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“Under International Law of Warfare, all parties to a cause must appear by nom de guerre, because an "alien enemy cannot maintain an action during the war in his own name". Merriam-Webster Dictionary, pg. 1534

"A mixed war is one which is made on one side by public authority, and the other by mere private persons." Black's Law Dictionary 5th Ed., page 1420
"Governments [any sovereign] descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen...where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned. ... For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government.” Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363 (1943)
“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction” “Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State. ...STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under sections 24 or 28 of the former Judicial Code (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the "* * *laws * * * of the United States" ...” Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158-165
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Under International Law they can assault you and kidnap you and falsely imprison you and if you sign anything, you make it legal by doing so.
Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

For a complete set of Youtube videos with Private Information Shares, a DVD with over 50 searchable Law Dictionaries, and other books and forms contact me privately at engineerwin@yahoo.com

Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
“NOTE: Under the Law-Martial, only the criminal jurisdiction of a Military Court is the recognized law. But as Article Three says, "the civil courts can continue wholly or in part as long as the civil jurisdiction does not violate the Military orders laid down by the Commander in Chief or one of his Commanders." By this means; a military venue, jurisdiction, and authority are imposed upon the occupied populace under disguise of the ordinary civil courts and officers of the occupied district or region, because the so-called civil authorities in an occupied district, or region, only act at the pleasure of a military authority.

It should also be noted here that the several State Legislatures, County Boards of Commissioners, and City Councils, are constantly legislating to please the edicts of the federal government (the occupying force) and that their legislation, in this sense, is not an exercise of State sovereignty, but instead, a compliance with edicts of the military force which occupies the several States and consequently are edicts of Martial Law Rule.”

Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court [emphasis added]
"The present Convention shall apply from the outset of any conflict or occupation mentioned in Article 2.

In the territory of Parties to the conflict, the application of the present Convention shall cease on the general close of military operations.

In the case of occupied territory, the application of the present Convention shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such Power exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the present Convention: 1 to 12, 27, 29 to 34, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 59, 61 to 77, 143." Article 6, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
Vid.me shut down on 15 December & youtube channel called Sovereignty International is free

I made the exclusive content available on my website

2 subscription levels, and I accept crypto currencies

$2.99/month or $19.99/year for the videos only

$4.99/month or $39.99/year for videos plus unlimited consultations – I am NOT a liar (Attorney) but I can tell you what I would do and where to find forms

The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit – I cannot fight all of the battles

Currently publishing 1 video a week
Exclusive Content

- Arlington Private Information Share
- Land Deed Training
- Estoppel Certificates Training
- Foreclosure Estoppel Certificates Training
- Corporate Denial Training
- Toll Roads Notice and Demand Training
- Invoice Training
- Notice of Void Judgment training
Exclusive Content

- Revocation of Signature training
- Third Party Witness Training
- Federal Habeas Corpus Training
- Revocation of Voter Registration
- Criminal Complaint Training
- Lawsuit Training
- Other Training (requests?)

All forms, files and other instructions are available for free on my 2 private groups at YahooGroups and GoogleGroups.

All exclusive content will be on my website and you can buy a subscription there.
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“Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments [taxes] of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.”

Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain [emphasis added]
“Assumpsit - ....In its origin an action of tort, [assumpsit] was soon transformed into an action of contract, becoming afterwards a remedy where there was neither tort nor contract. Based at first only upon an express promise, it was afterwards supported upon an implied promise, and even upon a fictitious promise. Introduced as a special manifestation of the action on the case, it soon acquired the dignity of a distinct form of action, which superseded Debt, became concurrent with Account, with Case upon a bailment, a warranty, and bills of exchange, and competed with Equity in the case of the essentially equitable quasi-contracts growing out of the principle of unjust enrichment. Surely, it would be hard to find a better illustration of the flexibility and power of self-development of the Common Law.” James Barr Ames, “The History of Assumpsit,” in 3 Select Essays in Anglo-American Legal History 298 (1909).” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 379 [emphasis added]
Both in Roman and English law there are certain obligations which were not in truth contractual, but which the law treats as if they were. They are contractual in law, but not in fact, being the subject-matter of a fictitious extension of the sphere of contract to cover obligations which do not in reality fall within it."

"Constructive/quasi contracts are based solely upon a legal fiction or fiction of law." Hill v. Waxberg, 237 F.2d 936.

"It is a well settled rule of law that he who seeks benefits of contract must also assume burdens." Higgins v. Monckton (1938), 28 C.A.2d 723, 83 P.2d 516.

