THERE ARE NO
ARTICLE 3 COURTS

by Sovereignty International (a trust)
C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437
Fort Worth, Texas
ZIP CODE EXEMPT

engineerwin@yahoo.com
Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com
Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com

www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
https://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

For a complete set of Youtube videos with Private Information Shares, a DVD with over 50 searchable Law Dictionaries, and other books and forms contact me privately at engineerwin@yahoo.com

Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
No Lawful Courts

- This can be applied in any country on the planet – especially countries that have acceded to the UNIDROIT statute
- There are NO Courts
- There are ONLY bought and paid for Clerks masquerading as Judges who operate under the United Nations statutes and UNIDROIT
- There are ONLY BAAL priests engaging in satanic religious ceremonies – causing as much fear, pain and suffering as possible - sploush
10. Where a controversy is of such a character as to require the exercise of the judicial power defined by Art. III, jurisdiction thereof can be conferred only on courts established in virtue of that Article, and Congress is without power to vest that judicial power in any other judicial tribunal, or, of course, in an executive officer or administrative or executive board, since "they are incapable of receiving it." American Ins. Co. v. Canter, 1 Pet. 511. P. 578.” Williams v United States 289 U.S. 553 (1933)
“It is noted as significant that the act constituting the court dispenses with trial by jury, a provision which was distinctly upheld in spite of the Seventh Amendment in McElrath v. United States, 102 U. S. 426. With respect to the status of the court, the opinion concludes (pp. 279 U. S. 454-455):

“…. A duty to give decisions which are advisory only, and so without force as judicial judgments, may be laid on a legislative court, but not on a constitutional court established under Art. III.”” Williams v United States 289 U.S. 553 (1933)
“Eliminating, then, from the opinions of this court all expressions unnecessary to the disposition of the particular case, and gleaning therefrom the exact point decided in each, the following propositions may be considered as established:

1. That the District of Columbia and the territories are not states within the judicial clause of the Constitution giving jurisdiction in cases between citizens of different states;

3. That the District of Columbia and the territories are states as that word is used in treaties with foreign powers, with respect to the ownership, disposition, and inheritance of property;

4. That the territories are not within the clause of the Constitution providing for the creation of a supreme court and such inferior courts as Congress may see fit to establish;” Downes v Bidwell 182 US 244
"We therefore decline to overrule the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall: We hold that the District of Columbia is not a state within Article 3 of the Constitution. In other words cases between citizens of the District and those of the states were not included of the catalogue of controversies over which the Congress could give jurisdiction to the federal courts by virtue of Article 3. In other words Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction over citizens of Washington District of Columbia and through their plenary power nationally covers those citizens even when in one of the several states as though the district expands for the purpose of regulating its citizens wherever they go throughout the states in union" National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948)
“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction” “Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State……

STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under sections 24 or 28 of the former Judicial Code (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the "* * *laws * * * of the United States" where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of $3,000, exclusive of interest and costs.” ” Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158-165
“All peoples have the right of self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.” Article 1, Clause 1

“The States Parties to the present Covenant, including those having responsibility for the administration of Non-Self-Governing and Trust Territories, shall promote the realization of the right of self-determination, and shall respect that right, in conformity with the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 1, Clause 3 [emphasis added]
“Each State Party to the present Covenant undertakes to respect and to ensure to all individuals within its territory and subject to its jurisdiction the rights recognized in the present Covenant, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 2, Clause 1 [emphasis added]
Anytime they are talking about status, it is Roman Law

An example of Political Status is the status as being a state citizen, rather than being a US citizen

This is the foundation of all statutes against discrimination – It is all coming from the United Nations
“Where not already provided for by existing legislative or other measures, each State Party to the present Covenant undertakes to take the necessary steps, in accordance with its constitutional processes and with the provisions of the present Covenant, to adopt such laws or other measures as may be necessary to give effect to the rights recognized in the present Covenant.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 2, Clause 2 [emphasis added]
Each State Party to the present Covenant undertakes:

(a) To ensure that any person whose rights or freedoms as herein recognized are violated shall have an effective remedy, notwithstanding that the violation has been committed by persons acting in an official capacity;

(b) To ensure that any person claiming such a remedy shall have his right thereto determined by competent judicial, administrative or legislative authorities, or by any other competent authority provided for by the legal system of the State, and to develop the possibilities of judicial remedy;

(c) To ensure that the competent authorities shall enforce such remedies when granted.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 2, Clause 3 [emphasis added]
“The States Parties to the present Covenant undertake to ensure the equal right of men and women to the enjoyment of all civil and political rights set forth in the present Covenant.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 3
At common law the wife and children are the property of the husband and father.

