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The vast majority of the disputes that the police initiate on behalf of their employer are also adjudicated by their employer, where the plaintiff, the judge, the antagonist (the police) and the only witness (also the police), all represent the same party, and, since no corpus delicti, mens rea or acts reus can be produced, doesn't technically qualify to be heard according to its own "laws". The State therefore is indistinguishable from a criminal cartel.
In colonial days the Roman Cult’s Crown had to take people to England, because they knew they had no possibility of getting a conviction here

Let’s make it that way again!
Common Law Courts

“The judicial power is the power to hear those matters which affect life, liberty or property of the Citizens of the State.” 
Sapulpa v Land, 101 Okla. 22, 223 Pac. 640, 35 A.L.R. 872

"The very meaning of 'sovereignty' is that the decree of the sovereign makes law." 
"Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts."

Yick Wo v Hopkins, 118 US 356, at pg 370
Common Law Courts

- "A Sovereign is exempt from suit, not because of any formal conception or obsolete theory, but on the logical and practical ground that there can be no legal Right as against the authority that makes the law on which the Right depends." Kawananakoa v. Polyblank, 205 U.S. 349, 353, 27 S. Ct. 526, 527, 51 L. Ed. 834 (1907).

- "...at the revolution the Sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects ......and have none to govern but themselves; the citizens of America are equal as fellow citizens, and as joint tenants in the sovereignty." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dall. 440, at pg 471
"and because it brings into action, and
enforces this great and glorious principle,
that the people are the sovereign of this
country, and consequently that fellow
citizens and joint sovereigns cannot be
degraded by appearing with each other in
their own courts to have their controversies
determined."
Chisolm v Georgia 2 Dall. 440
"It is well known that in civil cases, in courts of equity and admiralty, juries do not intervene, and that courts of equity use the trial by jury only in extraordinary cases to inform the conscience of the court."


Jury nullification will depend on how much of a BAAL priest the Clerk masquerading as a Judge is
"The technical niceties of the common law are not regarded. . . .", 1 R.C.L. 31, p. 422. "A jury does not figure, ordinarily, in the trial of an admiralty suit. . . the verdict of the jury merely advisory, and may be disregarded by the court." 1 R.C.L. 40, p. 432. "[The] rules of practice may be altered whenever found to be inconvenient or likely to embarrass the business of the court." 1 R.C.L. 32, p. 423. "A court of admiralty. . . acts upon equitable principles." 1 R.C.L. 17, p. 416. "A libel of information [accusation] does not require all the technical precision of an indictment at common law. If the allegations describe the offense, it is all that is necessary; and if it is founded upon a statute, it is sufficient if it pursues the words of the law." The Emily v. The Caroline, 9 Wheat. 381
“Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.”

Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain
Equity = Roman Cult

- In 1835 the cestui que trust was “the foundation of modern conveyancing”
- The cestui que trust is a creation of the Roman Cult
- In 1835 the courts of equity were run by the Roman Cult
- The Roman Cult wanted to make sure they get their rent and emoluments (extortion - taxes) for their owned and operated cestui que trust
"The right of trial by jury in civil cases, guaranteed by the 7th Amendment (Walker v. Sauvinet, 92 U. S. 90), and the right to bear arms, guaranteed by the 2nd Amendment (Presser v. Illinois, 116 U. S. 252), have been distinctly held not to be privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States guaranteed by the 14th Amendment against abridgement by the states, and in effect the same decision was made in respect of the guarantee against prosecution, except by indictment of a grand jury, contained in the 5th Amendment (Hurtado v. California, 110 U. S. 516), and in respect of the right to be confronted with witnesses, contained in the 6th Amendment." West v. Louisiana, 194 U. S. 258
Martial Law Court = Roman Cult

➢ “A writ of error doth not lie upon a sentence in the admiralty, but an appeal. 4 Inst. 135. 339.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 Edition under Admiralty
War of Independence

- “...statutes have been passed for extending the jurisdiction of courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty beyond their ancient limits; for depriving us of the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property;

- ...and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.

- We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.” Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms 1775 [emphasis added]
“He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended legislation.” [color of law – martial law]

“For imposing taxes on us without our consent.” [martial law]

“For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury.” [martial law]

Declaration of Independence (1776) [emphasis added]
“STARE DECISIS, n.[Latin “to stand by things decided”] The doctrine of precedent, under which it is necessary for a court to follow earlier judicial decisions when the same points arise again in litigation. “The rule of adherence to judicial precedents finds its expression in the doctrine of stare decisis. This doctrine is simply that, when a point or principle of law has been once officially decided or settled by the ruling of a competent court in a case in which it is directly and necessarily involved, it will no longer be considered as open to examination…. ” William M. Lile et al., Brief Making and the Use of Law Books 321 (3d ed. 1914).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition pg 4403-4404
Stare Decisis

- Judges cannot make law
- Judges can ONLY compare a given case to other similar cases and make a ruling based on what other courts have done – stare decisis
- If there is a case that has NOT been previously decided, then they have to convene a jury
“We the People” make the law

- “But does the jury's power to veto bad laws exist under our Constitution?