"Voluntary acceptance of benefit of transaction is equivalent to consent to all obligations arising from it, so far as facts are known, or ought to be known, to person accepting." Northern Assurance Co. v. Stout (1911), 16 C.A. 548, 117 P. 617.
"A quasi contractual action presupposes acceptance and retention of a benefit by one party with full appreciation of the facts, under circumstances making it inequitable for him to retain the benefit without payment of its reasonable value." Major-Blakeney Co. v. Jenkins (1953), 121 C.A.2d 325, 263 P.2d 655, hear den.; Townsend Pierson, Inc. v. Holly-Coleman Co. (1960), 178 C.A.2d 373, 2 Cal. Rptr. 812. [emphasis added]
Quasi Contracts = Benefits

- Why do you think they want a Social Security Number when you apply for a Drivers License?
- Or Unemployment Insurance?
- Or a Passport?
- Or any other “benefit”
“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868
The vast majority of the disputes that the police initiate on behalf of their employer are also adjudicated by their employer, where the plaintiff, the judge, the antagonist (the police) and the only witness (also the police), all represent the same party, and, since no corpus delicti, mens rea or acts reus can be produced, doesn’t technically qualify to be heard according to its own “laws”. The State therefore is indistinguishable from a criminal cartel.
Contact Information

- My Blog is:  http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profile – sovereignliving & Sovereignty International
- Facebook - Community Page - Deleted
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International – Being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administarting-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administarting-Your-Public-Servants
- Follow me on twitter @engineerwin
- Follow me on Steemit https://steemit.com/@sovereigntyintl
- https://www.bitchute.com/channel/sovereigntyinternational/
“The present Convention shall apply from the outset of any conflict or occupation mentioned in Article 2.

In the territory of Parties to the conflict, the application of the present Convention shall cease on the general close of military operations.

In the case of occupied territory, the application of the present Convention shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such Power exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the present Convention: 1 to 12, 27, 29 to 34, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 59, 61 to 77, 143.” Article 6, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“Protected persons may in no circumstances renounce in part or in entirety the rights secured to them by the present Convention, and by the special agreements referred to in the foregoing Article, if such there be.” Article 8, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
“Protected persons are entitled, in all circumstances, to respect for their persons, their honour, their family rights, their religious convictions and practices, and their manners and customs.

They shall at all times be humanely treated, and shall be protected especially against all acts of violence or threats thereof and against insults and public curiosity.

Women shall be especially protected against any attack on their honour, in particular against rape, enforced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault.

Without prejudice to the provisions relating to their state of health, age and sex, all protected persons shall be treated with the same consideration by the Party to the conflict in whose power they are, without any adverse distinction based, in particular, on race, religion or political opinion....”

Article 27, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.” Article 18, Universal Declaration of Human Rights
“The Party to the conflict in whose hands protected persons may be, is responsible for the treatment accorded to them by its agents, irrespective of any individual responsibility which may be incurred.” Article 29, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
“No physical or moral coercion shall be exercised against protected persons, in particular to obtain information from them or from third parties.” Article 31, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949

This could be used against the NSA and the CIA, or Facebook, or the banksters compelling the disclosure of a SSN

It can also be used against the PIGs when they coerce information from you on the side of the road
“No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.” Article 9, Universal Declaration of Human Rights [emphasis added],
“No protected person may be punished for an offence he or she has not personally committed. Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.

Pillage is prohibited.

Reprisals against protected persons and their property are prohibited.” Article 33, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
Military Occupation

Can you spot the terrorists?

Terrorism: Noun
The use of violence and intimidation in the pursuit of political aims.
“The taking of hostages is prohibited.” Article 34, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949

This could be used when they force your wife to live in a foreign country because she does not have a green card because they are holding her hostage until you accept their slave status.

“It is however, true that in all common-law countries it has always and consistently been held that the wife and minor children take the nationality of the husband and father. That is common-law doctrine.” In Re Page 12 F (2d) 135,
“1. Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.

2. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.” Article 13, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

“1. Everyone has the right to a nationality.

2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.” Article 15, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
“Any destruction by the Occupying Power of real or personal property belonging individually or collectively to private persons, or to the State, or to other public authorities, or to social or co-operative organizations, is prohibited, except where such destruction is rendered absolutely necessary by military operations.” Article 53, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
“In case of a breach of the penal provisions promulgated by it by virtue of the second paragraph of Article 64, the Occupying Power may hand over the accused to its properly constituted, non-political military courts, on condition that the said courts sit in the occupied country. Courts of appeal shall preferably sit in the occupied country.” Article 66, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
United Nations = Roman Law = Roman Cult

“The States Parties to the present Covenant, including those having responsibility for the administration of Non-Self-Governing and Trust Territories, shall promote the realization of the right of self-determination, and shall respect that right, in conformity with the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 1, Clause 3 [emphasis added]
“All persons shall be equal before the courts and tribunals. In the determination of any criminal charge against him, or of his rights and obligations in a suit at law, everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal established by law.”

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 14, Clause 1
“In all cases, the duration of the period during which a protected person accused of an offence is under arrest awaiting trial or punishment shall be deducted from any period of imprisonment awarded.” Article 69, Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
War Crimes

- Crimes against humanity
- 1. For the purpose of this Statute, ‘crime against humanity’ means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack:
  - (c) Enslavement;
  - (e) Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law;
  - (f) Torture;
  - (h) Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender as defined in paragraph 3, or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court;” Article 7 Rome Statute on the International Criminal Court
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WAR is BUSINESS
Other Videos

- Bankster Thieves playlist
- Roman Cult playlist
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1 - 3
- D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service and the Draft
- Martial Law is here!
- D.I.Y. No Income Tax
- D.I.Y. Free Mail
- D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts 1 – 13
- Canada Border PIGs playlist
- BAR Members and their Satanic Connections playlist
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“Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.” Article 18, Universal Declaration of Human Rights
“Protected persons shall not be arrested, prosecuted or convicted by the Occupying Power for acts committed or for opinions expressed before the occupation, or during a temporary interruption thereof, with the exception of breaches of the laws and customs of war. Nationals of the Occupying Power who, before the outbreak of hostilities, have sought refuge in the territory of the occupied State, shall not be arrested, prosecuted, convicted or deported from the occupied territory, except for offences committed after the outbreak of hostilities, or for offences under common law committed before the outbreak of hostilities which, according to the law of the occupied State, would have justified extradition in time of peace.”