“It is however, true that in all common-law countries it has always and consistently been held that the wife and minor children take the nationality of the husband and father. That is common-law doctrine.” In Re Page 12 F (2d) 135

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights eliminates common law
“1. In time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation and the existence of which is officially proclaimed, the States Parties to the present Covenant may take measures derogating from their obligations under the present Covenant to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation, provided that such measures are not inconsistent with their other obligations under international law and do not involve discrimination solely on the ground of race, colour, sex, language, religion or social origin.

2. No derogation from articles 6, 7, 8 (paragraphs 1 and 2), 11, 15, 16 and 18 may be made under this provision.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 4 [emphasis added]
“Everyone shall have the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 16 [emphasis added]
No matter how nice they make their United Nations International Covenant, they always insert an escape clause.

With their false flags, and their agent provocateurs, and their bankster thieves, it is easy to create any sort of emergency they want to justify the denial of the privileges they are calling rights.
Don’t forget to subscribe to this channel

Don’t forget to Like this video

Don’t forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload
“All persons are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to the equal protection of the law. In this respect, the law shall prohibit any discrimination and guarantee to all persons equal and effective protection against discrimination on any ground such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 26 [emphasis added]
In any action in their kangaroo courts, you are going to have to bring up the issue of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and how you are claiming nothing under it.

All of their Courts are Admiralty where the so-called Judge is actually a bought and paid for Clerk and he is playing stupid – If you don’t say it, then it is NOT said.

They are Roman Cult BAAL priests and will assault you with their United Nations treaties, if you don’t bring up the issue.

You may want to mention Article 15.
“1. No one shall be held guilty of any criminal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a criminal offence, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed…..

2. Nothing in this article shall prejudice the trial and punishment of any person for any act or omission which, at the time when it was committed, was criminal according to the general principles of law recognized by the community of nations.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 15 [emphasis added]
The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights is nothing more than a make work project for Satanists

- Satanist Order Followers (LEOs) go out and assault you, kidnap you, falsely imprison you
- Satanist BAAL priest Clerks masquerading as Judges get to deal with it in their kangaroo courts
- Satanist Order Followers in the prisons get to generate revenue with their commercial prisons
- Satanist BAAL priests get to break up families with their Divorce Courts
Satanist Child Protective Children (CPS) gets to steal the children of their victims who now in their commercial prisons

Satanist BAAL priest Clerks masquerading as Judges get to deal with juvenile delinquents that are created by CPS

Satanist BAAL priest Clerks masquerading as Judges get to deal with the juvenile delinquents that become adult life-time criminals

The whole satanic system forces people into their government welfare system
The ONLY reason you would EVER mention the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights is to say that in the District of Columbia and the territories, it is THEIR requirement, and NOT yours.
“To exercise exclusive legislation, in all cases whatsoever, over such district (not exceeding ten miles square) as may by cession of particular States, and the acceptance of Congress, become the seat of the government of the United States, and to exercise like authority over all places purchased by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be, for the erection of forts, magazines, arsenals, dock-yards, and other needful buildings.” Constitution for the United States of America, Article I, Section 8, Clause 17
“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.” which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at Chapter Fifty-Six in Sec. 1617, at 31 Stat. 1432, where it says; “The Legal Estate to be in Cestui Que Use”

In the 1800’s a “Trust” was called a “use” which is short for usufruct under Roman Civil Law
“Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain
In 1835 the cestui que trust was “the foundation of modern conveyancing”

The cestui que trust is a creation of the Roman Cult

In 1835 the courts of equity were run by the Roman Cult

The Roman Cult wanted to make sure they get their rent and emoluments (extortion - taxes) for their owned and operated cestui que trust

When Congress passes “An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.” on March 3, 1901, at 31 Stat. 1189, and it says; “The Legal Estate to be in Cestui Que Use” they are essentially saying that the Roman Cult owns the UNITED STATES
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“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.” which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at 2, where it says;

“And be it further enacted, That in the interpretation and construction of said code the following rules shall be observed namely:...

