rule of a single man
- Devout Roman Catholic trained by the Jesuits installed first Jesuit chaplain to the House
POPE CLAIMS YOU'RE NOT CHRISTIAN IF YOU OWN A GUN
"My history of the Jesuits is not eloquently written, but it is supported by unquestionable authorities, [and] is very particular and very horrible. Their [the Jesuit Order’s] restoration [in 1814 by Pope Pius VII] is indeed a step toward darkness, cruelty, despotism, [and] death. ... I do not like the appearance of the Jesuits. If ever there was a body of men who merited eternal damnation on earth and in hell, it is this Society of [Ignatius de] Loyola."

John Adams (1735-1826; 2nd President of the United States)
"Within twenty years this country is going to rule the world. Kings and Emperors will soon pass away and the democracy of the United States will take their place... When the United States rules the world, the Catholic Church will rule the world...Nothing can stand against the Church. I'd like to see the politician who would try to rule against the Church in Chicago. His reign would be short indeed." -- Roman Catholic Archbishop James E. Quigley (October 15, 1854 - July 10, 1915) Chicago Daily Tribune, May 5, 1903
Governments in America are full of people who graduated from schools like Georgetown University.

Georgetown University is one of many institutions of higher education that are run by Jesuits.

Trump may be a good guy but he is surrounded by Jesuits and agents of Jesuits.
Christian fundamentalists were terrorists
Constitutionalists were terrorists
Preppers were terrorists
Home schoolers were terrorists
“sovereign citizens” (anybody who was anti-government) were terrorists
Returning military were terrorists
Anybody that had guns was a terrorist
Dictatorship is Here!

- The reasons they want guillotines is for organ harvesting
- Electrocution destroys all organs
- Gassing destroys some organs
- Bullets, lethal injections, and other execution methods destroy some or all of the organs that can be harvested
- They want to cut up their property and sell it like a slaughterhouse
THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM IS THE POLITICALLY CORRECT TERM FOR DICTATORSHIP.
"We now live in a nation where doctors destroy health, lawyers destroy justice, universities destroy knowledge, governments destroy freedom, the press destroys information, religion destroys morals, and our banks destroy the economy."

- Chris Hedges
Don’t forget to subscribe to this Youtube channel
Don’t forget to follow me on Steemit @sovereigntyintl
Don’t forget to Like this video
On Youtube don’t forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload
On steemit don’t forget to vote and make your comments
https://www.bitchute.com/channel/sovereigntyinternational/
What Should Your Crypto Coin Portfolio Look Like?

cryptowallet in beyondbitcoin

Weekly Bitcoin/Altcoin News Reports, Daily Memes, Current Events, Buying/Selling Crypto, Wallet Setup, and much more

This post is for the newer individuals out that are getting into cryptocurrency. Below are my recommendations on what your crypto-coin portfolio should look like. Keep in mind that this is just my opinion and you research anything before buying it.
IF YOU THINK THIS IS FOR YOUR PROTECTION...

YOU CLEARLY HAVE NO IDEA WHAT'S GOING ON.
Turn In Your Guns

The police will protect you.

©Common Law Copyright 2018
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
"Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments [taxes] of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain [emphasis added]
“Assumpsit - ....In its origin an action of tort, [assumpsit] was soon transformed into an action of contract, becoming afterwards a remedy where there was neither tort nor contract. Based at first only upon an express promise, it was afterwards supported upon an implied promise, and even upon a fictitious promise. Introduced as a special manifestation of the action on the case, it soon acquired the dignity of a distinct form of action, which superseded Debt, became concurrent with Account, with Case upon a bailment, a warranty, and bills of exchange, and competed with Equity in the case of the essentially equitable quasi-contracts growing out of the principle of unjust enrichment. Surely, it would be hard to find a better illustration of the flexibility and power of self-development of the Common Law.” James Barr Ames, “The History of Assumpsit,” in 3 Select Essays in Anglo-American Legal History 298 (1909).” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 379 [emphasis added]
"Both in Roman and English law there are certain obligations which were not in truth contractual, but which the law treats as IF they were. They are contractual in law, but not in fact, being the subject-matter of a fictitious extension of the sphere of contract to cover obligations which do not in reality fall within it." Salmond, Salmond on Jurisprudence, p. 642 (9th Edition, 1937, Sweet & Maxwell, Ltd. England). [emphasis added]
"Constructive/quasi contracts are based solely upon a legal fiction or fiction of law." Hill v. Waxberg, 237 F.2d 936.

