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Overview – We will talk about

- PIGs
- Basic principles of Law
- Satanism – and order followers
- Clerks Masquerading as Judges
  - Are satanists – are psychopaths
  - Have no authority
  - Are not in official capacity – but are in private capacity
- Representation – How they represent you without authority
- How is it NEVER over until you say it is over!
P.I.G. is an Acronym

• P.I.G. – Persons In Government who intend to breach the trust, and perjure their oaths
Natural Law

• All law in Canada and the United States of America comes from England
• The ONLY difference between Canada or the United States of America is statutes
• The Constitution for the United States of America is a trust indenture that defines the government’s authority, and affirms the common law of England
• The first ten Amendments to the Constitution for the United States of America are affirming certain common law rights
• The British North America Act (the so-called Constitution of Canada) just sets up the government – does the same thing for Canada
Satanists in America – Mark Passio

- former Satanist Priest
- Natural Law videos
- Pilars of Satanism
  - Moral Relativism
    - There is no absolute right or wrong - truth is relative
    - We just make up what is right or wrong
    - What is right or wrong is what we decide today, and tomorrow it will be something else
    - 2/3 of people believe in moral relativism
Mark Passio – Natural Law

• Pillars of Satanism – forms of mind control
  – Survival
    • highest law of satanism
  – Social Darwinism
    • Certain classes of society think they are most fit to rule
    • postulates the survival of the most socially ruthless
    • Ultimate responsibility is self preservation
    • Eugenics
    • elite get to determine who lives or dies
Mark Passio – Natural Law

• Pillars of Satanism – forms of mind control
• Order followers
  – Responsible for all of the atrocities in history
  – Just follow orders without thinking about whether it is a lawful order or not
  – Willing slaves
  – Are psychopaths
• https://www.youtube.com/user/WhatOnEarth93
Order Followers

Anyone who is wearing a military uniform is an agent of the Vatican

“The wearing of clerical dress or of a religious habit on the part of lay folk, ......, is liable to the same penalty on the part of the State as the misuse of military uniform.” Article 10, Concordat of 1933

At common law a sheriff would have a star only.

At common law there is no uniform

The black robe that judges (BAAL priests) wear is a uniform
Order Followers

• WWII War Crimes Tribunals
  – All of the NAZIs claimed that they were just following orders
  – They either suffered death by hanging, or spent the rest of their life in jail
  – Some of them are still hunted to this day

• Vietnam War - Mai Lai massacre
  – Convicted of murder

• Today Order Followers are everywhere
Satanism

- Things that you see every day using the Satanic philosophy of Moral Relativism are:
  - False Flag Operations – the end justifies the means
  - Agent Provocateurs - the end justifies the means
  - Entrapment – the end justifies the means
  - All Court cases = kangaroo court (unless it’s a common law court) = satanism
    - Judge is a clerk playing stupid, and working for the Prosecutor
    - If you don’t know the law or fail to do something properly, or follow the right procedure, they sell you into slavery (prison)
  - They have no authority
  - They use unconstitutional commercial code
"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762

"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)
JD Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• “"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially….but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”” Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464 [emphasis added]
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• "Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk masquerading as a Judge

• A Clerk masquerading as a Judge is not competent to do justice, which is they themselves call it “the appearance of justice”, which is a fraud.

• A Clerk masquerading as a Judge is NOT neutral or unbiased, but is bought and paid for

• “It is a fundamental right of a party to have a neutral and detached judge preside over the judicial proceedings.” Ward v Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57, 61-62, 93 S.Ct 80, 83, 34 L.Ed. 2d 267 (1972); Tumey v Ohio, 273 U.S. 510, 5209, 47 S. Ct. 437, 440, 71 L.Ed. 749 (1927).
Judicial Whores

- Judicial Whores are selling their **justice** in violation of the Magna Carta and it is actually “justus”
- “To no one will we sell, to no one will we refuse or delay right or justice” Chapter 40 Magna Carta (1215)
- Judicial Whores refuse justice of you don’t pay their extortion
- Judicial Whores refuse and delay their justus, so they can collect more royalties
Presumption = Representation

- Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation
  - US War of Independence
  - English Civil War with Oliver Cromwell
  - Magna Carta

- “…Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation.” Whitbourne (Town) v. Whitbourne Cottage Developers Ltd., 2005 CanLII 51786 (NL-PC) – 2005-02-25 [emphasis added]
Presumption = Representation

• “If it were true that, according to the spirit of our Constitution, the power of taxation must be limited by the right of representation, whence is derived the right to lay and collect duties, imposts, and excises, within this District? If the principles of liberty and of our Constitution forbid the raising of revenue from those who are not represented, do not these principles forbid the raising it by duties, imposts, and excises, as well as by a direct tax?” Longborough v Blake 18 U.S. 317 (1820), [emphasis added],
Presumption = Representation

• “the fundamental constitutional principle that there shall be no taxation without representation (see Birks, at c. 6; Hogg, at p. 55-16; and Hogg and Monahan, at pp. 246-47). [...] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law. [...] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law.”

Kingstreet Investments Ltd. V New Brunswick (Finance), 2007 SCC 1, [2007] 1 SCR 3 – 2007-01-11 [emphasis added],
Presumption = Representation

• Now they forcibly represent you whether you like it or not
  – That is how the CRA thieves justify their thefts
  – That is how the IRS thieves justify their thefts
  – That is how the Customs thieves justify their thefts, assaults, kidnappings, and false imprisonments
  – Then they drag you into their Kangaroo court
Presumption = Representation

- The Canada Border PIGs, and CRA PIGs and IRS PIGs, etc., make a legal determination for you
  - They cannot make a legal determination for you, without representing you!
- He is a person as defined by our statute
- He is a taxpayer (victim for theft)
- All presumptions are made by representing you
- It is impossible for anyone to make a legal determination for you, without representing you.
Presumption = Representation

- Any bureaucrat who makes a legal determination for you, and thereby represents you, especially after you have FIRED them, has breached the trust, and perjured their oath.

- I have documented proof that I served on them literally hundreds of document designed to defeat their presumptions.

- Then, after they “represent” you,… you ONLY alternative is to get a judicial whore like Rooke to give you some of their “justus”.

- Rooke intends that these Border PIGs assault people, kidnap people, torture people, and falsely imprison people, because it is so good for business!
Martial Law = presumption

• Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)
  – “…statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property……to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial….;
  – ….. and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.
  – We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.”
Martial Law = presumption

- “He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended legislation.” [color of law – martial law]
- “For imposing taxes on us without our consent.” [martial law]
- “For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury.” [martial law]
- Declaration of Independence (1776) [emphasis added]
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“Whereas taxation by the parliament of Great Britain, for the purpose of raising a revenue in his Majesty’s colonies, provinces, and plantations, in North America, has been found by experience to occasion great uneasiness and disorders... That from and after the passing of this act the King and Parliament of Great Britain will not impose any duty, tax, or assessment whatever, payable in any of the colonies, provinces, or plantations, in North America or the West Indies; except only such duties as it may be expedient to impose for the regulation of commerce...” George III, CAP XII 1778 [emphasis added]
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk masquerading as a Judge

- “Give me liberty or give me death” Patrick Henry,
- after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license
Taxes & Licenses Work Together

“License, contracts, is a right given by some competent authority to do an act, which without such authority would be illegal. The instrument or writing which secures this right is also called a license. Vide Ayl.Parerg. 353; 15 Vin.Ab 92; Ang. Wat. Co. 61, 85. A license is express or implied. An express license is one in which in direct terms authorizes the performance of a certain act; as a license to keep a tavern by public authority. An implied license is one which though not expressly given, may be presumed from the acts of the party having the right to give it.” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1843 Edition, Volume 2, page 53 [emphasis added]
Taxes and Licenses work Together

- “2. The requirement of payment for such licenses is only a mode of imposing taxes on the licensed business, and the prohibition, under penalties, against carrying on the business without license is only a mode of enforcing the payment of such taxes.

- 5. The recognition by the acts of Congress of the power and right of the states to tax, control, or regulate any business carried on within its limits is entirely consistent with an intention on the part of Congress to tax such business for national purposes.” License Tax Cases 72 U.S. (5 Wall.) 462 (1866)
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

- The proof of service shows that John D Rooke, Associate Chief Judge for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 805 CA on 15 September 2010
- And another Notice and Demand by Express Mail LT 618 030 525 CA on 9 December 2010
- And another Notice and Demand RW 573 729 712 CA on 8 November 2013
- All of which are found in the video Rooke the Canadian Judicial Whore video 1
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John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• John D Rooke, Associate Chief (so-called) Judge knows that all of the (so-called) judges in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta are his subordinates

• Rooke knows that he has conspired with Post Office Clerks to destroy evidence of service of my documents, which is also mail fraud, as found in the first video

