



# D. I. Y. Self Inflicted Problems

by **Sovereignty International** (a trust)

C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437

Fort Worth, Texas

ZIP CODE EXEMPT

engineerwin@yahoo.com

Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com

Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com

[www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi](http://www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi)

<https://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com>

# References

- **Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants**
- **For a complete set of Youtube videos with Private Information Shares, a DVD with over 50 searchable Law Dictionaries, and other books and forms contact me privately at [engineerwin@yahoo.com](mailto:engineerwin@yahoo.com)**
- **Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars**


# Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

- **All of our problems are self inflicted**
- **Either you are the King, or you are a slave**
- **There is nothing else**
- **If you go into commerce, then you give up your sovereignty**
- **You can do common law contracts and NOT be in commerce**

# Clearfield Doctrine

- **"Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen...where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned . . . For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government."** Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363 (1943)

# Advertisement

- Don't forget to subscribe to this channel
- Don't forget to Like this video 
- Don't forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload



Website

# sovereignliving

✓ Subscribed 5,500

- [Home](#)
- [Videos](#)
- [Playlists](#)
- [Channels](#)
- [Discussion](#)
- [About](#)
- 

## What to watch next




**D.I.Y. Dealing with Student Loans - It is an Alleged...**  
by sovereignliving  
657 views 1 week ago

**Social Security Number = Badge of Slavery - It is a...**  
by sovereignliving  
192 views 6 hours ago

**Rights of Children = United**

## Featured Channels

- Mark Passio**  
[Subscribe](#)
- StormCloudsGather...**  
[Subscribe](#)
- X22Report**  
[Subscribed](#)



# Civil Law = Roman Law

- **"Civil Law," "Roman Law," and "Roman Civil Law" are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called "municipal" law, to distinguish it from the "law of nature," and from international law. See Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189 Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325" Black's Law Dictionary, Rev. 4th Ed. [emphasis added];**

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **“By this means, .....Citizens birthrights become of no affect and their rights are reduce to the inferior character of statutory *Civil Rights (mere legislative privileges).*”** The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment, in the case (Dyett v. Turner, 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968]), Judge AH Ellett of the Utah Supreme Court

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **“When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”**  
Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464 [emphasis added]

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- "...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **"It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court."** Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22

# Advertisement

- ❖ Announcing a subscription based Youtube channel called Sovereignty International
- ❖ The recommended cost of the subscription is currently US\$1.99 because it avoids the advertising ONLY
- ❖ The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit
- ❖ For that reason there will be very little exclusive material on that channel
- ❖ Currently publishing 5 videos a week
- ❖ <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCokSQqXw1y22hAtJxUcoNw>



- Home
- My channel
- Trending
- Subscriptions
- History
- Watch Later
- Get YouTube Red



Sovereignty International

Paid channel  
\$1.99/month  
First 14 days free • No advertising

[Start free trial](#)

SUBSCRIPTIONS

[Add channels](#)

- Home
- Videos
- Playlists
- Channels
- About



# Right to Travel

- **"The term "Motor Vehicle" means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes on the highways in the transportation of passengers, passengers and property, or property or cargo.**
- **The term "used for commercial purposes" means the carriage of persons or property for any fare, fee, rate, charge or other consideration, or directly or indirectly in connection with any business, or other undertaking intended for profit." 18 USC § 31 [emphasis added]**

# Advertisement - Other Videos

- Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
- Churchianity series
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1, 2, & 3
- D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service
- Martial Law is here!
- D.I.Y. No Income Tax
- D.I.Y. Estoppel Certificates
- Everything is an Illusion
- Void Judgments
- D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts 1, - 9

# No Common Law Crimes in United States (D.C. & Territories)

- There are no common law offenses against the United States. Only those acts which Congress has forbidden, with penalties for disobedience of its command, are crimes. *United States v. Hudson & Goodwin*, 11 U.S. (7th Cr.) 32 (1812); *United States v. Coolidge*, 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 415 (1816); *United States v. Britton*, 108 U.S. 199, 206 (1883); *United States v. Eaton*, 144 U.S. 677, 687 (1892).

# No Common Law Crimes in Texas

- Under Texas law, no act or omission is a crime unless made so by statute. Dawson v. Vance, 329 F.Supp. 1320, (D.C.Tex. 1971). The Legislature may create an offense and in same enactment, provide exceptions to its application. Williams v. State, 176 SW2d 177, Tex.Cr.App., 1943.

# No Common Law Crimes

- Everything is in Admiralty
- **“A writ of error doth not lie upon a sentence in the admiralty, but an appeal. 4 Inst. 135. 339.”**  
Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 Edition under the definition of Admiralty
- Appeals are in Admiralty
- It is called a Court of Appeals
- It is the same thing that precipitated the War of Independence

# Advertisement

CUBE YARD

- For great custom websites, domain names, and hosting go to:
- <https://CubeYard.com>
- Use coupon code CY172 for 20% off your first order
- [Cubeyard.com](https://Cubeyard.com) – your source for websites, domain names, and hosting

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- **“...statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property.....to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial..... and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.**
- **We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.”** Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)

# Martial Law = Satanism

**“In the meantime, "Civil Law" was the form of law imposed in the Roman Empire which was largely (if not wholly) governed by martial law rule. "Equity" has always been understood to follow the law; to have "superior equity," is to turn things on their head. This is exactly what happens when martial law is imposed. If "equity" is the law, then it follows its own course rather than following the common law, thereby destroying the common law and leaving what is called "equity" in its place.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,**

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- **“For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:**
- **He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.**
- **For protecting them, by a mock Trial...”**  
Declaration of Independence (1776)

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- **“Congress (claiming its martial law "power to declare war," "suppress insurrections" and "repel invasions") imposed martial law on the United States and never discontinued it. The result was an extension of military and municipal jurisdiction of Congress. But where is the evidence of this? Look at the Thirteenth Amendment , the Civil Rights Acts, the Legal Tender Laws, the Fourteenth Amendment , etc., etc., etc..” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court**

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- See the Texas (and other American States) are under a Military Occupation video
- See the Alberta (and other Canadian States) are under a Military Occupation video
- See the Martial Law is Here!! video

# Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- A “penal action” is an action on a penal statute; an action for recovery of penalty given by statute. NcNeely v. City of Natchez, 114 So. 484, 487; 148 Miss. 268.
- Where an action is founded entirely upon a statute, and the only object of it is to recover a penalty or forfeiture, such action is a “penal action.” Gawthrop v. Fairmont Coal Co., 81 S.E. 560, 561; 74 S.Va. 39.

# Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- The words “penal” and “penalty” in their strict and primary sense denote a punishment, whether corporal or pecuniary, imposed and enforced by the state for a crime or offense against its laws. **The noun penalty is defined forfeiture or to be forfeited for noncompliance with an agreement.** The words forfeit and penalty are substantially synonymous. Missouri, K. & T. Ry. Co. v. Dewey Portland Cement Co., 242 P. 257, 259, 113 Okla. 142.
- A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute and brought with the sole object of recovering a penalty or forfeiture imposed as punishment for specific offense, while “remedial action: is one brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Smith Engineering Works v. Custer, 151 P2d 404, 407, 194 Okl. 318.

# Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- A “penal action” is a civil suit brought for the recovery of a statutory forfeiture when inflicted as punishment for an offense against the public. Such actions are “civil actions, “ on the one hand closely related to criminal prosecutions and on the other to actions for private injuries in which the party aggrieved may, by statute, recover punitive damages. State ex rel. McNamee v. Stobie, 92 SW 191, 212, 194 Mo.

14

Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

## Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- **"But individuals, when acting as representatives of a collective group, cannot be said to be exercising their personal rights and duties, nor be entitled to their purely personal privileges. Rather they assume the rights, duties and privileges of the artificial entity or association of which they are agents or officers and they are bound by its obligations." Brasswell v. United States 487 U.S. 99 (1988) quoting, United States v. White 322 U.S. 694 (1944),**

Uniform Commercial Code =

UNIDROIT = Roman Cult

Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights  
for some Satanic Privileges?

- **“Whenever [the Uniform Commercial Code] creates a "presumption" with respect to a fact, or provides that a fact is "presumed," the trier of fact must find the existence of the fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its nonexistence.” UCC § 1-206 Presumptions [emphasis added]**

# Uniform Commercial Code = UNIDROIT = Roman Cult

- **“(a) In an action with respect to an instrument, the authenticity of, and authority to make, each signature on the instrument are admitted unless specifically denied in the pleadings. If the validity of a signature is denied in the pleadings, the burden of establishing validity is on the person claiming validity, but the signature is presumed to be authentic and authorized unless the action is to enforce the liability of the purported signer and the signer is dead or incompetent at the time of trial of the issue of validity of the signature.”** Texas Business and Commerce Code § 3.308  
Proof of Signatures and Status as Holder in Due Course  
[emphasis added]

# Uniform Commercial Code = UNIDROIT = Roman Cult

- “The following rules apply in an action on a certificated security against the issuer:
- **(1) Unless specifically denied in the pleadings, each signature on a security certificate or in a necessary indorsement is admitted.**
- **(2) If the effectiveness of a signature is put in issue, the burden of establishing effectiveness is on the party claiming under the signature, but the signature is presumed to be genuine or authorized.** Texas Business and Commerce Code § 8.114 Evidentiary Rules Concerning Certificated Securities [emphasis added]

# Fabricating Evidence

- **"... (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction . ." In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164.**
- **"A "*citizen of the United States*" is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the *PCT (Public Charitable Trust)*, the constructive, *cestui que trust* of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc." Congressional Record, June 13 1967, pp. 15641-15646**

# US citizen = Taxpayer = Slave

- **“Slater's protestations to the effect that he derives no benefit from the United States government have no bearing on his legal obligation to pay income taxes. *Cook v. Tait*, 265 U.S. 47, 44 S.Ct. 444, 68 L.Ed. 895 (1924); *Benitez Rexach v. United States*, 390 F.2d 631, (1st Circ.), *cert. denied* 393 U.S. 833, 89 S.Ct. 103, 21 L.Ed.2d 103 (1968). Unless the defendant can establish that he is not a citizen of the United States, the IRS possesses authority to attempt to determine his federal tax liability.” UNITED STATES of America v. William M. SLATER (1982) (D. Delaware) 545 F.Supp 179, 182. [emphasis added]**

# US Citizen = Roman Cult = Slave

❖ **“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.”**

❖ **“The Legal Estate to be in Cestui Que Use”**

Chapter Fifty-Six in Sec. 1617, at 31 Stat. 1432

# US Citizen = Roman Cult = Slave

- ❖ **“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.”** which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at 2, where it says;
- ❖ **“And be it further enacted, That in the interpretation and construction of said code the following rules shall be observed namely:...**
- ❖ **“Third. The word “person” shall be held to apply to partnerships and corporations, ...”**,  
[emphasis added]

# US Citizen = Roman Cult = Slave

❖ **“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.”** which was Approved on March 3, 1901, by the Fifty-Sixth Congress, Session II, at 31 Stat. 1189, and at Chapter three – Absence for Seven Years, in Sec. 252, at 31 Stat. 1230, where it says;

❖ **“SEC. 252. PRESUMPTION OF DEATH. - If any person shall leave his domicile without any known intention of changing the same, and shall not return or be heard from for seven years from the time of his so leaving, he shall be presumed to be dead, in any case wherein his death shall come in question, unless proof be made that he was alive within that time.”**

# Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

## Did you Give Up Your God Given Rights for some Satanic Privileges?

- 15 USC § 44 Definitions; “Corporation” “shall be deemed to include any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members, and has shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, and any company, trust, so-called Massachusetts trust, or association, incorporated or unincorporated, without shares of capital or capital stock or certificates of interest, except partnerships, which is organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members.”

# Cestui Que use = Roman Cult

- “Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees *to the use* of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the *possession* and the *use*, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his *cestui que use* for the rents and emoluments of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain

# Contact Information

- My Blog is; <http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com>
- Website - [www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi](http://www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi)
- Email - [engineerwin@yahoo.com](mailto:engineerwin@yahoo.com)
- Youtube profiles – sovereignliving – Sovereignty International
- Facebook
  - Community Page – Deleted due to Censorship
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International - being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
- Follow me on Twitter @engineerwin



## Georgia Department of Driver Services

2206 East View Parkway, P.O. Box 80447, Conyers Georgia 30013  
Phone: (678) 413-8650 FAX: (678) 413-8773

Nathan Deal  
Governor

Gregory C. Dozier  
Commissioner

September 5, 2012



This letter is in response to your correspondence to Alan Watson dated August 17, 2012 regarding the denial of your application for a Georgia driver's license. As a preliminary matter, please know that the Department of Driver Services (DDS) intends no disrespect by addressing you using the conventions of formal business correspondence, and information provided to the DDS by the United States Postal Service suggests that the addressing of this letter in this fashion will expedite its delivery to you.

With regard to the content of your letter, the DDS agrees that your application for a driver's license creates no contract between you and the State of Georgia. According to O.C.G.A. §13-1-10, "where, in the exercise of the police power, a license is issued, the license is not a contract but only a permission to enjoy the privilege for the time specified, on the terms stated; and it may be abrogated." Unfortunately, the DDS respectfully must disagree with the balance of the contents of your correspondence.

First, various provisions of state and federal law require most drivers to possess a valid driver's license to operate a motor vehicle, particularly O.C.G.A. §40-5-20. The exemptions from the statute are found in O.C.G.A. §40-5-21. None of the documentation provided with your letter suggests that you fall into one of the statutorily recognized exceptions. The DDS is prohibited from issuing a driver's license to anyone whose driver's license or driving privilege in another state is under suspension. O.C.G.A. §40-5-22(c). Operation of a motor vehicle without a valid driver's license could be a violation of O.C.G.A. §§40-5-20 and/or 40-5-121, particularly since the contents of your letter suggest that you have been a resident of the State of Georgia for more than thirty (30) days. The term resident is defined in O.C.G.A. §40-5-1(15) as "a person who has a permanent home or abode in Georgia to which, whenever such person is absent, he or she has the intention of returning."


The statute creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria:

- (A) Any person who accepts employment or engages in any trade, profession, or occupation in Georgia or enters his or her children to be educated in the private or public schools of Georgia within ten days after the commencement of such employment or education; or
- (B) Any person who, except for infrequent, brief absences, has been present in the state for 30 or more days; provided, however, that no person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service." *Id.*

The lease submitted with your driver's license application was executed on September 19, 2011. Anyone who is here legally and becomes a resident must obtain a driver's license in Georgia within thirty (30) days. O.C.G.A. 40-5-20.

While the United States Supreme Court has recognized a fundamental right to interstate travel, this right has never included a fundamental right to drive. *Miller v. Reed*, 176 F.3d 1202, 1206 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999); *Dixon v. Love*, 431 U.S. 105, 112-116 (1977). Similarly, the Georgia Supreme Court has held that "the right to operate a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state is not a vested right, but is merely a qualified right which can be exercised by obtaining a license from the state." *Johnston v. State*, 236 Ga. 370 (1976). "[I]n Georgia, a driver's license is not an absolute right but rather is a privilege that may be revoked for cause. The right to continue the operation and to keep the license to drive is dependent upon the manner in which the licensee exercises this right. The right is not absolute, but is a privilege. While it cannot be suspended or revoked without reason, it can be constitutionally revoked or suspended for any cause having to do with public safety." *Nolen v. State*, 218 Ga. App. 819, 820 (1995). Moreover, the Georgia Supreme Court explicitly rejected the argument that the driver's license requirement established in O.C.G.A. §40-5-20 was not unconstitutional when applied to "a common law freeman exercising his right to travel on public ways." *Lebrun v. State*, 255 Ga. 406 (1986).

With regard to your concerns about the requirement for collecting your social security number, the DDS has not compelled you to obtain a social security number in violation of your religious beliefs. Rather, you presented your card voluntarily in conjunction with your application for a Georgia driver's license. This requirement is based upon federal laws enacted by Congress to facilitate the collection of child support payments from non-custodial parents and in the interest of homeland security. 42 U.S.C. §666(a)(13)(A); 49 U.S.C. §30301 note; 6 C.F.R. §37.01, *et seq.*



Federal law now requires all states to collect social security numbers when issuing such credentials. *Id.* The only exception to the requirement is for individuals who are not eligible for issuance of a social security number because they are aliens not authorized to work in the United States. O.C.G.A. §19-11-9.1(a.1)(1); 6 C.F.R. §37.11(e)(3).

We hope that this information is responsive to your inquiry, and we look forward to serving your licensing needs once the issue in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is resolved. Please note that you are eligible for issuance of a Georgia identification card under O.C.G.A. §40-5-100, *et seq.*, if you need state-issued documentation of your identity in the meantime. I can be reached at (678) 413-8765 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,



Jennifer Ammons  
General Counsel

# Right to Travel

- **“The statute creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria: .... however no such person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.”** Jennifer Ammons, General Counsel, Georgia Department of Driver Services

# Either King or Slave

- **"State citizens are the only ones living under free government, whose rights are incapable of impairment by legislation or judicial decision."** Twining v. New Jersey, 211 U.S. 97, 1908
- **"State Citizenship is a vested substantial property right, and the State has no power to divest or impair these rights."** Favot v. Kingsbury, (1929) 98 Cal. App. 284, 276 P. 1083

# Either King or Slave

- Everything is presumption
- Under Martial Law the presumption is that you are a slave
- Either you gave them evidence of their US citizen slave
- Or you did not defeat their presumption that you are their US citizen slave
- See the D.I.Y. Estoppel Certificates video
- See the Everything is an Illusion video
- See the D.I.Y. Assert Your Status video
- See the D.I.Y. Affidavit – Declaration video