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Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

For a complete set of Youtube videos with Private Information Shares, a DVD with over 50 searchable Law Dictionaries, and other books and forms contact me privately at engineerwin@yahoo.com

Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
“Where not already provided for by existing legislative or other measures, each State Party to the present Covenant undertakes to take the necessary steps, in accordance with its constitutional processes and with the provisions of the present Covenant, to adopt such laws or other measures as may be necessary to give effect to the rights recognized in the present Covenant.”

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 2, Clause 2 [emphasis added]
“Each State Party to the present Covenant undertakes:

(a) To ensure that any person whose rights or freedoms as herein recognized are violated shall have an effective remedy, notwithstanding that the violation has been committed by persons acting in an official capacity;

(b) To ensure that any person claiming such a remedy shall have his right thereto determined by competent judicial, administrative or legislative authorities, or by any other competent authority provided for by the legal system of the State, and to develop the possibilities of judicial remedy;

(c) To ensure that the competent authorities shall enforce such remedies when granted.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 2, Clause 3 [emphasis added]
“The States Parties to the present Covenant undertake to ensure the equal right of men and women to the enjoyment of all civil and political rights set forth in the present Covenant.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 3 [emphasis added]
“1. In time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation and the existence of which is officially proclaimed, the States Parties to the present Covenant may take measures derogating from their obligations under the present Covenant to the extent strictly required by the exigencies of the situation, provided that such measures are not inconsistent with their other obligations under international law and do not involve discrimination solely on the ground of race, colour, sex, language, religion or social origin.

2. No derogation from articles 6, 7, 8 (paragraphs 1 and 2), 11, 15, 16 and 18 may be made under this provision.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 4 [emphasis added]
“The States Parties to the present Covenant undertake to ensure the equal right of men and women to the enjoyment of all civil and political rights set forth in the present Covenant.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 3
“Everyone shall have the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 16 [emphasis added]
“All persons are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to the equal protection of the law. In this respect, the law shall prohibit any discrimination and guarantee to all persons equal and effective protection against discrimination on any ground such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 26 [emphasis added]
“All persons shall be equal before the courts and tribunals. In the determination of any criminal charge against him, or of his rights and obligations in a suit at law, everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and impartial tribunal established by law.”
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Article 14, Clause 1
“1. No one shall be held guilty of any criminal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a criminal offence, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed....

2. Nothing in this article shall prejudice the trial and punishment of any person for any act or omission which, at the time when it was committed, was criminal according to the general principles of law recognized by the community of nations.” International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Article 15 [emphasis added]
“The present Convention shall apply from the outset of any conflict or occupation mentioned in Article 2.

In the territory of Parties to the conflict, the application of the present Convention shall cease on the general close of military operations.

In the case of occupied territory, the application of the present Convention shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such Power exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the present Convention: 1 to 12, 27, 29 to 34, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 59, 61 to 77, 143.” Article 6, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“Protected persons are entitled, in all circumstances, to respect for their persons, their honour, their family rights, their religious convictions and practices, and their manners and customs. They shall at all times be humanely treated, and shall be protected especially against all acts of violence or threats thereof and against insults and public curiosity.

Women shall be especially protected against any attack on their honour, in particular against rape, enforced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault.

Without prejudice to the provisions relating to their state of health, age and sex, all protected persons shall be treated with the same consideration by the Party to the conflict in whose power they are, without any adverse distinction based, in particular, on race, religion or political opinion.

However, the Parties to the conflict may take such measures of control and security in regard to protected persons as may be necessary as a result of the war.” Article 27, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
Military Occupation

- “No protected person may be punished for an offence he or she has not personally committed. Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.
- Pillage is prohibited.
- Reprisals against protected persons and their property are prohibited.” Article 33, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“The Occupying Power may not compel protected persons to serve in its armed or auxiliary forces. No pressure or propaganda which aims at securing voluntary enlistment is permitted…..” Article 51, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]

This is why the Selective Service registration form describes people in the District of Columbia and the territories – See the D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for Selective Service and the Draft video
“No contract, agreement or regulation shall impair the right of any worker, whether voluntary or not and wherever he may be, to apply to the representatives of the Protecting Power in order to request the said Power’s intervention.

All measures aiming at creating unemployment or at restricting the opportunities offered to workers in an occupied territory, in order to induce them to work for the Occupying Power, are prohibited.” Article 52, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
“In case of a breach of the penal provisions promulgated by it by virtue of the second paragraph of Article 64, the Occupying Power may hand over the accused to its properly constituted, non-political military courts, on condition that the said courts sit in the occupied country. Courts of appeal shall preferably sit in the occupied country.” Article 66, Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians in Time of War of 1949 [emphasis added]
Don’t forget to Like this video

Don’t forget to subscribe to this channel

Don’t forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload
UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented.

Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years.

UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.
UNIDROIT covers;

- Negotiable instruments
- Civil procedure
- Secured transactions
- Legal status of women
- Maintenance obligations
- Contracts
- Banking law
- Much more – (see the website)
- News and events
- About UNIDROIT
  - Overview
  - Membership
  - Work Programme
  - Institutional Documents
    - Statute
    - Regulations
    - Headquarters Agreement
  - Governing Council
  - Secretariat
- Meetings
  - General Assembly
  - Governing Council
  - Finance Committee
  - Studies
- Instruments
  - Agency
  - Capital Markets
    - Geneva Convention
    - Netting
  - Commercial Contracts
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    - UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    - UPICC Model Clauses
  - Cultural Property
    - 1995 Convention
    - Model Legislative Provisions
  - Factoring
  - Franchising
    - Model Law
    - Guide
      - First Edition 1998
      - Second Edition 2007
• Meetings
  ○ General Assembly
  ○ Governing Council
  ○ Finance Committee
  ○ Studies

• Instruments
  ○ Agency
  ○ Capital Markets
    ▪ Geneva Convention
    ▪ Netting
  ○ Commercial Contracts
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    ▪ UPICC Model Clauses
  ○ Cultural Property
    ▪ 1995 Convention
    ▪ Model Legislative Provisions
  ○ Factoring
  ○ Franchising
    ▪ Model Law
    ▪ Guide
      ▪ First Edition 1998
International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964

Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law

Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol

Succession

Transnational Civil Procedure

Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR

Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Civil liability
    - Civil procedure
    - Company law
    - Contracts (in general)
    - Cultural property
    - Factoring
    - Forwarding agency
    - Franchising
    - Holders
    - Insurance
    - Intellectual property
    - International sales
    - Leasing
    - Legal Status of Women
    - Maintenance obligations
    - Methodology
    - Movement of persons
    - Natural resources
    - Negotiable instruments
    - Non-legislative activities
Overview - Unidroit - International Institute for the Unification of Private Law - Institut International pour l'Unification du droit privé

- International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964
- Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law
- Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol
- Succession
- Transnational Civil Procedure
- Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR
- Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Civil liability
Emerging markets

Past Studies
- Arbitration
- Banking Law
- Capital markets
- Civil liability
- Civil procedure
- Company law
- Contracts (in general)
- Cultural property
- Factoring
- Forwarding agency
- Franchising
- Hotelkeepers
- Insurance
- Intellectual property
- International sales
- Leasing
- Legal Status of Women
- Maintenance obligations
- Methodology
- Movement of persons
- Natural resources
- Negotiable instruments
- Non-legislative activities
MEMBERSHIP

Membership of UNIDROIT is restricted to States acceding to the **UNIDROIT Statute**.

UNIDROIT's member States are drawn from the five continents and represent a variety of different legal, economic and political systems as well as different cultural backgrounds.

To find the date when a particular State became a member of UNIDROIT click on the relevant national flag.

The following 63 States are members of UNIDROIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER STATES</th>
<th>NATIONAL AUTHORITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR MEMBER STATES' RELATIONS WITH UNIDROIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Embassy of Argentina in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Attorney-General's Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Federal Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Federal Public Service Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Embassy of Brazil in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Justice Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Ministry of Commerce, Treaty and Law Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Ministry Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>Ministry for Trade and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>Secretariat of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Slovenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>South African Department of International Relations and Cooperation (DIRCO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Federal Office of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice and Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice General Directorate of International Law and Foreign Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</td>
<td>Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Department of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Judges = BAAL Priests

- All so-called Judges are controlled and regulated by the UNIDROIT statute.
- All so-called Judges are controlled and governed by the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.
- All so-called Judges are controlled and governed by the Geneva Convention on the Treatment of Civilians in a time of War of 1949, which is still applicable for as long as a military occupation exists.
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All Judges are actually Clerk masquerading as Judges – BAAL Priests

Their black robe is their BAAL Priest uniform

They routinely deny anything related to justice from happening because it is so good for business!!

They encourage their false flag operations and agent provocateurs because it is so good for business

They encourage police state murders, assaults, kidnappings, thefts because it is so good for business!

Everything they do is a fraud (a lie) because they are satanists

©Common Law Copyright 2016
Martial Law is Satanic

- Under Common Law the presumption is that you are sovereign = innocent until proven guilty
- Under Martial Law the presumption is that you are a slave = guilty until proven innocent
This letter is in response to your correspondence to Alan Watson dated August 17, 2012 regarding the denial of your application for a Georgia driver’s license. As a preliminary matter, please know that the Department of Driver Services (DDS) intends no disrespect by addressing you using the conventions of formal business correspondence, and information provided to the DDS by the United States Postal Service suggests that the addressing of this letter in this fashion will expedite its delivery to you.

