P.I.G. is an Acronym

• P.I.G. – Persons In Government who intend to breach the trust, and perjure their oaths
Rooke the United Nations Judicial Whore

• United Nations Judicial whores are so-called judges who are actually NOT judges but are clerks operating for their agency, and are bought and paid for, under their UNIDROIT treaty.

• United Nations Judicial whores have a kangaroo court that is pre-judged, under Roman Civil Law, and it doesn’t matter what you say or do.

• United Nations Judicial whores routinely deny due process and it is the best justus that money can buy!
United Nations Judicial Whores

- These United Nations Judicial whores are not competent to do justice, which is they themselves call it “the appearance of justice”, which is a fraud, as found in Corruption in the Court 4

- These United Nations Judicial Whores are NOT neutral or unbiased, but are bought and paid for

- “It is a fundamental right of a party to have a neutral and detached judge preside over the judicial proceedings.” Ward v Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57, 61-62, 93 S.Ct 80, 83, 34 L.Ed. 2d 267 (1972); Tumey v Ohio, 273 U.S. 510, 5209, 47 S. Ct. 437, 440, 71 L.Ed. 749 (1927).
United Nations Judicial Whores

- United Nations Judicial Whores are selling their justus in violation of the Magna Carta
- “To no one will we sell, to no one will we refuse or delay right or justice” Chapter 40 Magna Carta (1215)
- United Nations Judicial Whores refuse justice of you don’t pay their extortion
- United Nations Judicial Whores refuse and delay their justus, so they can collect more royalties

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Representation

- Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation
  - US War of Independence
  - English Civil War with Oliver Cromwell
  - Magna Carta

- “...Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation.” Whitbourne (Town) v. Whitbourne Cottage Developers Ltd., 2005 CanLII 51786 (NL-PC) – 2005-02-25 [emphasis added]
• “If it were true that, according to the spirit of our Constitution, the power of taxation must be limited by the right of representation, whence is derived the right to lay and collect duties, imposts, and excises, within this District? If the principles of liberty and of our Constitution forbid the raising of revenue from those who are not represented, do not these principles forbid the raising it by duties, imposts, and excises, as well as by a direct tax?” Longborough v Blake 18 U.S. 317 (1820), [emphasis added],
Representation

• “the fundamental constitutional principle that there shall be no taxation without representation (see Birks, at c. 6; Hogg, at p. 55-16; and Hogg and Monahan, at pp. 246-47). […] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law. […] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law.”

Kingstreet Investments Ltd. v New Brunswick (Finance), 2007 SCC 1, [2007] 1 SCR 3 – 2007-01-11 [emphasis added], ©Common Law Copyright 2011
Representation

• Now they forcibly represent you whether you like it or not
  – That is how the CRA thieves justify their thefts
  – That is how the IRS thieves justify their thefts
  – That is how the Customs thieves justify their thefts, assaults, kidnappings, and false imprisonments
  – Then they drag you into their Kangaroo court
Representation

- The Canada Border PIGs, and CRA PIGs and IRS PIGs, etc., make a legal determination for you
  - They cannot make a legal determination for you, without representing you!
  - He is a taxpayer (victim for theft)
Representation

• It is impossible for anyone to make a legal determination for you, without representing you.
• Any bureaucrat who makes a legal determination for you, and thereby represents you, especially after you have FIRED them, has breached the trust, and perjured their oath
• Then, after they “represent” you,… you ONLY alternative is to get a judicial whore like Rooke to give you some of their “justus”
US Declaration of Independence

• “He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended legislation.” [color of law – martial law]

• “For imposing taxes on us without our consent.” [martial law]

• “For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury.” [martial law]

• Declaration of Independence (1776) [emphasis added]
“Whereas taxation by the parliament of Great Britain, for the purpose of raising a revenue in his Majesty’s colonies, provinces, and plantations, in North America, has been found by experience to occasion great uneasiness and disorders... That from and after the passing of this act the King and Parliament of Great Britain will not impose any duty, tax, or assessment whatever, payable in any of the colonies, provinces, or plantations, in North America or the West Indies; except only such duties as it may be expedient to impose for the regulation of commerce...” George III, CAP XII 1778
JD Rooke the PIG

• “Give me liberty or give me death” Patrick Henry,

• after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license
“License, contracts, is a right given by some competent authority to do an act, which without such authority would be illegal. The instrument or writing which secures this right is also called a license. Vide Ayl. Parerg. 353; 15 Vin. Ab 92; Ang. Wat. Co. 61, 85. A license is express or implied. An express license is one in which in direct terms authorizes the performance of a certain act; as a license to keep a tavern by public authority. An implied license is one which though not expressly given, may be presumed from the acts of the party having the right to give it.” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1843 Edition, Volume 2, page 53 [emphasis added]
“2. The requirement of payment for such licenses is only a mode of imposing taxes on the licensed business, and the prohibition, under penalties, against carrying on the business without license is only a mode of enforcing the payment of such taxes.