• Rooke knows that he represented me without authority (after I FIRED him) when he accused me of being a paper terrorist in his decision in Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571, which is actually slander and defamation
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• Rooke used a divorce case Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571 as an opportunity to smear anybody who thinks they are free with his OPCA acronym which stands for Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument Litigants, as found in paragraph [1] of his decision
Divorce Court is Admiralty

- “IN PERSONAM-IN REM.- § 3. Judgments.-So a judgment or decree is said to be in rem when it binds third persons; such is the sentence of a Court of Admiralty on a question of prize, or a decree of nullity or dissolution of marriage, (2 Sm. Lead. Cas. 699 j Castrique fl. Imrie, L. R. 4 H. L. 414; Bee JUDGMENT,) or a decree of a court in a foreign country as to the status of a person domiciled there. Doglioni". Crispin, L. R. 1 H. L. 801.” Rapalje A Dictionary of American & English Law, Volume 1, 1883 Edition, page 639
John D Rooke, the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• "Brown, Vol. 2, 100, lays down the rule in these terms: 'The general rule, however, at present, is, that the admiralty acts only in rem, and that no person can be subject to that jurisdiction but by his consent, expressed by his entering into a stipulation [contract].''' Ramsey v. Allegrie, 12 Wall 611, p. 409. [emphasis added]

• "In Kreble's Reports, p. 500, quoted by Brown, it is expressly said, that without a stipulation, the admiralty has no jurisdiction at all over the person." Ramsey v. Allegrie, 12 Wall 611, p. 410. [emphasis added]
John D Rooke and his Satanic Contracts

• "It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court." Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22
The Divorce Act is a federal statute in Canada, therefore Rooke was operating as a Clerk, and was not operating in a judicial capacity, as already described herein.

A Divorce court is an admiralty court which is an Ecclesiastical Court and the real party in interest is the Vatican (see All Courts are Ecclesiastical video).
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

- John D. Rooke was NOT representing the government, but was operating in his private capacity


- "No state legislator or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution without violating his undertaking to support it." The constitutional theory is that we the people are the sovereigns, the state and federal officials only our agents." Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401 (1958). [emphasis added]
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

- Issued a void judgment in *Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571* as an opportunity to smear anybody who thinks they are free with his OPCA acronym which stands for *Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument Litigants*

- It is a Divorce Case under the Divorce Act, (a Statute)

- Under a Statute Rooke is operating as a bought and paid for Clerk masquerading as a Judge
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• By calling my arguments “Pseudo Legal Arguments” Rooke is not saying that they are NOT valid arguments
• Rooke is saying that he does not like the arguments
• Rooke is saying that he does not want a lawful argument
• Rooke is saying that he wants me to debate the contract
• Rooke can’t respond to the arguments because if he does, he is admitting to his own treason
Divorce Act

R.S.C. 1985, c. 3 (2nd Supp.)

NOTE
[1986, c. 4, assented to 13th February, 1986]

Loi sur le divorce

S.R.C. 1985, ch. 3 (2e suppl.)

NOTE
[1986, ch. 4, sanctionné le 13 février 1986]
MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT [“OPCA”] DOCUMENTS

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT INFORMATION OF THE PARTY FILING THIS DOCUMENT
Associate Chief Justice of the Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta
Judicial District of Edmonton
Law Courts Building, 1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013

NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE

UPON THE COURT’S OWN MOTION;
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• “[176] The Sovereign Man / Sovereign Citizen movement is the chief U.S. OPCA community. Several reported Ontario decisions document court interactions with self-identified Sovereign Men. This court has had a limited exposure to Sovereign Men, most notably being a lawsuit advanced by Glenn Winningham ['Winningham'] (usually self-styled as "Glenn Winningham: House of Fearn"): Winningham v. Canada (30 November 2010) Lethbridge 100600907 (Alta. Q.B.), leave to appeal denied (Alta. c.A.).” Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571 [emphasis added]
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• I find that the accusation of being a paper terrorist is quite flattering, but realistically not true because if I was able to terrorize a bureaucrat into doing something contrary to his oath of office, the bureaucrat would be guilty of breach of trust.

