



Martial Law is Here!

(Has Been for Decades!)

by **Sovereignty International** (a trust)

C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437

Fort Worth, Texas

ZIP CODE EXEMPT

engineerwin@yahoo.com

Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com

Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com

www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi

<http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com>

References

- **Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants**
- **I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations that are available for a donation**
- **Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars**

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- There are 3 kinds of martial law
 - Full Martial Law
 - Martial Law Proper
 - Martial Law rule
- Ex Parte Milligan 4 Wall (71 U.S.) 2, 18 L.Ed. 281, p 302
- Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- Full Martial Law
 - Declaration of Martial Law is issued
 - Troops put on the streets
 - Used only during War time
 - Used on foreign country or when actually invaded by foreign power or to put down an armed rebellion
 - Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- Martial Law Proper
 - Law of the armed forces
 - When a captain tells a private what to do
 - Enforced by Courts Martial
 - Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- Martial Law Rule
 - Law of necessity and emergency
 - Allows the domestic use of martial law powers
 - Used during times of peace.
 - Can continue for centuries during a military occupation
 - Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968]
The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by
Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law Rule = Emergency Rule

- 1 Precipitated by bankruptcy
- **"There are two ways to conquer and enslave a nation. One is by the sword. The other is by debt."**
John Adams 1826
- 2 Precipitated by a military occupation
- See the Texas (and other American States) are under a military occupation video
- See the Alberta (and other Canadian States) are under a Military Occupation video

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- “RCW 1.16.090 Legislative declaration for civil liberties day of remembrance. The legislature recognizes that on February 19, 1942, the President of the United States issued Executive Order 9066 which authorized military rule over civilian law and lives . . .”

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- Evidence of Martial Law Rule

- Military script circulated for money

"The action of Congress in passage of the first Legal Tender Act was . . . placed distinctly upon the ground of the existing imperative need of government, and the legal tender clause was urged and adopted as a war measure." [martial law].

Julliard v. Greenman, (1884) 110 U.S. 421; 425, 4 S.Ct. 122; 28 L.Ed. 204.

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- Evidence of Martial Law Rule
 - Military scrip is a forced loan
- "The forced loans of 1862 and 1863, in the form of legal tender notes, were vital forces in the struggle for national supremacy. They formed a part of the public debt of the United States, ..." Julliard v. Greenman, (1884) 110 U.S. 421; 425, 4 S.Ct. 122; 28 L.Ed. 204.
- Federal Reserve Notes = Legal Tender

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

•“Congress (claiming its martial law "power to declare war," "suppress insurrections" and "repel invasions") imposed martial law on the United States and never discontinued it. The result was an extension of military and municipal jurisdiction of Congress. But where is the evidence of this? Look at the Thirteenth Amendment , the Civil Rights Acts, the Legal Tender Laws, the Fourteenth Amendment , etc., etc., etc..” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

"Since March 9, 1933; the United States has been in a state of declared National Emergency . . . Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens. . . . A majority of the people of the United States have lived all of their lives under emergency rule. For 40 years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the Constitution have in varying degrees been abridged by laws brought into force by states of national emergency . . ."

In Reg: U.S. Senate Report No. 93-549 dated 11/19/73 (73 CIS Serial Set S963-2 - [607 Pages]):

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- "It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent, H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only." United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33,


Martial Law = Roman Cult

- Senate Report 93-549(Para 1) "*The United States has been under dictatorial control since March 9, 1933*".
- Senate Report 93-549(Para 2) "*Extraordinary powers have been delegated to the President, ordinarily exercised by the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners...to rule the country without reference to normal Constitutional processes*" Report of the Special Committee on the Termination of the National Emergency, War and Emergency Powers Acts, November 19, 1973

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism


- Evidence of Martial Law Rule
 - Income Tax collected under military authority
 - Government seizes money without a court order
 - Government seizes property without a court order
 - Government seizes bank accounts without a court order

Advertisement

- Don't forget to subscribe to this channel
- Don't forget to Like this video 
- Don't forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload



sovereignliving

✓ Subscribed  5,500

Home Videos Playlists Channels Discussion About 

What to watch next



D.I.Y. Dealing with Student Loans - It is an Alleged...
by sovereignliving

657 views 1 week ago


Social Security Number = Badge of Slavery - It is a...
by sovereignliving


192 views 6 hours ago

Rights of Children = United

Featured Channels

 **Mark Passio**
Subscribe

 **StormCloudsGather...**
Subscribe

 **X22Report**
Subscribed

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

“As we have said, the Federal Personal Income Tax is Collected under a Military Venue within a Martial-Law jurisdiction. Federal Reserve Notes are Military Scrip circulated within a Military Venue. The problem is the people don't understand how the entire United States is covered by a Military Venue.... Under the Social Security Act, there was brought into existence Ten Federal Regional Areas. These ten federal regional areas are the same as a military base.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

“It is not unconstitutional to circulate "military scrip" on a military base as the base is considered to be a military venue. "Military scrip" cannot circulate in the civil jurisdiction of the several States. To get around this Constitutional bar, the Congress (via the Social Security Act), created Ten Military Venues, called Federal Regional Areas.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

" The problem the Congress realized was, while Congress could restructure the Government agencies into these Federal Regional Areas, the people could not be identified to be within this Military Venue but by their own consent. The solution was to create another Military Venue which would trick the people to voluntarily accept recognition that they are within a Military Venue . Congress solved this problem by creating the ZIP CODE." Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