5. The recognition by the acts of Congress of the power and right of the states to tax, control, or regulate any business carried on within its limits is entirely consistent with an intention on the part of Congress to tax such business for national purposes.” License Tax Cases 72 U.S. (5 Wall.) 462 (1866)
JD Rooke the PIG

• The proof of service shows that JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judge for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 805 CA on 15 September 2010

• The proof of service shows that JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judge for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand by Express Mail LT 618 030 525 CA on 9 December 2010

• The proof of service shows that JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judge for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand RW 573 729 712 CA on 8 November 2013
By Registered Mail RW 573 729 712 CA

To:
J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge
Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench
601 – 5th Street SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 5P7

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND

I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land of Alberta, and a holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: C/O Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, Non-Domestic Mail, C/O 30 Main Street, #188, Donalda, Alberta, Postal Code Exempt, WITHOUT the your criminal corporation called CANADA, do hereby Notice you of the following:

1. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED that this Notice and Demand is in addition to the Notice and Demand 091010 which was served on you by Registered Mail RW 500 290 805 CA, on 15th day of September 2010, as evidenced by the proof of service, a true copy of which is attached hereto, all of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

2. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I accept your Oath of Office pursuant to the Oath of Office document that was attached to the Notice and Demand 091010, previously served.

3. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, equalit under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

4. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I did not give you the authority for making a legal determination for Me.

5. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

6. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

7. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
Title Holder: Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn

From:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
Postal Code Exempt

By Registered Mail RW 573 729 712 CA

To:
J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge
Alberta Court of Queen's Bench
601 – 5th Street SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 5P7

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND

NON-NEGOTIABLE
3. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

4. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me.

5. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

6. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

7. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
You were looking for

Tracking Numbers: RW573729712CA

We were not able to find any results

Tracking information is not available for this item. For further assistance please visit www.canadapost.ca.
JD Rooke the PIG

- JD Rooke, Associate Chief (so-called) Judge knows that all of the (so-called) judges in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta are his subordinates.
- JD Rooke knows that he has conspired with Post Office Clerks to destroy evidence of service of my documents, which is also mail fraud.
- JD Rooke knows that he represented Me without authority when he accused Me of being a paper terrorist in his decision in Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571, which is actually slander and defamation.
JD Rooke the PIG

• Rooke used a divorce case Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571 as an opportunity to smear anybody who thinks they are free with his OPCA acronym which stands for Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument Litigants, as found in paragraph [1] of his decision
“IN PERSONAM-IN REM.- § 3. Judgments.-So a judgment or decree is said to be in rem when it binds third persons; such is the sentence of a Court of Admiralty on a question of prize, or a decree of nullity or dissolution of marriage, (2 Sm. Lead. Cas. 699 j Castrique fl. Imrie, L. R. 4 H. L. 414; Bee JUDGMENT,) or a decree of a court in a foreign country as to the status of a person domiciled there. Doglioni". Crispin, L. R. 1 H. L. 801.” Rapalje A Dictionary of American & English Law, Volume 1, 1883 Edition, page 639
JD Rooke the PIG

• The Divorce Act is a federal statute in Canada, therefore Rooke was operating as a Clerk for the United Nations, and was not operating in a judicial capacity, as already described herein
“[176] The Sovereign Man / Sovereign Citizen movement is the chief U.S. OPCA community. Several reported Ontario decisions document court interactions with self-identified Sovereign Men. This court has had a limited exposure to Sovereign Men, most notably being a lawsuit advanced by Glenn Winningham ["Winningham"] (usually self-styled as "Glenn Winningham: House of Fearn"): Winningham v. Canada (30 November 2010) Lethbridge 100600907 (Alta. Q.B.), leave to appeal denied (Alta. c.A.).” Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571 [emphasis added]
JD Rooke the PIG

• Catherine Frazer, the Alberta Court of Appeals Chief Judicial Whore, operating as a Clerk, under the Judicature Act, and her hired thug JCB McDonald, Judicial Whore intended to sell their justus in violation of Magna Carta and I did not have the IOU’s (Bank of Canada Notes) to set off the $600.00 filing fee/excise tax,

• “To no one will we sell, to no one will we refuse or delay right or justice” Chapter 40 Magna Carta (1215)

• This is proof that they intended to refuse justice, and deny My rights and justice in violation of Chapter 40
JD Rooke the PIG

- Catherine Frazer, the Alberta Court of Appeals Chief Judicial whore, and her PIG thug JCB McDonald are named in the Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Alberta Court of Appeals which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321
JD Rooke the PIG

• I find that the accusation of being a paper terrorist is quite flattering, but realistically not true because if I was able to terrorize a bureaucrat into doing something contrary to his oath of office, the bureaucrat would be guilty of breach of trust.

• The simple fact of the matter is that if the bureaucrat changes his behavior because of something I have done it is because I have shown him that he is being given unlawful commands, and he realizes he has no duty to obey an unlawful command.
JD Rooke the PIG

- JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judicial Whore, intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust.
- JD Rooke intends to make sure that his hired PIG thugs keep their presumptions so that they can have plausible deniability when they assault people and kidnap people, and falsely imprison people, all of which is in support of his military dictatorship, under Roman Civil Law.
JD Rooke the PIG

- JD Rooke intends to deny due process with his **MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT [“OPCA”] DOCUMENTS** dated 18 June 2013 pursuant to Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571

- JD Rooke is named in the Petition of right which was filed with the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

- JD Rooke is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066323, and Fee Number 2011-066322
UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in *Meads v. Meads*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The clerk shall review the documents proposed to be filed by any suspected OPCA litigant to determine if any formal defects appear as set out in List A.
MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT ["OPCA"] DOCUMENTS
Pursuant to *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571.

