



D.I.Y. Photo Radar

by **Sovereignty International** (a trust)

C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437

Fort Worth, Texas

ZIP CODE EXEMPT

engineerwin@yahoo.com

Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com

Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com

www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi

<https://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com>

Photo Radar = Extortion

- ❖ **“...the reason for the initial detention, speeding & running a red light are not a breach of the peace.”**
Perkins v Texas, 812 S.W. 2d 326

Photo Radar = Hearsay

- Ontario
- Arizona
- Thanks for your hearsay evidence
do you have any witnesses to this
alleged crime!

Photo Radar = Hearsay

- On 11 May 2009, I received a photo radar ticket from the Arizona Department of Public Safety
 - My son was driving my truck in Arizona
- I rejected their offer of contract, and sent NOTICE and DEMANDs to the Court, the Judge, and the Mayor. (See D. I. Y. Estoppel Certificates video)
 - The case disappeared

Photo Radar = Hearsay

- On 22 February, 2010, the Arizona Department of Public Safety sent their strawman GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN a Photo Radar Ticket

Photo Radar = Hearsay

- I sent NOTICE and DEMANDs to the DPS Officer, the Director of Public Safety, the Attorney General, and the Judge
- I filed a criminal complaint against all of them for perjury of oath, treason, and sedition to the constitution.
- They cancelled the Photo Radar Program
- They sent me NOTICE that they are cancelling the strawman's drivers license.

Successes

Arizona Shuts Down Freeway Speed Cameras

theNewspaper.com

A journal of the politics of driving

[Home](#) > [Camera Enforcement](#) > [Speed Cameras](#) > [Arizona Shuts Down Freeway Speed Cameras](#)



PRINTER-FRIENDLY



EMAIL TO A FRIEND

7/16/2010

Arizona Shuts Down Freeway Speed Cameras

Arizona freeway photo radar program meets an early end.

Related News

[Australia: Appeals Court Rules Photo Ticket Inadmissible](#)

No Injured Party

- ***“For a crime to exist there must be an injured party.”*** Sherar v. Cullen, 486 F. 945
- So when a police officer claims the "State of Texas" was injured, that is also a false statement constituting perjury.

Satanists

- They assault you with their criminal corporation
- **“My opinion is and long has been that the mayor and aldermen of a city corporation, or the president and directors of a bank, or the president and directors of a railroad company and of other similar corporations, are the true parties that sue and are sued as trustees and representatives of the constantly changing stockholders.... A corporation, therefore, being not a natural person, but a mere creature of the mind, invisible and intangible, cannot be a citizen of a state, or of the United States, and cannot fall within the terms or the power of the above mentioned article, and can therefore neither plead nor be impleaded in the courts of the United States.” Rundle v Delaware & Raritan Canal Company 55 U.S. 80 (1852) [emphasis added]**

Kangaroo Courts

- **"In every criminal trial, the prosecution must prove the corpus delecti, or the body of the crime itself-i.e., the fact of injury, loss or harm, and the existence of a criminal agency as its cause." People v. Sapp, 73 P.3d 433, 467 (Cal. 2003) [quoting People v. Alvarez, (2002) 27 Cal.4th 1161, 1168-1169, 119 Cal.Rptr.2d 903, 46 P.3d 372.]**

No Common Law Crimes

- **There are no common law offenses against the United States. Only those acts which Congress has forbidden, with penalties for disobedience of its command, are crimes.** *United States v. Hudson & Goodwin*, 11 U.S. (7th Cr.) 32 (1812); *United States v. Coolidge*, 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 415 (1816); *United States v. Britton*, 108 U.S. 199, 206 (1883); *United States v. Eaton*, 144 U.S. 677, 687 (1892).

