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P.I.G.s

- P.I.G. – Persons In Government who intend to breach the trust, and perjure their oaths
United Nations Judicial Whores

- United Nations Judicial whores are so-called judges who are actually NOT judges but are clerks operating for their agency, and are bought and paid for under their UNIDROIT treaty described herein.
- United Nations Judicial whores have a kangaroo court that is pre-judged, under Roman Civil Law, and it doesn’t matter what you say or do.
- United Nations Judicial whores routinely deny due process
United Nations Judicial Whores

• "...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)

• "It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762.
United Nations Judicial Whores

• These United Nations Judicial whores are not competent to do justice, which is they themselves call it “the appearance of justice”, which is a fraud, as found in Corruption in the Court 4

• These United Nations Judicial Whores are NOT neutral or unbiased, but are bought and paid for

• “It is a fundamental right of a party to have a neutral and detached judge preside over the judicial proceedings.” Ward v Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57, 61-62, 93 S.Ct 80, 83, 34 L.Ed. 2d 267 (1972); Tumey v Ohio, 273 U.S. 510, 5209, 47 S. Ct. 437, 440, 71 L.Ed. 749 (1927).
Roman Civil Law

• “summary proceeding. A **nonjury proceeding** that settles a controversy or disposes of a case in a relatively prompt and simple manner. — Also termed summary trial. Cf. **plenary action** under ACTION(4). “Summary proceedings were such as were directed by Act of Parliament, there was no jury, and the person accused was acquitted or sentenced only by such person as statute had appointed for his judge. **The common law was wholly a stranger to summary proceedings.**” A.H. Manchester. Modern Legal History of England and Wales, 1750–1950 160 (1980).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3809 [emphasis added]
United Nations Judicial Whores

• United Nations Judicial Whores are selling their justus in violation of the Magna Carta

• “To no one will we sell, to no one will we refuse or delay right or justice” Chapter 40 Magna Carta (1215)

• United Nations Judicial Whores refuse justice of you don’t pay their extortion

• United Nations Judical Whores delay their justus, so they can collect more royalties
• United Nations Judicial Whores pre-judgment
• Judicial Whores have a kangaroo court
  – “kangaroo court. 1. A self-appointed tribunal or mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disre-garded, perverted, or parodied. …2. A court or tribunal characterized by unauthorized or irregular procedures, esp. so as to render a fair proceeding impossible. 3. A sham legal proceeding.
• The term's origin is uncertain, but it appears to be an Americanism. It has been traced to 1853 in the American West. “Kangaroo” might refer to the illogical leaps between “facts” and conclusions, or to the hapless defendant's quick bounce from court to gallows.” Black’s Law Dict., 8th Ed, pgs 1076-1076
Judicial Whores

• I filed a Petition of Right in Lethbridge, Alberta in November of 2010

• I told the Clerk that the Magna Carta says that they are not supposed to be selling their justice

• Chief Clerk said; “You will get no justice here”.
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Petition of Right

• The Petition of Right was given case number 1006-00907

• The Petition of Right was against the Canada Border Services Agency [PIGs] for their unlawful arrest (assault) at the border, and the City of Lethbridge Police for their unlawful arrest (assault) with no probable cause, as described in the Canada Border PIGs video

• In no case was there a Breach of the Peace
Petition of Right

• Langston, the judicial whore selling his justus dismissed the case

• I filed a Notice of Appeal, and the judicial whore in the Alberta Court of (so-called) Appeals, JCB McDonald, selling his justice refused to let it proceed unless I paid their extortion

• JCB McDonald is named in the Solemn Asservation Alberta Court of Appeals which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321
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PIGs in Canada

- After that I was continually harassed at the borders of Canada and the United States of America by both the Canada Border PIGs and the US border PIGs

- I was unlawfully arrested by US Border PIGs

- The Canada Border PIGs assaulted Me on 11 October of 2013, and they held their show trial in their kangaroo United Nations so-called Court, in March of 2014, as described in the Canada Border PIGs video
PIGs in America

• The US Border PIGs unlawfully arrested (assaulted) me on 3 January 2013 on Interstate Highway 10 about 100 miles east of El Paso, Texas,

• I file a lawsuit in the United Nations de facto kangaroo US District Court for the Northern District of Texas, and it was given case number 4:13-cv-00576-c and the judicial whore assigned was Cummings, which will be the subject of a Fire the United Nations Judicial Whores in America video

• I filed a lawsuit in Texas in the 352nd District Court that is case number 352-263583-13 and it is still open
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PIGs in Canada

• This video/presentation should be viewed in conjunction with the Canada Border PIGs video, the Corruption in the Courts 3 & 4 videos, the BAR Member videos, and the Peace Officers video

• This presentation is about the judicial whores involved and their superiors, that insisted in representing Me without authority, to fabricate evidence that I am their slave, so they can justify
  – the imposition of their Roman Civil Law,
  – their denial of due process - Their assaults - Their kidnapping - Their false imprisonment
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Representation

• Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation
  – US War of Independence
  – English Civil War with Oliver Cromwell
  – Magna Carta

• “…Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation.” Whitbourne (Town) v. Whitbourne Cottage Developers Ltd., 2005 CanLII 51786 (NL-PC) – 2005-02-25 [emphasis added]
"If it were true that, according to the spirit of our Constitution, the power of taxation must be limited by the right of representation, whence is derived the right to lay and collect duties, imposts, and excises, within this District? If the principles of liberty and of our Constitution forbid the raising of revenue from those who are not represented, do not these principles forbid the raising it by duties, imposts, and excises, as well as by a direct tax?" Longborough v Blake 18 U.S. 317 (1820), [emphasis added],
Representation

• “the fundamental constitutional principle that there shall be no taxation without representation (see Birks, at c. 6; Hogg, at p. 55-16; and Hogg and Monahan, at pp. 246-47). […] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law. […] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law.”

Kingstreet Investments Ltd. V New Brunswick (Finance), 2007 SCC 1, [2007] 1 SCR 3 – 2007-01-11 [emphasis added],
Representation

• Now they forcibly represent you whether you like it or not
  – That is how the CRA thieves justify their thefts
  – That is how the IRS thieves justify their thefts
  – That is how the Customs thieves justify their thefts, assaults, kidnappings, and false imprisonments
  – Then they drag you into their Kangaroo court
Representation

- They make a legal determination for you
  - They cannot make a legal determination for you, without representing you!
  - He is a taxpayer (victim for theft)
Representation

• It is impossible for anyone to make a legal determination for you, without representing you.

• Any bureaucrat who makes a legal determination for you, and thereby represents you, after you have FIRED them has breached the trust, and perjured their oath.
Declaration of Independence

• “He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended legislation.” [color of law – martial law]

• “For imposing taxes on us without our consent.” [martial law]

• “For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury.” [martial law]

• Declaration of Independence (1776) [emphasis added]
Taxes in Commerce ONLY

“Whereas taxation by the parliament of Great Britain, for the purpose of raising a revenue in his Majesty’s colonies, provinces, and plantations, in North America, has been found by experience to occasion great uneasiness and disorders... That from and after the passing of this act the King and Parliament of Great Britain will not impose any duty, tax, or assessment whatever, payable in any of the colonies, provinces, or plantations, in North America or the West Indies; except only such duties as it may be expedient to impose for the regulation of commerce...” George III, CAP XII 1778 [emphasis added]
The Show-Trial

• “Give me liberty or give me death” Patrick Henry,

• after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license
The Show-trial

• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs put their conviction in the US System so the US border PIGs could harass me at the border too!
Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Battenberg, Queen of Canada

• The proof of service shows that Battenberg received her Notice and Demand that was recorded with the Pinal County Recorder as Fee Number 2007-073072, by Registered Mail RA 351 948 021 US, on 3 July 2007
By Registered Mail RA 351 948 021 US
To:
Queen Elizabeth II
Buckingham Palace
London, SW1A 1AA
United Kingdom

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND

I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, with an address correction, Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, Non-Domestic Mail, C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #151-166, Casa Grande, Arizona, WITHOUT the UNITED STATES, do hereby Notice you of the following:

1. Queen Elizabeth II, I accept your Oath of Office. Please find attached hereto a copy of some parts of your Coronation Ceremony, including part of your Oath of Office which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

2. Queen Elizabeth II, equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

3. Queen Elizabeth II, et al, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me.

4. Queen Elizabeth II, et al, if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

5. Queen Elizabeth II, et al, neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

Title Holder: Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn

Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #151-166
Casa Grande, Arizona

By Registered Mail RA 351 948 021 US
To:
Queen Elizabeth II
Buckingham Palace
London, SW1A 1AA
United Kingdom

NON-NEGOTIABLE

OFFICIAL RECORDS OF PINAL COUNTY RECORDER
LAURA DEAN-LYTLE

DATE/TIME: 06/22/07 1236
FEE: $20.00
PAGES: 6
FEE NUMBER: 2007-073072

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND
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3. Queen Elizabeth II, et al, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me.

4. Queen Elizabeth II, et al, if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

5. Queen Elizabeth II, et al, neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Battenberg, Queen of Canada

• The proof of service shows that Battenberg received her Notice and Demands in 2 parts by Registered Mail RR 569 486 784 US & RR 569 486 798 US, on 19 December 2011, and 17 December 2011 respectively.
From:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 880 Heritage Blvd., West, Suite #131
Lethbridge, Alberta
POSTAL CODE EXEMPT

By Registered Mail RR 569 486 784 US and
By Registered Mail RR 569 486 798 US

To:
Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor
Queen of Canada
Buckingham Palace
London, SW1A 1AA
United Kingdom

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND NON-NEGOTIABLE

I, Me, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land Alberta, and
a holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: C/O Glenn Winningham; house
of Fearn, Non-Domestic Mail, C/O 880 Heritage Blvd., West, Suite #131, Lethbridge, Alberta,
POSTAL CODE EXEMPT, do hereby Notice you of the following:

One. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, I accept your
Oath of Office.

Two. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, equality under
the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

Three. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, I did not give you,
the authority for making a legal determination for Me, and I Claim My Right to arrest
anyone making an unlawful legal determination for Me, or representing Me without prior
written consent, as an unprovoked assault upon My rights under the Queen's Criminal
Code of Canada Sections 34(1), 39(1), and 494(1) & (2) because My rights are My
property, and they are NOT subject to regulation in ANY way.

Four. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, et al, if you think
or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

Five. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, et al, neither you,
nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

Six. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, I am competent
for dealing in all of My affairs.
From:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 880 Heritage Blvd., West, Suite #131
Lethbridge, Alberta
POSTAL CODE EXEMPT

By Registered Mail RR 569 486 784 US and
By Registered Mail RR 569 486 798 US

To:
Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor
Queen of Canada
Buckingham Palace
London, SW1A 1AA
United Kingdom

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND
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One. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, I accept your Oath of Office.