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT INFORMATION OF THE PARTY FILING THIS DOCUMENT
Associate Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta
Judicial District of Edmonton
Law Courts Building, 1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013

NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE

UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION;
DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013

NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE

UPON THE COURT’S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in Meads v.
UPON THE COURT’S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in *Meads v. Meads*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The clerk shall review the documents proposed to be filed by any suspected OPCA litigant to determine if any formal defects appear as set out in List A.
2. If such documents contain any formal defect as set out in List A, the clerk shall:
   a) refuse to file the documents with the Court;
   b) indicate on a copy of this Master Order the identified formal defects;
   c) make a photocopy of and retain:
      i. a copy of the Master Order with the identified formal defects; and
      ii. the first page of the document(s) that contain the formal defect; and
   d) return the documents to the person attempting to file same, and provide that person with a copy of the Master Order which indicates the identified formal defects.

3. A person who seeks to file documents that were refused by the clerk may:
   a) challenge that action by sending:
      • A letter which states that documents requested to be filed were refused filing by the clerk pursuant to the Master Order for OPCA Documents, and a request that that action be challenged; the letter must include:
         a. the full legal name of the writer;
         b. mailing address for reply;
         c. the court file number, if a file number has been assigned;
         d. the type of document refused (i.e. statement of claim, statement of defence, application, affidavit, etc.); and
         e. the reasons why the document should be filed.
- The refused documents.
- The copy of the Master Order received from the court clerk with the identified formal defects.

To:

Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta
6th Floor, Law Courts Building
1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton, Alberta, T6J 0R2
Attention: Associate Chief Justice Rooke

A document refusal challenge response shall be made by the Associate Chief Justice or his/her designate within 30 days, or such longer period as the Court may direct. Response communication shall be made by ordinary mail to the indicated mailing address.

b) correct the formal defects and request the clerk file the documents absent such defects.

Associate Chief Justice Rooke
Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta
List A: Prohibited OPCA Formal Defects

Note: these defects exist in many related variant forms and combinations (see *Meads v. Meads*, at paras. 207, 210, 238-241). Variations on these prohibited formal defects are a basis to reject document filing.

Circle any formal defect identified:

1. Litigant Title and Status

   The litigant claims a specific title or status:
   
   • a “flesh and blood man”, a “flesh, blood, and bone man”, or a “living soul”
   • a “freeman-on-the-land” or “freeman”
   • a “free will full liability person”
   • a “sovereign man”, “sovereign citizen” or “sovrn”
   • a “Moor”

2. Names

   a. ‘Dash-colon’ format. Atypical punctuation of a person’s name by the insertion of colons or dashes before, after and among the first, middle and last name, for example:

      : [first name] - [middle name] : [last name] :

      [first name] - [middle name] : [last name]

   b. Family lineage. Atypical structure of a person’s name to indicate lineage:
a. 'Dash-colon' format. Atypical punctuation of a person’s name by the insertion of colons or dashes before, after and among the first, middle and last name, for example:

: [first name] - [middle name] : [last name] :

[first name] - [middle name] : [last name]

b. Family lineage. Atypical structure of a person’s name to indicate lineage:

[first name] [middle name] of the Family [last name]

[first name] [middle name] of the Clan [last name]

[first name] [middle name] of the House of [last name]

c. Duplication and capitalization. Names of persons or parties are duplicated, with one name in all capital letters, and the other name in either lower case or lower case and upper case letters:

JOHN DOE and John Doe

JOHN DOE and john doe

d. Copyright or Trademark. A person claims copyright or trade-mark in their name. This may be indicated by a sentence or adding copyright © and trade-mark (t) symbols to a name.
When JD Rooke took the initiative, on his own, to do his MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT [“OPCA”] DOCUMENTS dated 18 June 2013 pursuant to Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571, he proved that everything I said about him in the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Criminal Corporation called Canada, which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322, is absolutely 100% true!!!
Seventy-six. David Johnston, Governor General, for the criminal corporation called Canada, is giving aid and comfort to the enemy, and is an imposter operating without authority, under the color of law, and none of his JUDGMENTS/ORDERS are of any force and effect, and he is in criminal violation by knowingly, intentionally, willingly conspiring with criminals, to criminally convert My address, and My citizenship, and has violated his bond and his Corporate Charter, which violation is a Breach of the Trust which was established with the people of Alberta and against the Peace and Dignity of the People of Alberta. It is ORDERED that his bond be arrested, and he be arrested and prosecuted for TREASON in a time of war, giving aid and comfort to the enemy, SEDITION, Misprison of Felony, Extortion under color of Office, theft by conversion, Criminal Conversion, perjury of oath, theft, obstruction of justice, extortion, unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, assault, murder, and racketeering, and conspiracy to commit the above mentioned crimes, and further,

Seventy-seven. J.D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen's Bench, is giving aid and comfort to the enemy, and is an imposter operating without authority, under the color of law, and none of his JUDGMENTS/ORDERS are of any force and effect, and he is in criminal violation by knowingly, intentionally, willingly conspiring with criminals, to criminally convert My address, and My citizenship, and has violated his bond and his Corporate Charter, which violation is a Breach of the Trust which was established with the people of Alberta and against the Peace and Dignity of the People of Alberta. It is ORDERED that his bond be arrested, and he be arrested and prosecuted for TREASON in a time of war, giving aid and comfort to the enemy, SEDITION, Misprison of Felony, Extortion under color of Office, theft by conversion, Criminal Conversion, perjury of oath, theft, obstruction of justice, extortion, unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, assault, murder, and racketeering, and conspiracy to commit the above mentioned crimes, and further,