• The simple fact of the matter is that if the bureaucrat changes his behavior because of something I have done it is because I have shown him that he is being given unlawful commands, and he realizes he has no duty to obey an unlawful command.
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• John D Rooke, intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• John D Rooke intends to make sure that his hired PIG thugs keep their presumptions so that they can have plausible deniability when they assault people and kidnap people, and falsely imprison people, all of which is in support of his military dictatorship, under their satanic Roman Law
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

- JD Rooke intends to deny due process with his **MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT [“OPCA”] DOCUMENTS** dated 18 June 2013 pursuant to Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571

- John D Rooke is named in the Petition of right which was filed with the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

- John D Rooke is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066323, and Fee Number 2011-066322
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• When Rooke took the initiative, on his own, to do his MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT [“OPCA”] DOCUMENTS dated 18 June 2013 pursuant to Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571, he proved that everything I said about him in the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Criminal Corporation called Canada, which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322, is absolutely 100% true!!!
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John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• Rooke, the Associate Chief Clerk Masquerading as a Judge has made everything I said about him in numerous paragraphs in the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Lethbridge City Police 102010, which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066323 is now 100% the truth!!!
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

- John D Rooke issued his void judgment so that his Canada Border PIGs could assault me, kidnap me, torture me, and falsely imprison me, and then drag me into their kangaroo court, hold a show-trial under their fictitious color of law statutes, (Customs Act, and Criminal Code of Canada) and falsely imprison me further.
CONSOLIDATION

Criminal Code

R.S.C., 1985, c. C-46

CODIFICATION

Code criminel

L.R.C. (1985), ch. C-46
CONSOLIDATION

Customs Act

R.S.C. 1985, c. 1 (2nd Supp.)

NOTE
[1986, c. 1, assented to 13th February, 1986]

CODIFICATION

Loi sur les douanes

S.R.C. 1985, ch. 1 (2e suppl.)

NOTE
[1986, ch. 1, sanctionné le 13 février 1986]
Canada Border Services Agency Act

Loi sur l’Agence des services frontaliers du Canada

S.C. 2005, c. 38

L.C. 2005, ch. 38
JD Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• Then Rooke’s Mormon satanist buddy Tilleman in conspiracy with Benkendorf, and Bernard, could deny my Petition for a Writ of Prohibition

• “At the common law subject-matter is not within the jurisdiction, the court may dismiss the proceedings of its own motion.” Gormly v. McIntosh, 22 Barb. (N.Y.) 271; Robertson v. State, 10 NE 582, 643. “And a remedy may be had by a writ of prohibition”; 3 Bla. Com. 12.
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• "It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court." Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22
• I told Redman the Satanic clerk masquerading as a Judge in the Provincial Court that he did not have jurisdiction and when I went in there I fired Redman, the Clerk masquerading as a Judge, and walked out and it was all over the news!
PROVINCIAL COURT ACT

Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000
Chapter P-31

Current as of May 27, 2013
Derek Redman the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• The Provincial Court is an inferior Court of limited jurisdiction
William Tilleman the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• Then Tilleman under Rooke’s instructions denied my Petition for a Writ of Habeus Corpus

• “The judgement of conviction pronounced by court without jurisdiction is void, and one imprisoned thereunder may obtain release by habeas corpus.”

Johnson v. Lewis, 144 U.S. 653
Void Judgments - England

Void Judgments - England

– A ‘without jurisdiction’/ultra vires act is any act which a Court did not have power to do (Lord Denning in *Firman v Ellis* [1978]).
Void Judgments - England

– Similarly, if the higher Court’s order is founded on a lower Court’s void act or invalid claim then the higher Court’s decision will also be void (Lord Denning in MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd. [1961] 3 All ER).
Void Judgments - England

a ‘void’ order or claim has no legal effect *ab initio* (from the beginning/outset) and therefore does not need to be appealed, although for convenience it may sometimes be necessary to have it set aside (Lord Denning in *MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd.* [1961] and *Firman v Ellis* [1978]) Therefore, a void order or claim does not have to be obeyed and can be ignored and its nullity can be relied on as a defence when necessary (*Wandsworth London Borough Council v. Winder* [1985] A.C. 461)
Void Judgments - England

- a ‘void’ order can be set aside by the Court which made the order because the Court has inherent jurisdiction to set aside its own void order (Lord Greene in *Craig v Kanssen* [1943]) whereas a ‘voidable’ order can only be set aside by appeal to an appellate Court.
Void Judgments - England

- A person affected by both a void or voidable order has the right – ex debito justitiae – to have the order set aside (which means that the Court does not have discretion to refuse to set aside the order or to go into the merits of the case) (Lord Greene in *Craig v Kanssen* [1943])
Void Judgments - England