Article 70 Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949, [emphasis added]
“No sentence shall be pronounced by the competent courts of the Occupying Power except after a regular trial....” Article 71 Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949
WARNING

THIS IS THE STANDING ARMY YOU WERE TOLD NOT TO TOLERATE.
“Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.” Article 33, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 1949

- Military Uniforms are designed to be intimidating
- Police car colors (black and white) are designed to be intimidating
- The carrying of guns, by their code enforcers, (PIGs) is intimidating, especially if they have laws preventing you from owning guns
- If the PIG yells at you because you are NOT cooperating, it is threatening and intimidating
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War Crimes

“No protected person may be punished for an offence he or she has not personally committed. Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.

Pillage is prohibited.

Reprisals against protected persons and their property are prohibited.” Article 33, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 1949

They are assaulting you for the crimes of their fraudulent created cestui que trust

In Canada they regularly seize people’s vehicles that are NOT registered
War Crimes

- “1. Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.
- 2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.” Article 17, Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
“1. Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.

2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.” Article 17, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Rights are property
“Any restraint, however slight, upon another’s liberty to come and go as one pleases, constitutes an “arrest.”” Swetnam v. W.F. Woolworth Co., 318 P.2d 364, 366, 83 Ariz. 189

“An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery.” State v. Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260

“Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-
“No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.” Article 9, Universal Declaration of Human Rights
1. The Court shall have jurisdiction in respect of war crimes in particular when committed as part of a plan or policy...

2. For the purpose of this Statute, ‘war crimes’ means:

(a) Grave breaches of the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, namely, any of the following acts against persons or property...

(iv) Extensive destruction and appropriation of property, not justified by military necessity and carried out unlawfully and wantonly;

(v) Compelling a prisoner of war or other protected person to serve in the forces of a hostile Power;

(vi) Willfully depriving a prisoner of war or other protected person of the rights of fair and regular trial;

(vii) Unlawful deportation or transfer or unlawful confinement;” Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Article 8(a), War Crimes [emphasis added]
“Other serious violations..., namely, any of the following acts:

(i) **Intentionally directing attacks against ...individual civilians not taking direct part in hostilities**;

(ii) **Intentionally directing attacks against civilian objects, that is, objects which are not military objectives**;

(iv) **Intentionally launching an attack in the knowledge that such attack will cause incidental... injury to civilians or damage to civilian objects ... which would be clearly excessive in relation to the concrete and direct overall military advantage anticipated**;

(xiii) **Destroying or seizing the enemy's property unless such destruction or seizure be imperatively demanded by the necessities of war**;

(xiv) **Declaring abolished, suspended or inadmissible in a court of law the rights and actions of the nationals of the hostile party**;

(xvi) **Pillaging a town or place, even when taken by assault**;

(xxi) **Committing outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment**;” Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Article 8(b), War Crimes [emphasis added]
“For the purpose of this Statute, ‘genocide’ means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

(c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;

(e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.” Rome Statute for the International Criminal court, Article 6 Genocide

In Canada they are engaging in genocide against “freemen on the land”

In the USA they are engaged in genocide against “sovereign citizens”

If they steal your children because you don’t want to register them, that is a form of genocide
“crime against humanity means murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, imprisonment, torture, sexual violence, persecution or any other inhumane act or omission that is committed against any civilian population or any identifiable group and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a crime against humanity ...”

genocide means ...

war crime means an act or omission committed during an armed conflict that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a war crime ...”

Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada, § 4(3)
“(a) **BASIC OFFENSE.** — Whoever, whether in time of peace or in time of war and with the specific intent to destroy, in whole or in substantial part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group as such—

(4) subjects the group to conditions of life that are intended to cause the physical destruction of the group in whole or in part;

(6) transfers by force children of the group to another group;

shall be punished as provided in subsection (b)....”

18 USC § 1091 Genocide
(1) Every person is guilty of an indictable offence who commits
(a) genocide; (b) a crime against humanity; or (c) a war crime.
Conspiracy, attempt, etc.
(1.1) Every person who conspires or attempts to commit, is an accessory after the fact in relation to, or counsels in relation to, an offence referred to in subsection (1) is guilty of an indictable offence.
Punishment
(2) Every person who commits an offence under subsection (1) or (1.1)
(a) shall be sentenced to imprisonment for life, if an intentional killing forms the basis of the offence; and
(b) is liable to imprisonment for life, in any other case.” Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada, § 4(1), (2)
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(1) The definitions in this subsection apply in this Act.