Seventy-eight. N.C. Whitmann, Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen's Bench, is giving aid and comfort to the enemy, and is an imposter operating without authority, under the color of law, and none of his JUDGMENTS/ORDERS are of any force and effect, and he is in criminal violation by knowingly, intentionally, willingly conspiring with criminals, to criminally convert My address, and My citizenship, and has violated his bond and his Corporate Charter, which
Seventy-seven, J.D. Coke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench, is giving aid and comfort to the enemy, and is an imposter operating without authority, under the color of law, and none of his JUDGMENTS/ORDERS are of any force and effect, and he is in criminal violation by knowingly, intentionally, willingly conspiring with criminals, to criminally convert My address, and My citizenship, and has violated his bond and his Corporate Charter, which violation is a Breach of the Trust which was established with the people of Alberta and against the Peace and Dignity of the People of Alberta. It is ORDERED that his bond be arrested, and he be arrested and prosecuted for TREASON in a time of war, giving aid and comfort to the enemy, SEDITION, Misprison of Felony, Extortion under color of Office, theft by conversion, Criminal Conversion, perjury of oath, theft, obstruction of justice, extortion, unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, assault, murder, and racketeering, and conspiracy to commit the above mentioned crimes, and further,
JD Rooke the PIG

• JD Rooke, the Associate Chief Judicial Whore has made everything I said about him in numerous paragraphs in the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Lethbridge City Police 102010, which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066323 is now 100% the truth!!!
J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge and N. C. Whitmann, Chief Judge, Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta, want to make sure that I CANNOT take away the presumptions of the criminal benchers take in Lethbridge, so they have created their circuit of (so-called) Judges and made sure that there were many potential judges in this case, so the perjurer on the bench can continue to make their presumptions and also to make sure that I CANNOT defeat their presumptions, so they can violate My rights with complete impunity, and further,

Tim Grubb, Provost Martial, sends out his perjuring martial law shock troops Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Chief of Police, Doug McLaughlin, Chairman of the Lethbridge Police Commission, and their perjuring hired thugs L. Christos, T. Ramotowsky, and R. Sparrow on the land of Alberta where they know they have no authority so they can help out their perjuring BAR member buddies, JD Rooke, Robert Coleman, Alison Redford, and NC Whitmann get their royalties with their so-called commercial transaction. All of these criminals are operating a criminal racketeering enterprise on the land of Alberta, engaging in extortion, theft, and murder, and further,

These perjurers, Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Chief of Police, Doug McLaughlin, Chairman of the Lethbridge Police Commission, and their perjuring hired thugs L. Christos, T. Ramotowsky, and R. Sparrow, know that Alberta law recognizes Texas law, and therefore, the
Their perjuring handlers JD Rooke, Alison Redford, and NC Whitmann know all of this and want to help them and still be able to claim plausible deniability, so these perjuring, murdering, thieving criminals can continue with their criminal enterprise. They can also collect royalties from these criminals as well, and further,

These perjurers Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Chief of Police, Doug McLaughlin, Chairman of the Lethbridge Police Commission, and their perjuring hired thugs L. Christos, T. Ramotowsky, and R. Sparrow, in conspiracy with JD Rooke, Robert Coleman, Alison Redford, and NC Whitmann, want to make sure that I am completely defenseless by taking away all of My guns, under the color of law, so I have no way to defend myself against their unlawful arrests, which they carry out on a routine basis, without any probable cause whatsoever, and the perjurers on the bench and the perjurer prosecutors do everything they can to make sure they continue to unlawfully arrest anybody they want, and they don’t want them to be able to defend themselves against their unlawful arrest, in any way, and further,
The perjuring criminal BAR members, JD Rooke, Robert Coleman, Alison Redford, and NC Whitmann don’t want me to take away their presumptions, because it is their intent to violate My rights, which is why they do not publish their names and addresses for service, and it is also why they put you on their round robin and always make sure you have a different so-called judge, so they can go ahead and violate your rights with complete impunity. That way they can make sure they get their royalty and their perjuring prosecutor Robert Coleman can get his royalty too, and further,

The perjuring criminals on the bench want to make absolutely for certain that I will not be able to find out which one of them is going to hear My case in time for me to effect service of My documents on them personally, so they can keep their satanic martial law presumptions, and then violate My rights with complete impunity, in their satanic martial law system, and further,

These criminals Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Chief of Police, Doug McLaughlin, Chairman of the Lethbridge Police Commission, and their perjuring hired thugs L. Christos, T. Ramotowsky, and R. Sparrow, in conspiracy with JD Rooke, Robert Coleman, Alison Redford, and NC Whitmann know that they are all priests of BAAL, but their judgment day is coming, and further,
These perjured BAR members JD Roeke, Robert Coleman, Alison Redford, and NC Whitmann are extremely excited because they know that I am not going to pay their extortion, and they know that I will not appear in their criminal so-called court room, and then they can issue one of their bench warrants for their fraudulently created strawman/vessel, and then their perjured hired thugs (PIGs) will go out and find Me, the living soul, and then kidnap Me and force Me into their corporate commercial jurisdiction, like they have already done, and they will force Me into their warehouse (jail) where the International Monetary Fund will pay off the alleged debt that the perjurer on the bench will create with a bid bond, and payment bond, and a performance bond, while he is sitting on the bench, in the show trial, and further,

