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©Common Law Copyright 2018
Lindsay Williams says that the elite have just postponed their plans
At best Trump will be in office for 8 years
The elite are NOT going away
Google, Facebook, Youtube are suppressing free speech to promote the agenda of their deep state handlers
Main Stream Media are still promoting their fake news and suppressing the real news
Dictatorship

- War of Independence was precipitated because of an emerging dictatorship
- “…statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property…….to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial………. and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.
- We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.” Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)
"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness."

Declaration of Independence 1776
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“….But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.--Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.” Declaration of Independence 1776
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“He has made Judges dependent on his Will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.

He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harrass our people, and eat out their substance.

He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.

He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:” Declaration of Independence 1776
“For protecting them, by a mock Trial, from punishment for any Murders which they should commit on the Inhabitants of these States:

- For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent:
- For depriving us, in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury:
- For transporting us beyond Seas to be tried for pretended offences:
- For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:” Declaration of Independence 1776
For taking away our Charters, abolishing our most valuable Laws, and **altering fundamentally the Forms of our Governments**:

- He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his protection, and waging War against us.
- He has plundered our seas, ravaged our Coasts, burnt our towns, and **destroyed the Lives of our People**.
- He has **excited domestic insurrections amongst us**, and has endeavoured to bring on the inhabitants of our frontiers, the merciless Indian Savages, whose known rule of warfare, is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, sexes and conditions.
Dictatorship is Here!!

- Everything is in place and has been for decades
- The Uniform Commercial Code
- The Criminal Conversion of citizenship making everybody slaves
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“The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the United States; ...”
Constitution for the United States of America, Article IV, Section 2

“Section 2 Definitions (1) In this Act,

owned means, subject to the regulations,......;”
Canadian Ownership and Control Determination Act
EMERGENCY POWERS STATUTES:

Provisions of Federal Law now in effect delegating to the executive extraordinary authority in time of national emergency

REPORT
OF THE
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON THE TERMINATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY
UNITED STATES SENATE

NOVEMBER 19, 1973
FOREWORD

Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency. In fact, there are now in effect four presidentially proclaimed states of national emergency: In addition to the national emergency declared by President Roosevelt in 1933, there are also the national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on December 16, 1950, during the Korean conflict, and the states of national emergency declared by President Nixon on March 23, 1970, and August 15, 1971.

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens.

With the melting of the cold war—the developing détente—with the Soviet Union and China, the stable truce of over 20 years duration between North and South Korea, and the end of U.S. involvement in the war in Indochina—there is no present need for the United States Government to continue to function under emergency conditions.

The Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency was created to examine the consequences of terminating the declared states of national emergency that now prevail; to recommend what steps the Congress should take to ensure that the termination can be accomplished without adverse effect upon the necessary tasks of governing; and, also, to recommend ways in which the United States can meet future emergency situations with speed and effectiveness but without relinquishment of congressional oversight and control.

In accordance with this mandate, the Special Committee—in conjunction with the Executive branch, expert constitutional authorities, as well as former high officials of this Government—is now engaged

---

1 S. Res. 9, 93d Cong., 1st Sess.
Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency. In fact, there are now in effect four presidially proclaimed states of national emergency: In addition to the national emergency declared by President Roosevelt in 1933, there are also the national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on December 16, 1950, during the Korean conflict, and the states of national emergency declared by President Nixon on March 23, 1970, and August 15, 1971.

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the

These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the President extraordinary powers, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens.

With the melting of the cold war—the developing détente with the Soviet Union and China, the stable truce of over 20 years duration between North and South Korea, and the end of U.S. involvement in the war in Indochina—there is no present need for the United States Government to continue to function under emergency conditions.

The Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency was created to examine the consequences of terminating the declared states of national emergency that now prevail; to recommend what, if any, Congress should authorize to ensure that the nation's security is not impaired; and to report on the advisability of terminating the proclamations.
should have known of the existence and extent of the restrictions or order and that his act was in violation thereof, be fined not more than $5,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

18 U.S.C. 1883 does not appear on its face to be an emergency power. It was used as the basis for internment of Japanese-Americans in World War II. Although it seems to be cast as a permanent power, the legislative history of the section shows that the statute was intended as a World War II emergency power only, and was not to apply in “normal” peacetime circumstances. Two years ago, the Emergency Detention Act was repealed, yet 18 U.S.C. 1883 has almost the same effect.

Another pertinent question among many, that the Special Committee’s work has revealed, concerns the statutory authority for domestic surveillance by the FBI. According to some experts, the authority for domestic surveillance appears to be based upon an Executive Order issued by President F[leanor] during an emergency period. If it is correct that no firm statutory authority exists, then it is reasonable to suggest that the appropriate committees enact proper statutory authority for the FBI with adequate provision for oversight by Congress.

What these examples suggest and what the magnitude of emergency powers affirm is that most of these laws do not provide for congressional oversight or termination. There are two reasons which can be adduced as to why this is so. First, few, if any, foresaw that the temporary states of emergency declared in 1933, 1939, 1941, 1950, 1970, and 1971 would become what are now regarded collectively as virtually permanent states of emergency (the 1939 and 1941 emergencies were terminated in 1952). Forty years can, in no way, be defined as a temporary emergency. Second, the various administrations who drafted these laws for a variety of reasons were understandably not concerned about providing for congressional review, oversight, or termination of these delegated powers which gave the President enormous powers and flexibility to use those powers.

The intense anxiety and sense of crisis was contained in the rhetoric of Truman’s 1950 proclamation:

Whereas recent events in Korea and elsewhere constitute a grave threat to the peace of the world and imperil the efforts of this country and those of the United Nations to prevent aggression and armed conflict; and

Whereas world conquest by communist imperialism is the goal of the forces of aggression that have been loosed upon the world; and

Whereas, if the goal of communist imperialism were to be achieved, the people of this country would no longer enjoy the full and rich life they have with God’s help built for themselves and their children; they would no longer enjoy the blessings of the freedom of worshipping as they severally choose, the freedom of reading and listening to what they choose, the right of free speech, including the right to criticize their Government, the right to choose those who con-
able to suggest that the appropriate committees enact proper statutory authority for the FBI with adequate provision for oversight by Congress.

What these examples suggest and what the magnitude of emergency powers affirm is that most of these laws do not provide for congressional oversight or termination. There are two reasons which can be adduced as to why this is so. First, few, if any, foresaw that the temporary states of emergency declared in 1933, 1939, 1941, 1950, 1970, and 1971 would become what are now regarded collectively as virtually permanent states of emergency (the 1939 and 1941 emergencies were terminated in 1952). Forty years can, in no way, be defined as a temporary emergency. Second, the various administrations who drafted these laws for a variety of reasons were understandably not concerned about providing for congressional review, oversight, or termination of these delegated powers which gave the President enormous powers and flexibility to use those powers.

The intense anxiety and sense of crisis was contained in the rhetoric of Truman's 1950 proclamation:

Whereas recent events in Korea and elsewhere constitute a grave threat to the peace of the world and imperil the efforts of this country and those of the United Nations to prevent aggression and armed conflict; and

Whereas world conquest by communist imperialism is the goal of the forces of aggression that have been loosed upon the world; and

Whereas, if the goal of communist imperialism
The heightened sense of crisis of the cold war so evident in Truman's proclamation has fortunately eased. The legislative shortcomings contained in this body of laws can be corrected on the basis of rational study and inquiry.

In the view of the Special Committee, an emergency does not now exist. Congress, therefore, should act in the near future to terminate officially the states of national emergency now in effect.

At the same time, the Special Committee is of the view that it is essential to provide the means for the Executive to act effectively in an emergency. It is reasonable to have a body of laws in readiness to delegate to the President extraordinary powers to use in times of real national emergency. The portion of the concurring opinion given by Justice Jackson in the Youngstown Steel case with regard to emergency powers provides sound and pertinent guidelines for the maintenance of such a body of emergency laws kept in readiness to be used in times of extreme crisis. Justice Jackson, supporting the majority opinion that the "President's power must stem either from an act of Congress or from the Constitution itself" wrote:

The appeal, however, that we declare the existence of inherent powers ex necessitate to meet an emergency asks us to do what many think would be wise, although it is something the forefathers omitted. They knew what emergencies were, knew the pressures they engender for authoritative action, knew, too, how they afford a ready pretext for usurpation. We may also suspect that they suspected that emergency powers would tend to kindle emergencies. Aside from suspension of the privilege of the writ of habeas corpus in time of rebellion or invasion, when the public safety may require it, they made no express provision for exercise of extraordinary authority because of a crisis. I do not think we rightfully may so amend their work, and, if we could, I am not convinced it would be wise to do so, although many modern nations have forthrightly recognized that war and economic crises may upset the normal balance between liberty and authority. Their experience with emergency powers may not be irrelevant to the argument here that we should say that the Executive, of his own volition, can invest himself with undefined emergency powers.

Germany, after the First World War, framed the Weimar Constitution, designed to secure her liberties in the Western tradition. However, the President of the Republic, without concurrence of the Reichstag, was empowered temporarily to suspend any or all individual rights if public safety and order were seriously disturbed or endangered. This proved a temptation to every government, whatever its shade of opinion, and in 13 years suspension of rights was invoked on more than 250 occasions. Finally, Hitler persuaded President Von Hindenburg to suspend all such rights, and they were never restored.