•“The "zip code" divides the United States into Ten Military Venues called " National Areas ." When a Citizen receives mail from an agency of the federal government (such as the I.R.S.), in the return address of the federal agency is the district within the regional area the letter is sent from, and on the address of the "Citizen" it was sent to is the national area [ZIP] in which he received the correspondence from the I.R.S.. In other words, the correspondence was sent from one of the federal regional areas [military venue] to one of the National Areas [another military venue]. "Taxing Districts" are established within one of the Federal Regional Areas, which places the collection of taxes under a martial law jurisdiction.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- "...it becomes essential to distinguish between what is and what is not "income," according to truth and substance without regard to form. Congress cannot, by any definition it may adopt, conclude the matter, since it cannot by legislation, alter the Constitution, from which it derives its power to legislate, and which within those limitations alone, that power can be unlawfully exercised... [Income is] Derived -- from -- capital -- the -- gain -- derived -- from -- capital, etc. Here we have the essential matter -- not gain accruing to capital, not a growth or increment of value in the investment; but a gain, a profit, something of exchangeable value ... severed from the capital however invested or employed, and coming in, being "derived," that is received or drawn by the recipient for his separate use, benefit and disposal -- that is the income derived from property. Nothing else answers the description...." [emphasis is in the original] Eisner v Macomber, 252 U.S. 189

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- Evidence that there is a Military occupation
 - Military script circulated for money
 - Police use rank structure of captain, lieutenant, sergeant
 - Police refer to non-police as “civilians”
 - Courts “presume” everything
 - “curfew” is strictly under a martial law jurisdiction
 - The State Regional Areas under Metro-Government provide the Military Venue for the Peace Officers to enforce Martial Law Jurisdiction.

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

“We can't even begin to count the number of times Judges, Lawyers, and Statesmen have said:

"There isn't any common law anymore. It has been replaced by Statutes."

They would be more truthful if they said:

"There isn't any common-law any more, it has been replaced by martial law.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- "There is no common law of United States as contradistinguished from individual states; and courts of the United States, instead of administering common law or any particular system, conform to law of states where they are situated." *People v. Folsom* (1855), 5 C. 373.

No Common Law Crimes in United States (D.C. & Territories)

- There are no common law offenses against the United States. Only those acts which Congress has forbidden, with penalties for disobedience of its command, are crimes. *United States v. Hudson & Goodwin*, 11 U.S. (7th Cr.) 32 (1812); *United States v. Coolidge*, 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 415 (1816); *United States v. Britton*, 108 U.S. 199, 206 (1883); *United States v. Eaton*, 144 U.S. 677, 687 (1892).

No Common Law Crimes in Texas

- Under Texas law, no act or omission is a crime unless made so by statute. Dawson v. Vance, 329 F.Supp. 1320, (D.C.Tex. 1971). The Legislature may create an offense and in same enactment, provide exceptions to its application. Williams v. State, 176 SW2d 177, Tex.Cr.App., 1943.

No Common Law Crimes

- Everything is in Admiralty
- **“A writ of error doth not lie upon a sentence in the admiralty, but an appeal. 4 Inst. 135. 339.”**
Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 Edition under the definition of Admiralty
- Appeals are in Admiralty
- It is called a Court of Appeals
- It is the same thing that precipitated the War of Independence

No Common Law = Martial Law

- “...statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property.....to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial..... and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.
- We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.” Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

“In the meantime, "Civil Law" was the form of law imposed in the Roman Empire which was largely (if not wholly) governed by martial law rule. "Equity" has always been understood to follow the law; to have "superior equity," is to turn things on their head. This is exactly what happens when martial law is imposed. If "equity" is the law, then it follows its own course rather than following the common law, thereby destroying the common law and leaving what is called "equity" in its place.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,



Georgia Department of Driver Services

2206 East View Parkway, P.O. Box 80447, Conyers Georgia 30013
Phone: (678) 413-8650 FAX: (678) 413-8773

Nathan Deal
Governor

Gregory C. Dozier
Commissioner

September 5, 2012



This letter is in response to your correspondence to Alan Watson dated August 17, 2012 regarding the denial of your application for a Georgia driver's license. As a preliminary matter, please know that the Department of Driver Services (DDS) intends no disrespect by addressing you using the conventions of formal business correspondence, and information provided to the DDS by the United States Postal Service suggests that the addressing of this letter in this fashion will expedite its delivery to you.

With regard to the content of your letter, the DDS agrees that your application for a driver's license creates no contract between you and the State of Georgia. According to O.C.G.A. §13-1-10, "where, in the exercise of the police power, a license is issued, the license is not a contract but only a permission to enjoy the privilege for the time specified, on the terms stated; and it may be abrogated." Unfortunately, the DDS respectfully must disagree with the balance of the contents of your correspondence.

First, various provisions of state and federal law require most drivers to possess a valid driver's license to operate a motor vehicle, particularly O.C.G.A. §40-5-20. The exemptions from the statute are found in O.C.G.A. §40-5-21. None of the documentation provided with your letter suggests that you fall into one of the statutorily recognized exceptions. The DDS is prohibited from issuing a driver's license to anyone whose driver's license or driving privilege in another state is under suspension. O.C.G.A. §40-5-22(c). Operation of a motor vehicle without a valid driver's license could be a violation of O.C.G.A. §§40-5-20 and/or 40-5-121, particularly since the contents of your letter suggest that you have been a resident of the State of Georgia for more than thirty (30) days. The term resident is defined in O.C.G.A. §40-5-1(15) as "a person who has a permanent home or abode in Georgia to which, whenever such person is absent, he or she has the intention of returning."