“Third. The word “person” shall be held to apply to partnerships and corporations, …”, [emphasis added]
“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.” which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at Chapter three – Absence for Seven Years, in Sec. 252, 253, at 31 Stat. 1230, where it says;

“SEC. 252. PRESUMPTION OF DEATH. - If any person shall leave his domicile without any known intention of changing the same, and shall not return or be heard from for seven years from the time of his so leaving, he shall be presumed to be dead, in any case wherein his death shall come in question, unless proof be made that he was alive within that time.”
15 USC § 44 Definitions; “Corporation” “shall be deemed to include any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members, and has shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, and any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, without shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, except partnerships, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members.”
Changes to legislation: There are currently no known outstanding effects for the Cestui Que Vie Act 1666. (See end of Document for details)

Cestui Que Vie Act 1666

1666 CHAPTER 11 18 and 19 Cha 2

An Act for Redresse of Inconveniencies by want of Proofe of the Deceases of Persons beyond the Seas or absenting themselves, upon whose Lives Estates doe depend.

Recital that Cestui que vies have gone beyond Sea, and that Reversioners cannot find out whether they are alive or dead.

Whereas diverse Lords of Mannours and others have granted Estates by Lease for one or more life or lives, or else for yeares determinable upon one or more life or lives And it hath often
Cestui que vie remaining beyond Sea for Seven Years together and no Proof of their Lives, Judge in Action to direct a Verdict as though Cestui que vie were dead.

If such person or persons for whose life or lives such Estates have beene or shall be granted as aforesaid shall remaine beyond the Seas or elsewhere absent themselves in this Realme by the space of seaven yeares together and noe sufficient and evident profe be made of the lives of such person or persons respectively in any Action commenced for recovery of such Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners in every such case the person or persons upon whose life or lives such Estate depended shall be accounted as naturally dead, And in every Action brought for the recovery of the said Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners their Heires or Assignes, the Judges before whom such Action shall be brought shall direct the Jury to give their Verdict as if the person soe remaining beyond the Seas or otherwise absenting himselfe were dead.
US citizen = cestui que trust

- ". . . (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction . . .” In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164.

- “A “citizen of the United States” is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the PCT (Public Charitable Trust), the constructive, cestui que trust of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc.” Congressional Record, June 13 1967, pp. 15641-15646
"...it might be correctly said that there is no such thing as a citizen of the United States. ..... A citizen of any one of the States of the Union, is held to be, and called a citizen of the United States, although technically and abstractly there is no such thing." Ex Parte Frank Knowles, 5 Cal. Rep. 300,
"...the privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States do not necessarily include all the rights protected by the first eight amendments to the Federal constitution against the powers of the Federal government." Maxwell v Dow, 20 S.C.R. 448, at pg 455;

"The only absolute and unqualified right of a United States citizen is to residence within the territorial boundaries of the United States," US vs. Valentine 288 F. Supp. 957
"It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court." Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22
“Whoever falsely and willfully represents himself to be a citizen of the United States shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.” 18 USC § 911

“(2) the term “individual” means a citizen of the United States or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence;” 5 USC § 552a.(a)(2)

“(13) the term “Federal personnel” means officers and employees of the Government of the United States, members of the uniformed services (including members of the Reserve Components), individuals entitled to receive immediate or deferred retirement benefits under any retirement program of the Government of the United States (including survivor benefits).” 5 USC § 552a.(a)(13) [emphasis added]
Announcing a subscription based Youtube channel called Sovereignty International

The recommended cost of the subscription is currently US$1.99 because it avoids the advertising ONLY

The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit

For that reason there will be very little exclusive material on that channel

Currently publishing 5 videos a week

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCokSQqXw1y2_2hAtJxUcoNw
“[T]he term "citizen," in the United States, is analogous to the term "subject" in the common law.” State vs Manual 20 NC 122, 14 C.J.S. 4, p 430
"If any citizen or resident of the United States does not reside in (and is not found in) any United States Judicial District, such citizen or resident shall be treated as residing in The District of Columbia for purposes of any provisions of this Title to " (A) jurisdiction of courts, or (B) enforcement of summons." 26 USC § 7701(39) see also 26 USC § 7408(C)
For great custom websites, domain names, and hosting go to:
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Use coupon code CY172 for 20% off your first order

CubeYard.com – your source for websites, domain names, and hosting
The right to be represented exists ONLY in a military court room. 10 USC § 838(a)(1)

“(1)The accused has the right to be represented in his defense before a general or special court-martial or at an investigation under section 832 of this title (article 32) as provided in this subsection.”
“He is however in a sense an officer of the state with an obligation to the Court…” 7
Corpus Juris Secundum § 4 Attorneys
“His first duty is to the courts and to the public, not to the client, and whenever his duties to his client conflict with those as an officer of the court, in the administration of justice, the former must yield to the latter.” 7 Corpus Juris Secundum § 4 Attorneys
“Clients are also called “wards of the court”...”