• The procedure for setting aside a void order is by application to the Court which made the void order, although it can also be set aside by appeal although an appeal is not necessary (Lord Greene in *Craig v Kanssen* [1943]) or it can be quashed or declared invalid by Judicial Review (where available) and where damages may also be claimed.
Void Judgments - England

• Although an appeal is not necessary to set aside a void order, if permission to appeal is requested and if out of time the Court should grant permission because time does not run because the order is void and the person affected by it has the right to have it set aside (Lord Greene in 
  Craig v Kanssen [1943].
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Void Judgments - England

- A void order is incurably void and all proceedings based on the invalid claim or void act are also void. Even a decision of the higher Courts (High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) will be void if the decision is founded on an invalid claim or void act, because something cannot be founded on nothing (Lord Denning in MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd. [1961])
Void Judgment - England

- It is never too late to raise the issue of nullity and a person can ignore the void order or claim and raise it as a defence when necessary (Wandsworth London Borough Council v. Winder [1985] A.C. 461; Smurthwaite v Hannay [1894] A.C. 494; Upjohn LJ in Re Pritchard (deceased) [1963]; Lord Denning in MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd. [1961] AC 152)
Void Judgment

• "Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335, 351." Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.

Void Judgment

• “Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.” City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).

• “A void judgment, insofar as it purports to be pronouncement of court, is an absolute nullity” Thompson v. Thompson, 238 S.W.2d 218 (Tex.Civ.App. – Waco 1951).
Void Judgments

• “Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time” In re Estate of Steinfield, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also Steinfeld v. Hoddick, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).

• “A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree.” Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety, 480 So. 2d 577 ( Ala. Civ. App. 1985).
Void Judgments

"Not every action by any judge is in exercise of his judicial function. It is not a judicial function for a Judge to commit an intentional tort even though the tort occurs in the Courthouse, when a judge acts as a Trespasser of the Law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject matter jurisdiction and The Judge's orders are void, of no legal force or effect"! Yates Vs. Village of Hoffman Estates, Illinois, 209 F.Supp. 757 (N.D. Ill. 1962)
Liability for Executing so-called Warrant

• “(a) Except as provided by Section 34.061, an officer is not liable for damages resulting from the execution of a writ issued by a court of this state if the officer in good faith executes or attempts to execute the writ as provided by law and by the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.”

Texas Civil Practice and Remedy Code, Sec. 7.003 Liability Regarding Execution of Writs
Revoke all Signatures

- Everything they do is a fraud
- They even forged your signature
John D Rooke the Bought and Paid for Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• Rooke isn’t satisfied assaulting me, kidnapping me, torturing me, holding his show-trial, and falsely imprisoning me because now his vatican jesuit satanic BAR member buddies are busy trumpeting their lies on my private Youtube channel
Seth Lewis  4 hours ago
JD Rooke is an awesome judge and you're a fucking schizophrenic with dry rot in your frontal lobe.

Reply  . 1  

sovereignliving  19 minutes ago
+Seth Lewis Hey everybody these are communist BAR members from the communist country to the north of us trying to get brownie points from their handler!

Reply  .  

Seth Lewis  17 minutes ago
+sovereignliving you can't seem to decide if I'm a satanist, a lawyer, a papist, communist, etc. You really should narrow your focus

Reply  .  

Seth Lewis  1 day ago
What does being this fucking nuts feel like?

Roman civil law. Lol

Reply  . 2  

JD Rooke the S...
You really need to go back on your meds.

+Seth Lewis This is all making great material for my next video satanist!

Because if there's one thing the world needs, it's yet another gish gallop of brainfrothing wharrgarbl spewed by an willfully, aggressively ignorant twit!
sovereignliving 1 day ago
+ Seth Lewis You sound like a lying thieving murdering vatican jesuit BAR member satanist! I can delete your comments if you like!

Reply .  

Seth Lewis 1 day ago
+ sovereignliving that is a violation of my sovereign right as a living flesh child of Ba'al the undefeated

Reply .  

sovereignliving 3 hours ago
+ Seth Lewis This is all making great material for my next video satanist!

Reply .  

Pyre Spirit 4 minutes ago
+ sovereignliving
Because if there's one thing the world needs, it's yet another gish gallop of brainfrothing wharrgarbl spewed by an willfully, aggressively ignorant twit!

Reply .  

sovereignliving  1 day ago
+Seth Lewis I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you (Matt 10:14, Mark 6:11, Luke 9:5) and we will be talking about this on judgment day satanist!