"It is a well settled rule of law that he who seeks benefits of contract must also assume burdens." Higgins v. Monckton (1938), 28 C.A.2d 723, 83 P.2d 516.

"Voluntary acceptance of benefit of transaction is equivalent to consent to all obligations arising from it, so far as facts are known, or ought to be known, to person accepting." Northern Assurance Co. v. Stout (1911), 16 C.A. 548, 117 P. 617.
"A quasi contractual action presupposes acceptance and retention of a benefit by one party with full appreciation of the facts, under circumstances making it inequitable for him to retain the benefit without payment of its reasonable value." Major-Blakeney Co. v. Jenkins (1953), 121 C.A.2d 325, 263 P.2d 655, hear den.; Townsend Pierson, Inc. v. Holly-Coleman Co. (1960), 178 C.A.2d 373, 2 Cal. Rptr. 812. [emphasis added]
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
Quasi Contracts = Benefits

- Why do you think they want a Social Security Number when you apply for a Drivers License?
- Or Unemployment Insurance?
- Or a Passport?
- Or any other “benefit”
“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868
The vast majority of the disputes that the police initiate on behalf of their employer are also adjudicated by their employer, where the plaintiff, the judge, the antagonist (the police) and the only witness (also the police), all represent the same party, and, since no corpus delicti, mens rea or acts reus can be produced, doesn't technically qualify to be heard according to its own "laws". The State therefore is indistinguishable from a criminal cartel.
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
Contact Information

- My Blog is;  http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profile – sovereignliving & Sovereignty International
- Facebook - Community Page - Deleted
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International – Being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Follow me on twitter @engineerwin
- Follow me on Steemit https://steemit.com/@sovereigntyintl
- https://www.bitchute.com/channel/sovereigntyinternational/
The reason they want Martial Law is because Martial Law creates Civil Law and Civil Law they can change – Nothing is absolute!

They have to make statutes (bought and paid for Clerks masquerading as Judges)

Statutes are changed all the time

Under Civil Law your rights are defined

At common law your rights are absolute

At common law you have unlimited rights
Military Dictatorship

“Terrorism - noun - 2 A system of government that seeks to rule by intimidation.” Funk and Wagnal’s New Practical Standard Dictionary (1946)
Can you spot the terrorists?

Terrorism: Noun
The use of violence and intimidation in the pursuit of political aims.
War Crimes

- In Canada they are persecuting anybody they call a “freeman on the land”
- In the USA they persecute “sovereign citizens”
- When a Judge is a bought and paid for Clerk it is a satanic religious ceremony – they are persecuting you because of your wish NOT to participate in their satanism
- When the judge is a (bought and paid for) Clerk, it is NOT a fair and regular trial
- When it is civil and they are saying it is criminal, it is NOT a fair and regular trial
WARNING
THIS IS THE STANDING ARMY YOU WERE TOLD NOT TO TOLERATE.
#plus613
Vid.me shut down on 15 December & youtube channel called Sovereignty International is deleted

I made the exclusive content available on my website

2 subscription levels, and I accept crypto currencies

$29.99/year for the videos only

$49.99/year for videos plus unlimited consultations – I am NOT a liar (Attorney) but I can tell you what I would do and where to find forms

People on other previous subscription plans will remain on that plan as long as they renew it
Unlimited Consultations

- Does not include phone calls
- Does not include baby sitting
- Does not include preparing documents or reviewing documents