7 Corpus Juris Secundum § 4 Attorneys
A ward of the court is not competent.

Everything is about competence and incompetence!

That is why they are “representing” you, because you are not competent to make decisions for yourself. Therefore the attorney is going to make the decisions for you.

There is no such thing as an incompetent sovereign.

Do you know who you are?
“IN PROPRIA PERSONA. In one's own proper person. It is a rule in pleading that pleas to the jurisdiction of the court must be plead *in propria persona*, because if pleaded by attorney they admit the jurisdiction, as an attorney is an officer of the court, and he is presumed to plead after having obtained leave, which admits the jurisdiction. Lawes, PI. 91.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 899-900

See the BAR Members videos 1, 2, & 3
“We can't even begin to count the number of times Judges, Lawyers, and Statesmen have said: "There isn't any common law anymore. It has been replaced by Statutes." They would be more truthful if they said: "There isn't any common-law any more, it has been replaced by martial law.”" Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court
Advertisement – Other Videos

- Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
- Roman Cult Slave Scam series
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1 - 3
- D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service and the Draft
- Martial Law is here!
- D.I.Y. No Income Tax
- D.I.Y. No Sales Tax
- D.I.Y. Traffic Stop 1 & 2
- D.I.Y. Free Mail 1 & 2
- D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts 1 - 9
2 States in every State

- There is a lawful State
- There is a federal territory (municipal corporation)
- “There has been created a fictional federal State (of) xxxxxx within a state.
  Schwarts v. O'Hara TP School District, 100 A 2d. 621, 625, 375, Pa. 440,
Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- Downes v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244 1901. Dissenting opinion of Justice Marshall Harlan. “Two national governments exist, one to be maintained under the Constitution, with all its restrictions, the other to be maintained by Congress outside and independently of that instrument”

- Why do you think that Nancy Pelosi, as Speaker of the US House of Representatives says that they have to pass legislation without reading it?
Contact Information

- My Blog is: http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profiles – sovereignliving – Sovereignty International
- Facebook
  - Community Page – Deleted due to Censorship
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International - being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Follow me on Twitter @engineerwin
"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary capacity..."" Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464 [emphasis added]
"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762

"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)
A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is not competent to do anything judicial like issue orders, or warrants

A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is operating in his private capacity, and has no immunity

"Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1
Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868
“Bill of Attainder” means Legislative acts, no matter what their form, that apply either to named individuals or to easily ascertainable members of a group in such a way as to inflict punishment on them without a judicial trial. United States v. Brown, 381 U.S. 437, 448-49, 85 S. Ct. 1707, 1715, 14 L.Ed. 484, 492; United States v. Lovett, 328 U.S. 303, 315, 66 S.Ct. 1073, 1079, 90 L.Ed. 1252.
“bill of attainder. 2. A special legislative act prescribing punishment, without a trial, for a specific person or group. • Bills of attainder are prohibited by the U.S. Constitution (art. I, § 9, cl. 3; art. I, § 10, cl. 1). — Also termed act of attainder. See ATTAINDER; BILL OF PAINS AND PENALTIES. [Cases: Constitutional Law 82.5. C.J.S. Constitutional Law §§ 429–431.]” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 496

“No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it." 16th American Jurisprudence 2d, Section 177 late 2nd, Section 256

“An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed.” Norton vs Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, p. 442

"An unconstitutional law is void, and is as no law. An offence created by it is not a crime." Ex parte Siebold, 100 U.S. 371, 376 (1880), quoted with approval in Fay v. Noia, 372 U.S. 391, 408 (1963)

"it never became a law and was as much a nullity as if it had been the act or declaration of an unauthorized assemblage of individuals." (Ryan v. Lynch, 68 Ill. 160)
Operating in private capacity