_conventional international law_ means any convention, treaty or other international agreement

(a) that is in force and to which Canada is a party; or

(b) that is in force and the provisions of which Canada has agreed to accept and apply in an armed conflict in which it is involved.

International Criminal Court means the International Criminal Court established by the Rome Statute.” Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada, § 2 Definitions [emphasis added]
LEGAL U.S. GUN OWNERS HAVE 300 MILLION GUNS AND PROBABLY A TRILLION ROUNDS OF AMMO.

SERIOUSLY FOLKS, IF WE WERE THE PROBLEM, YOU’D KNOW IT.
AMERICA WAS FOUND BY TOUGH HELL-RAISERS.

Rugged citizens who evaded taxes, spoke strongly against tyranny, grew tobacco, brewed beer and spirits, and smuggled weapons. And it will be saved only by those same types of citizens.
ORIGINAL "ASSAULT RIFLE"

1774: Banned from import
1775: British attempt confiscation
1776: Revolution
War is when your government tells you who the enemy is.

Revolution is when you figure it out for yourself.
“A FREE PEOPLE OUGHT NOT ONLY BE ARMED AND DISCIPLINED, BUT THEY SHOULD HAVE SUFFICIENT ARMS AND AMMUNITION TO MAINTAIN A STATUS OF INDEPENDENCE FROM ANY WHO MIGHT ATTEMPT TO ABUSE THEM, WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THEIR OWN GOVERNMENT.”

-GEORGE WASHINGTON
“Any society that would give up a little liberty to gain a little security will deserve neither and lose both.”

-Benjamin Franklin
“Sacrificing freedoms for promises of safety and security is central to the worship of all false gods. And like all false gods, the sacrifices will never be enough.”
Second Amendment

Defending my right to not be a victim since 1791
When Liberty and Freedom are at stake, your silence isn’t golden... it’s yellow.
Intervention essentially says that if they violate the law then anything goes.
I served an 11 page personalized document by Registered Mail on

- The Queen
- The Governor General of Canada
- The Lieutenant Governor for Alberta
- The Prime Minister of Canada
- The Minister of Public Safety of Canada
- Every Member of the Senate of Canada (100 Senators)
- The Premier of Alberta
- The Attorney General for Canada
- The Attorney General for Alberta
- RCMP Commissioner and Deputy Commissioners
- Numerous Judges in Alberta
- Calgary Chief of Police, Deputies and every member of the Police Commission
- Lethbridge Chief of Police and every member of the Police Commission
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By Registered Mail RR 093 236 196 US
To:
Andre Pratte, Senator
Senate of Canada
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0A6
Canada

From:
[glen winningham; house of fear]
General Post Office, ZIP CODE EXEMPT
C/O 6340 Lake Worth Boulevard, #437
Fort Worth, Texas [RFD 76135]
Non-Domestic Mail, Without the United States, Inc.

NON-NEGOTIABLE
NOTICE AND DEMAND

i, me, my, myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land of Texas, and a
holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: [glen winningham; house of fear],
General Post Office, ZIP CODE EXEMPT, C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437, Fort Worth, Texas,
[RFD76135], Non-Domestic Mail, WITHOUT the UNITED STATES, do hereby NOTICE you of the
following:

1 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that i used to state that i accept your Oath of Office,
but i already know you have no intention of honoring your oath of office, so fuck your oath, you
mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!

2 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that if you or one of your PIGs wants a piece of me,
just name a date and time and i will come armed and we can settle it for once and for all, you
mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

3 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that i already know that you cowards will never take
me up on that because you are cowards, and do your best to make sure that i am NOT armed
so i have no way to defend myself when your PIGs assault me, and it is all with your approval
and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member
judicial whores selling their "justus", you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs
intended)!!

"In doing this, i shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and
Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his
creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their
master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant
willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456

4 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that, the word PIG is an acronym which stands for
"Persons in Government who intend to perjure their oaths", which means you are a PIG
too, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

5 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that, i have NEVER in my life breached the peace,
you fucking bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

6 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that your RCMP PIGs, and your Border PIGs and
your various City PIGs, and your Alberta Sheriff PIGs have breached the peace hundreds of
times while operating in their private capacity as revenue officers,
"All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are
administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram
non judice, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for
NON-NEGOTIABLE                             NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND

i, me, my, myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land of Texas, and a
holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: [glenn winningha,n house of fearn],
General Post Office, ZIP CODE EXEMPT, C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437, Fort Worth, Texas,
[RFD76135], Non-Domestic Mail, WITHOUT the UNITED STATES, do hereby NOTICE you of the
following:

1. You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that i used to state that i accept your Oath of Office,
   but i already know you have no intention of honoring your oath of office, so fuck your oath, you
   mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!

2. You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that if you or one of your PIGs wants a piece of me,
   just name a date and time and i will come armed and we can settle it for once and for all, you
   mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

3. You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that i already know that you cowards will never take
   me up on that because you are cowards, and do your best to make sure that i am NOT armed
   so i have no way to defend myself when your PIGs assault me, and it is all with your approval
   and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member
   judicial whores selling their “justus”, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs
   intended)!!