The French Republic provided for a very different kind of emergency government known as the "state of siege." It differed from the German emergency dictatorship particularly in that emergency powers could not be assumed at will.
may so amend their work, and, if we could, I am not convinced it would be wise to do so, although many modern nations have forthrightly recognized that war and economic crises may upset the normal balance between liberty and authority. Their experience with emergency powers may not be irrelevant to the argument here that we should say that the Executive, of his own volition, can invest himself with undefined emergency powers.

Germany, after the First World War, framed the Weimar Constitution, designed to secure her liberties in the Western tradition. However, the President of the Republic, without concurrence of the Reichstag, was empowered temporarily to suspend any or all individual rights if public safety and order were seriously disturbed or endangered. This proved a temptation to every government, whatever its shade of opinion, and in 13 years suspension of rights was invoked on more than 250 occasions. Finally, Hitler persuaded President Von Hindenburg to suspend all such rights, and they were never restored.

The French Republic provided for a very different kind of emergency government known as the "state of seige." It differed from the German emergency dictatorship particularly in that emergency powers could not be assumed at will.
by the Executive but could only be granted as a parliamentary measure. And it did not, as in Germany, result in a suspension or abrogation of law but was a legal institution governed by special legal rules and terminable by parliamentary authority.

Great Britain also has fought both World Wars under a sort of temporary dictatorship created by legislation. As Parliament is not bound by written constitutional limitations, it established a crisis government simply by delegation to its Ministers of a larger measure than usual of its own unlimited power, which is exercised under its supervision by Ministers whom it may dismiss. This has been called the “high-water mark in the voluntary surrender of liberty,” but, as Churchill put it, “Parliament stands custodian of these surrendered liberties, and its most sacred duty will be to restore them in their fullness when victory has crowned our exertions and our perseverance.” Thus, parliamentary controls made emergency powers compatible with freedom.

This contemporary foreign experience may be inconclusive as to the wisdom of lodging emergency powers somewhere in a modern government. But it suggests that emergency powers are consistent with free government only when their control is lodged elsewhere than in the Executive who exercises them. That is the safeguard that would be nullified by our adoption of the “inherent powers” formula. Nothing in my experience convinces me that such risks are warranted by any real necessity, although such powers would, of course, be an executive convenience.

In the practical working of our Government we already have evolved a technique within the framework of the Constitution by which normal executive powers may be considerably expanded to meet an emergency. Congress may and has granted extraordinary authorities which lie dormant in normal times but may be called into play by the Executive in war or upon proclamation of a national emergency. In 1939, upon congressional request, the Attorney General listed ninety-nine such separate statutory grants by Congress of emergency or wartime executive powers. They were invoked from time to time as need appeared. Under this procedure we retain Government by law—special, temporary law, perhaps, but law nonetheless. The public may know the extent and limitations of the powers that can be asserted, and persons affected may be informed from the statute of their rights and duties.

In view of the ease, expedition and safety with which Congress can grant and has granted large emergency powers, certainly ample to embrace this crisis, I am quite unimpressed with the argument that we should affirm possession of them without statute. Such power either has no beginning or it has no end. If it exists, it need submit to no legal restraint. I am not alarmed that it would plunge us straightway into dictatorship, but it is at least a step in that wrong direction.
by the Executive but could only be granted as a parliamen-
tary measure. And it did not, as in Germany, result in a sus-
pension or abrogation of law but was a legal institution gov-
erned by special legal rules and terminable by parliamentary
authority.

Great Britain also has fought both World Wars under a sort of temporary dictatorship created by legislation. As Parliament is not bound by written constitutional limitations, it established a crisis government simply by delegation to its Ministers of a larger measure than usual of its own unlimited power, which is exercised under its supervision by Ministers whom it may dismiss. This has been called the “high-water mark in the voluntary surrender of liberty,” but, as Churchill put it, “Parliament stands custodian of these surrendered liberties, and its most sacred duty will be to restore them in their fullness when victory has crowned our exertions and our perseverance.” Thus, parliamentary controls made emergency powers compatible with freedom.

This contemporary foreign experience may be inconclusive as to the wisdom of lodging emergency powers somewhere in a modern government. But it suggests that emergen-
war or upon proclamation of a national emergency. In 1939, upon congressional request, the Attorney General listed ninety-nine such separate statutory grants by Congress of emergency or wartime executive powers. They were invoked from time to time as need appeared. Under this procedure we retain Government by law—special, temporary law, perhaps, but law nonetheless. The public may know the extent and limitations of the powers that can be asserted, and persons affected may be informed from the statute of their rights and duties.

In view of the case, expedition and safety with which Congress can grant and has granted large emergency powers, certainly ample to embrace this crisis, I am quite unimpressed with the argument that we should affirm possession of them without statute. Such power either has no beginning or it has no end. If it exists, it need submit to no legal restraint. I am not alarmed that it would plunge us straightway into dictatorship, but it is at least a step in that wrong direction.

* * * * * * * * * * *
gancy Banking Act or Bank Conservation Act, 48 Stat. 1. That Act provided that the actions and proclamations “heretofore or hereafter taken . . . or issued by the President of the United States . . . since March 4, 1933, pursuant to the authority conferred by subdivision (b) of section 5 of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended, are hereby approved and confirmed.” (48 Stat. 1; 12 U.S.C. 95b (1970)). Congress thus “spread its protective approval over executive acts the legality of which was uncertain.” Ellingwood, op. cit. supra at 27 Nw. U.L. Rev. 929 (1933). Congress also amended Section 5(b) to provide, among other things, that “[d]uring time of war or during any other period of national emergency declared by the President, the President may . . . regulate, under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe . . . transfers of credit between or among banks or banking institutions as defined by the President.” 48 Stat. 1. In the enactment clause Congress declared “that a serious emergency exists.” 48 Stat. 1. The exclusion of domestic transactions, formerly found in the Act, was deleted from § 5(b) at this time.

The legislative history of the Emergency Banking Act is short; only eight hours elapsed from the time the bill was introduced until it was signed into law. There were no committee reports. Indeed, the bill was not even in print at the time it was passed. 77 Cong. Rec. 76, 80 (1933); Schlesinger, The Coming of the New Deal 8.

The abbreviated history shows Congress recognized that the powers conferred on the President by the Act were great. In the debate preceding the bill’s passage those supporting it made such remarks as:

... in time of storm there can only be one pilot. In my judgment, the House of Representatives realize that the pilot in this case must be the President of the United States, and they will steer their course by him (Rep. Goldsborough, 77 Cong. Rec. 81).

It is a dictatorship over finance in the United States. It is complete control over the banking system in the United States. (Rep. McFadden, 77 Cong. Rec. 80).

I realize that in time of peace we have perhaps never been called upon to vest such transcendent powers in the Executive as are provided for in this bill. . . . It is an emergency which can be adequately dealt with only by the strong arm of Executive power, and therefore I expect to vote for the bill, though it contains grants of powers which I never before thought I would approve in time of peace.


The courts later upheld the validity of the bank holiday under the Act, as amended. E.g., Smith v. Witherow, 102 F. 2d 638, 641 (3d Cir., 1939); Hardee v. Washington Loan & Trust Co., 91 F. 2d 314 (D.C. Cir. 1937). Because of the prompt action taken by Congress in ratifying the March 6 proclamation, no judicial decisions were rendered on the question of whether the President’s action, if taken alone, would have been lawful.

[Emphasis supplied.]
Judgment, the House of Representatives realize that the pilot in this case must be the President of the United States, and they will steer their course by him (Rep. Goldsborough, 77 Cong. Rec. 81).

It is a dictatorship over finance in the United States. It is complete control over the banking system in the United States. (Rep. McFadden, 77 Cong. Rec. 80).

I realize that in time of peace we have perhaps never been called upon to vest such transcendent powers in the Executive as are provided for in this bill. . . . It is an emergency which can be adequately dealt with only by the strong arm of Executive power, and therefore I expect to vote for the bill, though it contains grants of powers which I never before thought I would approve in time of peace. (Sen. Connally, 77 Cong. Rec. 65).

The courts later upheld the validity of the bank holiday under the Act, as amended. E.g., Smith v. Witherow, 102 F. 2d 638, 641 (3d Cir., 1939); Hardee v. Washington Loan & Trust Co., 91 F. 2d 314 (D.C. Cir. 1937). Because of the prompt action taken by Congress in ratifying the March 6 proclamation, no judicial decisions were rendered on the question of whether the President's action, if taken alone, would have been lawful.

[Emphasis supplied.]
Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

For a complete set of Youtube videos with Private Information Shares, a DVD with over 50 searchable Law Dictionaries, and other books and forms contact me privately at engineerwin@yahoo.com

Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
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“Under International Law of Warfare, all parties to a cause must appear by *nom de guerre*, because an "alien enemy cannot maintain an action during the war in his own name". Merriam-Webster Dictionary, pg. 1534

"A mixed war is one which is made on one side by public authority, and the other by mere *private* persons." Black's Law Dictionary 5th Ed., page 1420
"What is called 'proclaiming martial law' is no law at all; but merely for the sake of public safety, in circumstances of great emergency, setting aside all law, and acting under military power....", 8 Atty. Gen. Op. 365, 367, February 3, 1857.