The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit – I cannot fight all of the battles

Currently publishing 1 video a week

- https://www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi/subscriber.shtml
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BAR Members (Attorneys – Liars) 4
Arlington Private Information Share
Land Deed Training
Estoppel Certificates Training
Foreclosure Estoppel Certificates Training
Corporate Denial Training
Toll Roads Notice and Demand Training
Invoice Training
Notice of Void Judgment training
Revocation of Signature training
Third Party Witness Training
Federal Habeas Corpus Training
Revocation of Voter Registration
Criminal Complaint Training
Lawsuit Training
Other Training (requests?)
All forms, files and other instructions are available for free on my 2 private groups at YahooGroups and GoogleGroups
All exclusive content will be on my website and you can buy a subscription there
This is all coming from the Roman Cult
"My history of the Jesuits is not eloquently written, but it is supported by unquestionable authorities, [and] is very particular and very horrible. Their [the Jesuit Order’s] restoration [in 1814 by Pope Pius VII] is indeed a step toward darkness, cruelty, despotism, [and] death. … I do not like the appearance of the Jesuits. If ever there was a body of men who merited eternal damnation on earth and in hell, it is this Society of [Ignatius de] Loyola."

John Adams (1735-1826; 2nd President of the United States)
"Within twenty years this country is going to rule the world. Kings and Emperors will soon pass away and the democracy of the United States will take their place... When the United States rules the world, the **Catholic Church will rule the world**...Nothing can stand against the Church. I'd like to see the politician who would try to rule against the Church in Chicago. His reign would be short indeed." -- Roman Catholic Archbishop James E. Quigley (October 15, 1854 - July 10, 1915) Chicago Daily Tribune, May 5, 1903
“Two national governments exist, one to be maintained under the Constitution, with all its restrictions, the other to be maintained by Congress outside and independently of that instrument” Dissenting opinion of Justice Marshall Harlan. Downes v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244 1901.
Why do you think Donald Trump’s first international trip includes the Roman Cult on the itinerary?

Why do you think the Pope Inc., looked so angry in his pictures with Donald Trump?
JUSTICE IS COMING
Dictatorship is Here!

Adolfo Nicolás Pachón, 2008 AD
30th Superior General, Society of Jesus
“The Black Pope”; January, 2008–Present
Master and Overseer of “the White Pope,” Benedict XVI
Satan’s Present Ruler of the World

©Common Law Copyright 2017
Dictatorship is Here!

“Go ye, then, into all the world and take possession of all lands in the name of the Pope. He who will not accept him as the Vicar of Jesus and his Vice-Regent on earth, let him be accursed and exterminated.”

~Jesuit Extreme Oath of Induction
Dictatorship is Here!

The Jesuit Oath
The Counter-Reformation War

I furthermore promise and declare that I will, when opportunity present, make and wage relentless war, secretly or openly, against all heretics, Protestants and Liberals, as I am directed to do, to extirpate and exterminate them from the face of the whole earth; and that I will spare neither age, sex or condition; and that I will hang, waste, boil, flay, strangle and bury alive these infamous heretics, rip up the stomachs and wombs of their women and crush their infants' heads against the walls, in order to annihilate forever their execrable race. That when the same cannot be done openly, I will secretly use the poisoned cup, the strangulating cord, the steel of the poniard or the leaden bullet, regardless of the honor, rank dignity, or authority of the person or persons, whatever may be their condition in life, either public or private, as I at any time may be directed so to do by any agent of the Pope or Superior of the Brotherhood of the Holy Faith, of the Society of Jesus.

http://uncontrolledopposition.com - Find us on Facebook

©Common Law Copyright 2017
Dictatorship is Here!

Ever Wonder Why The World is so Diabolically Evil? Meet the Man That Plans it That Way. The Black Pope Adolfo Nicolas, the Most Powerful Man in the World and Behind the Plan for a New World Order.