With regard to the content of your letter, the DDS agrees that your application for a driver’s license creates no contract between you and the State of Georgia. According to O.C.G.A. §13-1-10, “where, in the exercise of the police power, a license is issued, the license is not a contract but only a permission to enjoy the privilege for the time specified, on the terms stated; and it may be abrogated.” Unfortunately, the DDS respectfully must disagree with the balance of the contents of your correspondence.

First, various provisions of state and federal law require most drivers to possess a valid driver’s license to operate a motor vehicle, particularly O.C.G.A. §40-5-20. The exemptions from the statute are found in O.C.G.A. §40-5-21. None of the documentation provided with your letter suggests that you fall into one of the statutorily recognized exceptions. The DDS is prohibited from issuing a driver’s license to anyone whose driver’s license or driving privilege in another state is under suspension. O.C.G.A. §40-5-22(c). Operation of a motor vehicle without a valid driver’s license could be a violation of O.C.G.A. §§40-5-20 and/or 40-5-121, particularly since the contents of your lease suggest that you have been a resident of the State of Georgia for more than thirty (30) days. The term resident is defined in O.C.G.A. §40-5-1(15) as “a person who has a permanent home or abode in Georgia to which, whenever such person is absent, he or she has the intention of returning.”
The statute creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria:

(A) Any person who accepts employment or engages in any trade, profession, or occupation in Georgia or enters his or her children to be educated in the private or public schools of Georgia within ten days after the commencement of such employment or education; or

(B) Any person who, except for infrequent, brief absences, has been present in the state for 30 or more days; provided, however, that no person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.” Id.

The lease submitted with your driver’s license application was executed on September 19, 2011. Anyone who is here legally and becomes a resident must obtain a driver’s license in Georgia within thirty (30) days. O.C.G.A. 40-5-20.

While the United States Supreme Court has recognized a fundamental right to interstate travel, this right has never included a fundamental right to drive. Miller v. Reed, 176 F.3d 1202, 1206 (9th Cir. 1999); Dixon v. Love, 431 U.S. 105, 112-116 (1977). Similarly, the Georgia Supreme Court has held that “the right to operate a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state is not a vested right, but is merely a qualified right which can be exercised by obtaining a license from the state.” Johnston v. State, 236 Ga. 370 (1976). “[I]n Georgia, a driver’s license is not an absolute right but rather is a privilege that may be revoked for cause. The right to continue the operation and to keep the license to drive is dependent upon the manner in which the licensee exercises this right. The right is not absolute, but is a privilege. While it cannot be suspended or revoked without reason, it can be constitutionally revoked or suspended for any cause having to do with public safety.” Nolen v. State, 218 Ga. App. 819, 820 (1995). Moreover, the Georgia Supreme Court explicitly rejected the argument that the driver’s license requirement established in O.C.G.A. §40-5-20 was not unconstitutional when applied to “a common law freeman exercising his right to travel on public ways.” Lebrun v. State, 255 Ga. 406 (1986).

With regard to your concerns about the requirement for collecting your social security number, the DDS has not compelled you to obtain a social security number in violation of your religious beliefs. Rather, you presented your card voluntarily in conjunction with your application for a Georgia driver’s license. This requirement is based upon federal laws enacted by Congress to facilitate the collection of child support payments from non-custodial parents and in the interest of homeland security. 42 U.S.C. §666(a)(13)(A); 49 U.S.C. §30301 note; 6 C.F.R. §37.01, et seq.
Federal law now requires all states to collect social security numbers when issuing such credentials. *Id.* The only exception to the requirement is for individuals who are not eligible for issuance of a social security number because they are aliens not authorized to work in the United States. O.C.G.A. §19-11-9.1(a.1)(1); 6 C.F.R. §37.11(e)(3).

We hope that this information is responsive to your inquiry, and we look forward to serving your licensing needs once the issue in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is resolved. Please note that you are eligible for issuance of a Georgia identification card under O.C.G.A. §40-5-100, *et seq.*, if you need state-issued documentation of your identity in the meantime. I can be reached at (678) 413-8765 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

Jennifer Ammons
General Counsel
“The statute [all statutes] creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria: .... however no such person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.”

Jennifer Ammons, General Counsel, Georgia Department of Driver Services
“Indeed, no more than (affidavits) are necessary to make the Prima facie case.” United States V. Kis, 658 F. 2nd, 526, 536 (7th Cir. 1981); Cert Denied, 50 U.S.L.W. 2169; S. Ct March 22, 1982.