If these perjurers are really lucky, they are hoping that I will assert My right to defend myself against their unlawful arrest, with lethal force if necessary, and then their hired thugs will have an excuse to MURDER Me, and further,

I have previously, and continue to CLAIM THE RIGHT to resist their unlawful arrest with lethal force if necessary, and I have previously, and continue to CLAIM THE RIGHT to travel on the land of Alberta, and the land of British Columbia, unmolested by these CRIMINALS!!! Go ahead criminals, make my day! I HAVE A DEATH WISH,.... MAKE MY DAY!!!! and further,
J.D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Court of Queen's Bench, is giving aid and comfort to the enemy, and is an imposter operating without authority, under the color of law, and none of his JUDGMENTS/ORDERS are of any force and effect, and he is in criminal violation by knowingly, intentionally, willingly conspiring with criminals, to criminally convert My address, and My citizenship, and has violated his bond and his Corporate Charter, which violation is a Breach of the Trust which was established with the people of Alberta and against the Peace and Dignity of the People of Alberta. It is ORDERED that his bond be arrested, and he be arrested and prosecuted for TREASON in a time of war, giving aid and comfort to the enemy, SEDITION, Misprison of Felony, Extortion under color of Office, theft by conversion, Criminal Conversion, perjury of oath, theft, obstruction of justice, extortion, unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, assault, and racketeering, and conspiracy to commit the above mentioned crimes, and further,
Oaths

• “All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram non judice, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 Inst. 165; 4 Inst. 278; 2 Roll. Abr. 277.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835 Edition, Volume 2
JD Rooke the PIG

• JD Rooke intends to make war on anyone who considers themselves a “living soul”, or a “freeman-on-the-land”, or a “freeman”

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the War of Independence when they flogged a man to death for refusing to take a license, which, after having witnessing it, Patrick Henry said; “Give me liberty or give me death!”

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the Magna Carta

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the Confirmatio Chartarum
“FREEMAN. A person in the possession and enjoyment of all the civil and political rights accorded to the people under a free government. In the Roman law, it denoted one who was either born free or emancipated, and was the opposite of "slave." In feudal law, it designated an allodial proprietor, as distinguished from a vassal or feudal tenant. (And so in Pennsylvania colonial law. Fry's Election Case, 71 Pa. 308, 10 Am.Rep. 698.) In old English law, the word described a freeholder or tenant by free services; one who was not a villein. In modern legal phraseology, it is the appellation of a member of a city or borough having the right of suffrage, or a member of any municipal corporation invested with full civic rights.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 793
PIGs in Canada

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the English civil war
• It is tyrants like Rooke and Tillman who keep saying that the Magna Carta no longer applies, because they intend to precipitate another revolution
• JD Rooke has no lawful valid current oath
• JD Rooke is bought and paid for
• JD Rooke is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

• "The governments are but trustees acting under derived authority and have no power to delegate what is not delegated to them. But the people, as the original fountain might take away what they have delegated and entrust to whom they please. ... The sovereignty in every state resides in the people of the state and they may alter and change their form of government at their own pleasure." --Luther v. Borden, 48 US 1, 12 Led 581.
Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

• “There is no such thing as power of inherent Sovereignty in the government of the United States. In this country sovereignty resides in the People, and Congress can exercise no power which they have not, by their Constitution entrusted to it; All else is withheld.”

Julliard v Greenman 110 U.S. 421
Delegated Authority

• “A delegate cannot delegate; an agent cannot delegate his functions to a subagent without the knowledge or consent of the principal; the person to whom an office or duty is delegated cannot lawfully devolve the duty on another, unless he be expressly authorized so to do.” 9 Coke, 77; Broom, Max. 840; 2 Kent, Comm. 633; 2 Steph. Comm. 119 [emphasis added]

• “A delegated power cannot be again delegated.” 2 Inst. 597; Black's, 2d. 347; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1300

• “A deputy cannot have (or appoint) a deputy.” Story, Ag. s.13; 9 Coke, 77; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1936
No Delegated Authority

• There is no delegated authority for a corporation called Canada,
  – or a corporation called Royal Canadian Mounted Police (PIGs),
  – or a corporation called Canada Border Services Agency (PIGs),
  – or a corporation called Lethbridge Correctional Centre,
  – or a corporation called Calgary Remand Centre,
No Delegated Authority

• There is no authority in Canada, or the United States, to delegate any power to the United Nations, or any United Nations agency
No Delegated Authority

- All so-called courts in Canada and the United States, and in many other countries (63 according to the UNIDROIT website) are:
  - United Nations courts, and are
  - de facto, and are
  - thieves, and are
  - PIGs, and are
  - pirates, and in some cases are
  - murderers
Unconstitutional Delegations

“Ultra vires. An act performed without any authority to act on subject. Haslund v. City of Seattle, 86 Wash.2d 607, 547 P.2d 1221, 1230….. The term has a broad application and includes not only acts prohibited by the charter, but acts which are in excess of powers granted and not prohibited, and generally applied either when a corporation has no power whatever to do an act, …. People ex reI. Barrett v. Bank of Peoria, 295 Ill.App. 543, 15 N.E.2d 333, 335. Act is ultra vires when corporation is without authority to perform it under any circumstances or for any purpose. Ultra vires act of municipality is one which is beyond powers conferred upon it by law. Charles v. Town of Jeanerette, Inc., La.App., 234 So.2d 794, 798.”