Two. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

Three. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me, and I **Claim My Right** to arrest anyone making an unlawful legal determination for Me, or representing Me without prior written consent, as an unprovoked assault upon My rights under the Queen's Criminal Code of Canada Sections 34(1), 39(1), and 494(1) & (2) because My rights are My property, and they are NOT subject to regulation in ANY way.

Four. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, et al, if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

Five. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, et al, neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

Six. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
Track & Confirm

STATUS OF YOUR ITEM | DATE & TIME | LOCATION | FE
--- | --- | --- | ---
Processed Through Sort Facility | December 19, 2011, 8:46 am | GREAT BRITAIN | F
Processed Through Sort Facility | December 9, 2011, 8:41 am | ISC NEW YORK | F
Arrived at Sort Facility | December 9, 2011, 8:34 am | ISC NEW YORK | F
Processed through USPS Sort Facility | December 7, 2011, 7:29 pm | BELLMAWR, NJ 8099 | F
Processed through USPS Sort Facility | December 7, 2011, 11:30 am | GEORGETOWN, DE 19947 | F

Check on Another Item
What's your label (or receipt) number?

Registered No. RR569468784US
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### Track & Confirm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YOUR LABEL NUMBER</th>
<th>SERVICE</th>
<th>STATUS OF YOUR ITEM</th>
<th>DATE &amp; TIME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR56946784US</td>
<td>First-Class Mail</td>
<td>Processed Through Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 19, 2011, 6:46 am</td>
<td>GREAT BRITAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Processed Through Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 09, 2011, 8:41 am</td>
<td>ISC NEW YORK NY(USPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arrived at Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 09, 2011, 8:34 am</td>
<td>ISC NEW YORK NY(USPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Processed through USPS Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 7:29 pm</td>
<td>BELLMAWR, NJ 08099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dispatched to Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 5:21 pm</td>
<td>GEORGETOWN, DE 19947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 11:30 am</td>
<td>GEORGETOWN, DE 19947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg. Fee</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling Charge</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>$27.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$49.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customer Must Declare
Full Value $21.00

Date Stamp:
09:47
08
12/07/11

OFFICIAL USE

FROM
George Washington House of Fam
10 880 Heritage Blvd W # 131
Lethbridge, Alberta
POSTAL CODE EXEMPT
Elizabeth Alexandra Groove of Wind
Queen Elizabeth II.

TO
Buckingham Palace
London SW1A 1AA U.K.
## Track & Confirm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE</th>
<th>STATUS OF YOUR ITEM</th>
<th>DATE &amp; TIME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-Class Mail</td>
<td>Delivered</td>
<td>December 17, 2011, 5:56 am</td>
<td>GREAT BRITAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processed Through Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 16, 2011, 3:06 pm</td>
<td>GREAT BRITAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processed Through Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 09, 2011, 9:12 pm</td>
<td>ISC NEW YORK NY (USPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arrived at Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 09, 2011, 9:02 am</td>
<td>ISC NEW YORK NY (USPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processed through USPS Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 7:29 pm</td>
<td>BELLMAWR, NJ 0806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dispatched to Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 5:21 pm</td>
<td>GEORGETOWN, DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 11:34 am</td>
<td>GEORGETOWN, DE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Check on Another Item
What's your label (or receipt) number?

**Registered No.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg. No.</th>
<th>Handing Charge</th>
<th>Postage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR56346798US</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$23.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date Stamp**

Date: 09/07/11

**OFFICIAL USE**

From: 3805, Receipt for Registered Mail

To: Buckingham Palace, London, United Kingdom

Postal Code: E10 1BT

Received by: [Signature]

Customer Must Deposit Full Value $23.72

Received Date: 09/07/11

For domestic delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com
### Track & Confirm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Status of Your Item</th>
<th>Date &amp; Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-Class Mail International</td>
<td>Delivered</td>
<td>December 17, 2011, 5:56 am</td>
<td>GREAT BRITAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed Through Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 16, 2011, 3:06 pm</td>
<td>GREAT BRITAIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed Through Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 09, 2011, 9:12 am</td>
<td>ISC NEW YORK NY (USPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrived at Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 09, 2011, 9:02 am</td>
<td>ISC NEW YORK NY (USPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed through USPS Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 7:29 pm</td>
<td>BELLMAWR, NJ 0806</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatched to Sort Facility</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 5:21 pm</td>
<td>GEORGETOWN, DE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>December 07, 2011, 11:34 am</td>
<td>GEORGETOWN, DE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered No.</td>
<td>RR56946798US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reg. Fee</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>$23.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customer Must Declare Full Value $21.00

Date Stamp
0947
08
12/07/11

OFFICIAL USE

FROM
Glen Wintemberg, House of Fraser
10580 Heritage Blue Way
Lethbridge, Alberta
Postal Code T1J 1V4

TO
Elizabeth, House of Windsor
Queen of Canada
Buckingham Palace
Crown, United Kingdom

PS Form 3806, Receipt for Registered Mail
May 2007 (7530-02-000-9051)
Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Battenberg, Queen of Canada

• The proof of service shows that Battenberg received her Notice and Demands in 2 parts by Registered Mail RR 569 486 784 US & RR 569 486 798 US, on 19 December 2011, and 17 December 2011 respectively.
PIGs is Canada

• Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada is named in the criminal complaint which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-061565

• Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg, Queen of Canada, is a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg, Queen of Canada has no lawful valid oath
  – She was not coronated on the true Stone of Destiny as required
Queen has perjured her fictitious Oath

• John Anthony Hill case
  – http://exopolitics.blogs.com/breaking_news/2011/05/uk-landmark-case.c...
  – http://mtrial.org
  – http://jamesfetzer.blogspot.com
  – http://terroronthetube.co.uk/2011/05/12/muaddib-acquitted/
BREAKING NEWS
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U.K.: Landmark Case Could Stymie Legal System - Queen not valid monarch

Landmark Case Could Stymie Legal System
Written by Debra Siddons

Friday, 27 May 2011 07:51

If everyone began using this defence tomorrow, in all of the Commonwealth courts and in the United States, the entire legal system could be brought to its knees in a matter of weeks if not days.”

For those of you who have been following the John Anthony Hill (JAH) Case, it is great to be able to share that he was acquitted, on the 12th of May 2011, of the ridiculous and politically-motivated charge of attempting to “pervert the course of justice”. For those of you less familiar with this landmark case, John Anthony Hill is the Producer of the documentary film “7/7 Ripple Effect”. For more details about this extraordinary case and the trial itself, please visit the following links:-

http://mtrial.org
http://jamesfetzer.blogspot.com
http://terroronthetube.co.uk/2011/05/12/muaddib-acquitted/
7/7/ Ripple effect http://iforjustice.co.uk/77/

There are two very important precedents that were established with this case that need to be studied in detail. There was a preliminary argument presented to the court to challenge both the jurisdiction and the sovereignty of Elizabeth Battenberg/Mountbatten, which was based on two distinct points. The first point being she was knowingly, and with malice aforethought, coronated on a fake stone in 1933 and thus has never been lawfully crowned.

There are those who may wish to argue that this point is irrelevant, as Judge Jeffrey Vincent Pecden did at the trial, wrongly thinking the Coronation is just a ceremony because she has been pretending to be the monarch for over 58 years. In actual fact the Coronation is a binding oath and a contract, requiring the monarch’s signature. Which brings us to the second point.
If everyone began using this defence tomorrow, in all of the Commonwealth courts and in the United States, the entire legal system could be brought to its knees in a matter of weeks if not days."

For those of you who have been following the John Anthony Hill (JAH) Case, it is great to be able to share that he was acquitted, on the 12th of May 2011, of the ridiculous and politically-motivated charge of attempting to "pervert the course of justice". For those of you familiar with this debate, I pray that Mr. Hill is the last case of the sort.
The Ringleader of the Criminal Enterprise called Canada
Oaths

• “All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram non judice, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 Inst. 165; 4 Inst. 278; 2 Roll. Abr. 277.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835 Edition, Volume 2
Governor General for Canada

• The proof of service shows that Michelle Jean received her Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 241 047 555 CA on 9 September 2010
PIGs in Canada

• David Johnston is the successor for Michelle Jean

• David Johnston was named in the Petition of Right which was filed in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

• David Johnston is named in a Criminal Complaints that are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-061565, and Fee Number 2011-066322

• David Johnston has no lawful valid current oath of office

• David Johnston, Governor General of Canada, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• An ORDER TAKER is somebody who is too stupid to know when they are receiving an unlawful command or not, cannot think for themselves, but need somebody to tell them what to do, as described by Mark Passio, in his Youtube videos on Natural Law,

• Mark Passio rightly says that all of the atrocities in history were committed by ORDER TAKERS in his Youtube profile; https://www.youtube.com/user/WhatOnEarth93
PIGs in Canada

- The proof of service shows that Harper received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 241 047 541 CA on 9 September 2009
- All of this information will be compiled into a Criminal Complaint, which I will record, and then serve the PIGs with a copy
PIGs in Canada

- Stephen Harper is named in a Criminal Complaints that is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324, and Fee Number 2011-066322
- Stephen Harper was named in the Petition of Right which was filed with the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010
- Stephen Harper has no lawful valid current oath
- Stephen Harper is bought and paid for
- Stephen Harper, Prime Minister of Canada, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Attorney General for Canada

• The proof of service shows that Nicholson received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 241 047 515 CA on 3 August 2010

• The proof of service shows that Nicholson received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 730 CA on 17 February 2011

• The proof of service shows that Nicholson and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RR 569 486 767 US on 13 December 2011
By Registered Mail RR 569 486 767 US

TO:
Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of so-called Justice
284 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H8
Canada

FROM:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 880 Heritage Blvd., West, #131
Lethbridge, Alberta
Postal Code Exempt

Notice and Demand

I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land of Alberta, and a
holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: C/O Glenn Winningham; house of
Fearn, Non-Domestic Mail, C/O 880 Heritage Blvd., Suite #131, Lethbridge, Alberta, Postal Code
Exempt, without your unlawful corporation CANADA, do hereby notice you of the following:

One. Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-called)
Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED that I accept your Oath of
Allegiance, and your Oath of Office, which was previously served on you.

Two. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-
called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under
your oaths, that equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

Three. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-
called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED that you are
bonded with your Risk Management Department in the amount of one million dollars, with a one
hundred thousand dollar deductible.