“Emergency does not create power. Emergency does not increase granted power or remove or diminish the restrictions imposed upon power granted or reserved. The Constitution was adopted in a period of grave emergency. Its grants of power to the Federal Government and its limitations of the power of the States were determined in the light of emergency, and they are not altered by emergency.” Home Building and Loan Association v Blaisdel, 290 US 398 (1934)
Dictatorship = Roman Cult

ROMAN CONQUEST

24 September 2015
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Dictatorship = Roman Cult = Murder & Slavery

- Roman aquila military staff carried in battle by all Roman commands. Planted on all conquered nations.
- Devout Roman catholic, honorary degree from Jesuit Scranton University
- Roman bundle of rods bound to a weapon symbolizing subservient under the rule of a single man
- Devout Roman Catholic trained by the Jesuits installed first Jesuit chaplain to the House
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POPE CLAIMS YOU'RE NOT CHRISTIAN IF YOU OWN A GUN
"My history of the Jesuits is not eloquently written, but it is supported by unquestionable authorities, and is very particular and very horrible. Their Jesuit Order’s restoration in 1814 by Pope Pius VII is indeed a step toward darkness, cruelty, despotism, and death. ... I do not like the appearance of the Jesuits. If ever there was a body of men who merited eternal damnation on earth and in hell, it is this Society of Ignatius de Loyola."

John Adams (1735-1826; 2nd President of the United States)
"Within twenty years this country is going to rule the world. Kings and Emperors will soon pass away and the democracy of the United States will take their place... When the United States rules the world, the Catholic Church will rule the world...Nothing can stand against the Church. I'd like to see the politician who would try to rule against the Church in Chicago. His reign would be short indeed." -- Roman Catholic Archbishop James E. Quigley (October 15, 1854 - July 10, 1915) Chicago Daily Tribune, May 5, 1903
Governments in America are full of people who graduated from schools like Georgetown University

Georgetown University is one of many institutions of higher education that are run by Jesuits

Trump may be a good guy but he is surrounded by Jesuits and agents of Jesuits
Christian fundamentalists were terrorists
Constitutionalists were terrorists
Preppers were terrorists
Home schoolers were terrorists
“sovereign citizens” (anybody who was anti-government) were terrorists
Returning military were terrorists
Anybody that had guns was a terrorist
The reasons they want guillotines is for organ harvesting
Electrocution destroys all organs
Gassing destroys some organs
Bullets, lethal injections, and other execution methods destroy some or all of the organs that can be harvested
Dictatorship is Here!!
It is all voluntary!!

- Carry common law identification
- Do NOT carry government issued identification!!
- Date of Birth is hearsay – Do NOT give evidence against yourself!
- Name is hearsay – Do NOT give evidence against yourself!
- Address is hearsay – Do NOT give evidence against yourself!
- Story about the French Revolution
- Story about the lady in prison
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THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM IS THE POLITICALLY CORRECT TERM FOR DICTATORSHIP.
SOME PEOPLE BE LIKE...

"I NEED MORE PROOF. I CAN'T SEE IT."
Law Abiding Citizens!

MASS SHOOTINGS?

GOVERNMENT IS THE UNDISPUTED HEAVYWEIGHT CHAMPION OF THEM.
U.S. POLICE KILL MORE UNARMED CITIZENS IN AN AVERAGE MONTH THAN ISIS HAS KILLED IN AMERICA EVER.

WHICH ONE ARE YOU ENDLESSLY TOLD TO FEAR?
WHEN YOUR GOVERNMENT HAS ALL THE GUNS
"I was just following orders" is NEVER a valid excuse or "justification" for immoral, criminal behavior, and this lame attempt to abdicate personal responsibility SHOULD NEVER BE ACCEPTED as a valid excuse for such behavior.
EVERY GREAT INJUSTICE HAS BEEN AT THE HANDS OF SOMEONE JUST FOLLOWING ORDERS
EVERY
GREAT
INJUSTICE
HAS BEEN AT
THE HANDS
OF SOMEONE
JUST FOLLOWING
ORDERS
SOME PEOPLE BE LIKE...

"I NEED MORE PROOF. I CAN'T SEE IT."
MY GOD, PEOPLE! HAVE YOU LOST YOUR MINDS?

Why would a Government that has been killing innocent people all over the globe, be interested in your well being just because you happen to live in their geographical rule? Disarm you for your safety, while arming the hell out of themselves?
We had grasped the great truth that it was not rifles, not tanks, and not atom bombs that created power, nor upon them that power rested. Power depended upon public obedience, upon a willingness to submit.

- Vladimir Bukovsky, To Build A Castle - My Life As A Dissenter
WHY DOES THE GOVERNMENT WANT TO BAN SEMI-AUTOMATIC RIFLES?

BECAUSE THEY KNOW YOU WON'T GET INTO THE BOXCARS WILLINGLY.
China established gun control in 1935. From 1948 to 1952, 20 million political dissidents, unable to defend themselves, were rounded up and exterminated.
NOBODY BLAMES
THE GUN

WHEN THE SHOOTER
IS A COP
KILLS OVER 1000 PEOPLE A YEAR

CREATE THE LARGEST PRISON POPULATION IN THE HISTORY OF MANKIND

THINKS THERE IS WAR AGAINST THEM
ORIGINAL
"ASSAULT RIFLE"

1774: Banned from import
1775: British attempt confiscation
1776: Revolution
War is when your government tells you who the enemy is.

Revolution is when you figure it out for yourself.
"GUN NUTS"

PROTECTING YOUR LIBERTIES SINCE 1775

facebook.com/ThePatriotFederation
"A free people ought not only be armed and disciplined, but they should have sufficient arms and ammunition to maintain a status of independence from any who might attempt to abuse them, which would include their own government."

-George Washington
When Blacks wanted to carry guns in the Sixties to protect themselves from the police.

The NRA supported Ronald Reagan signing the 1967 Mulford Act that restricted people carrying guns.
Remember, gun control in the United States is rooted in racism. Gun control was, and is still, meant to keep minority groups from having the ability to defend themselves.
That awkward moment when you realize
That the same government
that is supposed
to protect us
from terrorists
ARE THE TERRORISTS!
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“... the present Convention shall apply to all cases of declared war or of any other armed conflict which may arise .... even if the state of war is not recognized by one of them.

The Convention shall also apply to all cases of partial or total occupation of the territory of a High Contracting Party, even if the said occupation meets with no armed resistance.....” Article 2, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
When that LEO (PIG) stops you with his emergency lights
- He is armed
- He is wearing a military uniform with military rank insignia
- He is a US citizen
- It is an armed conflict whether you recognize it or not!
- The fact that he stopped you is proof that he is accusing you of being a private person and a US citizen

"A mixed war is one which is made on one side by public authority, and the other by mere private persons." Black's Law Dictionary 5th Ed., page 1420
“A place, district, or country occupied by an enemy stands, in consequence of the occupation, under the Martial Law of the invading or occupying army, whether any proclamation declaring Martial Law, or any public warning to the inhabitants, has been issued or not. **Martial Law is the immediate and direct effect and consequence of occupation or conquest. The presence of a hostile army proclaims its Martial Law.**” Article 1, Lieber Code [emphasis added]
“Martial Law does not cease during the hostile occupation, except by special proclamation, ordered by the commander in chief; or by special mention in the treaty of peace concluding the war, when the occupation of a place or territory continues beyond the conclusion of peace as one of the conditions of the same.” Article 2, Lieber Code [emphasis added]
Martial Law is Here - and has been for Decades
https://youtu.be/ooaHKZIZyD8
We are Under Martial Law
https://youtu.be/gygplcEyUhk
Martial Law is Coming from the United Nations
https://youtu.be/kyqAT57ZwP4
Alberta (and other Canadian States) are under a Military Occupation https://youtu.be/N-KshxgqpDk
Texas (and other American States) are under a Military Occupation https://youtu.be/1ztqeyphmSU

©Common Law Copyright 2018
“In the meantime, "Civil Law" was the form of law imposed in the Roman Empire which was largely (if not wholly) governed by martial law rule."Equity“ has always been understood to follow the law; to have "superior equity," is to turn things on their head. This is exactly what happens when martial law is imposed.

If "equity" is the law, then it follows its own course rather than following the common law, thereby destroying the common law and leaving what is called "equity" in its place.” The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court, Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968],
“A. All common law offenses and affirmative defenses are abolished. No conduct or omission constitutes an offense or an affirmative defense unless it is an offense or an affirmative defense under this title or under another statute or ordinance.” Arizona Revised Statutes 13-103. Abolition of common law offenses and affirmative defenses; definition
“The common law only so far as it is consistent with and adapted to the natural and physical conditions of this state and the necessities of the people thereof, and not repugnant to or inconsistent with the Constitution of the United States or the constitution or laws of this state, or established customs of the people of this state, is adopted and shall be the rule of decision in all courts of this state.” Arizona Revised Statutes 1-201. Adoption of common law; exceptions
Everything is in Admiralty

“A writ of error doth not lie upon a sentence in the admiralty, but an appeal. 4 Inst. 135. 339.”

Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 Edition under the definition of Admiralty

Appeals are in Admiralty

It is called a Court of Appeals

It is the same thing that precipitated the War of Independence
Police use military rank structures, Captain, Lieutenant, Sergeant

Military Script circulates for money (Federal Reserve Notes, Bank of Canada Notes, Bank of England Notes, etc.)

Military Uniforms used by police, judges, etc.

“The wearing of clerical dress or of a religious habit on the part of lay folk, ......, is liable to the same penalty on the part of the State as the misuse of military uniform.” Article 10, Concordat of 1933 (between Hitler and the Roman Cult)
"We now live in a nation where doctors destroy health, lawyers destroy justice, universities destroy knowledge, governments destroy freedom, the press destroys information, religion destroys morals, and our banks destroy the economy."

- Chris Hedges
“NOTE: Under the Law-Martial, only the criminal jurisdiction of a Military Court is the recognized law. But as Article Three says, "the civil courts can continue wholly or in part as long as the civil jurisdiction does not violate the Military orders laid down by the Commander in Chief or one of his Commanders." By this means; a military venue, jurisdiction, and authority are imposed upon the occupied populace under disguise of the ordinary civil courts and officers of the occupied district or region, because the so-called civil authorities in an occupied district, or region, only act at the pleasure of a military authority.

It should also be noted here that the several State Legislatures, County Boards of Commissioners, and City Councils, are constantly legislating to please the edicts of the federal government (the occupying force) and that their legislation, in this sense, is not an exercise of State sovereignty, but instead, a compliance with edicts of the military force which occupies the several States and consequently are edicts of Martial Law Rule." Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court [emphasis added]
“The present Convention shall apply from the outset of any conflict or occupation mentioned in Article 2.

In the territory of Parties to the conflict, the application of the present Convention shall cease on the general close of military operations.

In the case of occupied territory, the application of the present Convention shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such Power exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the present Convention: 1 to 12, 27, 29 to 34, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 59, 61 to 77, 143.” Article 6, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
Don’t forget to subscribe to this Youtube channel
Don’t forget to follow me on Steemit @sovereigntyintl
Don’t forget to Like this video
On Youtube don’t forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload
On steemit don’t forget to vote and make your comments
https://www.bitchute.com/channel/sovereigntyinternational/
What Should Your Crypto Coin Portfolio Look Like?

This post is for the newer individuals out that are getting into cryptocurrency. Below are my recommendations on what your crypto-coin portfolio should look like. Keep in mind that this is just my opinion and you research anything before buying it.
IF YOU THINK THIS IS FOR YOUR PROTECTION...

YOU CLEARLY HAVE NO IDEA WHAT'S GOING ON.
Turn In Your Guns

The police will protect you.
All they need is a contract

"It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court." Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
“Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments [taxes] of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain [emphasis added]
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Quasi-Contracts

“Assumpsit - ....In its origin an action of tort, [assumpsit] was soon transformed into an action of contract, becoming afterwards a remedy where there was neither tort nor contract. Based at first only upon an express promise, it was afterwards supported upon an implied promise, and even upon a fictitious promise. Introduced as a special manifestation of the action on the case, it soon acquired the dignity of a distinct form of action, which superseded Debt, became concurrent with Account, with Case upon a bailment, a warranty, and bills of exchange, and competed with Equity in the case of the essentially equitable quasi-contracts growing out of the principle of unjust enrichment. Surely, it would be hard to find a better illustration of the flexibility and power of self-development of the Common Law.” James Barr Ames, “The History of Assumpsit,” in 3 Select Essays in Anglo-American Legal History 298 (1909).” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 379 [emphasis added]
"Both in Roman and English law there are certain obligations which were not in truth contractual, but which the law treats as if they were. They are contractual in law, but not in fact, being the subject-matter of a fictitious extension of the sphere of contract to cover obligations which do not in reality fall within it." Salmond, Salmond on Jurisprudence, p. 642 (9th Edition, 1937, Sweet & Maxwell, Ltd. England). [emphasis added]
"Constructive/quasi contracts are based solely upon a legal fiction or fiction of law." Hill v. Waxberg, 237 F.2d 936.

"It is a well settled rule of law that he who seeks benefits of contract must also assume burdens." Higgins v. Monckton (1938), 28 C.A.2d 723, 83 P.2d 516.

"Voluntary acceptance of benefit of transaction is equivalent to consent to all obligations arising from it, so far as facts are known, or ought to be known, to person accepting." Northern Assurance Co. v. Stout (1911), 16 C.A. 548, 117 P. 617.
"A quasi contractual action presupposes acceptance and retention of a benefit by one party with full appreciation of the facts, under circumstances making it inequitable for him to retain the benefit without payment of its reasonable value." Major-Blakeney Co. v. Jenkins (1953), 121 C.A.2d 325, 263 P.2d 655, hear den.; Townsend Pierson, Inc. v. Holly-Coleman Co. (1960), 178 C.A.2d 373, 2 Cal. Rptr. 812. [emphasis added]
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
Why do you think they want a Social Security Number when you apply for a Drivers License?

Or Unemployment Insurance?

Or a Passport?

Or any other “benefit”
“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person’s rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868
The vast majority of the disputes that the police initiate on behalf of their employer are also adjudicated by their employer, where the plaintiff, the judge, the antagonist (the police) and the only witness (also the police), all represent the same party, and, since no corpus delicti, mens rea or acts reus can be produced, doesn't technically qualify to be heard according to its own "laws". The State therefore is indistinguishable from a criminal cartel.
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
Contact Information

- My Blog is;  http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profile – sovereignliving & Sovereignty International
- Facebook - Community Page - Deleted
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International – Being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Follow me on twitter @engineerwin
- Follow me on Steemit https://steemit.com/@sovereigntyintl
- https://www.bitchute.com/channel/sovereigntyinternational/
“The present Convention shall apply from the outset of any conflict or occupation mentioned in Article 2.

In the territory of Parties to the conflict, the application of the present Convention shall cease on the general close of military operations.

In the case of occupied territory, the application of the present Convention shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such Power exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the present Convention: 1 to 12, 27, 29 to 34, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 59, 61 to 77, 143.” Article 6, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
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“Protected persons may in no circumstances renounce in part or in entirety the rights secured to them by the present Convention, and by the special agreements referred to in the foregoing Article, if such there be.” Article 8, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
""Civil Law," "Roman Law," and "Roman Civil Law" are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called "municipal" law, to distinguish it from the "law of nature," and from international law. See Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189 Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325" Black's Law Dictionary, Revised 4th Edition, page 312, [emphasis added]
The reason they want Martial Law is because Martial Law creates Civil Law and Civil Law they can change – Nothing is absolute!

They have to make statutes (bought and paid for Clerks masquerading as Judges)

Statutes are changed all the time

Under Civil Law your rights are defined

At common law your rights are absolute

At common law you have unlimited rights
“Protected persons are entitled, in all circumstances, to respect for their persons, their honour, their family rights, their religious convictions and practices, and their manners and customs.

They shall at all times be humanely treated, and shall be protected especially against all acts of violence or threats thereof and against insults and public curiosity.

Women shall be especially protected against any attack on their honour, in particular against rape, enforced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault.

Without prejudice to the provisions relating to their state of health, age and sex, all protected persons shall be treated with the same consideration by the Party to the conflict in whose power they are, without any adverse distinction based, in particular, on race, religion or political opinion....”

Article 27, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.” Article 18, Universal Declaration of Human Rights
“The Party to the conflict in whose hands protected persons may be, is responsible for the treatment accorded to them by its agents, irrespective of any individual responsibility which may be incurred.” Article 29, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
“No physical or moral coercion shall be exercised against protected persons, in particular to obtain information from them or from third parties.” Article 31, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949

This could be used against the NSA and the CIA, or Facebook, or the banksters compelling the disclosure of a SSN

It can also be used against the PIGs when they coerce information from you on the side of the road
“No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.” Article 9, Universal Declaration of Human Rights [emphasis added],
“The High Contracting Parties specifically agree that each of them is prohibited from taking any measure of such a character as to cause the physical suffering or extermination of protected persons in their hands. This prohibition applies not only to murder, torture, corporal punishment, mutilation and medical or scientific experiments not necessitated by the medical treatment of a protected person, but also to any other measures of brutality whether applied by civilian or military agents.” Article 32, Geneva Convention to the Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949.
Article 32 could be used with torture or chem trails, or fluoride in the water
“No protected person may be punished for an offence he or she has not personally committed. Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.

Pillage is prohibited.

Reprisals against protected persons and their property are prohibited.” Article 33, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
Can you spot the terrorists?

Terrorism: Noun
The use of violence and intimidation in the pursuit of political aims.
“The taking of hostages is prohibited.” Article 34, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949

This could be used when they force your wife to live in a foreign country because she does not have a green card because they are holding her hostage until you accept their slave status.

“It is however, true that in all common-law countries it has always and consistently been held that the wife and minor children take the nationality of the husband and father. That is common-law doctrine.” In Re Page 12 F (2d) 135,
“1. Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.

2. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.” Article 13, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

“1. Everyone has the right to a nationality.