- Affidavits are commercial
  - Notarized

- Declarations are common law
  - Witnesses (2-3 minimum)
  - Can be Notarized
Affidavits & Declarations

- Considered “evidence” if done properly
- Make statements of fact
- Avoid opinion
- Avoid conclusions
Announcing a subscription based Youtube channel called Sovereignty International

The recommended cost of the subscription is currently US$1.99 because it avoids the advertising ONLY

The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit

For that reason there will be very little exclusive material on that channel

Currently publishing 5 videos a week

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCokSQqXw1y2_2hAtJxUcoNw
There are Harvard Law Review Articles about how most judges retire millionaires.

They collect royalties from the Admiralty Maritime Law cases that they review.

Almost all cases are admiralty maritime law.

Admiralty Maritime Law = Contract dispute.

Contract dispute = Admiralty Maritime Law.
Anyone who is wearing a military uniform is an agent of the Roman Cult

“The wearing of clerical dress or of a religious habit on the part of lay folk, …., is liable to the same penalty on the part of the State as the misuse of military uniform.” Article 10, Concordat of 1933

Almost all (so-called) governments are bankrupt and owned and operated by the Roman Cult

At common law a sheriff would have a star only, and a Judge would wear a business suit

At common law there is no uniform
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Jorge A. Solis, the Whore
Sidney Fitzwater, the Whore
Joe Fish the Whore
Terry Means the Whore
Sam R. Cummings, the Whore
Special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States defined - The term "special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States", as used in this title, includes: (1) The high seas, any other waters within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States and out of the jurisdiction of any particular State, and any vessel belonging in whole or in part to the United States or any citizen thereof, or to any corporation created by or under the laws of the United States, or of any State, Territory, District, or possession thereof, when such vessel is within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States and out of the jurisdiction of any particular State. 18 USC § 7
“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction” “Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State.... STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under sections 24 or 28 of the former Judicial Code (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the "* * *laws * * * of the United States" where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of $3,000, exclusive of interest and costs” Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158
"We therefore decline to overrule the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall: We hold that the District of Columbia is not a state within Article 3 of the Constitution. In other words cases between citizens of the District and those of the states were not included of the catalogue of controversies over which the Congress could give jurisdiction to the federal courts by virtue of Article 3. In other words Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction over citizens of Washington District of Columbia and through their plenary power nationally covers those citizens even when in one of the several states as though the district expands for the purpose of regulating its citizens wherever they go throughout the states in union" National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948)
"AN ACT To enact the Uniform Commercial Code for the District of Columbia, and for other purposes." 77 Stat 630 Public Law 88-243

“(h) The United States is located in the District of Columbia.” Uniform Commercial Code Sec. 9.307. LOCATION OF DEBTOR.
Judicial Whores

- Any time the whores are dealing with a US citizen, it is an admiralty proceeding
- They assault you with one of their liars (attorneys)
- See the Forced Legal Representation is a Scam video
- See the Legal Aid is a Scam video
“He is however in a sense an officer of the state with an obligation to the Court...” § 4 Attorneys

Corpus Juris Secundum
“His first duty is to the courts and to the public, not to the client, and whenever his duties to his client conflict with those as an officer of the court, in the administration of justice, the former must yield to the latter.” 7 Corpus Juris Secundum § 4
“Clients are also called ‘wards of the court’…”

7 Corpus Juris Secundum § 4 Attorneys

A ward of the court is an imbecile.

A ward of the court is not competent

- Everything is about competence and incompetence!

- That is why they are “representing” you, because you are not competent to make decisions for yourself. Therefore the attorney is going to make the decisions for you.

- There is no such thing as an incompetent sovereign.

- Do you know who you are?

Anytime you get anything from a liar, he is saying that you are an imbecile – we got another imbecile here!
The whore puts on his stupid hat
They assault you with their codes, rules, etc
It is a contract dispute
His oath of office no longer applies
It has nothing to do with justice
It has everything to do with a couple of liars stealing your property – justus
If you don’t bring up the right issue, or say the wrong thing, or follow the wrong procedure – you lose
It is a satanic religious ceremony
Satanists in America – Mark Passio

- former Satanist Priest
- Natural Law videos
- Pilars of Satanism
  - Moral Relativism
    - There is no absolute right or wrong - truth is relative
    - We just make up what is right or wrong
    - What is right or wrong is what we decide today, and tomorrow it will be something else
    - 2/3 of people believe in moral relativism
Pillars of Satanism – forms of mind control

- Survival
  - highest law of satanism

- Social Darwinism
  - Certain classes of society think they are most fit to rule
  - postulates the survival of the most socially ruthless
  - Ultimate responsibility is self preservation
  - Eugenics
  - elite get to determine who lives or dies
Pillars of Satanism – forms of mind control

Order followers

- Responsible for all of the atrocities in history
- Just follow orders without thinking about whether it is a lawful order or not
- Willing slaves
WWII War Crimes Tribunals
- All of the NAZIs claimed that they were just following orders (Satanists)
- They either suffered death by hanging, or spent the rest of their life in jail
- Some of them are still hunted to this day

Vietnam War - Mai Lai massacre
- Convicted of murder

Today Order Followers are everywhere
Judicial Whores = Satanism

- Things that you see every day using the Satanic philosophy of Moral Relativism are:
  - False Flag Operations – the end justifies the means
  - Agent Provacateurs - the end justifies the means
  - Entrapment – the end justifies the means
  - All Court cases = kangaroo court (unless it’s a common law court) = satanism
    - Judge is a clerk playing stupid, and working for the Prosecutor (order follower)
    - If you don’t know the law or fail to do something properly, or follow the right procedure, they sell you into slavery (prison)
    - You are there because of a LEO (follower) & a statute
    - They have no authority
    - They use unconstitutional commercial code
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Contact Information

- My Blog is;
  - http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profiles – sovereignliving – Sovereignty International
- Facebook
  - Community Page – Deleted due to Censorship
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International - being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
- Follow me on Twitter: @engineerwin
“...statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property......to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial........ and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter. We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.” Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)
“In the meantime, "Civil Law" was the form of law imposed in the Roman Empire which was largely (if not wholly) governed by martial law rule. "Equity“ has always been understood to follow the law; to have "superior equity," is to turn things on their head. This is exactly what happens when martial law is imposed. If "equity" is the law, then it follows its own course rather than following the common law, thereby destroying the common law and leaving what is called "equity" in its place.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,
“For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:

- He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.
- For protecting them, by a mock Trial...” Declaration of Independence (1776)
We are under Martial Law

- There are 3 kinds of martial law
  - Full Martial Law
  - Martial Law Proper
- Ex Parte Milligan 4 Wall (71 U.S.) 2, 18 L.Ed. 281, p 302
- Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,
1 Precipitated by bankruptcy

"There are two ways to conquer and enslave a nation. One is by the sword. The other is by debt."
John Adams 1826

2 Precipitated by a military occupation

See the Texas (and other American States) are under a military occupation video

See the Alberta (and other Canadian States) are under a Military Occupation video
"Since March 9, 1933; the United States has been in a state of declared National Emergency . . . Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens. . . . A majority of the people of the United States have lived all of their lives under emergency rule. For 40 years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the Constitution have in varying degrees been abridged by laws brought into force by states of national emergency . . ." In Reg: U.S. Senate Report No. 93-549 dated 11/19/73 (73 CIS Serial Set S963-2 - [607 Pages])
"It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent, H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only."

United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33,
A “penal action” is an action on a penal statute; an action for recovery of penalty given by statute. *McNeely v. City of Natchez*, 114 So. 484, 487; 148 Miss. 268.

Where an action is founded entirely upon a statute, and the only object of it is to recover a penalty or forfeiture, such action is a “penal action.” *Gawthrop v. Fairmont Coal Co.*, 81 S.E. 560, 561; 74 S.Va. 39.
The words “penal” and “penalty” in their strict and primary sense denote a punishment, whether corporal or pecuniary, imposed and enforced by the state for a crime or offense against its laws. The noun penalty is defined forfeiture or to be forfeited for noncompliance with an agreement. The words forfeit and penalty are substantially synonymous. Missouri, K. & T. Ry. Co. v. Dewey Portland Cement Co., 242 P. 257, 259, 113 Okla. 142.
A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute, and the only object is to recover a penalty or a forfeiture imposed as a punishment for a certain specific offense, while a “remedial action: is one which is brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Cummings v. Board of Education of Okla. City, 125 P2d 989, 994, 190 Okl. 533

A “penal action” is a civil suit brought for the recovery of a statutory forfeiture when inflicted as punishment for an offense against the public. Such actions are “civil actions, “ on the one hand closely related to criminal prosecutions and on the other to actions for private injuries in which the party aggrieved may, by statute, recover punitive damages. State ex rel. McNamee v. Stobie, 92 SW 191, 212, 194 Mo. 14
Statutes = Offenses = Penal Action = Contract

- If all Statutes create “offenses”
- If all “offenses” are “penal” in nature
- If all “offenses” are “penal” and involve a contract
- Then everybody in prison is there because of a contract and was sold into slavery
- “If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.”
  Deuteronomy 24:7
If Common Law (natural law) rights and crimes are NOT statutory

"Every citizen & freeman is endowed with certain rights & privileges to enjoy which no written law or statute is required. These are the fundamental or natural rights, recognized among all free people."

U.S. v. Morris, 125 F 322, 325.

If Statutes replace common law (natural law),

Then all statutes are satanic – Martial Law is satanic

Did you give up your God given rights for some satanic privileges?