   "In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and
   Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his
   creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their
   master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

   "A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant
   willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456

©Common Law Copyright 2018
4 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that, the word PIG is an acronym which stands for "Persons In Government who intend to perjure their oaths", which means you are a PIG too, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

5 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that, i have NEVER in my life breached the peace, you fucking bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

6 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that your RCMP PIGs, and your Border PIGs and your various City PIGs, and your Alberta Sheriff PIGs have breached the peace hundreds of times while operating in their private capacity as revenue officers, "All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram non judice, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for

with their unlawful arrests when i had NOT breached the peace and there was no lawful court order


“An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery.” State v. Robison, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260

“Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense.” State v. Mobley, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2d 100

“Similarly, a person cannot be convicted of resisting a peace officer in the execution of his duty unless the officer was acting strictly within the limits of his powers and duty. If the officer makes an unlawful arrest, then there is a common law right to resist that arrest.” Police Manual of Arrest, Seizure and Interrogation, 8th Edition, by The Honorable Roger E. Salhany, page 96

and it is all with your approval and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member judicial whores selling their “justus”, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
7 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that your Parliament PIGs have given your RCMP PIGs, and your Border PIGs and your various City PIGs the right to assault, kidnap, falsely imprison, and even murder anybody they want as long as they do it in good faith, "Where a person is required or authorized by law to execute a process or to carry out a sentence, that person or any person who assists him is, if that person acts in good faith, justified in executing the process or in carrying out the sentence notwithstanding that the process or sentence is defective or that it was issued or imposed without jurisdiction or in excess of jurisdiction." Section 25 (2) Criminal Code of Canada

and it is all with your approval and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member judicial whores selling their "justus", you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
8 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that whenever a Judge is dealing with a statute he
is no longer a Judge in his official capacity but becomes a (bought and paid for) clerk
masquerading as a Judge operating in his private capacity.

"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date,
the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a
judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but
merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved
agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary capacity..."" Thompson v.
added]

"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that
when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of
the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an
agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762

"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in
nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis,
ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)

but your (bought and paid for) BAR member whores (clerks masquerading as Judges) sit there
and play stupid so they can go ahead and facilitate the assaults, kidnappings, false
imprisonments and even murders as long as it is in good faith.

"Where a person is required or authorized by law to execute a process or to carry out a
sentence, that person or any person who assists him is, if that person acts in good
faith, justified in executing the process or in carrying out the sentence notwithstanding
that the process or sentence is defective or that it was issued or imposed without
jurisdiction or in excess of jurisdiction."

Section 25 (2) Criminal Code of Canada

and it is all with your approval and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought
and paid for) BAR member judicial whores selling their "justus", you mother fucking son of a
bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!
You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that (bought and paid for) Clerks masquerading as Judges cannot do anything judicial, like issue warrants, or orders and if they attempt to do so, it is a fraud and a nullity

"Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1

therefore it is a kangaroo court

“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868

and a void judgment

"Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351." Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.
“Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.” City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973)

“A void judgment, insofar as it purports to be pronouncement of court, is an absolute nullity” Thompson v. Thompson, 238 S.W.2d 218 (Tex.Civ.App. – Waco 1951).

“Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time” In re Estate of Steinfeld, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also Steinfeld v. Hoddick, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).

and it is all with your approval and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member judicial whores selling their “justus", you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that your PIGs have assaulted me, kidnapped me, falsely imprisoned me and your United Nations BAAL priest whores on the bench (Clerks masquerading as Judges) are their accomplices, and it is all with your approval and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member judicial whores selling their "justus", you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!! "In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456

You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that, i am travelling to the land of Alberta, (which i have previously served you mother fucking sons of bitches, with documents stating that i am not interested in being in your criminal corporation called CANADA), "A State does not owe its origin to the Government of the United States, in the highest or in any of its branches. It was in existence before it. It derives its authority from the same pure and sacred source as itself: The voluntary and deliberate choice of the people... A State is altogether exempt from the jurisdiction of the Courts of the United States, or from any other exterior authority, unless in the special instances where the general Government has power derived from the Constitution itself... p. 448 "The question to be determined is, whether this State, so respectable, and whose claim soars so high, is amenable to the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States? This question, important in itself, will depend on others, more important still; and may perhaps, be ultimately resolved into one, no less radical than this- "do the people of the United States form a NATION? "By that law the several States and Governments spread
over our globe, are considered as forming a society, not a NATION." [caps in the original.]
Chisholm. Ex'r v. Georgia, 2 Dall. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1794)

but your PIIGs went ahead and assaulted me and kidnapped me and falsely imprisoned me
and your United Nations BAAL priest whores like Redman, Rookie, LeGrandeur, Tillerman,
Bekendorf, Bernard, and others became their accomplices, under their satanic UNIDROIT
controlled and regulated Uniform Commercial Code

"Whenever [the Uniform Commercial Code] creates a "presumption" with respect to a
fact, or provides that a fact is "presumed," the trier of fact must find the existence of the
fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its
nonexistence." Uniform Commercial Code § 1-206 Presumptions [emphasis added]