Four. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-
called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under
your oaths, that I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me, and I
Claim My Freedom to arrest anyone making an unlawful legal determination for Me, or
representing Me without prior written consent, as an unprompted assault upon My freedoms
under the Queen's Criminal Code of Canada Sections 34(1), 39(1), and 494(1) & (2) because
My rights are My property, and they existed prior to your corporations existence, and your duty
to protect those freedoms includes the freedom to retain and demand My properly as affirmed by
your Criminal Code of Canada Section 337, and My freedoms are not subject to regulation in
any way. (Ezekiel 33:1-10 invokes watch man status in not making use of the law for a benefit
but warning you it that applies to you.)

Five. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-
called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under
your oaths, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, the heir of God
(Generation 1:26), you are fired!

Six. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-
called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under
your oaths, that neither you, nor any other man or woman, is competent in dealing with any of
My affairs.

Seven. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-
called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under
your oaths, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
By Registered Mail RR 569 486 767 US
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Five. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under your oaths, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, the heir of God (Genesis 1:26), you are fired!

Six. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under your oaths, that neither you, nor any other man or woman, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

Seven. You, Rob Nicholson, the private man acting as Attorney General and Minister of (so-called) Justice for Canada, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED, as implied under your oaths, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
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PIGs in Canada

• Rob Nicholson is named in a Criminal Complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321, and Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2012-022428

• Rob Nicholson is named in the Petition of right which was filed in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

• Rob Nicholson has no lawful valid current oath

• Rob Nicholson is bought and paid for

• Rob Nicholson, former Minister of Justice for Canada, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• Peter Mackay is the successor to Rob Nicholson
• Peter Mckay has no lawful valid current oath
• Peter Mckay is bought and paid for
• Peter Mackay, Minister of Justice  is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
• The Public Prosecution Service is subordinates to Peter Mackay the PIG
Attorney General Subordinates

• The proof of service shows that Bernard and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 598 CA on 11 February 2014

• In an effort to shorten this video, I have omitted the evidence of the proof of service from this presentation, because the documents are exactly the same as the other ones provided, except that they are personalized
PIGs in Canada

• Alex Bernard has no lawful valid current oath
• Alex Bernard is bought and paid for
• Alex Bernard, Crown Prosecutor is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
• Alex Bernard is a subordinate to Peter MacKay the PIG
PIGs in Canada

• Alex Bernard’s former boss Larry R.A. Ackerl got his quid pro quo for holding his show trial and his kangaroo court when Peter Mackay, the PIG appointed Ackerl to the Court of Queen’s Bench
• Larry R.A. Ackerl is bought and paid for
• Larry R.A. Ackerl is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
OTTAWA, June 13, 2014 – The Honourable Peter MacKay, P.C., Q.C., M.P. for Central Nova, Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada, today announced the following appointments:

The Honourable Larry R.A. Ackerl, a lawyer with the Public Prosecution Service of Canada in Edmonton, is appointed a judge of the Court of Queen's Bench (Edmonton) to replace Mr. Justice R.S. Brown, who was appointed to the Court of Appeal of Alberta on March 6, 2014.

Mr. Justice Ackerl received a Bachelor of Laws from Queen's University in 1985. He was called to the Bar of Ontario in 1987 and the Bar of Alberta in 1992, and was appointed Queen's Counsel in 2009.

Mr. Justice Ackerl has served as Senior Counsel and Agent Supervisor with the Public Prosecution Service of Canada in Edmonton since 2005. Prior to that, he served as a Deputy Director; as Chairperson of the Appeals Committee; and as Crown Counsel. He has also practised as a litigation associate with Miller, Thomson, Sedgewick, Lewis & Healy in Toronto, and as law clerk to Chief Justice Ewaschuk of the Supreme Court of Ontario. His main area of practice was criminal law.

Mr. Justice Ackerl has served as a Bencher of the Law Society of Alberta since 2009. He has been a frequent lecturer to various organizations, including the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, and an instructor on advocacy skills for Crown prosecutors.
PIGs in Canada

- Barry Benkendorf, Lawyer, Department of (so-called) Justice for Canada is named in a criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321, and Fee Number 2011-066322
- Barry Benkendorf has no lawful valid current oath
- Barry Benkendorf is bought and paid for
- Barry Benkendorf, Lawyer, Department of so-called Justice for Canada, is an ORDER TAKER, and a PIG
Minister of Public Safety for Canada

• The Minister of Public Safety for Canada is responsible for the Border PIGs

• The proof of service shows that Stockwell Day, Minister of Public Safety for Canada, received his Notice and Demand, by Registered Mail RA 303 183 527 US which was recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2007-00813, on 29 May 2007

• The proof of service shows that Vic Toews, Minister of Public Safety for Canada, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RR 569 486 183 US on 9 July 2010

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Minister of Public Safety for Canada

• The proof of service shows that Vic Toews, Minister of Public Safety, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 743 CA on 16 February 2011
PIGs in Canada

• Vic Toews is named in a Criminal Complaints that are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-022428, and Fee Number 2011-066321

• Vic Toews is named in the Petition of Right which was filed in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

• Stockwell Day has no lawful valid current oath

• Stockwell Day is bought and paid for

• Stockwell Day, Minister of Public Safety, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

©Common Law Copyright 2011
PIGs in Canada

• Vic Toews has no lawful valid current oath
• Vic Toews is bought and paid for
• Vic Toews, Minister of Public Safety, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
• Steven Blaney is the successor to Vic Toews, and he intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• Steven Blaney has no lawful valid current oath
• Steven Blaney is bought and paid for
• Steven Blaney, Minister of Public Safety, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG.
PIGs in Canada

• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs are subordinates to Steven Blaney, the PIG
• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs are subordinates to Steven Harper, the PIG
• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs are subordinates to David Johnston, the PIG

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Minister of Public Safety for Canada subordinates

• The proof of service shows that Gerry Selk, Coutts Port Director, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RR 569 486 197 US on 14 July 2010
Alberta Lieutenant Governor

- The proof of service shows that Donald S. Ethell, Lieutenant Governor for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Express Mail LT 618 731 877 CA on 16 February 2011

- Donald Ethell, Lieutenant Governor of Alberta, is named in a criminal complaint that is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324
PIGs in Canada

• Donald Ethell was named in the Petition of right which was filed in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

• Donald Ethell intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust

• Donald Ethell has no lawful valid current oath

• Donald Ethell is bought and paid for

• Donald Ethell, Lieutenant Governor of Alberta, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

©Common Law Copyright 2011
The proof of service shows that Frank Oberle, Solicitor General for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 765 CA on 15 September 2009

The proof of service shows that Brad Pickering, Deputy Solicitor General for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 774 CA on 15 September 2009
PIGs in Canada

• Frank Oberle is named in a criminal complaint that is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324
• Frank Oberle intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• Frank Oberle has no lawful valid current oath
• Frank Oberle is bought and paid for
• Frank Oberle is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• Brad Pickering is named in a criminal complaint that is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324
• Brad Pickering intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• Brad Pickering has no lawful valid current oath
• Brad Pickering is bought and paid for
• Brad Pickering is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Alberta Attorney General

- The proof of service shows that Alison Redford, Attorney General for Alberta, received her Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RA 351 952 560 US on 5 June 2009
- The proof of service shows that Alison Redford, Attorney General for Alberta, and her subordinates and successors, received their Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 757 CA on 26 January 2011
PIGs in Canada

• Alison Redford is named in a Criminal Complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321, and Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2011-066323

• Alison Redford is named in the Petition of Right which was filed with the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010
PIGs in Canada

• Alison Redford intends to represent Me without authority, and she intends to breach the trust
• Alison Redford was promoted to Premier as quid pro quo, for sending Nancy McCurdy to conspire with Langston to get my Petition of Right dismissed
• Alison Redford has no lawful valid current oath
• Alison Redford is bought and paid for
• Alison Redford is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• Nancy McCurdy, Lawyer, Alberta Department of (so-called) Justice is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2011-066321

• Nancy McCurdy intends to represent Me without authority, and she intends to breach the trust

• Nancy McCurdy has no lawful valid current oath

• Nancy McCurdy is bought and paid for

• Nancy McCurdy is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Alberta Solicitor General

• The proof of service shows that Jonathan Denis, Solicitor General for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 728 875 CA on 3 December 2013
PIGs in Canada

• Jonathan Denis is a lawyer and the Solicitor General oversees all prisons in Alberta
• Jonathan Denis knows that all prisons in Alberta are de facto, and all courts in Alberta are de facto
• Jonathan Denis intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• Jonathan Denis has no lawful valid current oath
• Jonathan Denis is bought and paid for
• Jonathan Denis is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Chief Crown Prosecutor

• Proof of service shows that William Wister, Chief Crown Prosecutor, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 728 867 CA on 5 December 2013
PIGs in Canada

• William Wister is a lawyer, an officer of the court, and presumed to know the law
• William Wister knows that all prisons in Alberta are de facto, and all courts in Alberta are de facto
• William Wister intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• William Wister intends to engage in the theft of My property
• William Wister has no lawful valid current oath
• William Wister is bought and paid for
• William Wister is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that NC Whitman, Chief Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 709 CA on 14 November 2014

• NC Whitmann intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust

• NC Whitmann knows that Tillman, and Rooke, and all other so-called judges on the Court of Queen’s Bench are his subordinates
PIGs in Canada

• NC Whitmann is named in the Petition of Right which was filed in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

• NC Whitmann is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2011-066323, and Fee Number 2012-061565

• NC Whittmann has no lawful valid current oath

• NC Whittmann is bought and paid for

• NC Whitmann is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Judicial Whores

- The proof of service shows that JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judge for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 805 CA on 15 September 2010

- The proof of service shows that JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judge for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand by Express Mail LT 618 030 525 CA on 9 December 2010

- The proof of service shows that JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judge for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand RW 573 729 712 CA on 8 November 2013
From:  
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn  
Non-Domestic Mail  
C/O 30 Main Street, #188  
Donalda, Alberta  
Postal Code Exempt  

By Registered Mail RW 573 729 712 CA  

To:  
J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge  
Alberta Court of Queen's Bench  
601 – 5th Street SW  
Calgary, Alberta T2P 5P7  

NON-NEGOTIABLE  
NOTICE AND DEMAND  
NON-NEGOTIABLE  

I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land of Alberta, and a  
holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: C/O Glenn Winningham; house of  
Fearn, Non-Domestic Mail, C/O 30 Main Street, #188, Donalda, Alberta, Postal Code Exempt,  
WITHOUT the your criminal corporation called CANADA, do hereby Notice you of the following:  

1. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates  
and successors, et al, are NOTICED that this Notice and Demand is in addition to the Notice and  
Demand 091010 which was served on you by Registered Mail RW 500 290 805 CA, on 15th day  
of September 2010, as evidenced by the proof of service, a true copy of which is attached hereto,  
all of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.  

2. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates  
and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I accept your Oath of Office pursuant to the Oath of  
Office document that was attached to the Notice and Demand 091010, previously served.  

3. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates  
and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory  
by Law.  

4. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates  
and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal  
determination for Me.  

5. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates  
and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that if you think or assume that you are representing  
Me, you are FIRED!  

6. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates  
and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that neither you, nor any other person, is competent  
in dealing with any of My affairs.  

7. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates  
and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
Title Holder: Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn

From:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
Postal Code Exempt

By Registered Mail RW 573 729 712 CA

To:
J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge
Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench
601 – 5th Street SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 5P7

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND

NON-NEGOTIABLE
3. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

4. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me.

5. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

6. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

7. You, J. D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge, Alberta Court of Queens Bench, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
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PIGs in Canada

• JD Rooke, Associate Chief (so-called) Judge knows that all of the (so-called) judges in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta are his subordinates

• JD Rooke knows that he has conspired with Post Office Clerks to destroy evidence of service of my documents, which is also mail fraud

• JD Rooke knows that he represented Me without authority when he accused Me of being a paper terrorist in his decision in Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571, which is actually slander and defamation
PIGs in Canada

• I find that the accusation of being a paper terrorist is quite flattering, but realistically not true because if I was able to terrorize a bureaucrat into doing something contrary to his oath of office, the bureaucrat would be guilty of breach of trust.

• The simple fact of the matter is that if the bureaucrat changes his behavior because of something I have done it is because I have shown him that he is being given unlawful commands, and he realizes he has no duty to obey an unlawful command.

• JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judicial Whore, intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust.
PIGs in Canada

• JD Rooke intends to deny due process with his MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT [“OPCA”] DOCUMENTS dated 18 June 2013 pursuant to Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571

• JD Rooke is named in the Petition of right which was filed with the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

• JD Rooke is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066323, and Fee Number 2011-066322
UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION:

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in *Meads v. Meads*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The clerk shall review the documents proposed to be filed by any suspected OPCA litigant to determine if any formal defects appear as set out in List A.
MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT ["OPCA"] DOCUMENTS

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT INFORMATION OF THE PARTY FILING THIS DOCUMENT
Associate Chief Justice of the Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta
Judicial District of Edmonton
Law Courts Building, 1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013
NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE

UPON THE COURT’S OWN MOTION;
DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013

NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE

UPON THE COURT’S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571;
UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in *Meads v. Meads*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The clerk shall review the documents proposed to be filed by any suspected OPCA litigant to determine if any formal defects appear as set out in List A.
2. If such documents contain any formal defect as set out in List A, the clerk shall:

a) refuse to file the documents with the Court;
b) indicate on a copy of this Master Order the identified formal defects;
c) make a photocopy of and retain:
   i. a copy of the Master Order with the identified formal defects; and
   ii. the first page of the document(s) that contain the formal defect; and
d) return the documents to the person attempting to file same, and provide that person with a copy of the Master Order which indicates the identified formal defects.

3. A person who seeks to file documents that were refused by the clerk may:

a) challenge that action by sending:
   • A letter which states that documents requested to be filed were refused filing by the clerk pursuant to the Master Order for OPCA Documents, and a request that that action be challenged; the letter must include:
     a. the full legal name of the writer;
     b. mailing address for reply;
     c. the court file number, if a file number has been assigned;
     d. the type of document refused (i.e. statement of claim, statement of defence, application, affidavit, etc.); and
     e. the reasons why the document should be filed.
The refused documents.

- The copy of the Master Order received from the court clerk with the identified formal defects.

to:

Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta
6th Floor, Law Courts Building
1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton, Alberta, T6J 0R2
Attention: Associate Chief Justice Rooke

A document refusal challenge response shall be made by the Associate Chief Justice or his/her designate within 30 days, or such longer period as the Court may direct. Response communication shall be made by ordinary mail to the indicated mailing address.

b) correct the formal defects and request the clerk file the documents absent such defects.

[Signature]

Associate Chief Justice Rooke
Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta
List A: Prohibited OPCA Formal Defects

Note: these defects exist in many related variant forms and combinations (see *Meads v. Meads*, at paras. 207, 210, 238-241). Variations on these prohibited formal defects are a basis to reject document filing.

Circle any formal defect identified:

1. **Litigant Title and Status**

   The litigant claims a specific title or status:
   - a “flesh and blood man”, a “flesh, blood, and bone man”, or a “living soul”
   - a “freeman-on-the-land” or “freeman”
   - a “free will full liability person”
   - a “sovereign man”, “sovereign citizen” or “sovran”
   - a “Moor”

2. **Names**

   a. ‘Dash-colon’ format. Atypical punctuation of a person’s name by the insertion of colons or dashes before, after and among the first, middle and last name, for example:

      : [first name] - [middle name] : [last name] :

      [first name] - [middle name] : [last name]

   b. **Family lineage.** Atypical structure of a person’s name to indicate lineage:
Names

a. ‘Dash-colon’ format. Atypical punctuation of a person’s name by the insertion of colons or dashes before, after and among the first, middle and last name, for example:

: [first name] - [middle name] : [last name] :

[first name] - [middle name] : [last name]

b. Family lineage. Atypical structure of a person’s name to indicate lineage:

[first name] [middle name] of the Family [last name]

[first name] [middle name] of the Clan [last name]

[first name] [middle name] of the House of [last name]

c. Duplication and capitalization. Names of persons or parties are duplicated, with one name in all capital letters, and the other name in either lower case or lower case and upper case letters:

JOHN DOE and John Doe

JOHN DOE and john doe

d. Copyright or Trademark. A person claims copyright or trade-mark in their name. This may be indicated by a sentence or adding copyright © and trade-mark (t) symbols to a name.
PIGs in Canada

• JD Rooke intends to make war on anyone who considers themselves a “living soul”, or a “freeman-on-the-land”, or a “freeman”

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the War of Independence when they flogged a man to death for refusing to take a license, which, after having witnessing it, Patrick Henry said; “Give me liberty or give me death!”

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the Magna Carta

• It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the Confirmatio Chartarum
“Freeman (liber homo). The distinction of a freeman from a vassal; under the feudal policy liber homo, was commonly opposed to vassus, or vassallus, the former denoting an alodial proprietor, the latter one who held of a superior. Cowel. Blount.

The title of freeman is also given by any one admitted to the freedom of a corporate town, or any other corporate body, consisting, among other members, of those called freemen.”

Williams Law Dictionary 1816 Edition,
“FREEMAN. A person in the possession and enjoyment of all the civil and political rights accorded to the people under a free government. In the Roman law, it denoted one who was either born free or emancipated, and was the opposite of 'slave.' In feudal law, it designated an alodial proprietor, as distinguished from a vassal or feudal tenant. (And so in Pennsylvania colonial law. Fry's Election Case, 71 Pa. 308, 10 Am.Rep. 698.) In old English law, the word described a freeholder or tenant by free services; one who was not a villein. In modern legal phraseology, it is the appellation of a member of a city or borough having the right of suffrage, or a member of any municipal corporation invested with full civic rights.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 793
PIGs in Canada

- It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the English civil war
- It is tyrants like Rooke and Tillman who keep saying that the Magna Carta no longer applies, because they intend to precipitate another revolution
- JD Rooke has no lawful valid current oath
- JD Rooke is bought and paid for
- JD Rooke is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that JD Langston, Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 709 CA on 5 January 2011
PIGs in Canada

• JD Langston is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-061565, and Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2011-066321

• JD Langston intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust

• JD Langston has no lawful valid current oath

• JD Langston is bought and paid for

• JD Langston, judicial whore, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

- The proof of service shows that WA Tillman, Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand on 8 November 2013, at the same time JD Rooke received his, because WA Tillman is a subordinate to JD Rooke.

- I did a Motion for a Writ of Prohibition under their color of law United Nations UNIDROIT rules – see Corruption in the Courts 3.

- A Writ of Prohibition is a common law Writ that prohibits a lower court from proceeding, and WA Tillman was the judicial whore that dismissed the Motion for a Writ of Prohibition.
PIGs in Canada

• During the show-hearing WA Tillman even admitted that the Customs Act is “color of law” and the Firearms Act is “color of law”, and he even admitted that he had not read my 1200 pages of written submissions, which is proof that the case was pre-judged from the beginning

• Benkendorf the PIG, asked Tillman the PIG, to prohibit me from doing any further filings, which Tillman did

• WA Tillman intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
PIGs in Canada

• Because of Tillman’s ORDER I was prevented from filing a Demand for a Trial by Jury, a Petition for a Writ of Habeus Corpus, and several Notices of Constitutional Questions (challenging the constitutionality of;
  – the Firearms Act,
  – the Canada Customs Act,
  – the Criminal Code of Canada,
  – the Canada Border Services Agency Act,
  – the Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench Act,
  – the Alberta Provincial Court Act,
  – the Alberta Evidence Act,
  – the Alberta Judiciary Act,
PIGs in Canada

• Tillman, Rooke, and their Vatican Crown PIG buddies in Canada use their UNIDROIT, 1200 pages of rules to deny due process, and their Notice of Constitutional Question is a prime example, because you can’t challenge the constitutionality of one of their fictitious laws, unless you provide Notice first.

• If you do NOT provide Notice, they pretend it didn’t happen.

• WA Tillman, the PIG conspired in open court with Benkendorf, the PIG, the deny Me due process, and represent Me without authority, and breach the trust
PIGs in Canada

• In his written decision, WA Tillman, the PIG, even used the terminology OPCA (Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument) which was coined by his boss JD Rooke, which is further proof that he was following ORDERS, and further proof that the case was pre-judged from the beginning

• WA Tillman has no lawful valid current oath

• WA Tillman is bought and paid for

• WA Tillman, the judicial whore, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that A Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, received her Notice and Demand by Express Mail LT 618 030 517 CA on 8 December 2010

• The proof of service shows that A Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and her subordinates and successors, received her Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 728 782 CA on 8 November 2013
From:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
Postal Code Exempt

By Registered Mail RW 573 728 782 CA

To:
A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge
Provincial Court of Alberta
Law Courts, 6th Floor
1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND

I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land of Alberta, and a holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: C/O Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, Non-Domestic Mail, C/O 30 Main Street, #188, Donalda, Alberta, Postal Code Exempt, WITHOUT the your criminal corporation called CANADA, do hereby Notice you of the following:

1. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED that this Notice and Demand is in addition to the Notice and Demand 091010 which was served on you by Express Mail LT 618 030 517 CA, on 8th day of December 2010, as evidenced by the proof of service, a true copy of which is attached hereeto, all of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

2. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I accept your Oath of Office pursuant to the Oath of Office document that was attached to the Notice and Demand 091010, previously served.

3. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

4. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me.

5. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

6. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

7. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
Title Holder: Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn

From:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
Postal Code Exempt

By Registered Mail RW 573 728 782 CA

To:
A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge
Provincial Court of Alberta
Law Courts, 6th Floor
1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

NON-NEGOTIABLE

NOTICE AND DEMAND

NON-NEGOTIABLE
4. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, I did not give you, the authority for making a legal determination for Me.

5. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, you are FIRED!

6. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that neither you, nor any other person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

7. You, A. Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and your subordinates and successors, et al, are NOTICED, again, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
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PIGs in Canada

• A Gail Vickery knows that she has conspired with Post Office Clerks to destroy evidence of service of my documents, which is also mail fraud

• A Gail Vickery intends that she and her subordinates do represent Me without authority, and she intends to breach the trust

• A Gail Vickery has no lawful valid current oath

• A Gail Vickery is bought and paid for

• A Gail Vickery, Chief Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that TJ Matchett, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, received his Notice and Demand on 8 November 2013, when A. Gail Vickery received hers because TJ Matchett is the successor to A. Gail Vickery

• The proof of service shows that TJ Matchett, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 690 CA on 6 January 2014
PIGs in Canada

• TJ Matchett, Chief Provincial judicial whore intends that he and his subordinates do represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• TJ Matchett has no lawful valid current oath
• TJ Matchett is bought and paid for
• TJ Matchett, Chief Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

- The proof of service shows that AH Lefevre received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 686 CA on 3 January 2014
- AH Lefevre knows that he has conspired with Post Office Clerks to destroy evidence of service of my documents, which is also mail fraud
Notice and Demand

Ecclesiastical Notice for the Principal is Notice for the Agent and Notice for the Agent is Notice for the Principal.

Reference: Your Cases # 131211708P1-01 & 131453342X1

I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign, an inhabitant of the land of Texas, and a holder of the office of "the people", with an address correction: C/O Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, Non-Domestic Mail, C/O 30 Main Street, #188, Donalda, Alberta, Postal Code Exempt, without your unlawful corporation called Canada, do hereby notice you of the following:

One. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED that I accept your Oath. You are further NOTICED that I DEMAND a certified copy of your current lawful oath of office.

Two. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED as implied under your oaths, that equality under the Law is paramount and mandatory by Law.

Three. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED that your ces ti que trust, D. K. MILLER, is bonded with your Risk Management Department in the amount of one million dollars, with a one hundred thousand dollar deductible, but you the private man are fully liable civilly and criminally to "we the people" for your actions.

Four. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED as implied under your oaths, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, the heir of God (Genesis 1:26), you are fired!

Five. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED as implied under your oaths, that neither you, nor any other man or woman, nor any person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

Six. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED as implied under your oaths, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.

Seven. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED that any communication with me, by you, your successors, or your subordinates shall be signed "under the penalty of perjury".
By Registered Mail RW 573 729 686 CA
To:   A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge Provincial Court of Alberta
      Law Courts, 6th Floor
      1A Sir Winston Churchill Square
      Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

From:  Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn Non-Domestic Mail
       C/O 30 Main Street, #188
       Donalda, Alberta
       Postal Code Exempt

Notice and Demand

Ecclesiastical Notice for the Principal is Notice for the Agent and Notice for the Agent is Notice for the Principal.

Reference:  Your Cases # 131211708P1-01 & 131453342X1
Four. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED as implied under your oaths, that if you think or assume that you are representing Me, the heir of God (Genesis 1:26), you are **fired**!

Five. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED as implied under your oaths, that neither you, nor any other man or woman, nor any person, is competent in dealing with any of My affairs.

Six. You, A. H. LeFever, the private man acting as Deputy Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and subordinates and successors, are NOTICED as implied under your oaths, that I am competent for dealing in all of My affairs.
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PIGs in Canada

- AH Lefevre, Deputy Chief Provincial so-called Judge intends that he and his subordinates do represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
- AH Lefevre has no lawful valid current oath
- AH Lefevre is bought and paid for
- AH Lefevre, Deputy Chief Provincial judicial whore is a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that JN LeGrandeur, Associate Chief Judge for Lethbridge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, was received by Registered Mail RW 573 728 836 CA on 5 December 2013
PIGs in Canada

• JN LeGrandeur intends to represent Me without authority
• JN LeGrandeur intends to breach the trust
• JN LeGrandeur intends to perjure his oaths
• JN Legrandeur has no lawful valid current oath
• JN LeGrandeur is bought and paid for
• JN LeGrandeur, Associate Chief Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that TG Hironaka, so-called Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 584 CA on 12 February, 2014

• TG Hironaka is a subordinate to JN LeGrandeur, Assistant Chief Judicial whore, Provincial Court of Alberta

• TG Hironaka is operating under the supervision and control of his bosses, LeGrandeur, Lefevre, and Matchett
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328 Street
Provincial Court of Alberta
Calgary
PIGs in Canada

• TG Hironaka intends to represent Me without authority
• TG Hironaka intends to breach the trust
• TG Hironaka has no lawful valid current oath
• TG Hironaka pre-judged my case and represented Me without authority by entering a plea without my consent
• TG Hironaka is bought and paid for
• TG Hironaka, Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER, and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that DG Redman, Judicial whore, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 536 CA on 12 February, 2014

• DG Redman is a subordinate to JN LeGrandeur, Assistant Chief Judicial whore, Provincial Court of Alberta

• DG Redman is operating under the supervision and control of his boss JN LeGrandeur
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/12</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>LETHBRIDGE</td>
<td>Item refused by recipient. Item being returned to sender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/12</td>
<td>07:51</td>
<td>LETHBRIDGE</td>
<td>Item out for delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/12</td>
<td>07:34</td>
<td>LETHBRIDGE</td>
<td>Item processed at local delivery facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/11</td>
<td>03:39</td>
<td>EDMONTON</td>
<td>In transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/11</td>
<td>01:32</td>
<td>EDMONTON</td>
<td>Item processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/10</td>
<td>11:23</td>
<td>LACOMBE</td>
<td>Item accepted at the Post Office</td>
</tr>
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<td>Time</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
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<td>2014/02/12</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>LETHBRIDGE</td>
<td>Item refused by recipient. Item being returned to sender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/12</td>
<td>07:51</td>
<td>LETHBRIDGE</td>
<td>Item out for delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/12</td>
<td>07:34</td>
<td>LETHBRIDGE</td>
<td>Item processed at local delivery facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/11</td>
<td>03:39</td>
<td>EDMONTON</td>
<td>In transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/11</td>
<td>01:32</td>
<td>EDMONTON</td>
<td>Item processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/02/10</td>
<td>11:23</td>
<td>LACOMBE</td>
<td>Item accepted at the Post Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shipping Options and Features for this Item

Signature Required
Registered

D.G. Redman, Judge
520 - 4th Street S.
Lethbridge, Alberta, T1J 1Z8

79 573 729 536

RECOMMENDED

The sender's name and address are printed on the front of the envelope.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Complete any declared value on receipt, tear off perforated line, and place the reverse side on the recipient's address.

2. Remove label from mailing (except those marked II) and apply the label to the front of the item to which it attaches.

3. Apply label to back of envelope.
PIGs in Canada

• When I showed up at their show-trial, I asked for Redman’s name and he refused to give it, and then I said; “If you think you are representing Me you are fired”, and I left

• DG Redman intends to represent Me without authority

• DG Redman intends to get his “shrink” buddies working for Alberta Healthcare to declare Me insane to compel Me to be his slave, and discredit anyone who thinks they have any rights, and for deliberate political repression
PIGs in Canada

• DG Redman intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends that all of his judicial whore buddies represent Me without authority, which is why he ORDERED Me not to post to him any more letters

• Redman was NOT neutral or detached

• “It is a fundamental right of a party to have a neutral and detached judge preside over the judicial proceedings.” Ward v Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57, 61-62, 93 S.Ct 80, 83, 34 L.Ed. 2d 267 (1972); Tumey v Ohio, 273 U.S. 510, 5209, 47 S. Ct. 437, 440, 71 L.Ed. 749 (1927).
The Show-trial

• Redman’s kangaroo court was de facto, just like the Canada Border Services Agency is de facto because they have no authority whatsoever
• Redman’s kangaroo court is really a United Nations Court operating under UNIDROIT
Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

• "The governments are but trustees acting under derived authority and have no power to delegate what is not delegated to them. But the people, as the original fountain might take away what they have delegated and entrust to whom they please. ... The sovereignty in every state resides in the people of the state and they may alter and change their form of government at their own pleasure." --Luther v. Borden, 48 US 1, 12 Led 581.
Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

- “There is no such thing as power of inherent Sovereignty in the government of the United States. In this country sovereignty resides in the People, and Congress can exercise no power which they have not, by their Constitution entrusted to it; All else is withheld.” Julliard v Greenman 110 U.S. 421
Delegated Authority

• “A delegate cannot delegate; an agent cannot delegate his functions to a subagent without the knowledge or consent of the principal; the person to whom an office or duty is delegated cannot lawfully devolve the duty on another, unless he be expressly authorized so to do.” 9 Coke, 77; Broom, Max. 840; 2 Kent, Comm. 633; 2 Steph. Comm. 119 [emphasis added]

• “A delegated power cannot be again delegated.” 2 Inst. 597; Black's, 2d. 347; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1300

• “A deputy cannot have (or appoint) a deputy.” Story, Ag. s.13; 9 Coke, 77; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1936
No Delegated Authority

• There is No Delegated Authority for a corporation called United States, or any agency, department, commission, board or other entity of such a corporation

“As used in this chapter:

(15) “United States” means—

(A) a Federal corporation;
(B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States; or
(C) an instrumentality of the United States.” 28 USC § 3002 Definitions [emphasis added]
No Delegated Authority

• "It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent, H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only.” United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33 [Emphasis added]
No Delegated Authority

• There is no delegated authority for:
  – A corporation called Federal Bureau of Investigation
  – A corporation called National Security Agency
  – A corporation called Central Intelligence Agency
  – A corporation called Department of Homeland Security
  – A corporation called Internal Revenue Service
  – A corporation called Department of the Treasury
  – An Agency called The Office of Attorney General of the United States
No Delegated Authority

• There is no delegated authority for;
  – A municipal corporation called City of Fort Worth
  – A municipal corporation called City of Grand Prairie
  – Any municipal corporation
  – Any prison corporation
  – A corporation called Department of Public Safety in any State
  – A corporation called Texas State Police, or State Police in any State
Canada is Bankrupt

INSTRUCTION SHEET

A - Complete the application form.

B - You must provide an original primary document according to your status in Canada. Refer to leaflet "Documents you need to obtain a Social Insurance Number SC-207-03-06."

C - If the name on your primary document is different from the name you are now using, you must also submit an original support to leaflet "Documents you need to obtain a Social Insurance Number SC-207-03-06."

D - If you are replacing your SIN card, you must pay a $10.00 fee (subject to change). Make your personal cheque, bank draft or money order payable in Canadian funds to the RECEIVER GENERAL FOR CANADA. You may pay in cash at a Human Resource Centre or by MAIL CASH.

E - If you are a guardian, you must submit an original document showing proof of legal guardianship in order to sign an application on behalf of the applicant.

The information contained in the vital statistics registers and the Citizenship and Immigration Canada records can be used to validate that you provide with this application form when presenting a document originating from these sources.

If you are employed, it is important that the name and Social Insurance Number under which you are working are identical to the name and Social Insurance Number that appear on your card. This will ensure that your Canada Pension Plan and/or Quebec Pension Plan contributions are properly credited to you.
No Delegated Authority

• There is no delegated authority for a corporation called Canada,
  – or a corporation called Royal Canadian Mounted Police,
  – or a corporation called Canada Border Services Agency,
  – or a corporation called Lethbridge Correctional Centre,
  – or a corporation called Calgary Remand Centre,
No Delegated Authority

• There is no authority in Canada, or the United States, to delegate any power to the United Nations, or any United Nations agency
No Delegated Authority

• All so-called courts in Canada and the United States, and in many other countries (63 according to the UNIDROIT website) are;
  – United Nations courts, and are
  – de facto, and are
  – thieves, and are
  – PIGs, and are
  – pirates, and in some cases are
  – murderers
Unconstitutional Delegations

• “Ultra vires. An act performed without any authority to act on subject. Haslund v. City of Seattle, 86 Wash.2d 607, 547 P.2d 1221, 1230….. The term has a broad application and includes not only acts prohibited by the charter, but acts which are in excess of powers granted and not prohibited, and generally applied either when a corporation has no power whatever to do an act, ….

People ex reI. Barrett v. Bank of Peoria, 295 Ill.App. 543, 15 N.E.2d 333, 335. Act is ultra vires when corporation is without authority to perform it under any circumstances or for any purpose. Ultra vires act of municipality is one which is beyond powers conferred upon it by law. Charles v. Town of Jeanerette, Inc., La.App., 234 So.2d 794, 798.”

De facto Law

• “Obedience to de facto law – No person shall be convicted of an offense in respect of an act or omission in obedience to the laws for the time being made and enforced by persons in de facto possession of the sovereign power in and over the place where the act or omission occurs.”, Section 15 of the Criminal Code of Canada
UNIDROIT

- All courts in Canada & United States are United Nations Courts under the UNIDROIT treaty, and have been for over 30 years
- All courts are de facto courts
- There is no authority to delegate anything to the United Nations in the Constitution for the United States of America, or the British North America Act
UNIDROIT

- UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented.

- Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years.

- UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.
UNIDROIT

• UNIDROIT covers;
  – Negotiable instruments
  – Civil procedure
  – Secured transactions
  – Legal status of women
  – Maintenance obligations
  – Contracts
  – Banking law
  – Much more – (see the website)
• News and events
• About UNIDROIT
  ◦ Overview
  ◦ Membership
  ◦ Work Programme
  ◦ Institutional Documents
    ▪ Statute
    ▪ Regulations
    ▪ Headquarters Agreement
  ◦ Governing Council
  ◦ Secretariat
• Meetings
  ◦ General Assembly
  ◦ Governing Council
  ◦ Finance Committee
  ◦ Studies
• Instruments
  ◦ Agency
  ◦ Capital Markets
    ▪ Geneva Convention
    ▪ Netting
  ◦ Commercial Contracts
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    ▪ UPICC Model Clauses
  ◦ Cultural Property
    ▪ 1995 Convention
    ▪ Model Legislative Provisions
  ◦ Factoring
  ◦ Franchising
    ▪ Model Law
    ▪ Guide
      ▪ First Edition 1998
Meetings
  - General Assembly
  - Governing Council
  - Finance Committee
  - Studies

Instruments
  - Agency
  - Capital Markets
    - Geneva Convention
    - Netting
  - Commercial Contracts
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    - UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    - UPICC Model Clauses
  - Cultural Property
    - 1995 Convention
    - Model Legislative Provisions
  - Factoring
  - Franchising
    - Model Law
    - Guide
      - First Edition 1998
      - Second Edition 2007
International Sales
  • ULIS 1964
  • ULFC 1964

Leasing
  • Convention
  • Model Law

Security Interests
  • Cape Town Convention
  • Aircraft Protocol
  • Rail Protocol
  • Space Protocol

Succession

Transnational Civil Procedure

Transport
  • CCV
  • CMR

Work in Progress / Studies
  • Current Studies
    • Contract Farming
    • Transnational Civil Procedure
    • Emerging markets
  • Past Studies
    • Arbitration
    • Banking Law
    • Capital markets
Emerging markets

Past Studies

- Arbitration
- Banking Law
- Capital markets
- Civil liability
- Civil procedure
- Company law
- Contracts (in general)
- Cultural property
- Factoring
- Forwarding agency
- Franchising
- Hotelkeepers
- Insurance
- Intellectual property
- International sales
- Leasing
- Legal Status of Women
- Maintenance obligations
- Methodology
- Movement of persons
- Natural resources
- Negotiable instruments
- Non-legislative activities
UNIDROIT covers

• Covers mandatory insurance for motor vehicles

• Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children
- 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);
- 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);
- 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);
· 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

· 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);

· 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);

· 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

· 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);
Right to Travel

• "The term "Motor Vehicle" means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes on the highways in the transportation of passengers, passengers and property, or property or cargo.

• The term "used for commercial purposes" means the carriage of persons or property for any fare, fee, rate, charge or other consideration, or directly or indirectly in connection with any business, or other undertaking intended for profit." 18 USC § 31 [emphasis added]
UNIDROIT

• Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty

• As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty
MEMBERSHIP

Membership of UNIDROIT is restricted to States acceding to the UNIDROIT Statute.

UNIDROIT’s member States are drawn from the five continents and represent a variety of different legal, economic and political systems as well as different cultural backgrounds.

To find the date when a particular State became a member of UNIDROIT click on the relevant national flag.

The following 63 States are members of UNIDROIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER STATES</th>
<th>NATIONAL AUTHORITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR MEMBER STATES’ RELATIONS WITH UNIDROIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Embassy of Argentina in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Attorney-General’s Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Federal Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Federal Public Service Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Embassy of Brazil in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Justice Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Ministry of Commerce, Treaty and Law Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>Ministry for Trade and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>Secretariat of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Slovenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>South African Department of International Relations and Cooperation (DIRCO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Federal Office of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice and Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice General Directorate of International Law and Foreign Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</td>
<td>Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Department of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNIDROIT Treaty

• Texas is NOT listed
• Arizona is NOT listed
• No American State is listed
• Alberta is NOT listed
• British Columbia is NOT listed
• Ontario is NOT listed
• No Canadian province is listed
• Therefore, anything involving motor vehicles or the courts, is both commercial and federal, and therefore by consent
UNIDROIT Treaty

- Anything in America (Canada or United States) & (federal or state) involving motor vehicles, or the courts, or the banks, or finance, falls under UNIDROIT
Provincial Court is an Inferior Court

- “The Court does not have jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate on a claim or counterclaim (a) in which the title to land is brought into question, (b) in which the validity of any devise, bequest or limitation is disputed, (c) for malicious prosecution, false imprisonment, defamation, criminal conversation or breach of promise of marriage, (d) against a judge, justice of the peace or peace officer for anything done by that person while executing the duties of that office, or (e) by a local authority or school board for the recovery of taxes, other than taxes imposed in respect of the occupancy of or an interest in land that is itself exempt from taxation.” Provincial Court Act, Chapter P-31, Part 1.2 General Judicial Matters, Section 9.6 Jurisdiction (2)
Provincial Court is an Inferior Court

• “Also nothing shall be intended to be within the jurisdiction of an inferior court, but what is expressly so alleged: and if part of the cause arises within the inferior jurisdiction, and part thereof without it, the inferior court ought not to hold plea. 1 Lev. 104: 2 Rep. 16. See tit Abatement, I. 1. An inferior court, not of record, cannot impose a fine, or imprison: but the courts of record at Westminster may fine, imprison, and amerce. 1 1 Rep. 43.; The king, being the supreme magistrate of the kingdom, and intrusted with the executive power of the law, all courts, superior or inferior, ought to derive their authority from the crown; Staundf. 54; " 1835 Tomlins Law Dictionary, [emphasis added]
Redman the PIG

• “"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administrating or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially….but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity…” Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.
Redman the PIG

• Redman intended to deny Me any common law rights including my right to due process in his kangaroo court with his summary proceeding, and that was also intended by;
  – Harper the PIG, Mackay the PIG, Johnston the PIG,
  – Battenberg the PIG, Redford the PIG, Bernard the PIG,
  – Benkendorf the PIG, Denis the PIG, Ethell the PIG,
  – Rooke the PIG, Matchett the PIG, LeGrandeur the PIG,
  – Hironaka the PIG, Whittmann the PIG, Tillman the PIG
  – Nicholson the PIG, McCurdy the PIG, Lefevre the PIG
  – Langston the PIG, Wister the PIG, Toews the PIG
  – McCurdy the PIG, and the CBSA PIGs
Redman the PIG

• “kangaroo court. 1. A self-appointed tribunal or mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disre-garded, perverted, or parodied. • Kangaroo courts may be assembled by various groups, such as prisoners in a jail (to settle disputes between inmates) and players on a baseball team (to “punish” teammates who commit fielding errors). 2. A court or tribunal characterized by unauthorized or irregular procedures, esp. so as to render a fair proceeding impossible. 3. A sham legal proceeding. • The term's origin is uncertain, but it appears to be an Americanism. It has been traced to 1853 in the American West. “Kangaroo” might refer to the illogical leaps between “facts” and conclusions, or to the hapless defendant's quick bounce from court to gallows.”

Redman the PIG

• “Summary proceeding. Any proceeding by which a controversy is settled, case disposed of, or trial conducted, in a prompt and simple manner, without the aid of a jury, without presentment or indictment, or in other respects out of the regular course of the common law. In procedure, proceedings are said to be summary when they are short and simple in comparison with regular proceedings; e., in comparison with the proceedings which alone would have been applicable, either in the same or analogous cases, if summary proceedings had not been available. Sweet. And see Phillips v. Phillips, 8 N.J.L. 122.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 1369 [emphasis added]
Redman the PIG

• “Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868,
Redman the PIG

“summary proceeding. A nonjury proceeding that settles a controversy or disposes of a case in a relatively prompt and simple manner. — Also termed summary trial. Cf. plenary action under ACTION(4). “Summary proceedings were such as were directed by Act of Parliament, there was no jury, and the person accused was acquitted or sentenced only by such person as statute had appointed for his judge. The common law was wholly a stranger to summary proceedings.” A.H. Manchester. Modern Legal History of England and Wales, 1750–1950 160 (1980).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3809 [emphasis added]
Redman the PIG

- Plenary jurisdiction is a military dictatorship
Redman the PIG

- Summary Proceeding is another name for the Star Chamber that was abolished by England
- “The corrupt Star Chamber Courts of England required defendants to have counsel. Star Chamber stood for swiftness and arbitrary power, [Admiralty Maritime Law] it was a limitation on the common law.” Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 821 [Emphasis added]
Redman the PIG

• Redman was NOT acting as a judge, but was acting to enforce a statute

• "When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially." Thompson v. Smith 154 SE 583.

• "Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1
Redman the PIG

- Tillman, the whore, and his handler, Rooke the whore, both became clerks to aid and abet Redman enforce his Roman Civil Statute
- "...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)
- All of this was under instructions Elizabeth, the bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)
Redman the PIG

• "A judge ceases to set as a judicial officer because the governing principals of administrative law provides that courts are prohibited from substituting their evidence, testimony, record, arguments and rationale for that of the agency. Additionally, courts are prohibited from their substituting their judgments for that of the agency." AISI v US, 568 F2d 284.
"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762.
Redman the PIG

- Redman has no immunity, but his whore buddies Rooke the PIG, Whittmann the PIG, Tillman the PIG, Langston the PIG and other PIG buddies, like Ethell, Battenberg, Johnston and Harper will make sure that any lawsuit goes nowhere

- “Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.” Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202

- “When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially” and thus are not protected by “qualified” or “limited immunity,” SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404
Redman the PIG

“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)

Redman the PIG (Imposter)

- Redman is an imposter putting on a charade with his kangaroo court to complete his quasi-contract
- “IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. The are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 *Hawk. P. C. c. 5.*” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835, Volume 1, [emphasis added],
Redman the PIG (Imposter)

• “IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments, are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 Hawk. P. C. c. 7. 4 Black 62” William’s Law Dictionary, 1816, [emphasis added],
Redman the PIG (imposter)

• “IMPOSTORS in religion, are such as falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven, or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. They are punishable by fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 Haw. 7. And by the statute 9 G.2.c.5. all persons who pretend to use any kind of witchcraft, forcery, enchantment, or conjuration; or undertake to tell fortunes; or pretend, from their skill in the occult sciences, to find out, goods that have been stolen; shall be imprisoned for a year, and once in every quarter of that year be set on the pillory.” Burn A New Law Dictionary 1792, page 376
Redman the PIG (imposter)

• “impostor. One who pretends to be someone else to deceive others, esp. to receive the benefits of a negotiable instrument. — Also spelled imposter. [Cases: Banks and Banking 147; Bills and Notes 201, 279. C.J.S. Banks and Banking §§ 415–416; Bills and Notes; Letters of Credit §§ 29, 150–151.]” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2210 [emphasis added],
Redman the PIG

• Neither Redman, nor the CBSA PIGs had any jurisdiction

• "Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351." Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.
Redman the PIG

• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs made a void judgment, and Redman the PIG made a void judgment

• “Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.” City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).
Redman the PIG

• “Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time” In re Estate of Steinfield, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also Steinfeld v. Hoddick, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).

• “A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree.” Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety, 480 So. 2d 577 ( Ala. Civ. App. 1985).
Redman the PIG

• A void order results from a ‘fundamental defect’ in proceedings (Upjohn LJ in Re Pritchard (deceased) [1963] 1 Ch 502 and Lord Denning in Firman v Ellis [1978] 3 WLR 1) or from a ‘without jurisdiction’/ultra vires act of a public body or judicial office holder (Lord Denning in Pearlman v Governors of Harrow School [1978] 3 WLR 736).
Redman the PIG

• A ‘without jurisdiction’/ultra vires act is any act which a Court did not have power to do (Lord Denning in *Firman v Ellis* [1978] QB 866).

• A void order is incurably void and all proceedings based on the invalid claim or void act are also void. Even a decision of the higher Courts (High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) will be void if the decision is founded on an invalid claim or void act, because something cannot be founded on nothing (Lord Denning in *MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd.* [1961] AC 152).
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PIGs in Canada

• DG Redman is working under instructions passed down from Battenberg, Johnston, Ethell, Harper, Redford, Whittmann, Rooke, Matchett, and LeFevre

• Redman, the PIG, represented Me further by demanding an excise tax called a victim fine surcharge tax, which is further proof of the PIGs intent to represent Me without authority

• I did NOT consent to their show-trial, or their kangaroo court, as evidenced by the fact that their magistrate, A. Dhaliwal, the PIG, wrote “refused” on their judgments and ORDERs, when I was supposed to approve them with my signature

©Common Law Copyright 2011
PIGs in Canada

• These PIGs intend to compel one of their quazi-contracts with their compelled signatures, which I rejected, or autographed with “without prejudice, all rights reserved, no assured value, no liability”

• DG Redman is working under the direct supervision and instructions of LeGrandeur

• DG Redman pre-judged my case from the beginning

• DG Redman intends to breach the trust

• DG Redman intends to perjure his oath
PIGs in Canada

• DG Redman does not have a lawful valid current oath, because the person who administered the oath does not have a lawful valid current oath, because Battenberg does not have a lawful valid current oath
• DG Redman is bought and paid for
• DG Redman, Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER, and a PIG
Redman the PIG

- "Not every action by any judge is in exercise of his judicial function. It is not a judicial function for a Judge to commit an intentional tort even though the tort occurs in the Courthouse, when a judge acts as a Trespasser of the Law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject matter jurisdiction and The Judge's orders are void, of no legal force or effect"! Yates Vs. Village of Hoffman Estates, Illinois, 209 F.Supp. 757 (N.D. Ill. 1962)
“brutum fulmen”: “An empty noise; an empty threat. A judgment void upon its face which is in legal effect no judgment at all, and by which no rights are divested, and from which none can be obtained; and neither binds nor bars anyone. Dollert v. Pratt-Hewitt Oil Corporation, Tex.Civ.Appl, 179 S.W.2d 346, 348. Also, see Corpus Juris Secundum, “Judgments” §§ 499, 512 546, 549. Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition
False Imprisonment

- Based on a void judgment, the RCMP PIGs arrested Me, which is false arrest, and then falsely imprisoned Me in their Calgary Remand Centre, and their Lethbridge Correctional Centre, for 82 days, but I there is no way I will ever see justice at the hands of these Vatican Jesuit whores like Redmond the PIG, the Rooke the PIG, and Whitmann the PIG, and Tillman the PIG, which is what these PIGs intend, and Elizabeth the bitch, (no disrespect to dogs intended)
Fire the Whores Campaign

• These judicial whores intend that their brain dead idiot low intelligence hired thugs assault us and kidnap us and falsely imprison us because it is so good for business!
Fire the Whores Campaign

- The ONLY way we will EVER get any real justice is to DEMAND our Common Law Jury of our Peers, and we need to know what that is.
  - A true common law jury does not take instructions from the judge
  - A true common law jury calls the witnesses and questions the witnesses
  - A true common law jury determines the law and the facts
  - A true common law jury can ignore any statute or law (jury nullification)
  - A true common law jury will pronounce sentence (if any)
  - A true common law jury is not subject to appeal
Fire the Whores Campaign

• Do you want to place your future in the hands of a United Nations whore who wants to sit on the bench and play stupid?

• Do you want to put the future of your wife and children in the hands of one of these judicial whores, that have complete immunity no matter what, because if you sue one of them you have to get one of their whore buddies to do it?

• Sure some of them want to really do justice, but are you going to take the chance that you got a good one?
Fire the Whores Campaign

• Do you want to place your future in the hands of a whore that is bought and paid for by these Crown PIGs?

• Do you want to place yourself in the hands of a judicial whore who is intent on converting you into a ward of the court (an imbecile)?

• Do you consider yourself Christian?

• Do you try to follow the golden rule?

• Do you treat others the way you want to be treated?
Judgment Day

- Do you think you will get any sympathy from God, on judgment day, when you have not done everything you can to put a stop to these satanic judicial whores, selling their justus
Karma – what goes around comes around

• Karma is the universal principle of “what goes around comes around”

• If we knowingly allow these judicial whores to victimize tens of thousands of people, in the name of their satanic religious ceremonies, don’t you think that we will be held accountable

• Don’t you think it will come back around at us?
Karma – what goes around comes around

• Don’t you think it is already coming back around at us everyday
  – When they sell their justus in their de facto so-called courts
  – When their revenue officers masquerading as police officers unlawfully arrest us for commercial crimes, like speeding
  – When they deny us due process based on one of their fictitious quazi-contracts
  – When they populate their prisons with victimless crimes
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Separation of Church and State

• All judges are foreign agents of the Vatican (see Presentation on Attorneys)

• This idea of separation of church and state was really about taking Christianity out of government and displacing it with the Satanic Roman Civil Law from the Vatican

• All so-called court cases are actually a satanic religious ceremony by Priests of BAAL.
Separation of Church and State

• Under the guise of the separation of church and state they have
  – Taken the Lord’s prayer out of the schools
  – Taken the Christian symbols out of the courts
  – Taken Christian symbols out of the schools

• They have converted our Christian government into satanic government

• It has all been done by court rulings from these priests of BAAL masquerading as judges
Prisons

• At common law there are no prisons
  – That is why common law is so severe
• All prisons are commercial
• Most of the people in prison are in there for victimless crimes
• We need to FIRE the Whores and end the tyranny of these de facto United Nations courts and their Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
• Our court is ONLY a jury of our peers, and we are all being denied it, so these judicial whores can populate their prisons and collect royalties
Fire the Whores Campaign

• We all need to work together to bring these whores (tyrans) to justice
• I would like to see some of these whores (tyrans) do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope
• I am glad that it is up a jury of my peers, and not me
• We can NEVER take the law into our own hands because that makes us worse than the whores
As for Me

• My conscience is clear, I am completely innocent of these spurious charges and they do not want a common law jury to hear it, which is why they held their de facto kangaroo court, and issued their void judgment, and were so adamant about denying Me due process
Magna Carta

- They have no right to do ANYTHING to us except by common law;

- Magna Carta Chapter 29
  “No freeman shall be taken and imprisoned, or disseized, or exiled, or in any way destroyed, nor will we go upon him, nor send upon him, except by the lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.”
Magna Carta

- The phrase “Law of the land” in Chapter 29 means common law

“The words “by the law of the land” as here used do not mean a statute passed for the purpose of working the wrong…..This Section was taken with some modifications from a part of the 29th Chapter of the Magna Carta, which provided that no freeman should be taken or imprisoned or be disseized of his freehold etc., but by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land. Ld. Coke in his commentary upon this statute says that these words “by the law of the land” mean “by the due course and process of law”; which he afterwards explains to be, “by indictment and presentment of good and lawful men where such deeds are done in due manner or by writ original of the common law” 2 Inst. 45,50” Tayler v Porter, 4 Hill 773 (1843) New York Supreme Court.
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Magna Carta

- The phrase “Law of the land” in Chapter 29 means common law

“To be that statutes which would deprive a citizen of the rights of person or property without a regular trial, according to the course and usage of common law, would not be the law of the land.” (Jury) Hoke vs Henderson, 15, N.C. 15, 25 AN Dec 677.
Magna Carta

• Tillman, under the directions of his handler Rooke say that the Magna Carta is out of date and doesn’t apply any more
• Tyrants have been saying that several times, prior to the War of Independence, and the Glorious Revolution
• And at other times
Magna Carta

• Chapter 13 says that there would be free customs because they were obviously tired of being assaulted, by their Customs PIGs at the time

• “And the city of London shall have all it ancient liberties and free customs, as well by land as by water; furthermore, we decree and grant that all other cities, boroughs, towns, and ports shall have all their liberties and free customs.” Magna Carta, Chapter 13
“The principle that no person should be deprived of life, liberty, or property except by due process of law did not originate in the American system of constitutional law, but was contained in the Magna Charta (sometimes referred to as Chapter 29), confirmed on the 19th day of June, 1215, declared:

"No freeman shall be taken, or imprisoned, or disseised, or outlawed, or exiled, or anywise destroyed; nor shall we go upon him, nor send upon him, but by lawful judgement of his peers or by the law of the land."

It as even been said that the principle was known before Magna Charta and that it was originally designed to secure the subject against arbitrary action of the crown, and to place him under the protection of the law. It is settled beyond question that this principle came from England to America as part of the common law and has been a fundamental rule in common law. When first adopted in Magna Charta, the phrase, "law of the land," had reference to the common law and has been a fundamental rule in common law." 16 Am. Jur. 2d, Constitutional Law, Section 543.
Law of the Land

"Law of the land" means "The Common Law" ---- Justice O'Neal in State v. Simmon, 2 Spears 761, 767 (1884); also Justice Bronson in Taylor v. Porter, 4 Hill 140, 146 (1843)
Due Process of Law

“It is manifest it was not left to the legislative power to exact any process which might be devised. The [due process] article is a restraint on the legislative as well as on the executive and judicial powers of government, and cannot be so construed as to leave congress free to make any process "due process of law," by its mere will.” Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Imp. Co., 18 How. (59 U.S.) 272,276 (1855).
Due Process of Law

- What is due process of law may be ascertained by an examination of those settled usages and modes of proceedings existing in the common and statute law of England before the emigration of our ancestors. *Twining v. New Jersey*, 211 U.S. 78, 100 (1908).

- The expressions 'due process of law' and 'law of the land' have the same meaning. The 'law' intended by the constitution is the common law that had come down to us from our forefathers, as it existed and was understood and administered when that instrument was framed and adopted. *State v. Doheny*, 60 Maine 504. 509 (1872).
Due Process of Law

1. When a felony is being committed, an arrest may be made without warrant to prevent any further violation of the law.

2. When the felony has been committed, and the officer or private individual is justified, by the facts within his knowledge, in believing that the person arrested has committed the crime.

3. All breaches of the peace, in assaults and batteries, affrays, rims, etc., for the purpose of restoring order immediately. Tiedeman's "Treatise on the Limitations of Police Power" (1886) § 33
Due Process of Law

- At common law arrests for misdemeanors were not permissible without a warrant except for acts committed in the presence of the officer causing a breach of the peace. *Allen v. State*, 183 Wis. 323, 197 N.W. 808, 810, 811 (1924).
Due Process of Law

- The legal principle underlying this case and the one to be applied to the facts is firmly embodied in the roots of the common law, which has been handed down to us from early times unimpaired, in its full vigor, for the protection of personal liberty, against illegal arrests. The liberty of the person is too important a matter to the state to be interfered with without the safeguards with which the law guards such invasions. This court has said: The limits to the power of arrest by a constable, without process, was well defined at common law. The regard for liberty of the person was so great that the common law did not confer upon a mere conservator of the peace the power to touch the person of the subject, of his own volition, except in those cases when the interests of the public absolutely demanded it. Collins v. Cody, 95 N.1. Law 65, 113 Atl. 709, 710 (1920).
Due Process of Law

“The stopping of an automobile by a highway patrol officer for inspection of a driver’s license, or for any other purpose where it is accomplished by the authority of the officers, is an “arrest.” Robinson v. State, 198 S.W.2d 633, 635, 184 Tenn. 277
Due Process of Law

Due Process of Law

• “An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery.” State v. Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260.

• “Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense.” State v. Mobley, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2d 100.
Due Process of Law

“One may come to the aid of another being unlawfully arrested, just as he may where one is being assaulted, molested, raped or kidnapped. Thus it is not an offense to liberate one from the unlawful custody of an officer, even though he may have submitted to such custody, without resistance.” Adams v. State, 121 Ga. 16, 48 S.E. 910.
Due Process of Law

“Similarly, a person cannot be convicted of resisting a peace officer in the execution of his duty unless the officer was acting strictly within the limits of his powers and duty. If the officer makes an unlawful arrest, then there is a common law right to resist that arrest.” Police Manual of Arrest, Seizure and Interrogation, 8th Edition, by The Honorable Roger E. Salhany, page 96,
Due Process of Law

“…the reason for the initial detention, speeding & running a red light are not a breach of the peace.” Perkins v Texas, 812 S.W. 2d 326
Breach of the Peace

“A breach of the peace takes place when either an assault is committed on an individual or public alarm and excitement is caused. Mere annoyance or insult is not enough: thus at common law a householder could not give a man into custody for violently and persistently ringing his door-bell. It is the particular duty of a magistrate or police officer to preserve the peace unbroken; hence if he has reasonable cause to believe that a breach of the peace is imminent he may be justified in committing an assault or effecting an arrest.”

Breach of the Peace

“Breach of the Peace. A violation of public order; the offence of disturbing the public peace. One guilty of this offence may be held to bail for his good behavior. An act of public indecorum is also a breach of the peace. The remedy for this offence is by indictment. Persons who go out on a "strike" and then linger about the place of their former employment, hooting at others taking their places, may be bound over to keep the peace; 11 Pa. Co. C. R. 481. One may disturb the peace while on his own premises by the use of violent language to a person lawfully there; 58 Ho. App. 126” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary, 1897 Edition, Volume 1, page 262
Breach of the Peace

- Unless there is a breach of the peace, or a common law felony (breach of the peace) committed in front of a peace officer, they have nothing to say to you.
Conclusion

• It is my opinion, that well over 90% (probably 99% or more) of the people who work as peace officers are honest hard working people who are very patriotic, and take their oaths of office very seriously.
• Many of them have not been properly trained.
• Many of them are under pressure to generate revenue.
• The burden is on us to educate them, since their bosses are obviously not doing it.
What can we do?

• Lay a proper foundation so they cannot claim ignorance.
• Demand a common law court
• Know what a true common law court is, so you can tell if they really give you a common law court
• Complain to the judicial council, (council of whores) and make sure you bring up the right issues
• Complain to politicians, etc.
What can we do?

- Make Youtube videos and circulate them far and wide!
- Realize that it is NEVER over, until you say it is over!
- Never, ever, ever, ever, ever, give up!!!
What can I do?

• Always remember, “We the people” are the ones who are really in control
  – NOT a gang of Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
  – NOT their hired thugs
  – NOT the Canada Border PIGs
What Can We Do?

• We can Refuse to participate in their de facto system
• We can educate ourselves about what a common law jury is, and what the law of the land is
• We can educate ourselves so we know when our rights are being violated
• We can educate our public servants, because many of them do not know, any more than we do
• We can educate other people by circulating this video, and any other way possible
• We can DEMAND a common law Jury of Our peers
What can We do?

• We can work with our friends and neighbors to re-establish our common law juries and our common law de jure courts

• We can work with our friends and neighbors to get the United Nations out of America, and Canada, and anywhere that wants to be free

• The United Nations is owned and operated by the bankster thieves and their Vatican handlers
Lifeleadership

• It is really only 3-5% of the people who do anything
• It was 3-5% of the people who precipitated the American revolution
• The bankster thieves and their cronies are 3-5% of the people
Lifeleadership

• Historically, every hundred +/- there is a major change in our freedoms
  – 1778 US Constitution – more freedoms
  – 1915 Federal Reserve – less freedoms
  – Now – yet to be seen
Lifeleadership

• Provides educational material about what the issues are
• Provides a way of bringing people together
• Provides a way of generating revenue through network marketing
Lifeleadership

- Some of the CDs and DVDs that are available, cover;
  - Rascals - rascal radio
  - Potential constitutional changes
    - Townships
  - Leadership development – corporate leadership
  - Financial Fitness
  - Adversity
  - Success
  - Balance in our life – spiritual, financial, etc
Lifeleadership

• They do NOT just sign you up and leave you on your own
• They want you a minimum of 10 people deep
• Their charts show you 20 deep, 30 deep, 50 deep and 75 deep
• They need you to be successful, so you can have influence – be a leader
• We need 3-5% of the people, as leaders, so we can work together to effect change towards freedom
Meeting

• Meetings every Tuesday night in DFW at the Omni Hotel on I-635 exit east of George Bush toll road
• Other meetings
• http://www.lifeleadership.com/61407761/Products/Freedom.aspx
Conclusion

• Judgment day is coming for these Canada Border Services Agency PIGs and their judicial whore PIG handlers, and I am looking forward to it!

• I am looking forward to seeing some of them do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope!

• There are common law courts springing up all over, and these judicial whores are going to be brought to some real justice!!!!

• I am glad it is NOT up to me!
Upcoming Events

• Color of Law
• Right to Travel
• Corruption in the Courts 4
• Fire the Whores in Texas
• City of Fort Worth PIGs
• City of Grand Prairie PIGs
• How to do a Habeus Corpus
Summary

• Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

• I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations

• Send me an email for other copies of documents to; engineerwin@gmail.com