De facto Law

• “Obedience to de facto law – No person shall be convicted of an offense in respect of an act or omission in obedience to the laws for the time being made and enforced by persons in de facto possession of the sovereign power in and over the place where the act or omission occurs.”, Section 15 of the Criminal Code of Canada
UNIDROIT

• All courts in Canada & United States are United Nations Courts under the UNIDROIT treaty, and have been for over 30 years
• All courts are de facto courts
• There is no authority to delegate anything to the United Nations in the Constitution for the United States of America, or the British North America Act
UNIDROIT

• UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented

• Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years

• UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.
“"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially….but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”” Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.
JD Rooke the Judicial Whore

• Redman intended to deny Me any common law rights including my right to due process in his kangaroo court with his summary proceeding, and that was also intended by;

  – Harper the PIG, Mackay the PIG, Johnston the PIG,
  – Battenberg the PIG, Redford the PIG, Bernard the PIG,
  – Benkendorf the PIG, Denis the PIG, Ethell the PIG,
  – Rooke the PIG, Matchett the PIG, LeGrandeaurer the PIG,
  – Hironaka the PIG, Whittmann the PIG, Tillman the PIG
  – Nicholson the PIG, McCurdy the PIG, Lefevre the PIG
  – Langston the PIG, Wister the PIG, Toews the PIG
  – McCurdy the PIG, and the CBSA PIGs
JD Rooke the PIG
Donald Ethell, the PIG
Alison Redford, the PIG
Rob Nicholson, the PIG
Stephen Harper, the PIG
David Johnston, the PIG
“kangaroo court. 1. A self-appointed tribunal or mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disregarded, perverted, or parodied. • Kangaroo courts may be assembled by various groups, such as prisoners in a jail (to settle disputes between inmates) and players on a baseball team (to “punish” teammates who commit fielding errors). 2. A court or tribunal characterized by unauthorized or irregular procedures, esp. so as to render a fair proceeding impossible. 3. A sham legal proceeding. • The term's origin is uncertain, but it appears to be an Americanism. It has been traced to 1853 in the American West. “Kangaroo” might refer to the illogical leaps between “facts” and conclusions, or to the hapless defendant's quick bounce from court to gallows.”
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Summary proceeding. Any proceeding by which a controversy is settled, case disposed of, or trial conducted, in a prompt and simple manner, without the aid of a jury, without presentment or indictment, or in other respects out of the regular course of the common law. In procedure, proceedings are said to be summary when they are short and simple in comparison with regular proceedings; e., in comparison with the proceedings which alone would have been applicable, either in the same or analogous cases, if summary proceedings had not been available. Sweet. And see Phillips v. Phillips, 8 N.J.L. 122.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 1369
JD Rooke the United Nations Judicial Whore

• “Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868,
Rooke the PIG

• “summary proceeding. A nonjury proceeding that settles a controversy or disposes of a case in a relatively prompt and simple manner. — Also termed summary trial. Cf. plenary action under ACTION(4). “Summary proceedings were such as were directed by Act of Parliament, there was no jury, and the person accused was acquitted or sentenced only by such person as statute had appointed for his judge. The common law was wholly a stranger to summary proceedings.” A.H. Manchester. Modern Legal History of England and Wales, 1750–1950 160 (1980).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3809 [emphasis added]
Rooke the PIG

• Plenary jurisdiction is a military dictatorship
• “plenary jurisdiction…. A court's full and absolute power over the subject matter and the parties in a case.” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2495
JD Rooke the Judicial Whore

- Summary Proceeding is another name for the Star Chamber that was abolished by England
- “The corrupt Star Chamber Courts of England required defendants to have counsel. Star Chamber stood for swiftness and arbitrary power, [Admiralty Maritime Law] it was a limitation on the common law.” Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 821 [Emphasis added]
Rooke the PIG

• Redman the PIG was NOT a Judicial Whore because he was NOT acting as a judge, but was acting to enforce a statute

• "When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially." Thompson v. Smith 154 SE 583. [emphasis added]

• "Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1
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Rooke the PIG

- Tillman, the whore, and his handler, Rooke the whore, both became clerks to aid and abet Redman enforce his Roman Civil Statute
- "...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)
- All of this was under instructions Elizabeth, the bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended, because if a dog is your enemy, he doesn’t make you think he is your friend while he sticks a knife in your back)
The Ringleader of the Criminal Enterprise called Canada

Elizabeth, the Bitch
JD Rooke the PIG

- "A judge ceases to set as a judicial officer because the governing principals of administrative law provides that courts are prohibited from substituting their evidence, testimony, record, arguments and rationale for that of the agency. Additionally, courts are prohibited from their substituting their judgments for that of the agency." AISI v US, 568 F2d 284.
Rooke the United Nations Judicial Whore

• "It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762.
Rooke the United Nations Judicial Whore

• “Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.” Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202

• “When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially” and thus are not protected by “qualified” or “limited immunity,” SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404
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Rooke the PIG

• “Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)

Rooke the PIG (Imposter)

- Rooke is an imposter putting on a charade with his kangaroo court to complete his quasi-contract

- “IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. The are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 Hawk. P. C. c. 5.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835, Volume 1,
Rooke the PIG (imposter)

• “impostor. One who pretends to be someone else to deceive others, esp. to receive the benefits of a negotiable instrument. — Also spelled imposter. [Cases: Banks and Banking 147; Bills and Notes 201, 279. C.J.S. Banks and Banking §§ 415–416; Bills and Notes; Letters of Credit §§ 29, 150–151.]” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2210 [emphasis added],
Neither Redman, nor the CBSA PIGs had any jurisdiction.

"Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351."

Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.
Rooke the PIG

- The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs made a void judgment, and Redman the PIG made a void judgment

- “Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.” City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).
Rooke the PIG

• “Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time” In re Estate of Steinfield, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also Steinfeld v. Hoddick, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).

• “A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree.” Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety, 480 So. 2d 577 (Ala. Civ. App. 1985).
Rooke the United Nations Judicial Whore

• A void order results from a ‘fundamental defect’ in proceedings (Upjohn LJ in Re Pritchard (deceased) [1963] 1 Ch 502 and Lord Denning in Firman v Ellis [1978] 3 WLR 1) or from a ‘without jurisdiction’/ultra vires act of a public body or judicial office holder (Lord Denning in Pearlman v Governors of Harrow School [1978] 3 WLR 736).
Rooke the PIG

• A ‘without jurisdiction’/ultra vires act is any act which a Court did not have power to do (Lord Denning in Firman v Ellis [1978] QB 866).

• A void order is incurably void and all proceedings based on the invalid claim or void act are also void. Even a decision of the higher Courts (High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) will be void if the decision is founded on an invalid claim or void act, because something cannot be founded on nothing (Lord Denning in MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd. [1961] AC 152).
Rooke the PIG

- "Not every action by any judge is in exercise of his judicial function. It is not a judicial function for a Judge to commit an intentional tort even though the tort occurs in the Courthouse, when a judge acts as a Trespasser of the Law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject matter jurisdiction and The Judge's orders are void, of no legal force or effect"! Yates Vs. Village of Hoffman Estates, Illinois, 209 F.Supp. 757 (N.D. Ill. 1962)
• Whenever any officer of the court commits fraud during a proceeding in the court, he/she is engaged in "fraud upon the court"

• "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted." Bulloch v. United States, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985)
"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication." Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23.
JD Rooke the PIG

- "a decision produced by fraud upon the court is not in essence a decision at all, and never becomes final." Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23.
"fraud upon the court" vitiates the entire proceeding. The People of the State of Illinois v. Fred E. Sterling, 357 Ill. 354; 192 N.E. 229 (1934)

"The maxim that fraud vitiates every transaction into which it enters applies to judgments as well as to contracts and other transactions."; Allen F. Moore v. Stanley F. Sievers, 336 Ill. 316; 168 N.E. 259 (1929)

"The maxim that fraud vitiates every transaction into which it enters ..."; In re Village of Willowbrook, 37 Ill.App.2d 393 (1962)
Rooke the PIG

• “brutum fulmen”: “An empty noise; an empty threat. A judgment void upon its face which is in legal effect no judgment at all, and by which no rights are divested, and from which none can be obtained; and neither binds nor bars anyone. Dollert v. Pratt-Hewitt Oil Corporation, Tex.Civ.Appl, 179 S.W.2d 346, 348. Also, see Corpus Juris Secundum, “Judgments” §§ 499, 512 546, 549. Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition
False Imprisonment

• Based on a void judgment, the RCMP PIGs arrested Me, which is false arrest, because Redmond the PIG was NOT a judge therefore the Warrant was NOT a lawful warrant, and then they falsely imprisoned Me in their Calgary Remand Centre, and their Lethbridge Correctional Centre, for 82 days, but I there is no way I will ever see justice at the hands of these Vatican Jesuit whores like Redmond the PIG, the Rooke the PIG, and Whitmann the PIG, and Tillman the PIG, which is what these PIGs intend, and Elizabeth the bitch, (no disrespect to dogs intended)
US Embassy PIGs

• The US Embassy PIGs in Calgary, Alberta called up the RCMP PIGs and told them that I was there and then they decided to take a nice long lunch break, to give the RCMP PIGs time to get there, so they could facilitate their unlawful arrest, and their false imprisonment.

• The RCMP PIGs were waiting for me when I came out of the elevator, and they arrested me based on a warrant issued by one of their Clerks masquerading as a judge (Redman), in conspiracy with the CBSA PIGs
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Fire the Whores Campaign

• These judicial whores intend that their brain dead idiot low intelligence hired thugs assault us and kidnap us and falsely imprison us because it is so good for business!

• Rook, the PIG, and Whitmann, the PIG, and Frazer the PIG are busy making business for their Clerk buddies, masquerading as judges, in their so-called Courts, so they call all collect a royalty.

• If anyone has any doubt about that, check out Jordan v City of New London, US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit case 99-9188, 2000 US App. Lexis 22195
Fire the Whores Campaign

• The ONLY way we will EVER get any real justice is to DEMAND our Common Law Jury of our Peers, and we need to know what that is.
  – A true common law jury does not take instructions from the judge
  – A true common law jury calls the witnesses and questions the witnesses
  – A true common law jury determines the law and the facts
  – A true common law jury can ignore any statute or law (jury nullification)
  – A true common law jury will pronounce sentence (if any)
  – A true common law jury is not subject to appeal
Fire the Whores Campaign

• Do you want to place your future in the hands of a United Nations whore who wants to sit on the bench and play stupid?