“All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram non judice, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 Inst. 165; 4 Inst. 278; 2 Roll. Abr. 277.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835 Edition, Volume 2

“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)

“Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.” Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202

“When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially” and thus are not protected by “qualified” or “limited immunity,” SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404
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66
Anyone who is wearing a military uniform is an agent of the Roman Cult

“The wearing of clerical dress or of a religious habit on the part of lay folk, …..., is liable to the same penalty on the part of the State as the misuse of military uniform.” Article 10, Concordat of 1933

Almost all (so-called) governments are bankrupt and owned and operated by the Roman Cult

At common law a sheriff would have a star only, and a Judge would wear a business suit

At common law there is no uniform

©Common Law Copyright 2017
The following are BAAL Priests in the Northern District of Texas, the Arizona District, and the Courts of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the Ninth Circuit.

These are the whores I have dealt with that I have pictures of.
Sidney Fitzwater, the Whore
Joe Fish the Whore
Terry Means the Whore
Sam R. Cummings, the Whore
John H. McBryde, the Whore
Paul Stickney, the Whore
Edith Jones, the Whore
BAAL Priest Uniforms = Roman Cult = Whores
BAAL Priests - Roman Cult Whores

- Jeffrey L Cureton, Magistrate whore
- Neil V Wake, Judge whore
- Reed O’Connor, Judge whore
- Sam Cummings, Judge whore
- Richard C Tallman, Judge whore
- Stephen McNamee, Judge whore
CHAPTER II.

THE TRIBUNAL OF SECOND INSTANCE
All so-called Courts are Tribunals (except common law jury of peers – trial by jury – not trial with jury)

It is all Admiralty

All Tribunals come from Canon Law

They assault you with their satanic contract to sell you into slavery
convert a court case into a commercial transaction,

convert an article 3 judge into an article 1 revenue officer BAAL priest clerk masquerading as a judge, who is working for the crown and the roman cult,

pay for the errors and omissions insurance for the BAAL priest (so-called judge) thereby alleviating him of any responsibility in the matter,

makes it so that the BAAL priest (so-called judge) doesn’t care what the outcome is,

nullifies the BAAL priest’s oath of office in the matter, since all errors and omissions are covered by the insurance,
converts the court case into a contract dispute in admiralty,
makes it so that the BAAL priest gets to collect a royalty in the case in support of his multi-million dollar retirement,
gives the BAAL priest a special motivation to dismiss the case, to thereby encourage more violations, and to create more business down the road!,
creates business for his BAAL priest buddies in the courts of appeals,
creates the opportunity to leverage the fees by 30 times, since all courts are banks, to improve the balance sheet of their so-called court, and,
generates revenue for their roman cult handlers
United Nations Agencies

- Child Protective Services
- Family Court
- All BAR Members (lawyers & judges)
- All Law Enforcement Officers (LEOs)
- Anyone with a military uniform on
All of these whores have the capability to operate as an Article 3 Judge, but NONE of them ever do

They fabricate evidence of their US citizen slave so they can
- Put the case into interstate commerce under the commerce clause
- Convert it to an Admiralty proceeding
- Become a clerk masquerading as a Judge
- Collect a royalty in support of their million dollar retirement
- Sit there and play stupid
- Perjure their oath and get away with it
This letter is in response to your correspondence to Alan Watson dated August 17, 2012 regarding the denial of your application for a Georgia driver’s license. As a preliminary matter, please know that the Department of Driver Services (DDS) intends no disrespect by addressing you using the conventions of formal business correspondence, and information provided to the DDS by the United States Postal Service suggests that the addressing of this letter in this fashion will expedite its delivery to you.

With regard to the content of your letter, the DDS agrees that your application for a driver’s license creates no contract between you and the State of Georgia. According to O.C.G.A. §13-1-10, “where, in the exercise of the police power, a license is issued, the license is not a contract but only a permission to enjoy the privilege for the time specified, on the terms stated; and it may be abrogated.” Unfortunately, the DDS respectfully must disagree with the balance of the contents of your correspondence.

First, various provisions of state and federal law require most drivers to possess a valid driver’s license to operate a motor vehicle, particularly O.C.G.A. §40-5-20. The exemptions from the statute are found in O.C.G.A. §40-5-21. None of the documentation provided with your letter suggests that you fall into one of the statutorily recognized exceptions. The DDS is prohibited from issuing a driver’s license to anyone whose driver’s license or driving privilege in another state is under suspension. O.C.G.A. §40-5-22(c). Operation of a motor vehicle without a valid driver’s license could be a violation of O.C.G.A. §§40-5-20 and/or 40-5-121, particularly since the contents of your lease suggest that you have been a resident of the State of Georgia for more than thirty (30) days. The term resident is defined in O.C.G.A. §40-5-1(15) as “a person who has a permanent home or abode in Georgia to which, whenever such person is absent, he or she has the intention of returning.”
The statute creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria:

(A) Any person who accepts employment or engages in any trade, profession, or occupation in Georgia or enters his or her children to be educated in the private or public schools of Georgia within ten days after the commencement of such employment or education; or

(B) Any person who, except for infrequent, brief absences, has been present in the state for 30 or more days; provided, however, that no person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.”  Id.

The lease submitted with your driver’s license application was executed on September 19, 2011. Anyone who is here legally and becomes a resident must obtain a driver’s license in Georgia within thirty (30) days. O.C.G.A. 40-5-20.

While the United States Supreme Court has recognized a fundamental right to interstate travel, this right has not included a fundamental right to drive.  Miller v. Reed, 176 F.3d 1202, 1206 (9th Cir. 1999); Dixon v. Love, 431 U.S. 105, 112-116 (1977).  Similarly, the Georgia Supreme Court has held that “the right to operate a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state is not a vested right, but is merely a qualified right which can be excercised by obtaining a license from the state.”  Johnston v. State, 236 Ga. 370 (1976).  “[I]n Georgia, a driver’s license is not an absolute right but rather is a privilege that may be revoked for cause. The right to continue the operation and to keep the license to drive is dependent upon the manner in which the licensee exercises this right. The right is not absolute, but is a privilege. While it cannot be suspended or revoked without reason, it can be constitutionally revoked or suspended for any cause having to do with public safety.”  Nolen v. State, 218 Ga. App. 819, 820 (1995).  Moreover, the Georgia Supreme Court explicitly rejected the argument that the driver’s license requirement established in O.C.G.A. §40-5-20 was not unconstitutional when applied to “a common law freeman exercising his right to travel on public ways.”  Lebrun v. State, 255 Ga. 406 (1986).

With regard to your concerns about the requirement for collecting your social security number, the DDS has not compelled you to obtain a social security number in violation of your religious beliefs. Rather, you presented your card voluntarily in conjunction with your application for a Georgia driver’s license. This requirement is based upon federal laws enacted by Congress to facilitate the collection of child support payments from non-custodial parents and in the interest of homeland security.  42 U.S.C. §666(a)(13)(A); 49 U.S.C. §30301 note; 6 C.F.R. §37.01, et seq.
Federal law now requires all states to collect social security numbers when issuing such credentials. *Id.* The only exception to the requirement is for individuals who are not eligible for issuance of a social security number because they are aliens not authorized to work in the United States. O.C.G.A. §19-11-9.1(a.1)(1); 6 C.F.R. §37.11(e)(3).

We hope that this information is responsive to your inquiry, and we look forward to serving your licensing needs once the issue in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is resolved. Please note that you are eligible for issuance of a Georgia identification card under O.C.G.A. §40-5-100, *et seq.*, if you need state-issued documentation of your identity in the meantime. I can be reached at (678) 413-8765 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

Jennifer Ammons
General Counsel
“The statute [all statutes] creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria: …. however no such person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.”

Jennifer Ammons, General Counsel, Georgia Department of Driver Services
UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented.

Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years.

UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.
UNIDROIT

- UNIDROIT covers:
  - Negotiable instruments
  - Civil procedure
  - Secured transactions
  - Legal status of women
  - Maintenance obligations
  - Contracts
  - Banking law
  - Much more – (see the website)
• News and events
• About UNIDROIT
  o Overview
  o Membership
  o Work Programme
  o Institutional Documents
    ▪ Statute
    ▪ Regulations
    ▪ Headquarters Agreement
  o Governing Council
  o Secretariat
• Meetings
  o General Assembly
  o Governing Council
  o Finance Committee
  o Studies
• Instruments
  o Agency
  o Capital Markets
    ▪ Geneva Convention
    ▪ Netting
  o Commercial Contracts
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    ▪ UPICC Model Clauses
  o Cultural Property
    ▪ 1995 Convention
    ▪ Model Legislative Provisions
  o Factoring
  o Franchising
    ▪ Model Law
    ▪ Guide
      ▪ First Edition 1998
International Sales
- ULIS 1964
- ULFC 1964