2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.” Article 15, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
“The Occupying Power may not compel protected persons to serve in its armed or auxiliary forces. No pressure or propaganda which aims at securing voluntary enlistment is permitted…..” Article 51, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]

This is why they cannot do conscription – See the D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for Selective Service and the Draft video
“No contract, agreement or regulation shall impair the right of any worker, whether voluntary or not and wherever he may be, to apply to the representatives of the Protecting Power in order to request the said Power’s intervention.

All measures aiming at creating unemployment or at restricting the opportunities offered to workers in an occupied territory, in order to induce them to work for the Occupying Power, are prohibited.” Article 52, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“In case of a breach of the penal provisions promulgated by it by virtue of the second paragraph of Article 64, the Occupying Power may hand over the accused to its properly constituted, non-political military courts, on condition that the said courts sit in the occupied country. Courts of appeal shall preferably sit in the occupied country.” Article 66, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“The States Parties to the present Covenant, including those having responsibility for the administration of Non-Self-Governing and Trust Territories, shall promote the realization of the right of self-determination, and shall respect that right, in conformity with the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 1, Clause 3 [emphasis added]
“All persons shall be equal before the courts and tribunals. In the determination of any criminal charge against him, or of his rights and obligations in a suit at law, everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal established by law.”

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 14, Clause 1
“In all cases, the duration of the period during which a protected person accused of an offence is under arrest awaiting trial or punishment shall be deducted from any period of imprisonment awarded.” Article 69, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
War Crimes

- Crimes against humanity

1. For the purpose of this Statute, ‘crime against humanity’ means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack:

  - (c) Enslavement;
  - (e) Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law;
  - (f) Torture;
  - (h) Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender as defined in paragraph 3, or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court;” Article 7 Rome Statute on the International Criminal Court
WAR is BUSINESS
Other Videos

- Bankster Thieves playlist
- Roman Cult playlist
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1 - 3
- D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service and the Draft
- Martial Law is here!
- D.I.Y. No Income Tax
- D.I.Y. Free Mail
- D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts 1 – 13
- Canada Border PIGs playlist
- BAR Members and their Satanic Connections playlist
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“Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.” Article 18, Universal Declaration of Human Rights
War Crimes

- In Canada they are persecuting anybody they call a "freeman on the land"
- In the USA they persecute "sovereign citizens"
- When a Judge is a bought and paid for Clerk it is a satanic religious ceremony – they are persecuting you because of your wish NOT to participate in their satanism
- When the judge is a (bought and paid for) Clerk, it is NOT a fair and regular trial
- When it is civil and they are saying it is criminal, it is NOT a fair and regular trial
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“Protected persons shall not be arrested, prosecuted or convicted by the Occupying Power for acts committed or for opinions expressed before the occupation, or during a temporary interruption thereof, with the exception of breaches of the laws and customs of war. Nationals of the Occupying Power who, before the outbreak of hostilities, have sought refuge in the territory of the occupied State, shall not be arrested, prosecuted, convicted or deported from the occupied territory, except for offences committed after the outbreak of hostilities, or for offences under common law committed before the outbreak of hostilities which, according to the law of the occupied State, would have justified extradition in time of peace.”

Article 70 Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949, [emphasis added]
“No sentence shall be pronounced by the competent courts of the Occupying Power except after a regular trial....” Article 71 Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
WARNING
THIS IS THE STANDING ARMY YOU WERE TOLD NOT TO TOLERATE.
War Crimes

- “Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.” Article 33, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 1949
- Military Uniforms are designed to be intimidating
- The carrying of guns, by their code enforcers, (PIGs) is intimidating, especially if they have laws preventing you from owning guns
- If the PIG yells at you because you are NOT cooperating, it is threatening and intimidating
“No protected person may be punished for an offence he or she has not personally committed. Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.

Pillage is prohibited.

Reprisals against protected persons and their property are prohibited.” Article 33, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 1949

They are assaulting you for the crimes of their fraudulently created cestui que trust

In Canada they regularly seize people’s vehicles that are NOT registered
War Crimes

“1. Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.

2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.” Article 17, Universal Declaration of Human Rights,
“1. Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.

2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.” Article 17, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Rights are property
“Any restraint, however slight, upon another’s liberty to come and go as one pleases, constitutes an “arrest.”” Swetnam v. W.F. Woolworth Co., 318 P.2d 364, 366, 83 Ariz. 189

“An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery.” State v. Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260

“Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense.” State v. Mobley, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2d 100,
“No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.” Article 9, Universal Declaration of Human Rights
1. The Court shall have jurisdiction in respect of war crimes in particular when committed as part of a plan or policy...

2. For the purpose of this Statute, ‘war crimes’ means:

(a) Grave breaches of the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, namely, any of the following acts against persons or property...

(iv) Extensive destruction and appropriation of property, not justified by military necessity and carried out unlawfully and wantonly;

(v) Compelling a prisoner of war or other protected person to serve in the forces of a hostile Power;

(vi) Willfully depriving a prisoner of war or other protected person of the rights of fair and regular trial;

(vii) Unlawful deportation or transfer or unlawful confinement;” Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Article 8(a), War Crimes [emphasis added]
“Other serious violations..., namely, any of the following acts:

(i) Intentionally directing attacks against ...individual civilians not taking direct part in hostilities;

(ii) Intentionally directing attacks against civilian objects, that is, objects which are not military objectives;

(iv) Intentionally launching an attack in the knowledge that such attack will cause incidental... injury to civilians or damage to civilian objects ... which would be clearly excessive in relation to the concrete and direct overall military advantage anticipated;

(xiii) Destroying or seizing the enemy's property unless such destruction or seizure be imperatively demanded by the necessities of war;

(xiv) Declaring abolished, suspended or inadmissible in a court of law the rights and actions of the nationals of the hostile party;

(xvi) Pillaging a town or place, even when taken by assault;

(xxi) Committing outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment;” Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Article 8(b), War Crimes [emphasis added]
“For the purpose of this Statute, ‘genocide’ means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

(c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;

(e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.” Rome Statute for the International Criminal court, Article 6 Genocide

In Canada they are engaging in genocide against “freemen on the land”

In the USA they are engaged in genocide against “sovereign citizens”

If they steal your children because you don’t want to register them, that is a form of genocide
“crime against humanity means murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, imprisonment, torture, sexual violence, persecution or any other inhumane act or omission that is committed against any civilian population or any identifiable group and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a crime against humanity ...”

genocide means ...

war crime means an act or omission committed during an armed conflict that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a war crime ...”

Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada, § 4(3)
Genocide

“(a) BASIC OFFENSE. — Whoever, whether in time of peace or in time of war and with the specific intent to destroy, in whole or in substantial part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group as such—

(4) subjects the group to conditions of life that are intended to cause the physical destruction of the group in whole or in part;

(6) transfers by force children of the group to another group;

shall be punished as provided in subsection (b)....”

18 USC § 1091 Genocide
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(1) Every person is guilty of an indictable offence who commits
(a) genocide; (b) a crime against humanity; or (c) a war crime.

Conspiracy, attempt, etc.
(1.1) Every person who conspires or attempts to commit, is an accessory after the fact in relation to, or counsels in relation to, an offence referred to in subsection (1) is guilty of an indictable offence.

Punishment
(2) Every person who commits an offence under subsection (1) or (1.1)
(a) shall be sentenced to imprisonment for life, if an intentional killing forms the basis of the offence; and
(b) is liable to imprisonment for life, in any other case.” Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada, § 4(1), (2)
(1) The definitions in this subsection apply in this Act.

*conventional international law* means any convention, treaty or other international agreement

(a) that is in force and to which Canada is a party; or

(b) that is in force and the provisions of which Canada has agreed to accept and apply in an armed conflict in which it is involved.

*International Criminal Court* means the *International Criminal Court established by the Rome Statute.*” Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada, § 2 Definitions [emphasis added]
Vid.me shut down on 15 December & youtube channel called Sovereignty International is free
I made the exclusive content available on my website
2 subscription levels, and I accept crypto currencies
$2.99/month or $19.99/year for the videos only
$4.99/month or $39.99/year for videos plus unlimited consultations – I am NOT a liar (Attorney) but I can tell you what I would do and where to find forms
The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit – I cannot fight all of the battles
Currently publishing 1 video a week
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Arlington Private Information Share
Land Deed Training
Estoppel Certificates Training
Foreclosure Estoppel Certificates Training
Corporate Denial Training
Toll Roads Notice and Demand Training
Invoice Training
Notice of Void Judgment training
Exclusive Content

- Revocation of Signature training
- Third Party Witness Training
- Federal Habeas Corpus Training
- Revocation of Voter Registration
- Criminal Complaint Training
- Lawsuit Training
- Other Training (requests?)

- All forms, files and other instructions are available for free on my 2 private groups at YahooGroups and GoogleGroups

- All exclusive content will be on my website and you can buy a subscription there
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This is all coming from the Roman Cult
“My history of the Jesuits is not eloquently written, but it is supported by unquestionable authorities, [and] is very particular and very horrible. Their [the Jesuit Order’s] restoration [in 1814 by Pope Pius VII] is indeed a step toward darkness, cruelty, despotism, [and] death. … I do not like the appearance of the Jesuits. If ever there was a body of men who merited eternal damnation on earth and in hell, it is this Society of [Ignatius de] Loyola.”