No Common Law Crimes in Texas

- **Under Texas law, no act or omission is a crime unless made so by statute. Dawson v. Vance, 329 F.Supp. 1320, (D.C.Tex. 1971). The Legislature may create an offense and in same enactment, provide exceptions to its application. Williams v. State, 176 SW2d 177, Tex.Cr.App., 1943.**

Statutes = Roman Cult Law

- A “penal action” is an action on a penal statute; an action for recovery of penalty given by statute. NcNeely v. City of Natchez, 114 So. 484, 487; 148 Miss. 268.
- Where an action is founded entirely upon a statute, and the only object of it is to recover a penalty or forfeiture, such action is a “penal action.” Gawthrop v. Fairmont Coal Co., 81 S.E. 560, 561; 74 S.Va. 39.

Statutes = Roman Cult Law

- The words “penal” and “penalty” in their strict and primary sense denote a punishment, whether corporal or pecuniary, imposed and enforced by the state for a crime or offense against its laws. **The noun penalty is defined forfeiture or to be forfeited for noncompliance with an agreement.** The words forfeit and penalty are substantially synonymous. Missouri, K. & T. Ry. Co. v. Dewey Portland Cement Co., 242 P. 257, 259, 113 Okla. 142.
- A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute and brought with the sole object of recovering a penalty or forfeiture imposed as punishment for specific offense, while “remedial action: is one brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Smith Engineering Works v. Custer, 151 P2d 404, 407, 194 Okl. 318.

Statutes = Roman Cult Law

- A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute, and the only object is to recover a penalty or a forfeiture imposed as a punishment for a certain specific offense, while a “remedial action: is one which is brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Cummings v. Board of Education of Okla. City, 125 P2d 989, 994, 190 Okl. 533
- **A “penal action” is a civil suit** brought for the recovery of a statutory forfeiture when inflicted as punishment for an offense against the public. Such actions are “civil actions, “ on the one hand closely related to criminal prosecutions and on the other to actions for private injuries in which the party aggrieved may, by statute, recover punitive damages. State ex rel. McNamee v. Stobie, 92 SW 191, 212, 194 Mo. 14

Kangaroo Court = Roman Cult

- **“The power to create presumptions is not a means of escape from constitutional restrictions.”** Bailey v Alabama, 219 U.S. 219, 238, et seq., 31 S.Ct. 145; Manley v Georgia, 279 U.S. 1, 5-6, 49 S.Ct. 215

Photo Radar = Hearsay

- ❖ **They are proceeding on presumptive hearsay evidence**
- ❖ **Are there any witnesses to this alleged crime? - NO**
- ❖ **We have a Common Law Right to travel for free on the highways**
- ❖ **They are operating under the Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966**
- ❖ **Their codes ONLY apply to their corporate slaves**
- ❖ **They are involved in racketeering**
- ❖ **The officers and directors of their corporation are responsible**

Common Law Right

"The right of a citizen to travel upon the public highways and to transport his property thereon, by horse drawn carriage, wagon, or automobile, is not a mere privilege which may be permitted or prohibited at will, but a common right which he has under his right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Under this constitutional guaranty one may, therefore, under normal conditions, travel at his inclination along the public highways or in public places, and while conducting himself in an orderly and decent manner, neither interfering with nor disturbing another's rights, he will be protected, not only in his person, but in his safe conduct." Thompson v. Smith, 154 SE 579, 11 American Jurisprudence, Constitutional Law, section 329, page 1135

Common Law Rights

- **"The right to make use of an automobile as a vehicle of travel long the highways of the state, is no longer an open question. The owners thereof have the same rights in the roads and streets as the drivers of horses or those riding a bicycle or traveling in some other vehicle."** House v. Cramer, 112 N.W. 3; 134 Iowa 374; Farnsworth v. Tampa Electric Co. 57 So. 233, 237, 62 Fla. 166.
- **"The automobile may be used with safety to others users of the highway, and in its proper use upon the highways there is an equal right with the users of other vehicles properly upon the highways. The law recognizes such right of use upon general principles."** Brinkman v Pacholike, 84 N.E. 762, 764, 41 Ind. App. 662, 666.

Common Law Rights

- **"The law does not denounce motor carriages, as such, on public ways. They have an equal right with other vehicles in common use to occupy the streets and roads. It is improper to say that the driver of the horse has rights in the roads superior to the driver of the automobile. Both have the right to use the easement."** *Indiana Springs Co. v. Brown*, 165 Ind. 465, 468.
- **"A highway is a public way open and free to any one who has occasion to pass along it on foot or with any kind of vehicle."** *Schlesinger v. City of Atlanta*, 129 S.E. 861, 867, 161 Ga. 148, 159; *Holland v. Shackelford*, 137 S.E. 2d 298, 304, 220 Ga. 104; *Stavola v. Palmer*, 73 A.2d 831, 838, 136 Conn. 670

Common Law Rights

- **"There can be no question of the right of automobile owners to occupy and use the public streets of cities, or highways in the rural districts."** Liebrecht v. Crandall, 126 N.W. 69, 110 Minn. 454, 456
- **"The word 'automobile' connotes a pleasure vehicle designed for the transportation of persons on highways."** American Mutual Liability Ins. Co., vs. Chaput, 60 A.2d 118, 120; 95 NH 200

All statutes and Codes are Bills of Attainder

- **“Bill of Attainder” means Legislative acts, no matter what their form, that apply either to named individuals or to easily ascertainable members of a group in such a way as to inflict punishment on them without a judicial trial.**

United States v. Brown, 381 U.S. 437, 448-49, 85 S. Ct. 1707, 1715, 14 L.Ed. 484, 492; United States v. Lovett, 328 U.S. 303, 315, 66 S.Ct. 1073, 1079, 90 L.Ed. 1252.

All Statutes and Codes are Bills of Attainder

- **“bill of attainder. 2. A special legislative act prescribing punishment, without a trial, for a specific person or group. • Bills of attainder are prohibited by the U.S. Constitution (art. I, § 9, cl. 3; art. I, § 10, cl. 1). — Also termed act of attainder. See ATTAINDER; BILL OF PAINS AND PENALTIES . [Cases: Constitutional Law 82.5. C.J.S. Constitutional Law §§ 429–431.]”** Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 496
- **“BILL OF PAINS AND PENALTIES bill of pains and penalties. A legislative act that, though similar to a bill of attainder, prescribes punishment less severe than capital punishment. • Bills of pains and penalties are included within the U.S. Constitution's ban on bills of attainder. U.S. Const. art I, § 9. [Cases: Constitutional Law 82.5. C.J.S. Constitutional Law §§ 429–431.]”** Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 499

LEOs use Law Merchant (UCC)

- **"(h) DEFINITION's. "(3) MOTOR VEHICLE.-The term 'motor vehicle' means a self-propelled vehicle which is registered for highway use under the laws of any State or foreign country. "(4) SECURITY.-The term 'security' means any bond, debenture, note, or certificate or other evidence of indebtedness, issued by a corporation or a government or political subdivision thereof, with interest coupons or in registered form, share of stock, voting trust certificate, or any certificate of interest or participation in, certificate of deposit or receipt for, temporary or interim certificate for, or warrant or right to subscribe to or purchase any of the foregoing: negotiable instrument: or money." Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966 at Public Law 89-719 at 80 Stat. 1130-1131**

Toll Roads Based on Statutes

- **“The claim and exercise of a Constitutional (guaranteed) right cannot be converted into a crime”.**
Miller v US, 230 Fed 486,489
- **"No State shall convert a liberty into a privilege, license it, and charge a fee therefore."** _Murdock v. Pennsylvania, 319 US 105
- **"There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional rights."**
Sherer v. Cullen, 481 F 946
- **"If the State converts a right (liberty) into a privilege, the citizen can ignore the license and fee and engage in the right (liberty) with impunity."** Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham Alabama, 373 US 262

Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

- **“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.”**
Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition,
page 868

Clerks masquerading as Judges

- **““When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”**
Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464 [emphasis added]

Clerks Masquerading as Judges

- **"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..."**
30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762
- **"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..."** K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)

Clerks Masquerading as Judges

- A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is not competent to do anything judicial like issue orders, or warrants
- A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is operating in his private capacity, and has no immunity
- **"Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities"** Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1

Oaths

- **“OATH....All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are *coram non judice*, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 *Inst.* 165; 4 *Inst.* 278; 2 *Roll. Abr.* 277.”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835 Edition, Volume 2 [emphasis added],