1. The Superior General of the Jesuits The Black Pope, Adolfo Nicolas and his 6 generals control the “White Pope” and the Vatican and the entire list below.

2. The Illuminati, Zionists, globalist Elites, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), Bilderberg group, Freemasons, Council of 300, the United Nations and the Council of Trent.

3. The Jesuits control the Knights Templar, Knights of Columbus and the Knights of Malta.

4. The CIA, FBI, NSA, ASIO, MI5, MI6, NCIS, FSB, DGSE, Mossad and every intelligence agency in the world are masonic and controlled by the Jesuits.

5. The Jesuits have infiltrated most governments & Leaders including Obama, making them puppets that carry out Jesuit orders.
Dictatorship is Here!

Vatican ‘New World Order’

Herman van Rompuy, the President of the European Council stated recently ‘We are all Jesuits’. He was referring to those prominent European leaders with whom he is developing the ‘Roman Catholic’ architecture for the future Europe. ‘It creates unbreakable ties. So there is a ‘Jesuits International’

http://www.djeppink.eu/en/blog/we-are-all-jesuits
Dictatorship is Here!

wherever this Seal is openly displayed the Jesuit Order is in Control
Papal Bull Dum Diversas
18 June, 1452

Pope Nicholas V issued the papal bull Dum Diversas on 18 June, 1452. It authorised Alfonso V of Portugal to reduce any “Saracens (Muslims) and pagans and any other unbelievers” to perpetual slavery. This facilitated the Portuguese slave trade from West Africa.

The same pope wrote the bull Romanus Pontifex on January 5, 1455 to the same Alfonso. As a follow-up to the Dum diversas, it extended to the Catholic nations of Europe dominion over discovered lands during the Age of Discovery. Along with sanctifying the seizure of non-Christian lands, it encouraged the enslavement of native, non-Christian peoples in Africa and the New World.

“We weighing all and singular the premises with due meditation, and noting that since we had formerly by other letters of ours granted among other things free and ample faculty to the aforesaid King Alfonso -- to invade, search out, capture, vanquish, and subdue all Saracens and pagans whatsoever, and other enemies of Christ wheresoever placed, and the kingdoms, dukedoms, principalities, dominions, possessions, and all movable and immovable goods whatsoever held and possessed by them and to reduce their persons to perpetual slavery, and to apply and appropriate to himself and his successors the kingdoms, dukedoms, counties, principalities, dominions, possessions, and goods, and to convert them to his and their use and profit -- by having secured the said faculty, the said King Alfonso, or, by his authority, the aforesaid infante, justly and lawfully has acquired and possessed, and doth possess, these islands, lands, harbors, and seas, and they do of right belong and pertain to the said King Alfonso and his successors”.

In 1493 Alexander VI issued the bull Inter Caetera stating one Christian nation did not have the right to establish dominion over lands previously dominated by another Christian nation, thus establishing the Law of Nations.

Together, the Dum Diversas, the Romanus Pontifex and the Inter Caetera came to serve as the basis and justification for the Doctrine of Discovery, the global slave-trade of the 15th and 16th centuries, and the Age of Imperialism.
THE LINES OF DEMARCATION
OF
POPE ALEXANDER VI.
AND THE
TREATY OF TORDESILLAS
A.D. 1493 and 1494

By SAMUEL EDWARD DAWSON Lit.D. (Laval)
not exist before Grotius, or that he originated its principles. The most
cursory glance at his great work, *De Jure Belli*, will show that all his
illustrations were drawn from Greek, Roman and Jewish history, and it
will be found, on perusal, that his principles are derived from natural
law or the law of nature as laid down by the Roman lawyers, upon the
Roman civil law as found in the *Corpus Juris*, upon the works of the
more philosophical of the Christian Fathers, upon the Synodical Canons
recorded in ecclesiastical history and upon the Divine law as revealed
in the Bible. Grotius does not, himself, pretend to anything else. He
was born in 1583, ninety years after the discovery of America, and to
attempt therefore, to pass judgment on the Bull of 1493 in the light of
our present notions, is an absurd anachronism. Grotius goes further,
and, while justly claiming the merit of his work, refers to authors who
had preceded him who, as he says, were “partly Divines and partly
Doctors of Law.” If, therefore, we put aside the conventional law or
treaty law of nations, it will be seen that modern international law is
founded on the Roman law and on the Canon law, which latter was
carried over all Europe by the Roman Church; for even in England up
to the time of Edward III., the Lord Chancellor was always an ecclesi-
astic. In commenting on this point, Sir Henry Maine observes that “it
is astonishing how small a proportion the additions made to inter-
national law since Grotius’s day bear to the ingredients which have
been simply taken from the most ancient stratum of the Roman
*Jus Gentium.*” This *Jus Gentium* is the law of nature applicable to
all human beings, and therefore to nations collectively, and is elo-
quently said by Cicero* to be “That law which was neither a thing con-
trived by the genius of man, nor established by any decree of the
people; but a certain eternal principle, which governs the entire
universe, wisely commanding what is right and prohibiting what is
wrong... Therefore, the true and supreme law, whose commands and
prohibitions are equally authoritative, is the right reason of the
Sovereign Jupiter.”

These things being so, it is somewhat flippant for the *London Times*
to characterize the citation of the Bull of 1493, in the Venezuela
dispute, as “comical” or “absurd.” It was good law *pro tanto*, for
where else was there, at that time, a court so competent, by learning or
tradition, to decide questions which, in their essence, depended on the
Roman or Canon law as the Court of Rome? Nor could there, *a priori*,
be conceived one more likely to be impartial; for the Pope had no
sailors through whom he could discover and claim for himself new
lands. Flings at the private character of Alexander VI. are only pre-
texts for avoiding argument. We have to do with him in this paper
“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction... Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State. ... STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under ... (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the "* * *laws * * * of the United States" ....” Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158-165

©Common Law Copyright 2016
"We therefore decline to overrule the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall: We hold that the District of Columbia is not a state within Article 3 of the Constitution. In other words cases between citizens of the District and those of the states were not included of the catalogue of controversies over which the Congress could give jurisdiction to the federal courts by virtue of Article 3. In other words Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction over citizens of Washington District of Columbia and through their plenary power nationally covers those citizens even when in one of the several states as though the district expands for the purpose of regulating its citizens wherever they go throughout the states in union" National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948);
Dictatorship is Here!

From 1203 to 1805
50 million Christian died
suffering at the hands of Rome
‘cause they believed in Christ alone
they died through Europe especially Spain
for they saw all but Christ as vain
He who suffered by His death for men
to save them from their awful sin
600 years of martyred saints
that history can not erase
with iron heel and iron hand
the Roman Popes rule the land
The Rothschild have been part of the Jesuit Order since way before 1776. Maltese Cross indicates membership and allegiance to the Sovereign Military Order of Malta, a MASONIC order created in 1,099 AD by direct descendants of Roman Emperors, of which the Jesuit Order is a brand.
Dictatorship is Here!

Isn’t It Interesting That The Pope Calls Fake News A Sin

Yet The Catholic Church Has Been Selling False Saviors And Implicated In Child Pedophilia For Centuries

©Common Law Copyright 2017
Dictatorship Is Here!!

- ① Church of Rome
- ② US $1 bill
- ③ Israel Supreme Court
The Vatican's Holocaust

The sensational account of the most horrifying religious massacre of the 20th century

By Avro Manhattan – Knight of Malta

Avro Manhattan (1914-1990)

About the Author:

Avro Manhattan was the world's foremost authority on Roman Catholicism in politics. A resident of London, during WW II he operated a radio station called "Radio Freedom" broadcasting to occupied Europe. He was the author of over 20 books including the best-seller The Vatican in World Politics, twice Book-of-the-Month and going through 57 editions.

He was a Great Briton who risked his life daily to expose some of the darkest secrets of the Papacy.