The statute creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria:

- (A) Any person who accepts employment or engages in any trade, profession, or occupation in Georgia or enters his or her children to be educated in the private or public schools of Georgia within ten days after the commencement of such employment or education; or
- (B) Any person who, except for infrequent, brief absences, has been present in the state for 30 or more days; provided, however, that no person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service." *Id.*

The lease submitted with your driver's license application was executed on September 19, 2011. Anyone who is here legally and becomes a resident must obtain a driver's license in Georgia within thirty (30) days. O.C.G.A. 40-5-20.


While the United States Supreme Court has recognized a fundamental right to interstate travel, this right has never included a fundamental right to drive. *Miller v. Reed*, 176 F.3d 1202, 1206 (9th Cir. 1999); *Dixon v. Love*, 431 U.S. 105, 112-116 (1977). Similarly, the Georgia Supreme Court has held that "the right to operate a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state is not a vested right, but is merely a qualified right which can be exercised by obtaining a license from the state." *Johnston v. State*, 236 Ga. 370 (1976). "[I]n Georgia, a driver's license is not an absolute right but rather is a privilege that may be revoked for cause. The right to continue the operation and to keep the license to drive is dependent upon the manner in which the licensee exercises this right. The right is not absolute, but is a privilege. While it cannot be suspended or revoked without reason, it can be constitutionally revoked or suspended for any cause having to do with public safety." *Nolen v. State*, 218 Ga. App. 819, 820 (1995). Moreover, the Georgia Supreme Court explicitly rejected the argument that the driver's license requirement established in O.C.G.A. §40-5-20 was not unconstitutional when applied to "a common law freeman exercising his right to travel on public ways." *Lebrun v. State*, 255 Ga. 406 (1986).

With regard to your concerns about the requirement for collecting your social security number, the DDS has not compelled you to obtain a social security number in violation of your religious beliefs. Rather, you presented your card voluntarily in conjunction with your application for a Georgia driver's license. This requirement is based upon federal laws enacted by Congress to facilitate the collection of child support payments from non-custodial parents and in the interest of homeland security. 42 U.S.C. §666(a)(13)(A); 49 U.S.C. §30301 note; 6 C.F.R. §37.01, *et seq.*

Federal law now requires all states to collect social security numbers when issuing such credentials. *Id.* The only exception to the requirement is for individuals who are not eligible for issuance of a social security number because they are aliens not authorized to work in the United States. O.C.G.A. §19-11-9.1(a.1)(1); 6 C.F.R. §37.11(e)(3).

We hope that this information is responsive to your inquiry, and we look forward to serving your licensing needs once the issue in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is resolved. Please note that you are eligible for issuance of a Georgia identification card under O.C.G.A. §40-5-100, *et seq.*, if you need state-issued documentation of your identity in the meantime. I can be reached at (678) 413-8765 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Ammons', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jennifer Ammons
General Counsel

Right to Travel

- **“The statute creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria: however no such person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.”**
Jennifer Ammons, General Counsel, Georgia Department of Driver Services

Advertisement

- ❖ Announcing a subscription based Youtube channel called Sovereignty International
- ❖ The recommended cost of the subscription is currently US\$1.99 because it avoids the advertising ONLY
- ❖ The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit
- ❖ For that reason there will be very little exclusive material on that channel
- ❖ Currently publishing 5 videos a week
- ❖ https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCokSQqXw1y2_2hAtJxUcoNw



Search

- Home
- My channel
- Trending
- Subscriptions
- History
- Watch Later
- Get YouTube Red



Sovereignty International

Paid channel
\$1.99/month
First 14 days free • No advertising
[Start free trial](#)

- Home
- Videos
- Playlists
- Channels
- About

SUBSCRIPTIONS

[Add channels](#)

Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- A “penal action” is an action on a penal statute; an action for recovery of penalty given by statute.
NcNeely v. City of Natchez, 114 So. 484, 487; 148 Miss. 268.
- Where an action is founded entirely upon a statute, and the only object of it is to recover a penalty or forfeiture, such action is a “penal action.”
Gawthrop v. Fairmont Coal Co., 81 S.E. 560, 561; 74 S.Va. 39.

Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- The words “penal” and “penalty” in their strict and primary sense denote a punishment, whether corporal or pecuniary, imposed and enforced by the state for a crime or offense against its laws. **The noun penalty is defined forfeiture or to be forfeited for noncompliance with an agreement.** The words forfeit and penalty are substantially synonymous. Missouri, K. & T. Ry. Co. v. Dewey Portland Cement Co., 242 P. 257, 259, 113 Okla. 142.
- A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute and brought with the sole object of recovering a penalty or forfeiture imposed as punishment for specific offense, while “remedial action: is one brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Smith Engineering Works v. Custer, 151 P2d 404, 407, 194 Okl. 318.

Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- A “penal action” is a civil suit brought for the recovery of a statutory forfeiture when inflicted as punishment for an offense against the public. Such actions are “civil actions, “ on the one hand closely related to criminal prosecutions and on the other to actions for private injuries in which the party aggrieved may, by statute, recover punitive damages. State ex rel. McNamee v. Stobie, 92 SW 191, 212, 194 Mo. 14

US Citizen = US Subject = Slave

- Martial Law is really no law at all – Dictatorship
- **"What is called 'proclaiming martial law' is no law at all; but merely for the sake of public safety, in circumstances of great emergency, setting aside all law, and acting under military power; a proceeding which requires to be followed by an act of indemnity when the disturbances are at an end." 8 Atty. Gen. Op. 365, 367, February 3, 1857. Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,**

US Citizen = US Subject = Slave

- ❖ "The right of trial by jury in civil cases, guaranteed by the 7th Amendment (Walker v. Sauvinet, 92 U. S. 90), and the right to bear arms, guaranteed by the 2nd Amendment (Presser v. Illinois, 116 U. S. 252), have been distinctly held not to be privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States guaranteed by the 14th Amendment against abridgement by the states, and in effect the same decision was made in respect of the guarantee against prosecution, except by indictment of a grand jury, contained in the 5th Amendment (Hurtado v. California, 110 U. S. 516), and in respect of the right to be confronted with witnesses, contained in the 6th Amendment." West v. Louisiana, 194 U. S. 258.

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- ““Martial law is the public law of necessity. Necessity calls it forth, necessity justifies its exercise, and necessity measures the extent and degree to which it may be employed. That necessity is no formal, artificial, legalistic concept but an actual and factual one: it is the necessity of taking action to safeguard the state against insurrection, riot, disorder, or public calamity. What constitutes necessity is a question of fact in each case.” Frederick B. Wiener, *A Practical Manual of Martial Law* 16 (1940).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3093, [emphasis added],

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- “[M]artial law is nothing more and nothing less than an application of the common law doctrine that force, to whatever degree necessary, may be used to repress illegal force. Martial law is the public right of self-defense against a danger threatening the order or the existence of the state. Where the ordinary civil authorities — the police — are unable to resist or subdue a disturbance, additional force, military in nature, may be applied. The extent of military force used depends in each instance upon the extent of the disturbance.” *Id.* at 16–17.” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3093, [emphasis added],

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

“This power [of admiralty jurisdiction] is as extensive upon land as upon water. The Constitution makes no distinction in that respect. And if the admiralty jurisdiction, in matters of contract and tort which the courts of the United States may lawfully exercise on the high seas, can be extended to the lakes under the power to regulate commerce, it can with the same propriety and upon the same construction, be extended to contracts and torts on land when the commerce is between different States.” Propeller Genessee Chief et al. v. Fitzhugh et al. 12 How. 443 (U.S. 1851)

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

“And it may embrace also the vehicles and persons engaged in carrying it on. It would be in the power of Congress to confer admiralty jurisdiction upon its courts, over the cars engaged in transporting passengers or merchandise from one State to another, and over the persons engaged in conducting them, and deny to the parties the trial by jury.”
Propeller Genessee Chief et al. v. Fitzhugh et al.
12 How. 443 (U.S. 1851)

What happened?

“Now the judicial power in cases of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, has never been supposed to extend to contracts made on land and to be executed on land. But if the power of regulating commerce can be made the foundation of jurisdiction in its courts, and a new and extended admiralty jurisdiction beyond its heretofore known and admitted limits, may be created on water under that authority, the same reason would justify the same exercise of power on land.” Propeller Genessee Chief et al. v. Fitzhugh et al. 12 How. 443 (U.S. 1851)

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

“Next to revenue (taxes) itself, the late extensions of the jurisdiction of the admiralty are our greatest grievance. The American Courts of Admiralty seem to be forming by degrees into a system that is to overturn our Constitution and to deprive us of our best inheritance, the laws of the land. It would be thought in England a dangerous innovation if the trial, of any matter on land was given to the admiralty” Jackson v. Magnolia, 20 How. 296 315, 342 (U.S. 1852)

Prize

- **“prize. 2. A vessel or cargo captured at sea or seized in port by the forces of a nation at war, and therefore liable to being condemned or appropriated as enemy property.” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition page 3779**
- **“prize court. A court having jurisdiction to adjudicate the captures made at sea in time of war.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition page 1078**

TITLE 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE PART I

- CRIMES

CHAPTER 1 - GENERAL PROVISIONS

- **Sec. 7. Special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States defined**
The term "special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States", as used in this title, includes:

TITLE 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE PART I

- CRIMES

CHAPTER 1 – GENERAL PROVISIONS

(1) The high seas, any other waters within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States and out of the jurisdiction of any particular State, and any vessel belonging in whole or in part to the United States or any citizen thereof, or to any corporation created by or under the laws of the United States, or of any State, Territory, District, or possession thereof, when such vessel is within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States and out of the jurisdiction of any particular State.

27 CFR § 72.11

Commercial Crimes

“Any of the following types of crimes (Federal or State); Offenses against the revenue laws; burglary; larceny; robbery; illegal sale or possession of deadly weapons; prostitution (including soliciting, procuring, pandering, white slaving, keeping house of ill fame, and like offenses); extortion; swindling and confidence games; and attempting to commit, or compounding any of the foregoing crimes. Addiction to narcotic drugs and use of marijuana will be treated as if such were commercial crime.”

Martial Law = Admiralty Jurisdiction

- **“The admiralty from the highest antiquity has exercised a very extensive criminal jurisdiction.”**
United States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 139.
- **“Admiralty courts have a jurisdiction over contracts and torts and other special cases.”** Waring v. Clark, 5 How. 441, 454-464; Genessee Chief v. Fitzhugh, 12 How. 443, 454. United States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 137, 142. (1933).