State Statutes are actually Federal Statutes

- **“INTERNATIONAL LAW RULE: Adopted for areas under Federal legislative jurisdiction”**
“Federalizes State civil law, including common law.--The rule serves to federalize not only the statutory but the common law of a State. Kniffen v. Hercules Powder Co., 164 Kan. 196, 188 P.2d 980 (1948); Kaufman v. Hopper, 220 N.Y. 184. 115 N.E. 470 (1917), see also 151 App. Div. 28, 135 N.Y.Supp. 363 (1912), aff'd., 163 App. Div. 863, 146 N. Y. Supp. 1096 (1914); Norfolk & P.B.L.R. v. Parker,...” Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 158

State Statutes are actually Federal Statutes

- **STATE AND FEDERAL VENUE DISCUSSED: The civil laws effective in an area of exclusive Federal jurisdiction are Federal law, notwithstanding their derivation from State laws, and a cause arising under such laws may be brought in or removed to a Federal district court under sections 24 or 28 of the former Judicial Code (now sections 1331 and 1441 of title 28, United States Code), giving jurisdiction to such courts of civil actions arising under the "*** * * laws * * *** of the United States" where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$3,000, exclusive of interest and costs."**

Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States – Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction over Federal Areas Within the States, Part II, A Text of the Law of Legislative Jurisdiction Submitted to the Attorney General and Transmitted to the President June 1957, page 165 [emphasis added]

Fabricating Evidence

- **"... (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction . ." In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164.**
- **"A "*citizen of the United States*" is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the *PCT (Public Charitable Trust)*, the constructive, *cestui que trust* of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc." Congressional Record, June 13 1967, pp. 15641-15646**

Citizenship Classes

❖ **"...it might be correctly said that there is no such thing as a citizen of the United States. A citizen of any one of the States of the Union, is held to be, and called a citizen of the United States, although technically and abstractly there is no such thing."** Ex Parte Frank Knowles, 5 Cal. Rep. 300,

US citizen has no rights

- ❖ **"...the privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States do not necessarily include all the rights protected by the first eight amendments to the Federal constitution against the powers of the Federal government." Maxwell v Dow, 20 S.C.R. 448, at pg 455;**
- ❖ **"The only absolute and unqualified right of a United States citizen is to residence within the territorial boundaries of the United States," US vs. Valentine 288 F. Supp. 957**

Citizen - Subject

- ❖ **“Citizenship is a political status, and may be defined and privilege limited by Congress.”** Ex Parte (NG) Fung Sing, Federal Reporter, 2nd Series, Vol. 6, Page 670 (1925)
- ❖ **"The term resident and citizen of the United States is distinguished from a Citizen of one of the several states, in that the former is a special class of citizen created by Congress."** U.S. v. Anthony 24 Fed. 829 (1873)

Satanists and their Satanic Contracts

- **"It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court."** Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22

2 States in every State

- There is a lawful State
- There is a federal territory (municipal corporation)
- **“There has been created a fictional federal State (of) xxxxxx within a state. See Howard v. Sinking Fund of Louisville, 344 U.S. 624, 73 S.Ct. 465, 476, 97 L.Ed. 617 (1953)”**; Schwarts v. O'Hara TP School District, 100 A 2d. 621, 625, 375, Pa. 440,

Perpetual Commercial Warfare

- **“We can't even begin to count the number of times Judges, Lawyers, and Statesmen have said: "There isn't any common law anymore. It has been replaced by Statutes." They would be more truthful if they said: "There isn't any common-law any more, it has been replaced by martial law." Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court**

Perpetual Commercial Warfare

- **“In the meantime, "Civil Law" was the form of law imposed in the Roman Empire which was largely (if not wholly) governed by martial law rule. "Equity" has always been understood to follow the law; to have "superior equity," is to turn things on their head. This is exactly what happens when martial law is imposed. If "equity" is the law, then it follows its own course rather than following the common law, thereby destroying the common law and leaving what is called "equity" in its place.” Dyett v. Turner, 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Assistant Director A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court [emphasis added]**

It is all for US citizens

- a US citizen is NOT entitled to an Article 3 Court, but instead gets an Article 1 Court with a plenary (military dictatorship) jurisdiction and Art. 1, Section 8, Clause 17 Constitution for the United States of America as defined and reinstated in *National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company*, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948): which further states that **citizens of the District of Columbia are not embraced by the judicial power under Article III** of the Constitution for the United States of America, the same statement is held in *Hepburn v. Dundas v. Elizey*, 2 Cranch (U.S.) 445, 2 L.Ed. 332.; In 1804, the Supreme Court, through Chief Justice Marshall, held that a citizen of the District of Columbia was not a citizen of a state;

It is all for US citizens

- **"We therefore decline to overrule the opinion of Chief Justice Marshall: We hold that the District of Columbia is not a state within Article 3 of the Constitution. In other words cases between citizens of the District and those of the states were not included of the catalogue of controversies over which the Congress could give jurisdiction to the federal courts by virtue of Article 3. In other words Congress has exclusive legislative jurisdiction over citizens of Washington District of Columbia and through their plenary power nationally covers those citizens even when in one of the several states as though the district expands for the purpose of regulating its citizens wherever they go throughout the states in union" National Mutual Insurance Company of the District of Columbia v. Tidewater Transfer Company, 337 U.S. 582, 93 L.Ed. 1556 (1948)**

It is all for US citizens

- **"If any citizen or resident of the United States does not reside in (and is not found in) any United States Judicial District, such citizen or resident shall be treated as residing in The District of Columbia for purposes of any provisions of this Title to “ (A) jurisdiction of courts, or (B) enforcement of summons.” 26 USC § 7701(39) see also 26 USC § 7408(C)**

What is a US Citizen

- **“(2) the term “individual” means a citizen of the United States or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence;” 5 USC § 552a.(a)(2)**
- **“(13) the term “Federal personnel” means officers and employees of the Government of the United States, members of the uniformed services (including members of the Reserve Components), individuals entitled to receive immediate or deferred retirement benefits under any retirement program of the Government of the United States (including survivor benefits).” 5 USC § 552a.(a)(13) [emphasis added]**

What is a US Citizen?

- “[T]he term “citizen,” in the United States, is analogous to the term “subject” in the common law.” State vs Manual 20 NC 122, 14 C.J.S. 4, p 430

State Citizens

- **"State citizens are the only ones living under free government, whose rights are incapable of impairment by legislation or judicial decision."** *Twining v. New Jersey*, 211 U.S. 97, 1908
- **"State Citizenship is a vested substantial property right, and the State has no power to divest or impair these rights."** *Favot v. Kingsbury*, (1929) 98 Cal. App. 284, 276 P. 1083,
- **"The State cannot diminish rights of the people."** *Hertado v. California*, 110 U.S. 516

Perpetual Commercial Warfare

- **“...statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property.....to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial....”, Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)**

US Declaration of Independence

- **“He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended legislation.”** [color of law – martial law]
- **“For imposing taxes on us without our consent.”** [martial law]
- **“For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury.”** [martial law]
- Declaration of Independence (1776) [emphasis added]

Taxes in Commerce ONLY

- **“Whereas taxation by the parliament of Great Britain, for the purpose of raising a revenue in his Majesty’s colonies, provinces, and plantations, in North America, has been found by experience to occasion great uneasiness and disorders...That from and after the passing of this act the King and Parliament of Great Britain will not impose any duty, tax, or assessment whatever, payable in any of the colonies, provinces, or plantations, in North America or the West Indies; except only such duties as it may be expedient to impose for the regulation of commerce...”** George III, CAP XII 1778
[emphasis added]

Warrant for Arrest

- **“CAPIAS. A writ or process formerly of two sorts; one whereof in the court of C. P. is called *capias ad respondendum*, before judgment, where an original is sued out, etc. to take the defendand and make him answer the plaintiff: and the other a writ of execution, after judgment, being of divers kinds, as *capias ad satisfaciendum*, *capitu ultiagatum*, &e.”**

Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835 Edition

Warrant for Arrest

- **“CAPIAS AD SATISFACIENDUM (shortly termed a CA. SA.) A judicial writ of execution which issues out on the record of a Judgment, where there is a recovery in the courts at Westminster, of debt, damages, &c. And by this writ the sheriff is commanded to take the body of the defendant in execution, and him safely to keep, so that he have his body in court at the return of the writ, to satisfy the plaintiff his debt and damages. *Vide 1 Litt Abr. 249.*” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835 Edition**
- **“A capias is NOT a “Warrant of Arrest,”” Knox v State, 586 S.W. 2d 504, 506 (Tex.Crim.App. 1979).**

Satanists

- They drag you into their kangaroo court and the Clerk masquerading as a Judge forges your signature onto their satanic contract to fabricate evidence of a debt, then they issue a capias to their satanist order followers to further assault you, kidnap you, and falsely imprison you.

Clerks Masquerading as a Judge

- **“No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.”** 16th American Jurisprudence 2d, Section 177 late 2nd, Section 256
- **“An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed.”** Norton vs Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, p. 442
- **“An unconstitutional law is void, and is as no law. An offence created by it is not a crime.”** Ex parte Siebold, 100 U.S. 371, 376 (1880), quoted with approval in Fay v. Noia, 372 U.S. 391, 408 (1963)
- **“it never became a law and was as much a nullity as if it had been the act or declaration of an unauthorized assemblage of individuals.”** (Ryan v. Lynch, 68 Ill. 160)

Clerks Masquerading as a Judge

- "Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also **Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351.**" **Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.**

Clerks Masquerading as a Judge

- “A void judgment is one which, from its inception, was a complete nullity and without legal effect” Lubben v. Selective Service System Local Bd. No. 27, 453 F.2d 645, 14 A.L.R. Fed. 298 (C.A. 1 Mass. 1972). Hobbs v. U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 485 F.Supp. 456 (M.D. Fla. 1980).
- “Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.” City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).

Void Judgment

- The Courts have decreed, that Want of Jurisdiction makes;
 - **“...all acts of judges, magistrates, U.S. Marshals, sheriffs, local police, all void and not just voidable.” Nestor v. Hershey, 425 F2d 504.**
- Watch the **Void Judgments** video

The Holy Bible

- “The end justifies the means” is satanic
- **“Wo unto them that call evil good and good evil, and put darkness for light, and light for darkness...”** Isaiah 5:20

Making Merchandise of you

- **“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him ; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7**
- **“And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.” 2 Peter 2:3**

History

- **“Give me liberty or give me death”** Patrick Henry,
- after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license

Roman Cult Satanists

- Everything their so-called Court does is a fraud
- They spell your name in all block capital letters – a fraud – the Roman Cult's cestui que trust
- They spell your address in all block capital letters – a fraud, they use a ZIP Code – another Fraud
- They present themselves as neutral and unbiased, when in reality they are bought and paid for
- All so-called Judges (state or federal) are actually federal whores selling their justus
- There is no such thing as an Article 3 Judge, because they are all territorial

Roman Cult Satanist PIGs

- These Satanists CANNOT speak the truth
- That is one of the hallmarks of satanism, lies, half truths, fraud, deception
- They criminally convert your appellation (name)
- They criminally convert your postal address
- They present the judge as neutral and unbiased, when the so-called judge is actually a bought and paid for clerk – see LEOs in Azle, Texas videos 1, 2, 3, & 4
- Everything they do is a fraud (lie)
- **“Colour, color. Signifies a probable plea, but which is in fact false...”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835, Volume 1

Roman Cult Satanist PIGs

- They lie in wait for you to say the wrong thing so they can justify selling you into slavery
- **“give color, vb. Hist. To admit, either expressly or impliedly by silence, that an opponent's allegations appear to be meritorious. • In common-law pleading, a defendant's plea of confession and avoidance had to give color to the plaintiff's allegations in the complaint or the plea would be fatally defective.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2031

Roman Cult Satanists

- **“Once a fraud, always a fraud.”** 13 Vin. Abr. 539.
- **“Things invalid from the beginning cannot be made valid by subsequent act.”** Trayner, Max. 482. Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1862
- **“A thing void in the beginning does not become valid by lapse of time.”** 1 S. & R. 58. Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1866
- **Time cannot render valid an act void in its origin.** Dig. 50, 17, 29; Broom, Max. 178, Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1862

Roman Cult Satanists

- **“Ex dolo malo non oritur action. Out of fraud no action arises. Cowper, 343; Broom’s Max. 349.”** Bouvier’s Maxims of Law, 1856,
- and any act by any government official to conceal the fraud becomes an act of fraud;
- **“fraus est celare fraudem. It is a fraud to conceal a fraud. 1 Vern. 270.”** Bouvier’s Maxims of Law 1856
- and fraud is inexcusable and unpardonable;
- **“Fraus et dolus nemini patrocinari debent. Fraud and deceit should excuse no man. 3 Co. 78.”** Bouvier’s Maxims of Law 1856

Roman Cult Satanists

- and any fraud amounts to injustice;
- **“Fraus et jus nunquam cohabitant. Fraud and justice never dwell together.”** Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary, 9th Edition, page 1832
- **“Quod alias bonum et justum est, si per vim vei fraudem petatur, malum et injustum efficitur. What is otherwise good and just, if sought by force or fraud, becomes bad and unjust. 3 Co. 78.”** Bouvier’s Maxims of Law, 1856

Roman Cult Satanists

- There will be no peace as long as the Roman Cult is in power
- All the Roman Cult does is warfare
- There were no world wars until the first Central Bank was set up
- **“There is no peace, saith my God, to the wicked.”** Isaiah 57:21
- Mark Passio (a former satanist priest) says that 2/3 of Americans are practicing satanists – you are getting what you deserve

Fraud = Lies = Satanism

- **“Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.”** John 8:44
- **“But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers [pharmaceutical drug pushers], and idolaters, and all liars, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death.”** Revelations 21:8

Satanists

- They send out their US citizen PIGs to assault you, and kidnap you and falsely imprison you as a revenue officer under the Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966
- Then they hold a show-trial in their kangaroo court that has a US citizen prosecutor, and a US citizen clerk masquerading as a Judge – Article 1 Military tribunal
- Then they make merchandise of you and sell you into slavery.

Satanists

- ❖ **“By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison;” 1 Peter 3:19**
- ❖ **“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the LORD shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth. And they shall be gathered together, as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in the prison, and after many days shall they be visited.” Isaiah 24: 21-22**

Conclusion

- **"It behooves every man who values liberty of conscience for himself, to resist invasions of it in the case of others: or their case may, by change of circumstances, become his own"**

Thomas Jefferson

- Karma – What goes around comes around

Conclusion

- **"When shall it be said in any country of the world, my poor are happy, neither ignorance or distress is to be found among them; my jails are empty of prisoners, my streets of beggars; the aged are not in want, the taxes not oppressive; the rational world is my friend because I am friend of its happiness. When these things can be said, then may that country boast of its constitution and government ." - Thomas Paine**

The Watchman

- **“But if the watchman see the sword come, and blow not the trumpet, and the people be not warned; if the sword come, and take any person from among them, he is taken away in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at the watchman's hand.” Ezekiel 33:6**
- Either you are part of the problem, or you are part of the solution
- You are now a watchman!
- Circulate this video far and wide!!

Other Videos – Over 240

- Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
- Churchianity series
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1, 2, & 3
- DIY How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service
- Martial Law is here!
- DIY No Income Tax
- DIY No Sales Tax
- DIY Traffic Stop
- DIY Free Mail
- DIY Kangaroo Courts

Summary

- **Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants**
- **I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations that are available for a donation**
- **Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars**

Summary

- If you find this useful, then you need to pay it forward
- If you don't know what Pay it Forward means, then watch the movie
- Send me your success stories

Contact Information

- My Blog is;
 - <http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com>
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profile - [sovereignliving](#)
- Facebook
 - Community Page – deleted due to censorship
 - Private Group – Sovereignty International – being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants