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“Marriage License – A license or permission granted by public authority to persons who intend to intermarry,...” Black’s Law Dictionary, 5th Edition page 877 [emphasis added]


©Common Law Copyright 2012
2. The requirement of payment for such licenses is only a mode of imposing taxes on the licensed business, and the prohibition, under penalties, against carrying on the business without license is only a mode of enforcing the payment of such taxes.

5. The recognition by the acts of Congress of the power and right of the states to tax, control, or regulate any business carried on within its limits is entirely consistent with an intention on the part of Congress to tax such business for national purposes.” License Tax Cases 72 U.S. (5 Wall.) 462 (1866)
“License, contracts, is a right given by some competent authority to do an act, which without such authority would be illegal. The instrument or writing which secures this right is also called a license. Vide Ayl.Parerg. 353; 15 Vin.Ab 92; Ang. Wat. Co. 61, 85. A license is express or implied. An express license is one in which in direct terms authorizes the performance of a certain act; as a license to keep a tavern by public authority. An implied license is one which though not expressly given, may be presumed from the acts of the party having the right to give it.” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1843 Edition, Volume 2, page 53. ©Common Law Copyright 2011
“There are three parties to a marriage contract -- the husband, the wife and the State. For this reason marriage is denominated a status, and certain incidents are attached thereto by law which may not be abrogated without the consent of the third party, the State.” Ritchie v. White, 225 N.C. 450, 35 S.E.2d 414 (N.C. 10/10/1945)
Marriage License

"Marriage is a civil contract, to which there are three parties: the husband, the wife, and the State“ KASAL v. KASAL, 35 N.W.2d 745, 227 Minn. 529
"the State is a party of interest in every marriage contract" Potter v. Potter, (1931), 101 Fla. 1199, 133 So. 94:
Marriage License

- "The legislature, in dealing with the subject of marriage, has plenary power, as marriage differs from ordinary common law contracts, and is subject to control and regulation by the state." Blackman v. Iles, 4 N.J. 82, 89 (1950),
"Civil rights under the 14th amendment are for Federal citizens and not State Citizens; Federal citizens, as parents, have no right to the custody of their infant children except subject to the paramount right of the State." Wadleigh v. Newhall, Circuit Court N. Dist. Cal., Mar 13, 1905 [emphasis added]
UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented.

Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years.

UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.
UNIDROIT covers;

- Negotiable instruments
- Civil Liability
- Legal status of women
- Contracts (in general)
- Transportation
- Franchising
- Insurance
- Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children
- Municipal Law
- Much more – (see the website)
Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty

As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty

See Corruption in the Courts 3 & 4 for more information
"The unborn are not included within the definition of "person" as used in the 14th Amendment." Roe v. Wade US Supreme Court 410 US 13, 35L. Ed. 2d 147, 1973
“When the Parliament uses general terms like “all persons,” or “all residents” they are only talking about “persons,” or “residents” who are properly within their jurisdiction.”

Worthington v Manitoba (1936) S.C.R. 48
Does the statute apply to a Sovereign?

- "Parliament can only implicate a Sovereign citizen by "express mention or clear implication."

  - CBC v Ontario (1959) S.C.R. 204
Are you a “person?”

“A Sovereign is not a person as far as a Statute is concerned.”

- *Will v Michigan State Police*
- 105 L. Ed. 2d 45 (1989)
Are you a “person?”

- A “person” is;
  - “a variety of entities other than human beings.” Church of Scientology v U.S. Department of Justice, 612 F2d 417 (1979) at pg 418
  - “...foreigners, not citizens....” United States v Otherson, 480 F. Supp. 1369 (1979) at pg 1373.
A Sovereign is not a person as far as a Statute is concerned.

"'in common usage, the term 'person' does not include the sovereign, [and] statutes employing the [word] are normally construed to exclude it.' Wilson v Omaha Tribe, 442 US 653 667, 61 L Ed 2d 153, 99 S Ct 2529 (1979) (quoting United States v Cooper Corp. 312 US 600, 604, 85 L Ed 1071, 61 S Ct 742 (1941). See also United States v Mine Workers, 330 US 258, 275, 91 L Ed 884, 67 S Ct 677 (1947)"

Will v Michigan State Police, 491 US 58, 105 L. Ed. 2d 45, 109 S.Ct. 2304

"a sovereign is not a person in a legal sense" In re Fox, 52 N. Y. 535, 11 Am. Rep. 751; U.S. v. Fox, 94 U.S. 315, 24 L. Ed. 192
“Residents, as distinguished from citizens, are aliens who are permitted to take up a permanent abode in the country. Being bound to the society by reason of their dwelling in it, they are subject to its laws so long as they remain there, and, being protected by it, they must defend it, although they do not enjoy all the rights of citizens. They have only certain privileges which the law, or custom, gives them. Permanent residents are those who have been given the right of perpetual residence. They are a sort of citizen of a less privileged character, and are subject to the society without enjoying all its advantages. Their children succeed to their status; for the right of perpetual residence given them by the State passes to their children.” The Law of Nations, Vattel, Book 1, Chapter 19, Section 213, p. 87
“One does not necessarily become a non-resident by absconding or absenting himself from his place of abode.” 52 Mo. App. 291
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
It is all about slavery
A “person” is a slave
Either you are the King, or you are a slave, and there is nothing in between.
If you participate in their “color of law” statutes, then you have agreed to be their slave.
It is ONLY involuntary servitude (slavery) that is not lawful
All of this is brought to us thanks to our BAR member friends

You can learn more about our BAR Member friends

- in the BAR Members video
- In the Corruption in the Courts 3 video
- In the Peace Officers video
- In the upcoming Corruption in the Courts 4 video
- In the upcoming Fire the Whores in Texas video
- In the upcoming City of Fort Worth PIGs video
- In the upcoming City of Grand Prairie PIGs video
- In the upcoming Canada Border PIGs video
Nobody said it would be easy, but it IS POSSIBLE.
What I would do

- At common law it is not called a “marriage”, it is called holy matrimony
- I would find a preacher who would marry us without a license, or
- I would make up our own vows, and
- rent a hall, and have a sign-in sheet/book, and
- both of us would say our vows to each other in front of everybody, and,
- Make up a marriage certificate and sign it with my bride, with at least 2 witnesses, and
- Make an affidavit, and attach the copy of the certificate, and the sign-in list/book and
- Record everything as a package in the County Records
NOTICE AND DECLARATION OF BIRTH

From: Address
C/O Glenn Winningham; Fearn
C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166
Casa Grande, Arizona

Be it known by all people:
I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign with an address correction
C/O Glenn Winningham Fearn, C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166, Casa Grande,
Arizona do hereby Notice You of the following:

1. I am competent to deal with the matters herein.

2. No person who works for any government, or any government created entity,
anywhere, is competent for dealing with the matters herein.

3. It is with great pleasure that I announce the birth of My Son, who will be known
as Hunter Pierre; Fearn during His life here on earth.

4. Hunter Pierre; Fearn was given birth by My wife, Constance Ivy Lacey; Fearn on
16 March, 2005, at 1240 PM, at the Casa Grande Regional Medical Center, in
Casa Grande, Arizona, an original of Discharge Instructions Post Delivery is
attached, and incorporated herein by reference.

5. Hunter Pierre; Fearn is not a citizen of the foreign, UNITED STATES, but He is
an Arizona Citizen, and in due time, when He comes of age, He will take is
rightful place as a member of the American Sovereignty.

6. Be it also known by all, that an attempt was made by Criminals, to register my
Son, Hunter Pierre; Fearn as a UNITED STATES citizen to secure him as
collateral for the fictitious federal debt, but it was rejected on the ARIZONA
STATE CERTIFICATE WORKSHEET, a true copy of which is attached, and
incorporated herein by reference.

7. If there is any attempt by any person to register my Son as a UNITED STATES
citizen, without my express written permission, it will be a breach of trust,
NOTICE AND DECLARATION OF BIRTH

From: Addressee
C/O Glenn Winningham; Fearn
C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166
Casa Grande, Arizona

Be it known by all people:

I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign with an address correction
C/O Glenn Winningham Fearn, C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166, Casa Grande,
Arizona do hereby Notice You of the following:

1. I am competent to deal with the matters herein.

2. No person who works for any government, or any government created entity,
   anywhere, is competent for dealing with the matters herein.

3. It is with great pleasure that I announce the birth of My Son, who will be known
   as Hunter Pierre; Fearn during His life here on earth.

4. Hunter Pierre; Fearn was given birth by My wife, Constance Ivy Leguy; Fearn on
I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign with an address correction C/O Glenn Winningham Fearn, C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166, Casa Grande, Arizona do hereby Notice You of the following:

1. I am competent to deal with the matters herein.

2. No person who works for any government, or any government created entity, anywhere, is competent for dealing with the matters herein.

3. It is with great pleasure that I announce the birth of My Son, who will be known as Hunter Pierre; Fearn during His life here on earth.

4. Hunter Pierre; Fearn was given birth by My wife, Constance Ivy Lacey; Fearn on 16 March, 2005, at 1240 PM, at the Casa Grande Regional Medical Center, in Casa Grande, Arizona, an original of Discharge Instructions Post Delivery is attached, and incorporated herein by reference.

5. Hunter Pierre; Fearn is not a citizen of the foreign, UNITED STATES, but He is an Arizona Citizen, and in due time, when He comes of age, He will take is rightful place as a member of the American Sovereignty.

6. Be it also known by all, that an attempt was made by Criminals, to register my Son, Hunter Pierre; Fearn as a UNITED STATES citizen to secure him as collateral for the fictitious federal debt, but it was rejected on the ARIZONA STATE CERTIFICATE WORKSHEET, a true copy of which is attached, and incorporated herein by reference.

7. If there is any attempt by any person to register my Son as a UNITED STATES citizen, without my express written permission, it will be a breach of trust,
Summary

- What’s the alternative?