Martial Law = Admiralty Jurisdiction

- **“The criminal jurisdiction of the United States is wholly statutory, see United States v. Hudson, 7 Cranch 32,...” States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 154. (1933).**
- **“It is natural to consider the vessels of a nation as parts of its territory, though at sea, as the State retains its jurisdiction over them. This is the doctrine of the law of nations.” States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 156 N. 9 (1933).**

Admiralty Jurisdiction

- **"It is true that the criminal jurisdiction of the United States is in general based on the territorial principle, (commerce) and criminal statutes of the United States are not by implication given an extra-territorial effect. United States v. Bowman, 260 U.S. 94, 98; compare Blackmd States, 284 U.S. 421." States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 155, (1933).**

Roman Civil Law

- "...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)
- "It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762.

Information

- "The use of (information) has a long history, For example, in the reign of Henry VII", ..a very oppressive use was made of them for something more than a century, so as to continually harass and shamefully enrich the crown." Blackstone, 4 BL. Comm 310.

Information

- "The oppressive use of this mode of prosecution by Information occasioned struggles to procure a declaration of its illegality." People v. Sponsler, 46 N.W. 450,

Representation

- The right to be represented exists ONLY in a military court room. 10 USC § 838(a)(1)
- **“(1)The accused has the right to be represented in his defense before a general or special court-martial or at an investigation under section 832 of this title (article 32) as provided in this subsection.”**

Admiralty & Civil Law

- "And the forms and modes of proceedings in causes of equity, and of admiralty, and maritime jurisdiction, shall be according to the civil law." Wayman and another v. Southard and another, 10 Wall 1, p. 317.

Admiralty

- "Brown, Vol. 2, 100, lays down the rule in these terms: 'The general rule, however, at present, is, that the admiralty acts only in rem, and that no person can be subject to that jurisdiction but by his consent, expressed by his entering into a stipulation.'"
Ramsey v. Allegrie, 12 Wall 611, p. 409.

Admiralty

- "In Kreble's Reports, p. 500, quoted by Brown, it is expressly said, that without a stipulation, the admiralty has no jurisdiction at all over the person." Ramsey v. Allegrie, 12 Wall 611, p. 410. [emphasis added]

Admiralty

- "It is well known that in civil cases, in courts of equity and admiralty, juries do not intervene, and that courts of equity use the trial by jury only in extraordinary cases to inform the conscience of the court." Parsons v. Bedford, et al, 3 Pet 433, 479.

Admiralty Law = Commercial Law

- **Commercial Law is a subset of Maritime Law**
- **“There must be uniformity in maritime law; the principles of maritime laws are applicable to commercial law, and therefore, there must be uniformity in the commercial law.” Swift v. Tyson, 16 Pet 1, (1842)**

Civil Law

- ““Civil Law,” “Roman Law,” and “Roman Civil Law” are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called “municipal” law, to distinguish it from the “law of nature,” and from international law. See Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189 Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325” Black's Law Dictionary, Revised 4th Edition, page 312 [emphasis added]

Martial Law = Roman Law = Satanism

- 15 USC § 44 Definitions; “Corporation” “shall be deemed to include any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members, and has shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, and any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, without shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, except partnerships, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members.”

Advertisement

CUBE YARD

- For great custom websites, domain names, and hosting go to:
- <https://CubeYard.com>
- Use coupon code CY172 for 20% off your first order
- CubeYard.com – your source for websites, domain names, and hosting

Martial Law is Satanic

- “Admiralty Law. The terms "admiralty" and "maritime" law are virtually synonymous.” *Black's Law Dictionary 6th Ed. 1990*

What Happened?

- **"The United States District Court is not a true United States court established under Const, art. 3, to administer the judicial power of the United States, but was created by virtue of the sovereign congressional faculty, granted under Article 4, § 3, of making all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory belonging to the United States." Balzac v People of Puerto Rico, 258 U.S. 298**

Military Uniform = The Roman Cult

- Roman Cult = military uniform = Martial Law
- “The wearing of clerical dress or of a religious habit by lay people,....., is liable to the same penalty by the state as the misuse of the military uniform.” Article 10, 1933 Concordat with the Vatican

The Courts

- “When a State forms a constitution, which is approved by Congress, it is estopped to deny its validity. The action of Congress cannot be inquired into, for the judicial is bound to follow the action of the political department. White v. Hart, 39 Ga., 306; Powell v. Boon, 43 Ala.1, 459” Luther v. Borden et al., 48 U.S. 1 (1849)

Martial Law

- "The amendment (fourteenth) reversed and annulled the original policy of the constitution," United States v. Rhodes, 27 Federal Cases, 785, 794.

US Courts

- Even the US Supreme Court operates under the authority of the President of the United States
- **“Process; Mandates - All process of this Court issues in the name of the President of the United States.”** Rule 45.1 US Supreme Court Rules of Procedure

US Courts

- Even state courts are actually federal courts because they have US military flags in them
- If they have a corporate seal, it is a corporate court
- If they have a Coat of Arms = Martial Law
- **“LAW OF THE FLAG law of the flag. Maritime law. The law of the nation whose flag is flown by a particular vessel where it is registered. [Cases: Shipping 2.C.J.S. Shipping § 1.]” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition page 2591**

US Courts

- **“A shipowner who sends his vessel into a foreign port gives notice by his flag to all who enter into contracts with the master that he intends the law of that flag to regulate such contracts, and that they must either submit to its operation or not contract with him.”**
Ruhstrat v. People, 185 Ill. 133, 57 N.E. 41, 49 L.R.A. 181, 76 Am.St.Rep. 30.

Divorce Court is Admiralty

- “IN PERSONAM-IN REM.- § 3. Judgments.-So a judgment or decree is said to be *in rem* when it binds third persons; such is the sentence of a Court of Admiralty on a question of prize, or a decree of nullity or dissolution of marriage, (2 Sm. Lead. Cas. 699 j Castrique fl. Imrie, L. R. 4 H. L. 414; *Bee JUDGMENT*,) or a decree of a court in a foreign country as to the status of a person domiciled there. Doglioni". Crispin, L. R. 1 H. L. 801.” Rapalje A Dictionary of American & English Law, Volume 1, 1883 Edition, page 639

US Courts

- **“brutum fulmen”**: **“An empty noise; an empty threat. A judgment void upon its face which is in legal effect no judgment at all, and by which no rights are divested, and from which none can be obtained; and neither binds nor bars anyone. Dollert v. Pratt-Hewitt Oil Corporation, Tex.Civ.Appl, 179 S.W.2d 346, 348. Also, see Corpus Juris Secundum, “Judgments” §§ 499, 512 546, 549. Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition**

US Courts

"Not every action by any judge is in exercise of his judicial function. It is not a judicial function for a Judge to commit an intentional tort even though the tort occurs in the Courthouse, when a judge acts as a Trespasser of the Law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject matter jurisdiction and The Judge's orders are void, of no legal force or effect" Yates Vs. Village of Hoffman Estates, Illinois, 209 F.Supp. 757 (N.D. Ill. 1962)

What Happened?

- This has been going for centuries, if not millenia.
- It is the same thing that precipitated the Magna Carta.
- It is the same thing that precipitated the War of Independence.
- It is probably responsible for countless wars and revolutions.

What happened?

- US Declaration of Independence
 - “He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.
 - He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:
 - For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent:
 - For depriving us in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury:”

What happened?

- US Declaration of Independence
 - “For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:
 - He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.
 - For protecting them, by a mock Trial...”

Magna Carta

The Magna Carta is full of evidence that it was precipitated by Martial Law Rule

Magna Carta Chapter 45

“We will appoint as justices, constables, sheriffs, or bailiffs only such as know the law of the realm and mean to observe it well.”

Magna Carta

Magna Carta Chapter 40

“To no one will we sell, to no one will we refuse or delay, right or justice.”

There are chapters describing how they were stealing wood, people’s horses, and carts, and more.

Magna Carta

- Law Merchant is Private

International Law, and they are violating Chapter 38 of the Magna Carta;

“No Bailiff, for the future shall upon his own unsupported complaint, put anyone to his “law”, without credible witnesses....”,

Magna Carta

Magna Carta Chapter 29

“No freeman shall be taken and imprisoned, or disseized, or exiled, or in any way destroyed, nor will we go upon him, nor send upon him, except by the lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.”

Words

- ❖ There are certain words that are used in martial law (commerce);
 - ❖ Resident – residence (sovereigns inhabit, a home is an abode)
 - ❖ Traffic
 - ❖ Commissioner
 - ❖ Drive (sovereigns travel with their private property)
 - ❖ Human (a sovereign is a living soul, a man)
 - ❖ Liberty (sovereigns have freedom)
 - ❖ Mail (sovereigns use the “post” i.e. postal address)
 - ❖ Transportation
 - ❖ Spouse – is a “person”
 - ❖ Any word that is for a “person” – Trustee, trustor, beneficiary, (anything to do with the set up of trusts)

Jurisdiction

“We have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the Constitution.” Cohen v Virginia, 19 U.S. 264

What happened?

- [Almost] Every nation on the planet is under martial law
- [Almost] Every nation on the planet is bankrupt

What happened?

- Bottom line
 - All of this martial law stuff is for Ford and General Motors
 - If you are a Ford or GM employee, then you are a slave for Ford or GM
 - If you want to be free, then “we the people” have to quit letting Ford and GM run the country

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- Downes v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244 1901. Dissenting opinion of Justice Marshall Harlan. **“Two national governments exist, one to be maintained under the Constitution, with all its restrictions, the other to be maintained by Congress outside and independently of that instrument”**
- Why do you think that Nancy Pelosi, as Speaker of the US House of Representatives says that they have to pass legislation so they can find out what it says?

Who's duty is it to protect Constitution?

- ❖ The Courts have ruled that the government is NOT the protector of the constitution.
- ❖ If the government is not the protector of the constitution, then who is?



Do you know who you are?

- **“It is not the function of our government to keep the Citizen from falling into error; it is the function of the Citizen to keep the government from falling into error.”** American Communications Ass’n v Douds, 339 U.S. 382, 442.
- We do that by challenging what they are doing!

Advertisement - Other Videos

- Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
- Churchianity series
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1 - 3
- D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service and the Draft
- Martial Law is here!
- D.I.Y. No Income Tax
- D.I.Y. No Sales Tax
- D.I.Y. Traffic Stop 1 & 2
- D.I.Y. Free Mail 1 & 2
- D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts 1 - 9

Do You Know Who You are?

- **“Resistance to tyranny is service to God.”** James Madison

Tyranny

'When Plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men, they create for themselves, in the course of time, a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it.'

Frederic Bastiat, 'The Law' 1850

If you have ten thousand regulations you destroy all respect for the law. - Winston Churchill

Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for
some Satanic Privileges?