- It certainly does! At the time the Constitution was written, the definition of the term "jury" referred to a group of citizens empowered to judge both the law and the evidence in the case before it. Then, in the February term of 1794, the Supreme Court conducted a jury trial in the case of the State of Georgia vs. Brailsford.” Page 1, Jurors Handbook, FIJA.org
Jury Power

"It is presumed, that juries are the best judges of facts; it is, on the other hand, presumed that courts are the best judges of law. But still both objects are within your power of decision....you have a right to take it upon yourselves to judge of both, and to determine the law as well as the fact in controversy". Chief Judge John Jay, US Supreme Court, State of Georgia vs. Brailsford 3 Dall 1 (1794) [emphasis added]
In fact, the power of jury nullification predates our Constitution. In November of 1734, a printer named John Peter Zenger was arrested for seditious libel against his Majesty's government. At that time, a law of the Colony of New York forbid any publication without prior government approval. Freedom of the press was not enjoyed by the early colonialists! Zenger, however, defied this censorship and published articles strongly critical of New York colonial rule.
When brought to trial in August of 1735, Zenger admitted publishing the offending articles, but argued that the truth of the facts stated justified their publication. The judge instructed the jury that truth is not justification for libel. Rather, truth makes the libel more vicious, for public unrest is more likely to follow true, rather than false claims of bad governance. And since the defendant had admitted to the "fact" of publication, only a question of "law" remained.
Then, as now, the judge said the "issue of law" was for the court to determine, and he instructed the jury to find the defendant guilty. It took only ten minutes for the jury to disregard the judge's instructions on the law and find Zenger NOT GUILTY.
The jury has an "unreviewable and irreversible power... to acquit in disregard of the instructions on the law given by the trial judge..." US vs Dougherty, 473 F 2d 1113, 1139 (1972) US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia
"We recognize, as appellants urge, the undisputed power of the jury to acquit, even if its verdict is contrary to the law as given by the judge, and contrary to the evidence. This is a power that must exist as long as we adhere to the general verdict in criminal cases, for the courts cannot search the minds of the jurors to find the basis upon which they judge. If the jury feels that the law under which the defendant is accused, is unjust, or that exigent circumstances justified the actions of the accused, or for any reason which appeals to their logic of passion, the jury has the power to acquit, and the courts must abide by that decision."

US vs Moylan, 417 F 2d 1002, 1006 (1969) [emphasis added]
Jury Power

- "the pages of history shine on instances of the jury's exercise of its prerogative to disregard uncontradicted evidence and instructions of the judge."
  
  United States v. Dougherty, 473 F.2d 1113, 1130 (D.C. Cir. 1972)
"The fact that there is widespread existence of the jury's prerogative, and approval of its existence as a necessary counter to case-hardened judges and arbitrary prosecutors, does not establish as an imperative that the jury must be informed by the judge of that power."

US vs Dougherty, 473 F 2d 1113, 1139 (1972) US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia
Jury Duty

“Jurors should acquit, even against the judge's instruction...if exercising their judgement with discretion and honesty they have a clear conviction that the charge of the court is wrong.” Alexander Hamilton, 1804

“The judge cannot direct a verdict it is true, and the jury has the power to bring in a verdict in the teeth of both law and facts.” Mr. Justice Holmes, for the majority in Horning v. District of Columbia, 254 U.S. 135, 138 (1920).
Jury Duties

“It is manifest from all the accounts we have of the courts in which juries sat, prior to the Magna Charta, such as the court baron, the hundred court, the court leet, and the county court, that they were mere courts of conscience, and that the juries were the judges, deciding causes according to their own notions of equity, and not according to any laws of the king, unless they thought them just.” Lysander Spooner, An Essay on The Trial by Jury, 64 (John P. Jewett & Co., 1852).
Fully Informed Jury Association

- www.fiжа.org
- Been around for decades
- Works to pass roman cult statutes in every state requiring BAAL priest clerks masquerading as Judge to inform jurors about their right and duty to judge both the law and the facts
Martial Law Court = Roman Cult

- Your state Constitution and Roman Cult statutes will define the powers of a jury.
- Roman Cult BAR members on and off the bench oppose juries being informed about jury nullification.
- Roman Cult BAR members would rather operate in private capacity as revenue officers under Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966, and UNIDROIT, to collect their royalty.
“Justice may depend upon your being chosen to serve, so here are some "words to the wise" about how to make it through voir dire, the jury selection process: You may feel that answering some of the questions asked of you would compromise your right to privacy. If you refuse to answer them, it will probably cost you your chance to serve. Likewise, if you "talk too much"—especially if you admit to knowing your rights and powers as a juror, as explained below, or that you have qualms about the law itself in the case at hand, or reveal that you're bright, educated, or are interested in serving! So, from voir dire to verdict, let your conscience be your guide.”

©Common Law Copyright 2016
Nothing in the U.S. Constitution or in any Supreme Court decision requires jurors to take an oath to follow the law as the judge explains it or, for that matter, authorizes the judge to "instruct" the jury at all. Judges provide their interpretation of the law, but you may also do your own thinking. Keep in mind that no juror's oath is enforceable, and that you may regard all "instructions" as advice.”

If You are Called For Jury Duty – fija.org
Jury Duty

“Prosecutors often "multiply charges" so the jury will assume the defendant "must be guilty of something". But one of the great mistakes a jury can make is to betray both truth and conscience by compromising. If you believe the defendant is not guilty of anything, then vote "not guilty" on all counts.

You can't be punished for voting according to your conscience. Judges (and other jurors) often pressure hold-out jurors into abandoning their true feelings and voting with the majority "...to avoid the expense of a hung jury and mistrial". But you don't have to give in. Why? Because...” If You are Called For Jury Duty – fija.org
Informing Prospective Jurors

- Write letters to the Editor
- Write letters to Legislators
- Spread the word
- Circulate this video to potential jurors
- Do NOT do anything that could be considered Jury Tampering
- www.fija.org has some great resources
Summary

- All officers of the Court are BAAL Priests – see BAR Members videos 1, 2, & 3
- All court cases are satanic religious ceremony – see D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts videos 1, 2, & 3
- Paying BAIL should be more correctly said; paying BAAL
- Jury Nullification is well established, but the BAAL priests want to be able to sell as many people into slavery (prison) as possible for their Roman Cult handlers
Fraud = Lies = Satanism

“Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.” John 8:44

“But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers [pharmaceutical drug pushers], and idolaters, and all liars, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death.” Revelation 21:8
“The end justifies the means” is satanic

“Wo unto them that call evil good and good evil, and put darkness for light, and light for darkness…” Isaiah 5:20
Making Merchandise to Populate Prisons

- “If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7

- “And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.” 2 Peter 2:3
History

➢ “Give me liberty or give me death” Patrick Henry,
➢ after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license
Satanists

✓ “By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison;” 1 Peter 3:19

✓ “And it shall come to pass in that day, that the LORD shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth. And they shall be gathered together, as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in the prison, and after many days shall they be visited.” Isaiah 24: 21-22
Conclusion

"It behooves every man who values liberty of conscience for himself, to resist invasions of it in the case of others: or their case may, by change of circumstances, become his own” Thomas Jefferson

If you fail to educate people about jury nullification karma says that you will get a jury of idiots
Conclusion

"If Ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude, better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsel or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may our posterity forget that you were ever our countrymen." Samuel Adams, "the father of the American revolution", member of "The sons of Liberty (the group that did the Boston Tea Party)"

Quoted from the debates of 1776
Conclusion

- "When shall it be said in any country of the world, my poor are happy, neither ignorance or distress is to be found among them; my jails are empty of prisoners, my streets of beggars; the aged are not in want, the taxes not oppressive; the rational world is my friend because I am friend of its happiness. When these things can be said, then may that country boast of its constitution and government." - Thomas Paine
The Watchman

“But if the watchman see the sword come, and blow not the trumpet, and the people be not warned; if the sword come, and take any person from among them, he is taken away in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at the watchman's hand.” Ezekiel 33:6

Either you are part of the problem, or you are part of the solution

You are now a watchman!

Circulate this video far and wide!!
Other Videos – Over 240

- Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
- Churchianity series
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1, 2, & 3
- DIY How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service
- Martial Law is here!
- DIY No Income Tax
- DIY No Sales Tax
- DIY Traffic Stop
- DIY Free Mail
- DIY Kangaroo Courts
Summary

- Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

- I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations that are available for a donation

- Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desireable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
Summary

- If you find this useful, then you need to pay it forward
- If you don’t know what Pay it Forward means, then watch the movie
- Send me your successes
Contact Information

✓ Blog; http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
✓ Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
✓ Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
✓ Youtube profile - sovereignliving
✓ Facebook
  ✓ Community Page - Deleted
  ✓ Private Group – Sovereignty International – Being Deleted
✓ Yahoo Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
✓ Google Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
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