His books were #1 on the Forbidden Index for the past 50 years!!

Ed Note: This issue from 1986 - in 2006 the record is now 70 Years on the forbidden book list.
PREFACE TO THE AMERICAN EDITIONS:

THE VATICAN'S HOLOCAUST is not a misnomer, an accusation, and even less a speculation. It is an historical fact.

Rabid nationalism and religious dogmatism were its two main ingredients.

During the existence of Croatia as an independent Catholic State, over 700,000 men, women and children perished.

Many were executed, tortured, died of starvation, buried alive, or were burned to death.

Hundreds were forced to become Catholic.

Catholic padres ran concentration camps; Catholic priests were officers of the military corps which committed such atrocities. 700,000 in a total population of a few million, proportionally, would be as if one-third of the USA population had been exterminated by a Catholic militia.

What has been gathered in this book will vindicate the veracity of these facts. Dates, names, and places, as well as photos are there to prove them.

They should become known to the American public, not to foster vindictiveness, but to warn them of the danger, which racialism and sectarianism, when allied with religious intolerance can bring to any contemporary nation, whether in Europe or in the New World.

This work should be assessed without prejudice and as a lesson; but even more vital, as a warning for the future of the Americans, beginning with that of the USA.

Avro Manhattan,
1986

Editor's Note

An armed Serbia could have easily prevented this Holocaust.

Thank God for the 2nd Amendment to the Constitution which guarantees the right to bear arms.

Freedom of religion and an armed citizenry go hand in hand and is the only guarantee that this won't happen in the U.S.

Ed Note: It is the Vatican One World Government that doesn’t want you to have the right to own arms or to use any means to defend yourself.
Introduction

So, the people of the world can now determine what those changes are: that those in the positions of implementing changes have in store for them. In summary, then, these changes are:

The old world is coming to an end. It will be replaced with a new way of doing things.

The new world will be called the "New World Order."

This new structuring will re-distribute property from the "have" nations and will give it to the "have not" nations.

The New World Order will include changes in:

the family:
- homosexual marriages will be legalized;
- parents will not be allowed to raise their children (the state will); all women will be employed by the state and not allowed to be "homemakers";
- divorce will become exceedingly easy and monogamous marriage will be slowly phased out;

the workplace:
- the government will become the owner of all of the factors of production; the private ownership of property will be outlawed;

religion:
- religion will be outlawed and believers will be either eliminated or imprisoned;
- there will be a new religion: the worship of man and his mind; all will believe in the new religion;

The United States will play a major role in bringing it to world.

World wars have been fought to further its aims.

Adolf Hitler, the NAZI Socialist, supported the goal of the Nazis.

The majority of the people will not realize its true meaning until too late.
Rothschilds are the guardians of the papal treasure

£100,000; and in 1884 they loaned the Egyptian government £1,000,000.

Meanwhile the Nationalist and Reactionary parties in France desired to counterbalance the "Semitic" influence of the Rothschilds by establishing a banking concern which should be essentially Catholic. Accordingly in 1876 the Union Générale was founded with a capital of 4,000,000 francs, increased to 25,000,000 francs in 1878 under the direction of a certain Bontoux. After various vicissitudes, graphically described by Zola in his novel "L'Argent," the Union failed, and brought many of the Catholic nobility of France to ruin, leaving the Rothschilds still more absolutely the undisputed leaders of French finance, but leaving also a legacy of hatred which had much influence on the growth of the anti-Semitic movement in France. Something analogous occurred in England when the century-long competition of the Barings and the Rothschilds culminated in the failure of the former in 1893; but in this case the Rothschilds came to the rescue of their rivals and prevented a universal financial catastrophe. It is a somewhat curious sequel to the attempt to set up a Catholic competitor to the Rothschilds that at the present time the latter are the guardians of the papal treasure.

Of recent years the Rothschilds have consistently refused to have anything to do with loans to Russia, owing to the anti-Jewish legislation of that empire, though on one occasion the members of the Paris house joined in a loan to demonstrate their patriotism.

whose chief customers they have been (Duveen, C. Davis, Spitzer, and Wertheimer).

The services of the Rothschilds in the cause of philanthropy have been equally marked. Special hospitals have been founded by them for all creeds at Jerusalem, Vienna, Paris, and London; the Jews' Free School of the last-named city is supported almost entirely by Lord Rothschild at an estimated annual cost of £15,000. In London and Paris they have established workmen's dwellings on a large scale and on an economic and commercial basis; and their private charities are very large. The founder of the house, Mayer Amschel Rothschild, held the curious theory that if a beggar thanked him, the charitable transaction was concluded, whereas if he received no thanks, Heaven owed him some recompense for his charity. Consequently, it was his custom to thrust a coin into the hand of a beggar, and to hurry away before the latter could express his gratitude.

In addition, some of the members of the family have evinced an interest in Jewish literature. Baron James in Paris was the founder of the Société des Études Juives; Baron Wilhelm of Frankfort was a zealous collector of Hebrew incunabula, which are now in the Frankfort town library; and almost all great Jewish literary undertakings have been subventioned by one or other branch of the firm.

Hitherto the pedigree of the Rothschild family has been traced only as far as Amschel, the father of Mayer Amschel Rothschild; but, owing to the recent publication of the tombstone inscriptions of
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Owned = Slave

WHEN YOUR GOVERNMENT HAS ALL THE GUNS
“Any society that would give up a little liberty to gain a little security will deserve neither and lose both.”

-Benjamin Franklin
“Just following Orders” is NEVER a valid excuse or “justification” for immoral, criminal behavior, and this lame attempt to abdicate personal responsibility SHOULD NEVER BE ACCEPTED as a valid excuse for such behavior.
Owned = Slave

Every great injustice has been at the hands of someone just following orders.
Owned = Slave

LAW ABIDING CITIZENS

BRAVE SOLDIERS
JUST DOING THEIR JOB

©Common Law Copyright 2018
Owned = Slave

Those who make their fortunes from you being sick

are the same people who tell you what will make you well

Davidicke.com
Owned = Slave

Every Great Injustice Has Been At The Hands Of Someone Just Following Orders
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Owned = Slave
“Taxes were not raised to carry on wars, wars were raised to carry on taxes.”

— Thomas Paine
Owned = Slave
"The war is not meant to be won. It is meant to be continuous."

George Orwell
Owned = Slave
We had grasped the great truth that it was not rifles, not tanks, and not atom bombs that created power, nor upon them that power rested. Power depended upon public obedience, upon a willingness to submit.

- Vladimir Bukovsky, To Build A Castle - My Life As A Dissenter
Owned = Slave

WHY DOES THE GOVERNMENT WANT TO BAN SEMI-AUTOMATIC RIFLES?

BECAUSE THEY KNOW YOU WON’T GET INTO THE BOXCARS WILLINGLY.
Owned = Slave

China established gun control in 1935. From 1948 to 1952, 20 million political dissidents, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated.
Owned = Slave

The illusion...

...of free choice.
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The United States is **3rd** in murders throughout the world.

If you remove

#1– Chicago
#2– Detroit
#3– Washington DC
#4– St Louis
#5– New Orleans

the United States is then **189th** out of 193 countries in the entire world.

**PS–**
All 5x Cities have **STRICT** Gun Control Laws
War is when your government tells you who the enemy is.

Revolution is when you figure it out for yourself.
When Liberty and Freedom are at stake, your silence isn’t golden... it’s yellow.
Dictatorship is Here!! It is all voluntary!!

- Carry common law identification
- Do NOT carry government issued identification!!!
- Date of Birth is hearsay – Do NOT give evidence against yourself!
- Name is hearsay – Do NOT give evidence against yourself!
- Address is hearsay – Do NOT give evidence against yourself!
- Story about the French Revolution
- Story about the lady in prison
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