- "But individuals, when acting as representatives of a collective group, cannot be said to be exercising their personal rights and duties, nor be entitled to their purely personal privileges. Rather they assume the rights, duties and privileges of the artificial entity or association of which they are agents or officers and they are bound by its obligations." Brasswell v. United States 487 U.S. 99 (1988) quoting, United States v. White 322 U.S. 694 (1944),

Uniform Commercial Code = UNIDROIT = Roman Cult

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for
some Satanic Privileges?

- **“Whenever [the Uniform Commercial Code] creates a "presumption" with respect to a fact, or provides that a fact is "presumed," the trier of fact must find the existence of the fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its nonexistence.” UCC § 1-206 Presumptions [emphasis added]**

Uniform Commercial Code = UNIDROIT = Roman Cult

- “(a) In an action with respect to an instrument, the authenticity of, and authority to make, each signature on the instrument are admitted unless specifically denied in the pleadings. If the validity of a signature is denied in the pleadings, the burden of establishing validity is on the person claiming validity, but the signature is presumed to be authentic and authorized unless the action is to enforce the liability of the purported signer and the signer is dead or incompetent at the time of trial of the issue of validity of the signature.” Texas Business and Commerce Code § 3.308
Proof of Signatures and Status as Holder in Due Course
[emphasis added]

Uniform Commercial Code = UNIDROIT = Roman Cult

- “The following rules apply in an action on a certificated security against the issuer:
- (1) Unless specifically denied in the pleadings, each signature on a security certificate or in a necessary indorsement is admitted.
- (2) If the effectiveness of a signature is put in issue, the burden of establishing effectiveness is on the party claiming under the signature, but the signature is presumed to be genuine or authorized.” Texas Business and Commerce Code § 8.114 Evidentiary Rules Concerning Certificated Securities [emphasis added]

Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for
some Satanic Privileges?

- These Roman Cult Satanist BAR member whore masquerading as a Judge forges your signature onto a contract and then presumes it is authorized and authentic (who is going to call a “Judge” a liar)
- That is how they are populating the prisons
- Karl Lents brought up the issue of forgery against CPS (when they stole his son) in his successful 1 page lawsuit
- See the Judicial Whores video

Roman Cult = Slavery

- “He [the convicted felon] has as a consequence of his crime, not only forfeited his liberty but all his personal rights except those which the law in its humanity affords him. He is for the time being a slave of the state.” 62 Va. (21 Gratt.) 790, 796 (1871)
- **“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him ; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.”**

Deuteronomy 24:7 ©Common Law Copyright 2016

International Law = Roman Cult

- International Law is a subset of Canon Law
- International Law started with the Roman Cult
- UNIDROIT stands for the International Institute for the Unification of Private Law
- UNIDROIT is located about 100 yards from the Holy See
- UNIDROIT controls and governs the Uniform Commercial Code
- Through UNIDROIT the Roman Cult has seized control of all courts
- The Roman Cults BAR members are already all officers of all Courts – See the BAR Members 1, 2, & 3 videos

Get US Out of the UN NOW!!

- The United Nations is owned and operated by the Crown and their Roman Cult handlers
- See The Crown is Owned and Operated by the Roman Cult video
- See the United States is a Crown Colony and the Crown Owns and Operates the United Nations 1 & 2 videos
- UNIDROIT is coming from the United Nations – See The Roman Cult Slave Scam 1 video
- The United Nations maintains the International Law collection which is also coming from the Roman Cult
- They are using the Roman Cult's International Law Rule to assault us with their fraudulent fictitious cestui que trust / US citizen / slave

State Statutes are actually Federal Statutes

- **“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction”** **“Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State. ...STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under sections 24 or 28 of the former Judicial Code (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the " * * * laws * * * of the United States" ...”** Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158

It is all for US citizens

- "We therefore decline to overrule the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall: We hold that the District of Columbia is not a state within Article 3 of the Constitution. In other words cases between citizens of the District and those of the states were not included of the catalogue of controversies over which the Congress could give jurisdiction to the federal courts by virtue of Article 3. In other words Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction over citizens of Washington District of Columbia and through their plenary power nationally covers those citizens even when in one of the several states as though the district expands for the purpose of regulating its citizens wherever they go throughout the states in union" National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948)

The Vatican's Holocaust

**The sensational account of the most horrifying religious
massacre of the 20th century**

By Avro Manhattan – Knight of Malta



Avro Manhattan (1914-1990)

[About the Author:](#)

Avro Manhattan was the world's foremost authority on Roman Catholicism in politics. A resident of London, during WW II he operated a radio station called "Radio Freedom" broadcasting to occupied Europe. He was the author of over 20 books including the best-seller *The Vatican in World Politics*, twice Book-of-the-Month and going through 57 editions.

He was a *Great Briton* who risked his life daily to expose some of the darkest secrets of the Papacy.

His books were #1 on the *Forbidden Index* for the past 50 years!!

Ed Note: This issue from 1986 - in 2006 the record is now 70 Years on the forbidden book list.

PREFACE TO THE AMERICAN EDITIONS:

THE VATICAN'S HOLOCAUST is not a misnomer, an accusation, and even less a speculation. It is an historical fact.

Rabid nationalism and religious dogmatism were its two main ingredients.

During the existence of Croatia as an independent Catholic State, over 700,000 men, women and children perished.

Many were executed, tortured, died of starvation, buried alive, or were burned to death.

Hundreds were forced to become Catholic.

Catholic padres ran concentration camps; Catholic priests were officers of the military corps which committed such atrocities. 700,000 in a total population of a few million, proportionally, would be as if one-third of the USA population had been exterminated by a Catholic militia.