Seth Lewis  23 hours ago
+sovereignliving yahweh the sheep god, the nailed lamb, he has no power here.
sovereignliving 16 minutes ago
+Pyre Spirit Coming from a Vatican Jesuit lying thieving murdering BAR member satanist I consider that a compliment! Go ahead and send me some more stuff for the next video satanist!

Reply .

Pyre Spirit 5 minutes ago
+sovereignliving
You know this tendency you have to just jam in a whole bunch of adjectives that you've decided everybody who doesn't kowtow to your asinine blather just further shows what a nutjob you are, and highlights my original point that you need to go back on your meds!

Reply .
Duncan  6 hours ago
Haha.... Justus... Learn to spell dickhead.
Reply .

sovereignliving  7 minutes ago
+Duncan I wouldn't expect a low intelligence satanist BAR member like you to comprehend this, but it is "justus" and has absolutely nothing to do with "justice"! Your kangaroo court is nothing but a gang of thieves completing a commercial transaction. That is why it is "justus"!
Reply .

Duncan  38 seconds ago
+sovereignliving My kangaroo court? Me, a satanist? Ha. Well, perhaps you should piss off to Puntland, Somalia - oh, but they wouldn't want a leech in their society. Ha, fuck you. The sooner you get locked up and your cellmate Bubba joinders your asshole with his cock, the sooner you will learn that saying/screaming/pleading "I do not consennntttt!" has no effect in the world. Enjoy the assraping of both your flesh and blood and your corporate corporii, you are going to lurve it so much fukboi. Lol
Show less
Reply .
Duncan  5 seconds ago
Mr. Rooke is awesome

Pyre Spirit  3 days ago
You really need to go back on your meds.

Duncan  6 minutes ago
+Seth Lewis wow, dry rot in his frontal lobe! Imma remember that one. It's true though, this guy is a nutcase... Yet he seems to think we are the loonies.
Duncan 2 minutes ago
+sovereignliving dude, you drone on for hours, you don't need any further material - and, well, doesn't receiving reams of insults to your person even slightly prompt you to think that maybe you are an insane corpse fiddler, no?

Reply

J whome 46 minutes ago
Sure ya have the right to travel..............but not the right to use a vehicle on the roadway without license, registration and insurance per State law where you are moving along the highway in a motor vehicle. Walk all day long in your travels..............no problem, no license required.

Reply

J whome 44 minutes ago
+Allen Paul hahaha...............land patents dont relieve you of property taxes. dont let them fool you.
J whome 40 minutes ago
+Mike Jones hehehehe Wesley Snipes and others said they didn't have to pay taxes either.............went to prison for 3 years
Reply .

J whome 43 minutes ago
+Mike Jones hahahaha...............you don't have to consent. They will cite you and could tow your car away without your consent if you don't follow the society's written laws
Reply .

J whome 48 minutes ago
+sovereignliving hahahaha.......even without a "birth certificate" or "citizenship" every human being is held to follow the laws of the state where they are, and of the United States within the 50 states and territories. duh
Reply .
J whome 2 minutes ago
+sovereignliving NUTBALL

J whome 55 seconds ago
+sovereignliving BlaBlaBla.................ya nutball. Give the case citation for both US Supreme court cases or shut the F up.

J whome 22 seconds ago
+sovereignliving You are afraid of comments cuz you know that you cannot defend them. hehehehe............snake

sovereignliving 1 second ago
+J whome There are nots of intelligent comments here that are in opposition to what I am saying, but I am not interested in any satanic vatican jesuit bar member trolls, so get lost satanist. Oh look, where did the satanists comments go?
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J whome 4 minutes ago
+sovereignliving  Afraid of the truth? Ya got to hide it? FASCIST!!
Reply .

J whome 4 seconds ago
+Mike Jones  so stop "volunteering" and see what happens
Reply .

sovereignliving 1 second ago
+J whome Keep paying slave. We need somebody to pay for the fictitious so-called debt!
Reply .

J whome 1 minute ago
+sovereignliving  You are so AFRAID!!!
sovereignliving 2 minutes ago
+ J whome Are you going to murder me? Make my day! Name the day and time and place, satanist!

Reply

J whome 1 minute ago
+ sovereignliving Why should I. Pretty sure you are gonna off yourself soon

Reply

J whome 52 seconds ago
increase your Lithium dose PLEASE

Reply

sovereignliving 1 second ago
+ J whome I don't do any of your sorcerer's potions satanist! Before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you satanist, (Matt 10:14, Mark 6:11, Luke 9:5) and we will be talking about this on judgment day!