©Common Law Copyright 2017
“"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”” Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464 [emphasis added]
"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..."
30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762

"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)
A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is not competent to do anything judicial like issue orders, or warrants

"Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1
They send out their low intelligence thugs to assault people, kidnap people, and falsely imprison people to bring in business for their so-called court
They screen out intelligent people in the hiring process for their Law Enforcement Officers (LEOs)

Jordan vs City of New London, US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Case Number 99-9188

Robert Jordan had a masters degree and scored too high on their test – too intelligent!
A man whose bid to become a police officer was rejected after he scored too high on an intelligence test has lost an appeal in his federal lawsuit against the city.

The 2nd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in New York upheld a lower court's decision that the city did not discriminate against Robert Jordan because
A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is operating in his private capacity, and has no immunity

“OATH....All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram non judice, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 Inst. 165; 4 Inst. 278; 2 Roll. Abr. 277.”

Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835 Edition, Volume 2 [emphasis added],

©Common Law Copyright 2017

It is a commercial transaction – they are thieves

"Ignorance of the law does not excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law."
In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100
“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward

JUDGE WORKS FOR THE STATE

PROSECUTOR WORKS FOR THE STATE

POLICE/WITNESS WORKS FOR THE STATE

©Common Law Copyright 2017
If common law has been replaced by martial law enforced by statutes
If all judges becomes Clerks working for the prosecutor when enforcing any statute
If a Clerk masquerading as a Judge cannot do anything judicial
Then
  * All statutes are color of law
  * All statutes have to be consented to
  * All statutes are satanic (full of fraud and deception)
“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward
“The end justifies the means” is satanic

“Wo unto them that call evil good and good evil, and put darkness for light, and light for darkness…” Isaiah 5:20
Judicial Whores = BAR Member = Roman Cult

- All Judges are BAR members
- All BAR members are foreign agents of the Roman Cult
- All BAR members wear a military uniform
- See BAR Members 1, 2, and 3 videos

- Law Enforcement Officers uniform is researched, studied, and calculated to be intimidating
- Law Enforcement Officers vehicle colors are designed to be intimidating
For great custom websites, domain names, and hosting go to:
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Use coupon code CY172 for 20% off your first order

Cubeyard.com – your source for websites, domain names, and hosting
The first thing they try to do is fabricate evidence of their US citizen / cestui que trust / slave
"... (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction. . ." In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164.

“A “citizen of the United States” is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the PCT (Public Charitable Trust), the constructive, cestui que trust of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc.” Congressional Record, June 13 1967, pp. 15641-15646
“Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain
“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.”

“The Legal Estate to be in Cestui Que Use” Chapter Fifty-Six in Sec. 1617, at 31 Stat. 1432
“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.” which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at 2, where it says;

“And be it further enacted, That in the interpretation and construction of said code the following rules shall be observed namely:...

“Third. The word “person” shall be held to apply to partnerships and corporations, ...”, [emphasis added]
“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.” which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at Chapter three – Absence for Seven Years, in Sec. 252, 253, at 31 Stat. 1230, where it says;

“SEC. 252. PRESUMPTION OF DEATH. - If any person shall leave his domicile without any known intention of changing the same, and shall not return or be heard from for seven years from the time of his so leaving, he shall be presumed to be dead, in any case wherein his death shall come in question, unless proof be made that he was alive within that time.”
The reason they need to fabricate evidence of their US citizen / cestui que trust / slave is so they can put you under the commerce clause and assault you with their military dictatorship.
“The Fourteenth Amendment is an extension of national military powers presently used in a municipal character and enforced by municipal laws, stretched far beyond their original limitations and enforced in Article I Tribunals.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,
"We therefore decline to overrule the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall: We hold that the District of Columbia is not a state within Article 3 of the Constitution. In other words cases between citizens of the District and those of the states were not included of the catalogue of controversies over which the Congress could give jurisdiction to the federal courts by virtue of Article 3. In other words Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction over citizens of Washington District of Columbia and through their plenary power nationally covers those citizens even when in one of the several states as though the district expands for the purpose of regulating its citizens wherever they go throughout the states in union" National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948);
The reason they need to fabricate evidence of their US citizen / cestui que trust / slave and put you under the commerce clause is to assault you with their Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966 which gives them the “presumption” that you are one of their slaves.
"(h) DEFINITION’s. .... "(3) MOTOR VEHICLE.- The term 'motor vehicle' means a self-propelled vehicle which is registered for highway use under the laws of any State or foreign country. "(4) SECURITY.- The term 'security' means any bond, debenture, note, or certificate or other evidence of indebtedness, issued by a corporation or a government or political subdivision thereof, with interest coupons or in registered form, share of stock, voting trust certificate, or any certificate of interest or participation in, certificate of deposit or receipt for, temporary or interim certificate for, or warrant or right to subscribe to or purchase any of the foregoing: negotiable instrument: or money.”

Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966 at Public Law 89-719 at 80 Stat. 1130-1131
The reason they need to fabricate evidence of their US citizen / cestui que trust / slave and put you under the commerce clause and assault you with their Uniform Commercial Code
“Whenever [the Uniform Commercial Code] creates a "presumption" with respect to a fact, or provides that a fact is "presumed," the trier of fact must find the existence of the fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its nonexistence.” UCC § 1-206 Presumptions [emphasis added]
The reason they need to fabricate evidence of their US citizen / cestui que trust / slave and put you under the commerce clause, is to forge your signature on one of their “penal code” contracts to circulate on Wall Street, and populate their commercial prisons.
“(a) In an action with respect to an instrument, the authenticity of, and authority to make, each signature on the instrument are admitted unless specifically denied in the pleadings. If the validity of a signature is denied in the pleadings, the burden of establishing validity is on the person claiming validity, but the signature is presumed to be authentic and authorized unless the action is to enforce the liability of the purported signer and the signer is dead or incompetent at the time of trial of the issue of validity of the signature.” Uniform Commercial Code § 3.308 Proof of Signatures and Status as Holder in Due Course [emphasis added]
“The following rules apply in an action on a certificated security against the issuer:

(1) Unless specifically denied in the pleadings, each signature on a security certificate or in a necessary indorsement is admitted.

(2) If the effectiveness of a signature is put in issue, the burden of establishing effectiveness is on the party claiming under the signature, but the signature is presumed to be genuine or authorized.” Uniform Commercial Code § 8.114 Evidentiary Rules Concerning Certificated Securities [emphasis added]
“He [the prisoner] has as a consequence of his crime, not only forfeited his liberty but all his personal rights except those which the law in its humanity affords him. **He is for the time being a slave of the state.**” 62 Va. (21 Gratt.) 790, 796 (1871)

“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7
"In this chapter: (1) "Capias" means a writ that is: (A) issued by a court having jurisdiction of a case after judgment and sentence; and (B) directed "To any peace officer of the State of Texas" and commanding the officer to arrest a person convicted of an offense and bring the arrested person before that court immediately or on a day or at a term stated in the writ.

(2) "Capias pro fine" means a writ that is:

(A) issued by a court having jurisdiction of a case after judgment and sentence for unpaid fines and costs; and

(B) directed "To any peace officer of the State of Texas" and commanding the officer to arrest a person convicted of an offense and bring the arrested person before that court immediately."

Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Article 43.015 Definitions
“CAPIAS AD SATISFACIENDUM (shortly termed a CA. SA.) A judicial writ of execution which issues out on the record of a Judgment, where there is a recovery in the courts at Westminster, of debt, damages, &c. And by this writ the sheriff is commanded to take the body of the defendant in execution, and him safely to keep, so that he have his body in court at the return of the writ, to satisfy the plaintiff his debt and damages. Vide 1 Litt Abr. 249.”

Tomlin’s Law Dicitonary 1835 Edition

“A capias is NOT a “Warrant of Arrest,”....”
"But individuals, when acting as representatives of a collective group, cannot be said to be exercising their personal rights and duties, nor be entitled to their purely personal privileges. Rather they assume the rights, duties and privileges of the artificial entity or association of which they are agents or officers and they are bound by its obligations." Brasswell v. United States 487 U.S. 99 (1988) quoting, United States v. White 322 U.S. 694 (1944),
The reason they need to fabricate evidence of their US citizen / cestui que trust / slave is to assault you with their International Law Rule for their Roman Cult handlers under their United Nations UNIDROIT statute.
“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction... Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State. ... STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under ... (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the "* * *laws * * * of the United States" ....” Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158-165

©Common Law Copyright 2017
"Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1

If they issue a Warrant for your arrest (capias), it is a nullity (fraud – does not exist)

If they issue an ORDER of any kind, it is a nullity (fraud – does not exist)
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward

Democracy
Fake Laws
False Arrest
Feel Free?
Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
Churchianity series
Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
BAR Members 1, 2, & 3
DIY How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service
Martial Law is here!
DIY No Income Tax
DIY No Sales Tax
DIY Traffic Stop 1 & 2
DIY Free Mail 1 & 2
DIY Kangaroo Courts 1, 2, 3, & 4
“...where any state proceeds against a private individual in a judicial forum it is well settled that the state, county, municipality, etc. waives any immunity to counters, cross claims and complaints, by direct or collateral means regarding the matters involved.” Luckenback v. The Thekla, 295 F 1020, 226 Us 328; Lyders v. Lund, 32 F2d 308
“When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially” (and thus are not protected by “qualified” or “limited immunity,” - SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404
“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398
"Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351." Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948

See Void Judgment video
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward

“...the reason for the initial detention, speeding & running a red light are not a breach of the peace.” Perkins v Texas, 812 S.W. 2d 326
The judicial whores screen out and hire low intelligence thugs, give their low intelligence thugs a gun and tell them to go out and assault anybody they want. Their low intelligence thugs drag you into the whore’s so-called court where they fabricate a debt, forge your signature onto one of their satanic contracts, and sell you into slavery under their United Nations UNIDROIT statute.
Satanic Order Follower ≠ Peace Officer
Satanic Order Follower = Coward

- If all Judges and Prosecutors are BAR Members
- If all BAR members are foreign agents of the Roman Cult
- If they have to fabricate evidence of their US citizen / cestui que trust / slave to obtain jurisdiction
- If they screen out intelligent people for their Law Enforcement Officer (LEO) positions
- If a Judge becomes a bought and paid for Clerk masquerading as a Judge when a statute is involved (always)
Then;
They are a gang of thieves
They are pirates looking for some prize
No court case has anything to do with justice and has everything to do with “justus”
Everybody in prison is a prisoner of war
All court cases are commercial transactions (warfare)
All court cases are a satanic religious ceremony
The Bible talks about these Satanists, and their Satanic Roman Law, and it also tells what we need to do,…if we do not want to be held responsible.

“And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.” 2 Peter 2:3

“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7
Shake the dust of the earth from off your feet against each of them and their household, (Matthew 10:14, Mark 6:11, Luke 9:5).

“By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison;” 1 Peter 3:19

“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the LORD shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth. And they shall be gathered together, as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in the prison, and after many days shall they be visited.” Isaiah 24: 21-22
“...I will shew unto thee the judgment of the great whore that sitteth upon many waters: With whom the kings of the earth have committed fornication, and the inhabitants of the earth have been made drunk with the wine of her fornication.”

Revelations 17:1-2

- Whore sells themselves for money – the Roman Cult?
- Many waters = admiralty maritime law - contract law
- Fornication is an illicit activity (circulating IOUs for money)
- Everybody getting rich with the fake money is kind of like being drunk.
Endless Wars = Commerce

- War on Drugs
- War on Crime
- War on Terror
- All wars are really a giant commercial transaction
- All wars are really the banksters making a bunch of fake money
- All warfare is satanic
This is going on in the USA, Canada and all over the world because it is really coming from the United Nations
Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act Branch
Communications Center
245 Murray Lane, SW, Building T-5
Washington, D.C. 20223
Department of Homeland Security is a subsidiary of United States Secret Service

United States Secret Service is a subsidiary of United States Department of the Treasury

United States Department of the Treasury is owned and operated by the International Monetary Fund (Presidential Documents Volume 29-No.4 pg. 113, and 22 U.S.C. § 285-288)

United States has not had a Treasury since 1921 (41 Stat. Ch.214 pg. 654)
They are buying up literally billions of rounds of ammunition.

They are giving away tanks and other armored vehicles to police agencies all over America.

Who are these satanist order followers (LEOs) planning on making war with?
The United Nations banksters want to get WWIII going to

- distract you from their own bankruptcy
- Impose their military dictatorship
- Make a bunch of fake money for their bankster buddies
- Get a bunch of their satanist order followers to kill each other off (reduce the population), or like Prince Phillip (Queen Elizabeth’s husband) wants to get rid of some “useless eaters”
- Get their ponzi scheme economy going
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Satan will be bound for 1000 years

- Mark Passio says that 2/3 of Americans are practicing Satanists
- Everything you see going on these days is Satanists
- Any so-called christian church with 501(c)3 tax exempt status is a satanic Tax Exempt Organization masquerading as a church
- Congress is full of Satanists – Republicans or Democrats, or whatever they want to call themselves, they all work together to accomplish the same objective
- The United Nations is a Satanic organization
“I know thy works, and tribulation, .... and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, [christians, etc.] and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan.” Revelation 2:9
When Liberty and Freedom are at stake, your silence isn’t golden... it’s yellow.
Conspiracy Theorist
Someone who questions the statements of known liars
"We now live in a nation where doctors destroy health, lawyers destroy justice, universities destroy knowledge, governments destroy freedom, the press destroys information, religion destroys morals, and our banks destroy the economy."

- Chris Hedges
All of the MURDERS that you see on the news every night are encouraged and endorsed by these United Nations Judicial Whores.

We have a bigger percentage of people in prison, than any nation on the planet and these United Nations Judicial whores are collecting royalties on all of them.

These Judicial Whores are responsible for the Police State that you are seeing.

These whores do everything they can to fabricate evidence of their slave.

These Judicial Whores do everything they can to deny you a remedy because it makes so much business for their so-called court!
FIRE the Judicial Whores
Convene our Common Law Juries
Put these Judicial whores on trial for their crimes

“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7