What has been gathered in this book will vindicate the veracity of these facts. Dates, names, and places, as well as photos are there to prove them.

They should become known to the American public, not to foster vindictiveness, but to warn them of the danger, which racialism and sectarianism, when allied with religious intolerance can bring to any contemporary nation, whether in Europe or in the New World.

This work should be assessed without prejudice and as a lesson; but even more vital, as a warning for the future of the Americans, beginning with that of the USA.

Avro Manhattan,
1986

Editor's Note

An armed Serbia could have easily prevented this Holocaust.

Thank God for the [2nd Amendment to the Constitution](#) which guarantees the right to bear arms.

Freedom of religion and an armed citizenry go hand in hand and is the *only* guarantee that this won't happen in the U.S.

Ed Note: It is the [Vatican One World Government](#) that doesn't want you to have the right to own arms or to use any means to defend yourself.

Roman Law = Roman Cult = Satanism

- Mark Passio – Former Satanist Priest – Pillars of Satanism
- Moral Relativism – we decide what is right or wrong today and tomorrow it will be something different
- BAAL Priests sit there and play stupid to sell you into slavery

There Have Always Been Two Classes of Citizens

- ❖ “The Citizens of each State shall be entitled to all Privileges and Immunities of Citizens in the several States.” US Constitution Article 4, Section 2, Clause 1
- ❖ “But the stranger that dwelleth among you shall be unto you as one born among you, and thou shalt love him as thyself; for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt;...” Leviticus 19:34
- ❖ “Love ye therefore the stranger; for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt.” Deuteronomy 10:19

Two Classes of Citizens

- ❖ “The rights of sovereignty extend to all persons and things, not privileged that are within the territory. They extend to all strangers resident therein; not only to those who are naturalized, and to those who are domiciled therein, having taken up their abode with the intention of permanent residence, but also to those whose residence is transitory. All strangers are under the protection of the sovereign while they are within his territory and owe a temporary allegiance in return for that protection.” Carlisle v United States 83 U.S. 147, 154 (1873)

US Citizen = Roman Cult

- ❖ "...the privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States do not necessarily include all the rights protected by the first eight amendments to the Federal constitution against the powers of the Federal government." Maxwell v Dow, 20 S.C.R. 448, at pg 455;
- ❖ "The only absolute and unqualified right of a United States citizen is to residence within the territorial boundaries of the United States," US vs. Valentine 288 F. Supp. 957
- ❖ See The (so-called) Fourteenth Amendment is Unconstitutional video

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- ❖ **"Taxpayers are not State Citizens."** Belmont v. Town of Gulfport, 122 So. 10.
- ❖ **"State citizens are the only ones living under free government, whose rights are incapable of impairment by legislation or judicial decision."** Twining v. New Jersey, 211 U.S. 97, 1908
- ❖ **"State Citizenship is a vested substantial property right, and the State has no power to divest or impair these rights."** Favot v. Kingsbury, (1929) 98 Cal. App. 284, 276 P. 1083

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- ❖ "The state citizen is immune from any and all government attacks and procedure, absent contract." see, Dred Scott vs. Sanford, 60 U.S. (19 How.) 393 or as the Supreme Court has stated clearly, "...every man is independent of all laws, except those prescribed by nature. He is not bound by any institutions formed by his fellowmen without his consent." CRUDEN vs. NEALE, 2 N.C. 338 2 S.E. 70, [emphasis added]

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- **“The rights of the individuals are restricted only to the extent that they have been voluntarily surrendered by the citizenship to the agencies of government.”** City of Dallas v Mitchell, 245 S.W. 944
- **"The people or sovereign are not bound by general word in statutes, restrictive of prerogative right, title or interest, unless expressly named. Acts of limitation do not bind the King or the people. The people have been ceded all the rights of the King, the former sovereign,.."** People v Herkimer, 4 Cowen (NY) 345, 348 (1825)

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- "It will be admitted on all hands that with the exception of the powers granted to the states and the federal government, through the Constitutions, the people of the several states are unconditionally sovereign within their respective states." Ohio L. Ins. & T. Co. v. Debolt, 16 How. 416, 14 L.Ed. 997
- "A Sovereign is exempt from suit, not because of any formal conception or obsolete theory, but on the logical and practical ground that there can be no legal Right as against the authority that makes

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- "...at the revolution the Sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country... the citizens of America are equal as fellow citizens, and as joint tenants in the sovereignty." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dall. 440, at pg 471
- "People of a state are entitled to all rights, which formerly belong to the King by his prerogative." Lansing v Smith, (1829) 4 Wendell 9,20 (NY)
- See the Do You Know Who You Are playlist

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- “Eliminating, then, from the opinions of this court all expressions unnecessary to the disposition of the particular case, and gleaning therefrom the exact point decided in each, the following propositions may be considered as established:
- 1. That the District of Columbia and the territories are not states within the judicial clause of the Constitution giving jurisdiction in cases between citizens of different states;
- 3. That the District of Columbia and the territories are states as that word is used in treaties with foreign powers, with respect to the ownership, disposition, and inheritance of property;
- 4. That the territories are not within the clause of the Constitution providing for the creation of a supreme court and such inferior courts as Congress may see fit to establish;” *Downes v Bidwell* 182 US 244

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- The United Nations has nothing to do with State Citizens
- United States participation with the United Nations ONLY applies to US citizens
- There are no courts in the District of Columbia and the Territories – there are ONLY Kangaroo Courts
- **“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868**