Leasing
- Convention
- Model Law

Security Interests
- Cape Town Convention
- Aircraft Protocol
- Rail Protocol
- Space Protocol

Succession

Transport
- CCV
- CMR

Work in Progress / Studies
- Current Studies
  - Contract Farming
  - Transnational Civil Procedure
  - Emerging markets

Past Studies
- Arbitration
- Banking Law
- Capital markets
- Insolvency
Emerging markets

Past Studies
- Arbitration
- Banking Law
- Capital markets
- Civil liability
- Civil procedure
- Company law
- Contracts (in general)
- Cultural property
- Factoring
- Forwarding agency
- Franchising
- Hotelkeepers
- Insurance
- Intellectual property
- International sales
- Leasing
- Legal Status of Women
- Maintenance obligations
- Methodology
- Movement of persons
- Natural resources
- Negotiable instruments
- Non-legislative activities
· 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

· 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);

· 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);

· 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

· 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);
MEMBERSHIP

Membership of UNIDROIT is restricted to States acceding to the **UNIDROIT Statute**.

UNIDROIT's member States are drawn from the five continents and represent a variety of different legal, economic and political systems as well as different cultural backgrounds.

To find the date when a particular State became a member of UNIDROIT click on the relevant national flag.

The following 63 States are members of UNIDROIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER STATES</th>
<th>NATIONAL AUTHORITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR MEMBER STATES’ RELATIONS WITH UNIDROIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Embassy of Argentina in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Attorney-General’s Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Federal Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Federal Public Service Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Embassy of Brazil in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Justice Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Ministry of Commerce, Treaty and Law Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Ministry/Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>Ministry for Trade and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>Secretariat of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Slovenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>South African Department of International Relations and Cooperation (DIRCO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Federal Office of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice and Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice General Directorate of International Law and Foreign Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</td>
<td>Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Department of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNIDROIT Treaty

- All Judges are actually Clerk masquerading as Judges – BAAL Priests
- Their black robe is their BAAL Priest uniform
- They routinely deny anything related to justice from happening because it is so good for business!!
- They encourage their false flag operations and agent provocateurs because it is so good for business
- They encourage police state murders, assaults, kidnappings, thefts because it is so good for business!
- Everything they do is a fraud (a lie) because they are satanists

©Common Law Copyright 2016
"AN ACT To enact the Uniform Commercial Code for the District of Columbia, and for other purposes." 77 Stat 630 Public Law 88-243

“(h) The United States is located in the District of Columbia.” Uniform Commercial Code Sec. 9.307. LOCATION OF DEBTOR.
No Common Law Crimes in United States (D.C. & Territories)

Everything is in Admiralty

“A writ of error doth not lie upon a sentence in the admiralty, but an appeal. 4 Inst. 135. 339.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 Edition under the definition of Admiralty

Appeals are in Admiralty

It is called a Court of Appeals

It is the same thing that precipitated the War of Independence
“...statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property......to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial....... and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.

We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.” Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)
“In the meantime, "Civil Law" was the form of law imposed in the Roman Empire which was largely (if not wholly) governed by martial law rule. "Equity“ has always been understood to follow the law; to have "superior equity," is to turn things on their head. This is exactly what happens when martial law is imposed. If "equity" is the law, then it follows its own course rather than following the common law, thereby destroying the common law and leaving what is called "equity" in its place.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,
A “penal action” is an action on a penal statute; an action for recovery of penalty given by statute. *McNeely v. City of Natchez*, 114 So. 484, 487; 148 Miss. 268.

Where an action is founded entirely upon a statute, and the only object of it is to recover a penalty or forfeiture, such action is a “penal action.” *Gawthrop v. Fairmont Coal Co.*, 81 S.E. 560, 561; 74 S.Va. 39.
The words “penal” and “penalty” in their strict and primary sense denote a punishment, whether corporal or pecuniary, imposed and enforced by the state for a crime or offense against its laws. The noun penalty is defined forfeiture or to be forfeited for noncompliance with an agreement. The words forfeit and penalty are substantially synonymous. Missouri, K. & T. Ry. Co. v. Dewey Portland Cement Co., 242 P. 257, 259, 113 Okla. 142.
A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute, and the only object is to recover a penalty or a forfeiture imposed as a punishment for a certain specific offense, while a “remedial action: is one which is brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Cummings v. Board of Education of Okla. City, 125 P2d 989, 994, 190 Okl. 533

A “penal action” is a civil suit brought for the recovery of a statutory forfeiture when inflicted as punishment for an offense against the public. Such actions are “civil actions, “ on the one hand closely related to criminal prosecutions and on the other to actions for private injuries in which the party aggrieved may, by statute, recover punitive damages. State ex rel. McNamee v. Stobie, 92 SW 191, 212, 194 Mo. 14
"But individuals, when acting as representatives of a collective group, cannot be said to be exercising their personal rights and duties, nor be entitled to their purely personal privileges. Rather they assume the rights, duties and privileges of the artificial entity or association of which they are agents or officers and they are bound by its obligations." *Brasswell v. United States* 487 U.S. 99 (1988) quoting, *United States v. White* 322 U.S. 694 (1944),
“Whenever [the Uniform Commercial Code] creates a "presumption" with respect to a fact, or provides that a fact is "presumed," the trier of fact must find the existence of the fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its nonexistence.” UCC § 1-206 Presumptions [emphasis added]
“(a) In an action with respect to an instrument, the authenticity of, and authority to make, each signature on the instrument are admitted unless specifically denied in the pleadings. If the validity of a signature is denied in the pleadings, the burden of establishing validity is on the person claiming validity, but the signature is presumed to be authentic and authorized unless the action is to enforce the liability of the purported signer and the signer is dead or incompetent at the time of trial of the issue of validity of the signature.” Uniform Commercial Code § 3.308 Proof of Signatures and Status as Holder in Due Course [emphasis added]
“The following rules apply in an action on a certificated security against the issuer:

(1) Unless specifically denied in the pleadings, each signature on a security certificate or in a necessary indorsement is admitted.

(2) If the effectiveness of a signature is put in issue, the burden of establishing effectiveness is on the party claiming under the signature, but the signature is presumed to be genuine or authorized.” Uniform Commercial Code § 8.114 Evidentiary Rules Concerning Certificated Securities [emphasis added]
These Roman Cult Satanist BAR member whore masquerading as a Judge forges your signature onto a contract and then presumes it is authorized and authentic (who is going to call a “Judge” a liar)

That is how they are populating the prisons

Karl Lents brought up the issue of forgery against CPS (when they stole his son) in his successful 1 page lawsuit

See the Judicial Whores video
“He [the prisoner] has as a consequence of his crime, not only forfeited his liberty but all his personal rights except those which the law in its humanity affords him. He is for the time being a slave of the state.” 62 Va. (21 Gratt.) 790, 796 (1871)

“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7
International Law is a subset of Canon Law
International Law started with the Roman Cult
UNIDROIT stands for the International Institute for the Unification of Private Law
UNIDROIT is located about 100 yards from the Holy See
UNIDROIT controls and governs the Uniform Commercial Code
Through UNIDROIT the Roman Cult has seized control of all courts
The Roman Cults BAR members are already all officers of all Courts – See the BAR Members 1, 2, & 3 videos
Get US Out of the UN NOW!!

- The United Nations is owned and operated by the Crown and their Roman Cult handlers
- See The Crown is Owned and Operated by the Roman Cult video
- See the United States is a Crown Colony and the Crown Owns and Operates the United Nations 1 & 2 videos
- UNIDROIT is coming from the United Nations – See The Roman Cult Slave Scam 1 video
- The United Nations maintains the International Law collection which is also coming from the Roman Cult
- They are using the Roman Cult’s International Law Rule to assault us with their fraudulent fictitious cestui que trust / US citizen / slave

©Common Law Copyright 2017
No Article Real Courts

- There are no Real Courts
- They are all Clerks masquerading as Judges
- They cannot do anything judicial
- If they try to do a judicial act it is a fraud and a nullity (Void Judgment)
- All decisions are advisory ONLY
- They are Satanists – all they do is fraud (lies)
- They are pirates
- They are terrorists
- “Terrorism - noun – A system of government that seeks to rule by intimidation.” Funk and Wagnal’s New Practical Standard Dictionary (1946)