• Do you want to put the future of your wife and children in the hands of one of these judicial whores, that have complete immunity no matter what, because if you sue one of them you have to get one of their whore buddies to do it?

• Sure some of them want to really do justice, but are you going to take the chance that you got a good one?
Fire the Whores Campaign

• Do you want to place your future in the hands of a whore that is bought and paid for by these Crown PIGs?

• Do you want to place yourself in the hands of a judicial whore who is intent on converting you into a ward of the court (an imbecile)?

• Do you consider yourself Christian?

• Do you try to follow the golden rule?

• Do you treat others the way you want to be treated?
Judgment Day

• Do you think you will get any sympathy from God, on judgment day, when you have not done everything you can to put a stop to these satanic judicial whores, selling their justus
Karma – what goes around comes around

• Karma is the universal principle of “what goes around comes around”

• If we knowingly allow these judicial whores to victimize tens of thousands of people, in the name of their satanic religious ceremonies, don’t you think that we will be held accountable

• Don’t you think it will come back around at us?
Karma – what goes around comes around

• Don’t you think it is already coming back around at us everyday
  – When they sell their justus in their de facto so-called courts
  – When their revenue officers masquerading as police officers unlawfully arrest us for commercial crimes, like speeding
  – When they deny us due process based on one of their fictitious quazi-contracts
  – When they populate their prisons with victimless crimes
Separation of Church and State

- All judges are foreign agents of the Vatican (see Presentation/Video on BAR Members)
- This idea of separation of church and state was really about taking Christianity out of government and displacing it with the Satanic Roman Civil Law from the Vatican
- All so-called court cases are actually a satanic religious ceremony by Priests of BAAL.
Separation of Church and State

• Under the guise of the separation of church and state they have
  – Taken the Lord’s prayer out of the schools
  – Taken the Christian symbols out of the courts
  – Taken Christian symbols out of the schools

• They have converted our Christian government into satanic government

• It has all been done by court rulings from these priests of BAAL masquerading as judges
Prisons

- At common law there are no prisons
  - That is why common law is so severe
- All prisons are commercial
- Most of the people in prison are in there for victimless crimes
- We need to FIRE the Whores and end the tyranny of these de facto United Nations courts and their Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
- Our court is ONLY a jury of our peers, and we are all being denied it, so these judicial whores can populate their prisons and collect royalties
As for Me

• My conscience is clear, I have NEVER breached the Peace, there was no crime committed, I am completely innocent of these spurious charges and they do not want a common law jury to hear it, which is why they held their de facto kangaroo court, and issued their void judgment, and were so adamant about denying Me due process
Magna Carta

• Tillman, under the directions of his handler Rooke says that the Magna Carta is out of date and doesn’t apply any more

• Tyrants have been saying that the Magna Carta is out of date for centuries
  – War of Independence
  – Glorious Revolution
  – And at other times
Conclusion

• It is my opinion, that well over 90% (probably 99% or more) of the people who work as peace officers are honest hard working people who are very patriotic, and take their oaths of office very seriously.

• Many of them have not been properly trained.

• Many of them are under pressure to generate revenue.

• The burden is on us to educate them, since their bosses are obviously not doing it.
What can we do?

• Lay a proper foundation so they cannot claim ignorance.

• Demand a common law court

• Know what a true common law court is, so you can tell if they really give you a common law court

• Complain to the judicial council, (council of whores) and make sure you bring up the right issues

• Complain to politicians, etc.

• We need to work together to fire these Vatican Jesuit whores selling their justus
What can we do?

• Make Youtube videos and circulate them far and wide!
• Send Youtube videos to Me and I will circulate them!
• Realize that it is NEVER over, until you say it is over!
• Never, ever, ever, ever, ever, give up!!!
What can I do?

• Always remember, “We the people” are the ones who are really in control
  – NOT a gang of Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
  – NOT their hired thugs
  – NOT the Canada Border PIGs
  – Even a Peace Officer can do NOTHING that we ourselves cannot do
What Can We Do?

• We can Refuse to participate in their de facto system
• We can educate ourselves about what a common law jury is, and what the law of the land is
• We can educate ourselves so we know when our rights are being violated
• We can educate our public servants, because many of them do not know, any more than we do
• We can educate other people by circulating this video, and any other way possible
• We can DEMAND a common law Jury of Our peers
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What can We do?

• We can work with our friends and neighbors to re-establish our common law juries and our common law de jure courts

• We can work with our friends and neighbors to get the United Nations out of America, and Canada, and anywhere that wants to be free

• The United Nations is owned and operated by the bankster thieves and their Vatican handlers
Conclusion

• Judgment day is coming for these Canada Border Services Agency PIGs and their judicial whore PIG handlers, like Rooke, and I am looking forward to it!
• I am looking forward to seeing some of them do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope!
• There are common law courts springing up all over, and these judicial whores are going to be brought to some real justice!!!!
• I am glad it is NOT up to me!
• We can NEVER take the law into our own hands because that makes us worse than the whores
Upcoming Events

• Color of Law
• Fire the United Nations Judicial Whores in Texas
• City of Fort Worth PIGs
• City of Grand Prairie PIGs
• How to do a Habeus Corpus
• Citizenship
• Fire the United Nations Judicial Whores in America
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Summary

- Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
- I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations
- Send me an email for other copies of documents to; engineerwin@gmail.com