"(a) In an action with respect to an instrument, the authenticity of, and authority to
make, each signature on the instrument are admitted unless specifically denied in the
pleadings. If the validity of a signature is denied in the pleadings, the burden of
establishing validity is on the person claiming validity, but the signature is presumed to
be authentic and authorized unless the action is to enforce the liability of the purported
signer and the signer is dead or incompetent at the time of trial of the issue of validity
of the signature." Uniform Commercial Code § 3.308 Proof of Signatures and Status as
Holder in Due Course [emphasis added]

"The following rules apply in an action on a certificated security against the issuer:
(1) Unless specifically denied in the pleadings, each signature on a security certificate
or in a necessary indorsement is admitted.
(2) If the effectiveness of a signature is put in issue, the burden of establishing
effectiveness is on the party claiming under the signature, but the signature is
presumed to be genuine or authorized." Uniform Commercial Code § 8.114 Evidentiary
Rules Concerning Certificated Securities [emphasis added]

when they assaulted me with their cestui que trust

"But individuals, when acting as representatives of a collective group, cannot be said to
be exercising their personal rights and duties, nor be entitled to their purely personal
privileges. Rather they assume the rights, duties and privileges of the artificial entity or
association of which they are agents or officers and they are bound by its obligations."
(1944).

"Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they
were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by
the lands which were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the
use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use,
and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal
feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to
be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments
of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the
introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing." Tomlins Law
Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain

"Cestui que vie remaining beyond Sea for Seven Years together and no Proof of their
Lives, Judge in Action to direct a Verdict as though Cestui que vie were dead.
If such person or persons for whose life or lives such Estates have beene or shall be
granted as aforesaid shall remaine beyond the Seas or elsewhere absent themselves in
over our globe, are considered as forming a society, not a NATION.” [caps in the original.]
Chisholm. Ex’r v. Georgia, 2 Dall. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1794)

but your PIGs went ahead and assaulted me and kidnapped me and falsely imprisoned me and your United Nations BAAL priest whores like Redman, Rooke, LeGrandeur, Tilleman, Benkendorf, Bernard, and others became their accomplices, under their satanic UNIDROIT controlled and regulated Uniform Commercial Code

“Whenever [the Uniform Commercial Code] creates a ”presumption” with respect to a fact, or provides that a fact is ”presumed,” the trier of fact must find the existence of the fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its nonexistence.” Uniform Commercial Code § 1-206 Presumptions [emphasis added]

“(a) In an action with respect to an instrument, the authenticity of, and authority to make, each signature on the instrument are admitted unless specifically denied in the pleadings. If the validity of a signature is denied in the pleadings, the burden of establishing validity is on the person claiming validity, but the signature is presumed to be authentic and authorized unless the action is to enforce the liability of the purported signer and the signer is dead or incompetent at the time of trial of the issue of validity of the signature.” Uniform Commercial Code § 3.308 Proof of Signatures and Status as Holder in Due Course [emphasis added]

“The following rules apply in an action on a certificated security against the issuer:
(1) Unless specifically denied in the pleadings, each signature on a security certificate or in a necessary indorsement is admitted.
(2) If the effectiveness of a signature is put in issue, the burden of establishing effectiveness is on the party claiming under the signature, but the signature is presumed to be genuine or authorized.” Uniform Commercial Code § 8.114 Evidentiary Rules Concerning Certificated Securities [emphasis added]

when they assaulted me with their cestui que trust
“But individuals, when acting as representatives of a collective group, cannot be said to be exercising their personal rights and duties, nor be entitled to their purely personal

or in a necessary indorsement is admitted.
(2) If the effectiveness of a signature is put in issue, the burden of establishing effectiveness is on the party claiming under the signature, but the signature is presumed to be genuine or authorized.” Uniform Commercial Code § 8.114 Evidentiary Rules Concerning Certificated Securities [emphasis added]

when they assaulted me with their cestui que trust
"But individuals, when acting as representatives of a collective group, cannot be said to be exercising their personal rights and duties, nor be entitled to their purely personal privileges. Rather they assume the rights, duties and privileges of the artificial entity or association of which they are agents or officers and they are bound by its obligations."

"Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing." Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain

"Cestui que vie remaining beyond Sea for Seven Years together and no Proof of their Lives, Judge in Action to direct a Verdict as though Cestui que vie were dead.
If such person or persons for whose life or lives such Estates have beene or shall be granted as aforesaid shall remaine beyond the Seas or elsewhere absent themselves in
this Realme by the space of seaven yeares together and noe sufficient and evident prooфе be made of the lives of such person or persons respectively in any Action commenced for recovery of such Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners in every such case the person or persons upon whose life or lives such Estate depended shall be accounted as naturally dead, And in every Action brought for the recovery of the said Tenements by the Lessors or Reversioners their Heires or Assignes, the Judges before whom such Action shall be brought shall direct the Jury to give their Verdict as if the person soe remaining beyond the Seas or otherwise absenting himselfe were dead.” Cestui Que Vie Act 1666, 1666 CHAPTER 11

and sold me into slavery

“He [the prisoner] has as a consequence of his crime, not only forfeited his liberty but all his personal rights except those which the law in its humanity affords him. He is for the time being a slave of the state.” 62 Va. (21 Gratt.) 790, 796 (1871)

and it is all with your approval and consent, and i am not going to rest until i see these PIGs, and their PIG BAR member whose handlers do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!