John Adams (1735-1826; 2nd President of the United States)
"Within twenty years this country is going to rule the world. Kings and Emperors will soon pass away and the democracy of the United States will take their place... When the United States rules the world, the Catholic Church will rule the world...Nothing can stand against the Church. I’d like to see the politician who would try to rule against the Church in Chicago. His reign would be short indeed." -- Roman Catholic Archbishop James E. Quigley (October 15, 1854 - July 10, 1915) Chicago Daily Tribune, May 5, 1903
“Two national governments exist, one to be maintained under the Constitution, with all its restrictions, the other to be maintained by Congress outside and independently of that instrument” Dissenting opinion of Justice Marshall Harlan. Downes v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244 1901.
Roman Cult = Murder & Slavery

- Why do you think Donald Trump’s first international trip includes the Roman Cult on the itinerary?
- Why do you think the Pope Inc., looked so angry in his pictures with Donald Trump?
JUSTICE IS COMING
Dictatorship is Here!

Adolfo Nicolás Pachón, 2008 AD
30th Superior General, Society of Jesus
Master and Overseer of “the White Pope,” Benedict XVI
Satan’s Present Ruler of the World
"Go ye, then, into all the world and take possession of all lands in the name of the Pope. He who will not accept him as the Vicar of Jesus and his Vice-Regent on earth, let him be accursed and exterminated."

~ Jesuit Extreme Oath of Induction
Dictatorship is Here!

The Jesuit Oath
The Counter-Reformation War

I furthermore promise and declare that I will, when opportunity present, make and wage relentless war, secretly or openly, against all heretics, Protestants and Liberals, as I am directed to do, to extirpate and exterminate them from the face of the whole earth; and that I will spare neither age, sex or condition; and that I will hang, waste, boll, flay, strangle and bury alive these infamous heretics, rip up the stomachs and wombs of their women and crush their infants' heads against the walls, in order to annihilate forever their execrable race. That when the same cannot be done openly, I will secretly use the poisoned cup, the strangulating cord, the steel of the poniard or the leaden bullet, regardless of the honor, rank dignity, or authority of the person or persons, whatever may be their condition in life, either public or private, as I at any time may be directed so to do by any agent of the Pope or Superior of the Brotherhood of the Holy Faith, of the Society of Jesus.

http://uncontrolledopposition.com - Find us on Facebook
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Ever Wonder Why The World is so Diabolically Evil? Meet the Man That Plans it That Way. The Black Pope Adolfo Nicolas, the Most Powerful Man in the World and Behind the Plan for a New World Order.

1. The Superior General of the Jesuits The Black Pope, Adolfo Nicolas and his 6 generals control the “White Pope” and the Vatican and the entire list below.

2. The Illuminati, Zionists, globalist Elites, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), Bilderberg group, Freemasons, Council of 300, the United Nations and the Council of Trent.

3. The Jesuits control the Knights Templar, Knights of Columbus and the Knights of Malta.

4. The CIA, FBI, NSA, ASIO, MI5, MI6, NCIS, FSB, DGSE, Mossad and every intelligence agency in the world are masonic and controlled by the Jesuits.

5. The Jesuits have infiltrated most governments & Leaders including Obama, making them puppets that carry out Jesuit orders.

©Common Law Copyright 2018
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Vatican ‘New World Order’

Herman van Rompuy, the President of the European Council stated recently ‘We are all Jesuits’. He was referring to those prominent European leaders with whom he is developing the ‘Roman Catholic’ architecture for the future Europe. ‘It creates unbreakable ties. So there is a ‘Jesuits International’.

http://www.djeppink.eu/en/blog/we-are-all-jesuits
Dictatorship is Here!

The Age of Consent for Sex at Vatican City is....
wait for it...... 12 Years Old!

Nice corpse on a stick, seems more like they are celebrating the death of Christ.

Vatican State has its own criminal system based on the former Italian criminal code (called “Zanardelli Code”, Issued in 1889). Art 331 (1) of this code provides that the age of consent is set at 12 years old. Catholics should drop the Vatican, they aren’t even Catholic, they lied. The are Luciferian. Look into it.

©Common Law Copyright 2018
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wherever this Seal is openly displayed the Jesuit Order is in Control
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Papal Bull Dum Diversas
18 June, 1452

Pope Nicholas V issued the papal bull Dum Diversas on 18 June, 1452. It authorised Alfonso V of Portugal to reduce any “Saracens (Muslims) and pagans and any other unbelievers” to perpetual slavery. This facilitated the Portuguese slave trade from West Africa.

The same pope wrote the bull Romanus Pontifex on January 5, 1455 to the same Alfonso. As a follow-up to the Dum diversas, it extended to the Catholic nations of Europe dominion over discovered lands during the Age of Discovery. Along with sanctifying the seizure of non-Christian lands, it encouraged the enslavement of native, non-Christian peoples in Africa and the New World.

“We weighing all and singular the premises with due meditation, and noting that since we had formerly by other letters of ours granted among other things free and ample faculty to the aforesaid King Alfonso -- to invade, search out, capture, vanquish, and subdue all Saracens and pagans whatsoever, and other enemies of Christ wheresoever placed, and the kingdoms, dukedoms, principalities, dominions, possessions, and all movable and immovable goods whatsoever held and possessed by them and to reduce their persons to perpetual slavery, and to apply and appropriate to himself and his successors the kingdoms, dukedoms, counties, principalities, dominions, possessions, and goods, and to convert them to his and their use and profit -- by having secured the said faculty, the said King Alfonso, or, by his authority, the aforesaid infante, justly and lawfully has acquired and possessed, and doth possess, these islands, lands, harbors, and seas, and they do of right belong and pertain to the said King Alfonso and his successors”.

In 1493 Alexander VI issued the bull Inter Caetera stating one Christian nation did not have the right to establish dominion over lands previously dominated by another Christian nation, thus establishing the Law of Nations.

Together, the Dum Diversas, the Romanus Pontifex and the Inter Caetera came to serve as the basis and justification for the Doctrine of Discovery, the global slave-trade of the 15th and 16th centuries, and the Age of Imperialism.
THE LINES OF DEMARCATION
OF
POPE ALEXANDER VI.
AND THE
TREATY OF Tordesillas
A.D. 1493 and 1494

By SAMUEL EDWARD DAWSON Lit.D. (Laval)
not exist before Grotius, or that he originated its principles. The most
cursory glance at his great work, De Jure Belli, will show that all his
illustrations were drawn from Greek, Roman and Jewish history, and it
will be found, on perusal, that his principles are derived from natural
law or the law of nature as laid down by the Roman lawyers, upon the
Roman civil law as found in the Corpus Juris, upon the works of the
more philosophical of the Christian Fathers, upon the Synodical Canons
recorded in ecclesiastical history and upon the Divine law as revealed
in the Bible. Grotius does not, himself, pretend to anything else. He
was born in 1583, ninety years after the discovery of America, and to
attempt therefore, to pass judgment on the Bull of 1493 in the light of
our present notions, is an absurd anachronism. Grotius goes further,
and, while justly claiming the merit of his work, refers to authors who
had preceded him who, as he says, were “partly Divines and partly
Doctors of Law.” If, therefore, we put aside the conventional law or
treaty law of nations, it will be seen that modern international law is
founded on the Roman law and on the Canon law, which latter was
carried over all Europe by the Roman Church; for even in England up
to the time of Edward III, the Lord Chancellor was always an ecclesi-
astic. In commenting on this point, Sir Henry Maine observes that “it
is astonishing how small a proportion the additions made to inter-
national law since Grotius’s day bear to the ingredients which have
been simply taken from the most ancient stratum of the Roman
“Jus Gentium.” This Jus Gentium is the law of nature applicable to
all human beings, and therefore to nations collectively, and is elo-
quently said by Cicero to be “That law which was neither a thing con-
trived by the genius of man, nor established by any decree of the
“people; but a certain eternal principle, which governs the entire
“universe, wisely commanding what is right and prohibiting what is
“wrong…. Therefore, the true and supreme law, whose commands and
“prohibitions are equally authoritative, is the right reason of the
“Sovereign Jupiter.”

These things being so, it is somewhat flippant for the London
Times to characterize the citation of the Bull of 1493, in the Venezuela
dispute, as “comical” or “absurd.” It was good law pro tanto, for
where else was there, at that time, a court so competent, by learning or
tradition, to decide questions which, in their essence, depended on the
Roman or Canon law as the Court of Rome? Nor could there, a priori,
be conceived one more likely to be impartial; for the Pope had no
sailors through whom he could discover and claim for himself new
lands. Flings at the private character of Alexander VI. are only pre-
texts for avoiding argument. We have to do with him in this paper
“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction... Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State. ... STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under ... (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the "* * *laws * * * of the United States" ....” Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158-165
"We therefore decline to overrule the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall: We hold that the District of Columbia is not a state within Article 3 of the Constitution. In other words cases between citizens of the District and those of the states were not included of the catalogue of controversies over which the Congress could give jurisdiction to the federal courts by virtue of Article 3. In other words Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction over citizens of Washington District of Columbia and through their plenary power nationally covers those citizens even when in one of the several states as though the district expands for the purpose of regulating its citizens wherever they go throughout the states in union" National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948);
Dictatorship is Here!

From 1203 to 1805
50 million Christian died
suffering at the hands of Rome
‘cause they believed in Christ alone
they died through Europe especially Spain
for they saw all but Christ as vain
He who suffered by His death for men
to save them from their awful sin
600 years of martyred saints
that history can not erase
with iron heel and iron hand
the Roman Popes rule the land
The Rothschild have been part of the Jesuit Order since way before 1776. Maltese Cross indicates membership and allegiance to the Sovereign Military Order of Malta, a MASONIC order created in 1,099 AD by direct descendants of Roman Emperors, of which the Jesuit Order is a brand.
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Isn’t It Interesting That The Pope Calls Fake News A Sin

Yet The Catholic Church Has Been Selling False Saviors And Implicated In Child Pedophilia For Centuries

©Common Law Copyright 2018
The Vatican's Holocaust

The sensational account of the most horrifying religious massacre of the 20th century

By Avro Manhattan – Knight of Malta

Avro Manhattan (1914-1990)

About the Author:

Avro Manhattan was the world’s foremost authority on Roman Catholicism in politics. A resident of London, during WW II he operated a radio station called “Radio Freedom” broadcasting to occupied Europe. He was the author of over 20 books including the best-seller The Vatican in World Politics, twice Book-of-the-Month and going through 57 editions.

He was a Great Briton who risked his life daily to expose some of the darkest secrets of the Papacy.

His books were #1 on the Forbidden Index for the past 50 years!!

Ed Note: This issue from 1986 - in 2006 the record is now 70 Years on the forbidden book list.
PREFACE TO THE AMERICAN EDITIONS:

THE VATICAN'S HOLOCAUST is not a misnomer, an accusation, and even less a speculation. It is an historical fact.

Rabid nationalism and religious dogmatism were its two main ingredients.

During the existence of Croatia as an independent Catholic State, over 700,000 men, women and children perished.

Many were executed, tortured, died of starvation, buried alive, or were burned to death.

Hundreds were forced to become Catholic.

Catholic padres ran concentration camps; Catholic priests were officers of the military corps which committed such atrocities. 700,000 in a total population of a few million, proportionally, would be as if one-third of the USA population had been exterminated by a Catholic militia.

What has been gathered in this book will vindicate the veracity of these facts. Dates, names, and places, as well as photos are there to prove them.

They should become known to the American public, not to foster vindictiveness, but to warn them of the danger, which racialism and sectarianism, when allied with religious intolerance can bring to any contemporary nation, whether in Europe or in the New World.

This work should be assessed without prejudice and as a lesson; but even more vital, as a warning for the future of the Americans, beginning with that of the USA.

Avro Manhattan,
1986

Editor's Note

An armed Serbia could have easily prevented this Holocaust.

Thank God for the 2nd Amendment to the Constitution which guarantees the right to bear arms.

Freedom of religion and an armed citizenry go hand in hand and is the only guarantee that this won't happen in the U.S.

Ed Note: It is the Vatican One World Government that doesn't want you to have the right to own arms or to use any means to defend yourself.
Dictatorship is Here!

READ THE MIDDLE PARAGRAPH

INTRODUCTION

So, the people of the world can now determine what these changes are; that those in the positions of implementing those changes have in store for them. In summary, then, these changes are:

The old world is coming to an end. It will be replaced with a new way of doing things.

The new world will be called the “New World Order.”

This new structuring will re-distribute property from the “have” nations and will give it to the “have not” nations.

The New World Order will include changes in:

the family:

homosexual marriages will be legalized;

parents will not be allowed to raise their children (the state will); all women will be employed by the state and not allowed to be “homemakers”; divorce will become exceedingly easy and monogamous marriage will be slowly phased out;

the workplace:

the government will become the owner of all of the factors of production; the private ownership of property will be outlawed;

religion:

religion will be outlawed and believers will be either eliminated or imprisoned; there will be a new religion: the worship of man and his mind; all will believe in the new religion;

The United States will play a major role in bringing it to world.

World wars have been fought to further its aims.

Adolf Hitler, the NAZI Socialist, supported the goal of the

The majority of the people will not want to see it happen, but they will have no choice. They will be forced into it.

This was written in 1989.
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£100,000; and in 1884 they loaned the Egyptian government £1,000,000.

Meanwhile the Nationalist and Reactionary parties in France desired to counterbalance the "Semitic" influence of the Rothschilds by establishing a banking concern which should be essentially Catholic. Accordingly in 1876 the Union Générale was founded with a capital of 4,000,000 francs, increased to 25,000,000 francs in 1878 under the direction of a certain Bontoux. After various vicissitudes, graphically described by Zola in his novel "L'Argent," the Union failed, and brought many of the Catholic nobility of France to ruin, leaving the Rothschilds still more absolutely the undisputed leaders of French finance, but leaving also a legacy of hatred which had much influence on the growth of the anti-Semitic movement in France. Something analogous occurred in England when the century-long competition of the Barings and the Rothschilds culminated in the failure of the former in 1893; but in this case the Rothschilds came to the rescue of their rivals and prevented a universal financial catastrophe. It is a somewhat curious sequel to the attempt to set up a Catholic competitor to the Rothschilds that at the present time the latter are the guardians of the papal treasure.

Of recent years the Rothschilds have consistently refused to have anything to do with loans to Russia, owing to the anti-Jewish legislation of that empire, though on one occasion the members of the Paris house joined in a loan to demonstrate their patriotism.

whose chief customers they have been (Duveen, C. Davis, Spitzer, and Wertheimer).

The services of the Rothschilds in the cause of philanthropy have been equally marked. Special hospitals have been founded by them for all creeds at Jerusalem, Vienna, Paris, and London; the Jews' Free School of the last-named city is supported almost entirely by Lord Rothschild at an estimated annual cost of £15,000. In London and Paris they have established workmen's dwellings on a large scale and on an economic and commercial basis; and their private charities are very large. The founder of the house, Mayer Amschel Rothschild, held the curious theory that if a beggar thanked him, the charitable transaction was concluded, whereas if he received no thanks, Heaven owed him some recompense for his charity. Consequently, it was his custom to thrust a coin into the hand of a beggar, and to hurry away before the latter could express his gratitude.

In addition, some of the members of the family have evinced an interest in Jewish literature. Baron James in Paris was the founder of the Société des Études Juives; Baron Wilhelm of Frankfort was a zealous collector of Hebrew incunabula, which are now in the Frankfort town library; and almost all great Jewish literary undertakings have been subventioned by one or other branch of the firm.

Hitherto the pedigree of the Rothschild family has been traced only as far as Amschel, the father of Mayer Amschel Rothschild; but, owing to the recent publication of the tombstone inscriptions of
SOME PEOPLE BE LIKE...

"I NEED MORE PROOF. I CAN'T SEE IT."
"The Pit of Death" An Orthodox Serb being thrown alive into a mass grave in the notorious concentration camp of Jasenovac, in 1942.

"The Pit of Death" was reserved for those Serbs who challenged their Catholic converters. The camp, when run by the Franciscan Monk, Father Filipovic, squallled in horrors Dachau Concentration Camp. These horrors, however, were often committed in rural districts as well.
Corpses of children starved to death in the notorious Concentration Camp of Jasenovac, whose Commandant at one time was a Franciscan Monk, Father Filipovic. Father Filipovic, following the advice of Father D. Juric, let more than 2,000 other Orthodox children die while the camp was still under his rule.

Jasenovac Concentration Camp distinguished itself because of the number of young inmates sent there. In 1942 the Camp held over 24,000 Orthodox youngsters. Twelve thousand of them were murdered in cold blood by the Commandant.

Special camps for children were set up in many parts of Croatia. Those who were sick or too old to change their religion were made to perish through neglect or where simply massacred. An Ustashi named Ante Urban, a pious Catholic, protested indignantly at his trial after the war when accused of having killed hundreds of children. He asked the Judge to consider the accusation a lie, "Since," he explained, he had killed personally "only sixty-three of them."
Ustashi cutting the throat of one of their Serbian Orthodox victims. Notice how a Ustashi is holding a vessel to collect the first spurt of blood and thus prevent their uniforms from being blood stained. The brutal crime—one of many—look place near Cajaice in 1943.

This type of execution was not exceptional. Some Ustashi specialized in dispatching their Orthodox prisoners in this manner.

Catholic priests, friars, and, indeed, even some of their pupils, followed their example. The case of Peter Brzica is undoubtedly one of the most incredible in this category. Brzica was a law student and an ardent member of the foremost Catholic organization called the Catholic Crusaders. During the day and night of 29th August 1942, Brzica cut the throats of 1300 prisoners in the Concentration Camp of Jasenovac. He was rewarded with a gold watch and proclaimed King of Cutthroats. Dr. Nikola Kidolic, a Croat and a Catholic, was an eyewitness to the deed.
Orthodox worshippers, when not dispatched to concentration camps, suffered the same fate as their clergy. Congregations, unless willing to change their religion, were not only persecuted, hunted down and arrested; but, at times, besides being massacred by the Ustashi bayonets or machine guns, they were killed within their own churches.

There were instances even when they were burned alive within them.

To terrorize the population into becoming Catholic, the Ustashi very often hanged lay Orthodox Leaders and their Orthodox parish priests during mass executions under the very eyes of the faithful. This was one of the most tangible methods of "persuasion" whenever the Orthodox proved obdurant.

Those who escaped with their lives were sent to concentration camps, while about 700 that is, one quarter of the total number of Orthodox priests—were murdered by the Ustashi in this manner.
The ordinary Orthodox clergy became the target of Ustashi Catholic ferocity. Priests were imprisoned, hunted down, or simply massacred.

Orthodox priests, before being executed or hanged, very often were horribly tortured, e.g. Father Branko Dobrosavljevich, from Velinn, who had to read the obituary of his own son, whom the Ustashi killed in his presence after horribly mutilating him.

On April 20, 1941, in the village of Svinjica, the Ustashi arrested the Orthodox priest, Father Babic, and after knifing him all over buried him, still alive, in an upright position.

Within a few weeks the Ustashi, encouraged by Catholic Padres, murdered 135 Orthodox priests, of whom eight-five came from one single Orthodox diocese.
Mass executions, with the Ustashi, took sundry forms. Often they assembled the members of the village outside, and then shot the lot. Or they shut a whole congregation inside their church and then set fire to it. When in a hurry, however, they became experts at individual and mass hangings. Their expertise was a regular feature of their barefaced terrorization. This was particularly so during the last years of their regime.

"CHRIST AND THE USTASHI MARCH TOGETHER"

If the first ingredient of Ustashi super-nationalism was race, the second was religion. The two could hardly exist independently, having been so closely intertwined as to have become almost synonymous. The word Croat, in fact, signified Catholic, as much as, in Croatia, Catholic came to signify Croat. If this was useful to Ustashi racialism, it was no less beneficial to Catholicism, in so far as, once the theory had been established that Catholic meant Croat, the idea that Croatia had to be totally Catholic not only became firmly rooted: it was turned into one of the basic tenets of the new State.

The results of such an identification were portentous. For, while nationalism had embarked upon a policy of 100 per cent racialism, the Catholic Church had embarked upon an inevitable parallel policy of 100 per cent Catholicism. The two policies were in effect one single policy, the political authorities automatically furthering the religious interests of Catholicism, while the religious authorities furthered the political interests of Ustashi racialism.
Chapter 7

CATHOLIC FRIARS, PRIESTS, EXECUTIONERS, BISHOPS AND MURDERERS

As Ustashi racialism had embarked upon a policy of Serbian extermination, it followed that its twin counterpart, Catholicism, could do no less than embark upon the extermination of its main religious foe: the Orthodox Church. State and Church, consequently, to implement their mutual scheme of total racial-religious exclusiveness, set out to pursue parallel policies, epitomized in the extermination of the racial elements, the Serbs, by the political authorities, and in that of the religious elements, the Orthodox, by the Catholic Hierarchy.

The Catholic Church did not leave the execution of a religious war to the secular arm, as she had done in similar circumstances in bygone centuries. She came down into the fighting field, full tilt, shunning precautions and brandishing the sword against those whom she had decided to exterminate, with a directness that had not been seen for a long time. Many of the Ustashi formations were officered by Catholic priests, and often by friars, who had taken an oath to fight with dagger and gun for the "triumph of Christ and Croatia." Many of them did not hesitate to carry out the most infamous tasks, glorying in deeds that would have filled with shame any average "heathen or barbarian from the East." All in the name of religion. Thus, while some, as we have already seen, took charge of concentration camps, others led the armed Ustashi in the closing of Orthodox churches, in the confiscation of Orthodox records, in the persecution, arrest, and, yes, even in the murder of Orthodox people, including Orthodox priests. At Banjaluka, for instance, an official order directed that all the Orthodox Church records of marriages, baptisms, and burials be delivered forthwith to Catholic parishes, while at Pakrac Catholic priests took possession of the Serbian Bishop's residence, following the locking and sealing of the Orthodox cathedral (April 12, 1941).
In the photograph, corpses of victims taken out of water wells at the Lepoglava Camp.
By virtue of such a principle, the Ustashi arrested, tortured and slaughtered their Orthodox prisoners without pity. This even when the prisoners had been designated to Concentration Camps. Witness the case of the 5,000 Orthodox prisoners who, in August 1942, having been assigned to the notorious Concentration Camp of Jasenovac, were decimated by the Ustashi en route. Two thousand of them were murdered in cold blood. Those who survived were transferred to Gradina, where on August 28, 1942 they were all put to death by the Ustashi with the butts of their rifles and with hammers. The corpses were then buried in common graves or cremated in rudimentary ovens.
Mass murders were supplemented by the massacre of individuals, mostly in rural districts. Instances of the utmost ferocity occurred.

The Ustashi very often used the most primitive weapons, such as forks, spades, hammers and saws, to torture their victims prior to their execution.

They broke their legs, pulled off their skin and beards, blinded them by cutting their eyes with knives and even tearing them from their sockets, as a survivor, Marija Bogunovitch, testified.
Indiscriminate mass deportations and mass executions became one of the most characteristic features of the Ustashi. Very often the life or death of the prisoners depended upon the whims of the local Commander or even the local Catholic priest.

Ustashi authorities would summon the Orthodox Serbs to perform public works or to listen to some new law. Once they were gathered in a given place, they would be surrounded, marched outside the village or town, and executed without further ado.

In the most remote regions of Upper Dalmatia, like Bosnia Herzegovina, there took place such veritable extermination. Women and children were not spared.
A band of Ustashis robbing the Orthodox Serbs of their possessions before shooting them. This picture was taken near Mount Kozara, in 1942.

The Ustashis, prior to executing their prisoners, very often mutilated and tortured. When dealing with Orthodox churches, they kept all the valuables to themselves or shared them with the Catholic Padres. The latter not only accepted the "gifts" but transferred to the Catholic Church the property of the Orthodox parishes. Such property included the baptism registers and all other official and semi-official documents.
Chapter 9

CATHOLIC CAMPAIGN OF DENIAL, SMEAR AND FALSIFICATION

Rumours of the forcible conversions of the Ustashi massacres began to leak out of the Independent Catholic State of Croatia from its earliest stage. At first they received hardly any credence. That people should be killed for their religion could not be accepted in the middle of the 20th Century.

Yet the tales of individual witnesses, when added to the stories of Italian Fascist troops and even Nazi ones, could not be ignored forever. In view also of the fact that many described the Croatian horrors in their letters home, some having even taken "snaps" of the deeds. When, finally, these could no longer be denied, counterrumors began to circulate to the effect that they were anti-Catholic propaganda, anti-Croat lies. Indeed, even "Gestapo-cooked" inventions. The Croats and their Catholic supporters accused the Nazis, the Communists, the Serbs, and even the Allies, in turn, of having started the atrocity stories. Since evidence, however, went on accumulating, they were finally compelled to adopt three well defined tactics, which they carried out with simultaneous consistency: (a) the prevention of the arrival of fresh news; (b) the playing down or minimization, and even denial, of what had already become known; and (c) a smear campaign against all and sundry engaged upon telling about events in Croatia.
Chapter 15

THE VATICAN SAVES THE CATHOLIC WAR CRIMINALS OF CROATIA—ROMAN MONASTERIES AS THEIR ASYLUMS—THE CROATIAN HOLOCAUST MINIMIZED

Pope Pius XII (1939-1958), who during the Second World War had secretly changed sides, and had formulated a policy against World Communism, thus enlisting the help of the USA as soon as the Nazi edifice began to collapse, took steps to save many of those who had supported the Vatican before and during the War.

The top Nazis, who had fallen into the hands of the Allies, were brought before the Nuremberg Tribunal. Most of them were hanged. Several escaped. One of these was Franz Von Papen, an official war criminal. Pius XII pleaded for him behind the scene and Von Papen not only avoided death but after a few years was released. Von Papen was the leader of the Catholic Party of Germany. At one time he had been Chancellor. He had helped Hitler into power, to such an extent that after Hitler became head of Germany, he made Von Papen his Vice-Chancellor. Von Papen was one of the most prominent war criminals saved by the Vatican. The Catholic hierarchies of many countries did the same with minor officials.
The Roman Cult Today

- This is NOT to say anything about people who happen to be catholic
- The Roman Cult is still up to the same things today
- They are using the same tactics to protect their pedophile priests
- Their owned and operated criminal corporation operating in the District of Columbia has sold millions into slavery for victimless crimes
- They did NOT order thousands of guillotines because they are going to use them to decorate the lawn
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Trump seems to be doing his best to drain the swamp

Trump has 8 years at the most

The (bought and paid for) main stream media have NOT stopped their lies and propaganda

The Elite have said their plans are on hold until Trump leaves office

They are still trying to “gas light” us into thinking nothing is wrong

We will all need to decide at what point we are going to stand up and say ENOUGH!
The United States is 3rd in murders throughout the world.

If you remove
#1– Chicago
#2– Detroit
#3– Washington DC
#4– St Louis
#5– New Orleans

the United States is then 189th out of 193 countries in the entire world.

PS– All 5x Cities have STRICT Gun Control Laws
War is when your government tells you who the enemy is.

Revolution is when you figure it out for yourself.
When Liberty
and Freedom are at stake,
your silence isn’t golden...
it’s yellow.