Reply
sovereignliving 19 minutes ago
+J whome I don't argue with idiots, because they drag you down to their level with experience
Reply

J whome 13 minutes ago
+sovereignliving In your case, an idiot would be dragging you UP!!
Reply
Oaths

• “All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram non judice, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 Inst. 165; 4 Inst. 278; 2 Roll. Abr. 277.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835 Edition, Volume 2
John D Rooke the Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• JD Rooke intends to make war on anyone who considers themselves a “living soul”, or a “freeman-on-the-land”, or a “freeman”

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the War of Independence when they flogged a man to death for refusing to take a license, which, after having witnessing it, Patrick Henry said; “Give me liberty or give me death!”

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the Magna Carta

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the Confirmatio Chartarum
• “FREEMAN. A person in the possession and enjoyment of all the civil and political rights accorded to the people under a free government. In the Roman law, it denoted one who was either born free or emancipated, and was the opposite of "slave." In feudal law, it designated an allodial proprietor, as distinguished from a vassal or feudal tenant. (And so in Pennsylvania colonial law. Fry's Election Case, 71 Pa. 308, 10 Am.Rep. 698.) In old English law, the word described a freeholder or tenant by free services; one who was not a villein. ….” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 793
PIGs in Canada

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the English civil war
• It is tyrants like Rooke and Tillman who keep saying that the Magna Carta no longer applies, because they intend to precipitate another revolution
• Rooke and Tilleman have no lawful valid current oath
• Rooke and Tilleman are bought and paid for
• Rooke and Tilleman are Satanists, ORDER TAKER, and PIGs
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Redman intended to deny Me any common law rights including my right to due process in his kangaroo court with his summary proceeding, and that was also intended by:

- Harper the PIG, Mackay the PIG, Johnston the PIG,
- Battenberg the PIG, Redford the PIG, Bernard the PIG,
- Benkendorf the PIG, Denis the PIG, Ethell the PIG,
- Rooke the PIG, Matchett the PIG, LeGrandeur the PIG,
- Hironaka the PIG, Whittmann the PIG, Tillman the PIG
- Nicholson the PIG, McCurdy the PIG, Lefevre the PIG
- Langston the PIG, Wister the PIG, Toews the PIG
- McCurdy the PIG, and the CBSA PIGs
JD Rooke the PIG
Alison Redford, the PIG
Stephen Harper, the PIG
Vic Toews, the PIG
Peter MacKay the PIG
David Johnston, the PIG
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk
Masquerading as a Judge

• “kangaroo court. 1. A self-appointed tribunal or mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disregarded, perverted, or parodied.... 2. A court or tribunal characterized by unauthorized or irregular procedures, esp. so as to render a fair proceeding impossible. 3. A sham legal proceeding. • The term's origin is uncertain, but it appears to be an Americanism. It has been traced to 1853 in the American West. “Kangaroo” might refer to the illogical leaps between “facts” and conclusions, or to the hapless defendant's quick bounce from court to gallows.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, pages 1076-1076
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk
Masquerading as a Judge

• “Summary proceeding. Any proceeding by which a controversy is settled, case disposed of, or trial conducted, in a prompt and simple manner, without the aid of a jury, without presentment or indictment, or in other respects out of the regular course of the common law. In procedure, proceedings are said to be summary 'when they are short and simple in comparison with regular proceedings; …. Sweet. And see Phillips v. Phillips, 8 N.J.L. 122.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 1369 [emphasis added]
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk
Masquerading as a Judge

• “Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868,
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk  
Masquerading as a Judge  

“summary proceeding. A nonjury proceeding that settles a controversy or disposes of a case in a relatively prompt and simple manner. — Also termed summary trial. Cf. plenary action under ACTION(4). “Summary proceedings were such as were directed by Act of Parliament, there was no jury, and the person accused was acquitted or sentenced only by such person as statute had appointed for his judge. The common law was wholly a stranger to summary proceedings.” A.H. Manchester. Modern Legal History of England and Wales, 1750–1950 160 (1980).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3809 [emphasis added]
John D. Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

- Plenary jurisdiction is a military dictatorship
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• Summary Proceeding is another name for the Star Chamber that was abolished by England

• “The corrupt Star Chamber Courts of England required defendants to have counsel. Star Chamber stood for swiftness and arbitrary power, [Admiralty Maritime Law] it was a limitation on the common law.” Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 821 [Emphasis added]
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk
Masquerading as a Judge

• Redman the PIG was NOT a Judicial Whore because he was NOT acting as a judge, but was acting to enforce a statute