“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7

therefore, i will ALWAYS be travelling heavily armed and if your coward PIGs want to unlawfully arrest me, we will settle it for once and for all, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that, your PIG United Nations BAAL priest BAR member whores masquerading as Judges know that your coward Border PIGs and your coward RCMP PIGs, and your coward City PIGs, and your coward Sheriff PIGs, routinely engage in false arrest and false imprisonment but they want to sit there and play stupid so they can collect their royalties, because your coward Border PIGs and your coward RCMP PIGs, and your coward City PIGs, and your coward Sheriff PIGs, bring them so much business you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!!

The only thing the plaintiff needs to do is to allege a false arrest, is either (1) that the defendant(cop) made an arrest or imprisonment, or (2) that the defendant(cop) affirmatively instigated, encouraged, incited,(started a fight) or caused the arrest or imprisonment. Burlington v. Josephson, 153 Fed.2d 372,276 (1946)

"When the plaintiff( person) has shown that he was arrested, imprisoned or restrained of his liberty by the defendant(cop), "the law presumes it to be unlawful." People v. McGrew, 20 Pac. 92 (1888); Knight v. Baker, 133 P. 544(1926).

"The burden is upon the defendant( cop ) to show that the arrest was by authority of law." McAleer v. Good, 65 Atl. 934, 935 (1907); Mackie v. Ambassador, 11 P.2d 6 (1932).
"ANY ARREST, made without a PROPER warrant, Signed by a judge and backed up by an affidavit from two persons that states, under penalty of perjury, you have broken a contract or hurt somebody, if challenged by the defendant, is presumptively invalid...the burden is upon the state" to justify it as authorized by statute, and does not violate the constitutional provisions(privileges)and Or( human rights.) State v. Mastrian, 171 N.W.2d 695 (1969); Butler v. State, 212 So.2d 577 (Miss 1968)

"As in the case of illegal arrests, the officer(cop) ... must keep within the law at his peril." Thiede v. Scandia, 217 Minn. 231, 14 N.W.2d 400 (1944)

13 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that, since your coward Border PIGs and your coward RCMP PIGs, and your coward City PIGs, and your coward Sheriff PIGs, obviously intend to engage in warfare against me no matter what i say or do "A mixed war is one which is made on one side by public authority, and the other by mere private persons." Black's Law Dictionary 5th Ed., page 1420 we will settle it for once and for all, with your coward PIGs and any blood shed is on your hands, and it will all be with your approval and consent, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!! "In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
14 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that i have the right to resist an unlawful arrest with lethal force if necessary

"An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery." State v. Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260

"Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense." State v. Mobley, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2d 100

"Similarly, a person cannot be convicted of resisting a peace officer in the execution of his duty unless the officer was acting strictly within the limits of his powers and duty. If the officer makes an unlawful arrest, then there is a common law right to resist that arrest." Police Manual of Arrest, Seizure and Interrogation, 8th Edition, by The Honorable Roger E. Salhani, page 96

and i shall be heavily armed and i shall exercise my right to resist any unlawful arrest by your RCMP PIGs, or your Border PIGs, or your City PIGs, or your Alberta Sheriff PIGs, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

Page 7 (plus attachments)
14 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that i have the right to resist an unlawful arrest with lethal force if necessary

"An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery." State v. Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260

"Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense." State v. Mobley, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2d 100

"Similarly, a person cannot be convicted of resisting a peace officer in the execution of his duty unless the officer was acting strictly within the limits of his powers and duty. If the officer makes an unlawful arrest, then there is a common law right to resist that arrest." Police Manual of Arrest, Seizure and Interrogation, 8th Edition, by The Honorable Roger E. Salhany, page 96

and i shall be heavily armed and i shall exercise my right to resist any unlawful arrest by your RCMP PIGs, or your Border PIGs, or your City PIGs, or your Alberta Sheriff PIGs, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455
You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that your Canada Border PIGs and your RCMP PIGs and your City PIGs engaged in war crimes by persecuting me for my political beliefs, and enslaving me and torturing me in violation of

“crime against humanity means murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, imprisonment, torture, sexual violence, persecution or any other inhumane act or omission that is committed against any civilian population or any identifiable group and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a crime against humanity ...
genocide means ...
war crime means an act or omission committed during an armed conflict that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a war crime …” Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada, § 4(3)

especially with your persecutions of me and anyone like me that you label with the “freeman on the land” status or “sovereign citizen” or “anti-government” when we try to say we have rights, and especially in light of the fact that all of North America west of the colonies defined by the Royal Proclamation of 1763 is under a military occupation as defined by Hague Convention IV, the Lieber Code, and the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Civilians in a Time of War of 1949

Page 8 (plus attachments)
“Territory is considered occupied when it is actually placed under the authority of the hostile army. The occupation extends only to the territory where such authority has been established and can be exercised.” Law and Customs of War on Land (Hague IV), Article 42

“A place, district, or country occupied by an enemy stands, in consequence of the occupation, under the Martial Law of the invading or occupying army, whether any proclamation declaring Martial Law, or any public warning to the inhabitants, has been issued or not. Martial Law is the immediate and direct effect and consequence of occupation or conquest. The presence of a hostile army proclaims its Martial Law.” Article 1, Lieber Code [emphasis added]

“Martial Law does not cease during the hostile occupation, except by special proclamation, ordered by the commander in chief; or by special mention in the treaty of peace concluding the war, when the occupation of a place or territory continues beyond the conclusion of peace as one of the conditions of the same.” Article 2, Lieber Code [emphasis added]

“The present Convention shall apply from the outset of any conflict or occupation mentioned in Article 2. In the territory of Parties to the conflict, the application of the present Convention shall cease on the general close of military operations. In the case of occupied territory, the application of the present Convention shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such Power exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the present Convention: 1 to 12, 27, 29 to 34, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 59, 61 to 77, 143.” Article 6, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]

and it is all with your approval and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member judicial whores selling their “justus”, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!
You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that your Border PIGs, and your RCMP PIGs, and your City PIGs, and your Alberta Sheriff PIGs are engaged in war crimes as underlined below:

1. The Court shall have jurisdiction in respect of war crimes in particular when committed as part of a plan or policy...
2. For the purpose of this Statute, ‘war crimes’ means:
   (a) Grave breaches of the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, namely, any of the following acts against persons or property...
   (iv) Extensive destruction and appropriation of property, not justified by military necessity and carried out unlawfully and wantonly;
   (v) Compelling a prisoner of war or other protected person to serve in the forces of a hostile Power;
   (vi) Willfully depriving a prisoner of war or other protected person of the rights of fair and regular trial;
   (vii) Unlawful deportation or transfer or unlawful confinement;” Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Article 8(a), War Crimes [emphasis added]

“Other serious violations..., namely, any of the following acts:
(i) Intentionally directing attacks against ... individual civilians not taking direct part in hostilities;
(ii) Intentionally directing attacks against civilian objects, that is, objects which are not military objectives;
(iv) Intentionally launching an attack in the knowledge that such attack will cause incidental... injury to civilians or damage to civilian objects ... which would be clearly excessive in relation to the concrete and direct overall military advantage anticipated;
(xiii) Destroying or seizing the enemy's property unless such destruction or seizure be imperatively demanded by the necessities of war;
(xiv) Declaring abolished, suspended or inadmissible in a court of law the rights and actions of the nationals of the hostile party;
(xvi) Pillaging a town or place, even when taken by assault;
(xxi) Committing outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment;” Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Article 8(b), War Crimes [emphasis added]

and it is all with your approval and consent, and with the approval and consent of your (bought and paid for) BAR member judicial whores selling their “justus”, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

19 You, Andre Pratte, Senator, are NOTICED that if you want to know more about your PIGs and their show-trial, and their kangaroo court check out a few of my (over 300) Youtube videos, you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!! Satanist Border PIGs in Canada assaulting people with their Commercial Transactions https://youtu.be/XAeHugfYbac
20 Andre Pratte, Senator, we are all here before this universe was created and we will all be here when it goes away, and before God, Angels and anyone who reads this as a witness, I shake the dust of the earth from off my feet against you (Matt 10:14, Mark 6:11, Luke 9:5) and we will be talking about this on judgment day, satanist, ....you mother fucking son of a bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)!!

"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456

21 Signed and Sealed in red ink on the land of Texas.

All of the above is submitted "UNDER PENALTIES with PERJURY".

Notice for the principal is notice for the agent and notice for the agent is notice for the principal.

This instrument was prepared by glenn winningham; house of fearn.

GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN, and all derivatives thereof
My Copyright

10 Feb 18

L.S.

glenn winningham; house of fearn, sui juris sovereign living soul, holder of the office of "the people", inhabitant of the land of Texas travelling from time to time on the land of Alberta, and elsewhere
Don’t forget to subscribe to this Youtube channel
Don’t forget to follow me on Steemit @sovereigntyintl
Don’t forget to Like this video
On Youtube don’t forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload
On steemit don’t forget to vote and make your comments
https://www.bitchute.com/channel/sovereigntyinternational/
What Should Your Crypto Coin Portfolio Look Like?

This post is for the newer individuals out that are getting into cryptocurrency. Below are my recommendations on what your crypto-coin portfolio should look like. Keep in mind that this is just my opinion and you research anything before buying it.
New Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta chief justice appointed by PM

A new chief justice has been appointed to the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta.

Prime Minister Justin Trudeau announced Tuesday morning that Justice Mary Moreau will take over the post from outgoing chief justice Neil Wittmann.
PIGs in Canada

- They appointed a Chief Judge bypassing Rooke
- All Judges are under investigation
- The Canada Border PIGs are under investigation
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Meads v Meads is 188 page reasons for judgment

Persecutes anybody who uses what he calls “Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument”

He mentioned my name

The Border PIGs said in their paperwork “extremely anti-government” as justification for their assault kidnapping and false imprisonment