• "When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially." Thompson v. Smith 154 SE 583. [emphasis added]

• "Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk
Masquerading as a Judge

• Tillman, the Mormon Satanist, and his handler, Rooke the Satanist, both became clerks to aid and abet Redman enforce his Roman Civil Statute

• "...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)

• All of this was under instructions Elizabeth, the bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended, because if a dog is your enemy, he doesn’t make you think he is your friend while he sticks a knife in your back)
Elizabeth, the Bitch
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• "A judge ceases to set as a judicial officer because the governing principals of administrative law provides that courts are prohibited from substituting their evidence, testimony, record, arguments and rationale for that of the agency. Additionally, courts are prohibited from their substituting their judgments for that of the agency." AISI v US, 568 F2d 284.
"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762.
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

- “Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.” Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202

- “When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially” and thus are not protected by “qualified” or “limited immunity,” SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• “Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)

John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• Whenever any officer of the court commits fraud during a proceeding in the court, he/she is engaged in "fraud upon the court“

• "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted." Bulloch v. United States, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985)
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• "Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to

• "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication." Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23.
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• "a decision produced by fraud upon the court is not in essence a decision at all, and never becomes final." Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23.
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• "fraud upon the court" vitiates the entire proceeding. The People of the State of Illinois v. Fred E. Sterling, 357 Ill. 354; 192 N.E. 229 (1934)

• "The maxim that fraud vitiates every transaction into which it enters applies to judgments as well as to contracts and other transactions."; Allen F. Moore v. Stanley F. Sievers, 336 Ill. 316; 168 N.E. 259 (1929)

• "The maxim that fraud vitiates every transaction into which it enters ..."; In re Village of Willowbrook, 37 Ill.App.2d 393 (1962)
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk Masquerading as a Judge

• “brutum fulmen”: “An empty noise; an empty threat. A judgment void upon its face which is in legal effect no judgment at all, and by which no rights are divested, and from which none can be obtained; and neither binds nor bars anyone. Dollert v. Pratt-Hewitt Oil Corporation, Tex.Civ.Appl, 179 S.W.2d 346, 348. Also, see Corpus Juris Secundum, “Judgments” §§ 499, 512 546, 549. Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition
Fraud = Lies = Satanism

• “Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.” John 8:44

• “But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers, and idolaters, and all liars, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death.” Revelation 21:8
John D Rooke the Satanist Clerk
Masquerading as a Judge

“By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison;” 1 Peter 3:19

“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the LORD shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth. And they shall be gathered together, as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in the prison, and after many days shall they be visited.” Isaiah 24: 21-22
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• John D Rooke, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Derek Redman, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Stephen Harper, before God, angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Donald Ethell, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• David Johnston, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Robert Nicholson, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Windsor, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Peter McKay, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Vic Toews, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• William Tilleman, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• James Langston, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Steven Blaney, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Kevin Hewson, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• McKenzie Meehan, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Mark Vandenberg, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Barry Benkendorf, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Jonathan Denis, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• Alex Bernard, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

- Alison Redford, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

- Timothy Hironaka, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

- JCB McDonald, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

- T J Matchett, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

- Neil C Whittman, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

- J. N. LeGrandeur, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• P. A. Rowbothom, before God angels and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• P. W. L. Martin, before God, angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!

• B. K. O’Ferrall, before God, Angels, and these witnesses, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist!
The Watchman

• “But if the watchman see the sword come, and blow not the trumpet, and the people be not warned; if the sword come, and take any person from among them, he is taken away in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at the watchman's hand.” Ezekiel 33:6

• Either you are part of the problem, or you are part of the solution

• You are now a watchman!

• Circulate this video far and wide!!

©Common Law Copyright 2016
Other Videos

• Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
• Churchianity series
• Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
• BAR Members 1 & 2
• UNIDROIT
• Martial Law is here!
• Quasi Contracts and Roman Civil Law
• De Facto Courts
• All Courts are Ecclesiastical Courts
• DC Courts in Texas
• Jurisdiction
Summary

• Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

• I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations that are available for a donation

• Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desireable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
Summary

• If you find this useful, then you need to pay it forward

• If you don’t know what Pay it Forward means, then watch the movie
Contact Information

• My Blog is;
  – http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com

• Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi

• Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com

• Youtube profile - sovereignliving

• Facebook
  – Community Page - Sovereignty International
  – Private Group – Sovereignty International

• Yahoo Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants

• Google Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants