



# D. I. Y. King or Slave

by **Sovereignty International** (a trust)

C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437

Fort Worth, Texas

ZIP CODE EXEMPT

[engineerwin@yahoo.com](mailto:engineerwin@yahoo.com)

[Administering-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com](mailto:Administering-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com)

[Administering-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com](mailto:Administering-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com)

[www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi](http://www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi)

<https://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com>

# Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

- **Either you are the King, or you are a slave**
- **There is nothing else**
- **If you go into commerce, then you give up your sovereignty**

# Civil Law = Roman Law

- **"Civil Law," "Roman Law," and "Roman Civil Law" are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called "municipal" law, to distinguish it from the "law of nature," and from international law. See Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189 Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325" Black's Law Dictionary, Rev. 4th Ed. [emphasis added];**

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **“By this means, .....Citizens birthrights become of no affect and their rights are reduce to the inferior character of statutory *Civil Rights (mere legislative privileges).*”** The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment, in the case (Dyett v. Turner, 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968]), Judge AH Ellett of the Utah Supreme Court

# All Statutes = Municipal Law =

## Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **“To take an instance, when a person *sui juris* has given himself in adoption, or a woman has passed under *manus*’, all their property, incorporeal and corporeal, and all that is due to them, is acquired by the adopting father or *coeinptiator*, except those things which perish by a *capitis diminutio*, of which kind are an usufruct, an obligation to services on the part of freedmen contracted by oath and matters enforceable by a statutable action.” The Commentaries of Gaius and Rules of Ulpian, Translated with Notes by J. T. Abdy, L.L.D., and Bryan Walker, M.A. L.L.D., 1874, Section 83, page 198-199, [emphasis added]**

# Advertisement

- Don't forget to subscribe to this channel
- Don't forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **“When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”**  
Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464 [emphasis added]

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..."** K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- **"It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court."** Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22

# Either King or Slave

- **"State citizens are the only ones living under free government, whose rights are incapable of impairment by legislation or judicial decision."** Twining v. New Jersey, 211 U.S. 97, 1908
- **"State Citizenship is a vested substantial property right, and the State has no power to divest or impair these rights."** Favot v. Kingsbury, (1929) 98 Cal. App. 284, 276 P. 1083

# All Statutes = Municipal Law = Roman Law = Roman Cult

- If you argue a statute, then you are saying that you are subject to their statute
- If you argue the statute, you are arguing the terms of the contract
- If you argue the Uniform Commercial Code, you are saying that you are one of the slaves
- You can do a common law contract without going into commerce

# Advertisement

- ❖ Announcing a subscription based Youtube channel called Sovereignty International
- ❖ The recommended cost of the subscription is currently US\$1.99 because it avoids the advertising ONLY
- ❖ The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit
- ❖ For that reason there will be very little exclusive material on that channel
- ❖ Currently publishing 6 videos a week

# UNIDROIT

- UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented
- Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years
- UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.

# Attorneys & the UN

- UNIDROIT covers;
  - Negotiable instruments
  - Civil Liability
  - Legal status of women
  - Contracts (in general)
  - Transportation
  - Franchising
  - Insurance, and then they make it mandatory
  - Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children
  - Municipal Law
  - Much more – (see the website)
- Civil procedure
- Secured transactions
- Maintenance obligations
- Banking law
- Leasing
- Hotels

# UNIDROIT

- Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty
- As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty

# UNIDROIT Treaty

- Texas is NOT listed
- Arizona is NOT listed
- No American State is listed
- Alberta is NOT listed
- British Columbia is NOT listed
- Ontario is NOT listed
- No Canadian province is listed
- Therefore, anything involving motor vehicles or the courts, is both commercial and federal, and therefore by consent

- [News and events](#)
- [About UNIDROIT](#)
  - [Overview](#)
  - [Membership](#)
  - [Work Programme](#)
  - [Institutional Documents](#)
    - [Statute](#)
    - [Regulations](#)
    - [Headquarters Agreement](#)
  - [Governing Council](#)
  - [Secretariat](#)
- [Meetings](#)
  - [General Assembly](#)
  - [Governing Council](#)
  - [Finance Committee](#)
  - [Studies](#)
- [Instruments](#)
  - [Agency](#)
  - [Capital Markets](#)
    - [Geneva Convention](#)
    - [Netting](#)
  - [Commercial Contracts](#)
    - [UNIDROIT Principles 2010](#)
    - [UNIDROIT Principles 2004](#)
    - [UNIDROIT Principles 1994](#)
    - [UPICC Model Clauses](#)
  - [Cultural Property](#)
    - [1995 Convention](#)
    - [Model Legislative Provisions](#)
  - [Factoring](#)
  - [Franchising](#)
    - [Model Law](#)
    - [Guide](#)
      - [First Edition 1998](#)
      - [Second Edition 2007](#)

• Meetings

- General Assembly
- Governing Council
- Finance Committee
- Studies

• Instruments

- Agency
- Capital Markets
  - Geneva Convention
  - Netting
- Commercial Contracts
  - UNIDROIT Principles 2010
  - UNIDROIT Principles 2004
  - UNIDROIT Principles 1994
  - UPICC Model Clauses
- Cultural Property
  - 1995 Convention
  - Model Legislative Provisions
- Factoring
- Franchising
  - Model Law
  - Guide
    - First Edition 1998
    - Second Edition 2007

- International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964
- Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law
- Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol
- Succession
- Transnational Civil Procedure
- Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR
- Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Civil liability
    - Civil procedure
    - Company law
    - Contracts (in general)
    - Cultural property
    - Factoring
    - Forwarding agency
    - Franchising
    - Hotelkeepers
    - Insurance
    - Intellectual property
    - International sales
    - Leasing
    - Legal Status of Women
    - Maintenance obligations
    - Methodology
    - Movement of persons
    - Natural resources
    - Negotiable instruments
    - Non-legislative activities

- International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964
- Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law
- Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol
- Succession
- Transnational Civil Procedure
- Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR
- Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Consumer Protection

- Emerging markets
- Past Studies
  - Arbitration
  - Banking Law
  - Capital markets
  - Civil liability
  - Civil procedure
  - Company law
  - Contracts (in general)
  - Cultural property
  - Factoring
  - Forwarding agency
  - Franchising
  - Hotelkeepers
  - Insurance
  - Intellectual property
  - International sales
  - Leasing
  - Legal Status of Women
  - Maintenance obligations
  - Methodology
  - Movement of persons
  - Natural resources
  - Negotiable instruments
  - Non-legislative activities

# UNIDROIT covers

- Covers mandatory insurance for motor vehicles
- Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children

- 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);
- 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);
- 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);

- 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);
- 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);
- 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);

# Right to Travel

- **"The term "Motor Vehicle" means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes on the highways in the transportation of passengers, passengers and property, or property or cargo.**
- **The term "used for commercial purposes" means the carriage of persons or property for any fare, fee, rate, charge or other consideration, or directly or indirectly in connection with any business, or other undertaking intended for profit." 18 USC § 31 [emphasis added]**

# Speed Signs and Drivers Licenses are Commercial

- **Texas Trans. Code § 201.904. Speed Signs. The department shall erect & maintain on the highways & roads of this state appropriate signs that show the maximum lawful speed for commercial motor vehicles, truck tractors, truck trailers, truck semi-trailers & motor vehicles engaged in the business of transporting passengers for compensation or hire (buses).**

# Speed Signs and Drivers Licenses are Commercial

- **“...the reason for the initial detention, speeding & running a red light are not a breach of the peace.” Perkins v Texas, 812 S.W. 2d 326**

# UNIDROIT

- Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty
- As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty











## MEMBERSHIP

Membership of UNIDROIT is restricted to States acceding to the UNIDROIT Statute.

UNIDROIT's member States are drawn from the five continents and represent a variety of different legal, economic and political systems as well as different cultural backgrounds.

To find the date when a particular State became a member of UNIDROIT click on the relevant national flag.

The following 63 States are members of UNIDROIT.

MEMBER STATES	NATIONAL AUTHORITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR MEMBER STATES' RELATIONS WITH UNIDROIT
 <b>Argentina</b>	<u><a href="#">Embassy of Argentina in Italy</a></u>
 <b>Australia</b>	<u><a href="#">Attorney-General's Department</a></u>
 <b>Austria</b>	<u><a href="#">Federal Ministry of Justice</a></u>
 <b>Belgium</b>	<u><a href="#">Federal Public Service Justice</a></u>
 <b>Bolivia</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Foreign Affairs</a></u>
 <b>Brazil</b>	<u><a href="#">Embassy of Brazil in Italy</a></u>
 <b>Bulgaria</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Foreign Affairs</a></u>
 <b>Canada</b>	<u><a href="#">Justice Canada</a></u>
 <b>Chile</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Foreign Affairs</a></u>
 <b>China</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Commerce, Treaty and Law Department</a></u>

	<b>Romania</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice</u>
	<b>Russian Federation</b>	<u>Ministry for Trade and Economic Development</u>
	<b>San Marino</b>	<u>Secretariat of State</u>
	<b>Saudi Arabia</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>
	<b>Slovakia</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>
	<b>Slovenia</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Slovenia</u>
	<b>South Africa</b>	<u>South African Department of International Relations and Cooperation (DIRCO)</u>
	<b>Spain</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation</u>
	<b>Sweden</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice</u>
	<b>Switzerland</b>	<u>Federal Office of Justice</u>
	<b>Tunisia</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice and Human Rights</u>
	<b>Turkey</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice General Directorate of International Law and Foreign Relations</u>
	<b>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</b>	<u>Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform</u>
	<b>United States of America</b>	<u>Department of State</u>
	<b>Uruguay</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>
	<b>Venezuela</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>

# UNIDROIT Treaty

- Anything in America (Canada or United States) & (federal or state) involving motor vehicles, or the courts, or the banks, or finance, or municipal corporations, is actually federal, and falls under UNIDROIT

# Municipal Corporation

- **“There has been created a fictional federal State (of) xxxxxx within a State. See Howard v. Sinking Fund of Louisville, 344 U.S. 624, 73 S.Ct. 465, 476, 97 L.Ed. 617 (1953);” Schwarts v. O'Hara TP School District, 100 A 2d. 621, 625, 375, Pa. 440**

# No Common Law Crimes in United States (D.C. & Territories)

- There are no common law offenses against the United States. Only those acts which Congress has forbidden, with penalties for disobedience of its command, are crimes. *United States v. Hudson & Goodwin*, 11 U.S. (7th Cr.) 32 (1812); *United States v. Coolidge*, 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 415 (1816); *United States v. Britton*, 108 U.S. 199, 206 (1883); *United States v. Eaton*, 144 U.S. 677, 687 (1892).

# No Common Law Crimes in Texas

- Under Texas law, no act or omission is a crime unless made so by statute. Dawson v. Vance, 329 F.Supp. 1320, (D.C.Tex. 1971). The Legislature may create an offense and in same enactment, provide exceptions to its application. Williams v. State, 176 SW2d 177, Tex.Cr.App., 1943.

# No Common Law Crimes

- Everything is in Admiralty
- **“A writ of error doth not lie upon a sentence in the admiralty, but an appeal. 4 Inst. 135. 339.”**  
Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 Edition under the definition of Admiralty
- Appeals are in Admiralty
- It is called a Court of Appeals
- It is the same thing that precipitated the War of Independence

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- **“...statutes have been passed extending the courts of admiralty and vice-admiralty far beyond their ancient limits for depriving us the accustomed and inestimable privilege of trial by jury, in cases affecting both life and property.....to supersede the course of common law and instead thereof to publish and order the use and exercise of the law martial..... and for altering fundamentally the form of government established by charter.**
- **We saw the misery to which such despotism would reduce us.”** Causes and Necessity of Taking Up Arms (1775)

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- **“For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:**
- **He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.**
- **For protecting them, by a mock Trial...”**  
Declaration of Independence (1776)

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- **“Congress (claiming its martial law "power to declare war," "suppress insurrections" and "repel invasions") imposed martial law on the United States and never discontinued it. The result was an extension of military and municipal jurisdiction of Congress. But where is the evidence of this? Look at the Thirteenth Amendment , the Civil Rights Acts, the Legal Tender Laws, the Fourteenth Amendment , etc., etc., etc..” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court**

# No Common Law = Martial Law

- **“The Fourteenth Amendment is an extension of national military powers presently used in a municipal character and enforced by municipal laws, stretched far beyond their original limitations and enforced in Article I Tribunals.”**  
Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,

# Advertisement - Other Videos

- Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
- Churchianity series
- Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
- BAR Members 1, 2, & 3
- DIY How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service
- Martial Law is here!
- DIY No Income Tax
- DIY No Sales Tax
- DIY Traffic Stop
- DIY Free Mail
- DIY Kangaroo Courts

# Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- A “penal action” is an action on a penal statute; an action for recovery of penalty given by statute. NcNeely v. City of Natchez, 114 So. 484, 487; 148 Miss. 268.
- Where an action is founded entirely upon a statute, and the only object of it is to recover a penalty or forfeiture, such action is a “penal action.” Gawthrop v. Fairmont Coal Co., 81 S.E. 560, 561; 74 S.Va. 39.

# Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- The words “penal” and “penalty” in their strict and primary sense denote a punishment, whether corporal or pecuniary, imposed and enforced by the state for a crime or offense against its laws. **The noun penalty is defined forfeiture or to be forfeited for noncompliance with an agreement.** The words forfeit and penalty are substantially synonymous. Missouri, K. & T. Ry. Co. v. Dewey Portland Cement Co., 242 P. 257, 259, 113 Okla. 142.
- A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute and brought with the sole object of recovering a penalty or forfeiture imposed as punishment for specific offense, while “remedial action: is one brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Smith Engineering Works v. Custer, 151 P2d 404, 407, 194 Okl. 318.

# Statutes = Contract = Roman Cult

- A “penal action” is one founded entirely on statute, and the only object is to recover a penalty or a forfeiture imposed as a punishment for a certain specific offense, while a “remedial action: is one which is brought to obtain compensation or indemnity. Cummings v. Board of Education of Okla. City, 125 P2d 989, 994, 190 Okl. 533
- A “**penal action**” is a **civil suit** brought for the recovery of a statutory forfeiture when inflicted as punishment for an offense against the public. Such actions are “civil actions, “ on the one hand closely related to criminal prosecutions and on the other to actions for private injuries in which the party aggrieved may, by statute, recover punitive damages. State ex rel. McNamee v. Stobie, 92 SW 191, 212, 194 Mo. 14

# Roman Cult Satanists

- **“Allegations of legal conclusions [providing only notice of the Nature of the offense defined by statute upon appearance ticket or citation], cannot be permitted to supply essential allegations of fact [while failing to provide notice of Cause].”** Bailes v. Keck, 200 C. 697, 254 P. 573, 51 A.L.R. 930 (1927)
- **“A mere conclusion of a pleader [notice of the nature of the charge alone on appearance ticket or citation] cannot be availed of to initiate and invite an issue of fact.”** Hatfield v. Peoples Water Co., 25 C.A. 711, 145 P. 164 (1914)
- **“Allegation of conclusion of law tenders no issue.”** California Western Holding Co. v. Merrill, 7 C.A.2d 131, 46 P.2d 175 (1935)

# Roman Cult Satanists

- **"Where there are no depositions, admissions, or affidavits the court has no facts to rely on for a summary determination."** Trinsey v. Pagliaro, D.C. Pa. 1964, 229 F. Supp. 647
- **"if the record does not show upon its face the facts necessary to give jurisdiction, they will be presumed not to have existed."** Norman v. Zieber, 3 Or at 202-03

# Roman Cult Satanists

- **“A pleading cannot be aided by reason of facts not averred.”** San Diego County v. Utt (1916), 173 C. 554, 160 P. 657
- **“Facts necessary to a cause of action but not alleged must be taken as having no existence.”** Frace v. Long Beach City High School Dist. (1943), 137 P.2d 60, 58 C.A.2d 566; Feldesman v. McGovern (1941), 44 C.A.2d 566

# Kangaroo Court

- **Government of the Virgin Islands v. Gereau, 523 F.2d 140 (1975) *cannot assume facts not in evidence, even if judge believes facts to be accurate***
- The Clerk masquerading as a Judge is sitting there playing stupid

# Legislative Court = Advisory Rulings

- **“It is noted as significant that the act constituting the court dispenses with trial by jury, a provision which was distinctly upheld in spite of the Seventh Amendment in *McElrath v. United States*, 102 U. S. 426. With respect to the status of the court, the opinion concludes (pp. 279 U. S. 454-455): "While what has been said of the creation and special function of the court definitely reflects its status as a legislative court, there is propriety in mentioning the fact that Congress always has treated it as having that status. From the outset, Congress has required it to give merely advisory decisions.... Under the act creating it, all of its decisions were to be of that nature....This is true at the present time. A duty to give decisions which are advisory only, and so without force as judicial judgments, may be laid on a legislative court, but not on a constitutional court established under Art. III.” Williams v United States 289 U.S. 553 (1933)**

**JUDGE**

**WORKS FOR THE STATE**



**PROSECUTOR**

**WORKS FOR THE STATE**



**POLICE/WITNESS**

**WORKS FOR THE STATE**



The vast majority of the disputes that the police initiate on behalf of their employer are also adjudicated by their employer, where the plaintiff, the judge, the antagonist (the police) and the only witness (also the police), all represent the same party, and, since no corpus delicti, mens rea or acts reus can be produced, doesn't technically qualify to be heard according to its own "laws".  
The State therefore is indistinguishable from a criminal cartel.

# Allocution

- **“allocution - Criminal procedure. 1. A trial judge's formal address to a convicted defendant, asking him or her to speak in mitigation of the sentence to be imposed. • This address is required under Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(c)(3)(C). 2. An unsworn statement from a convicted defendant to the sentencing judge or jury in which the defendant can ask for mercy, explain his or her conduct, apologize for the crime, or say anything else in an effort to lessen the impending sentence. • This statement is not subject to cross-examination.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 235

# Fabricating Evidence

- **"... (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction . ." In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164.**
- **"A "*citizen of the United States*" is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the *PCT (Public Charitable Trust)*, the constructive, *cestui que trust* of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc." Congressional Record, June 13 1967, pp. 15641-15646**

# Cestui Que use = Roman Cult

- “Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees *to the use* of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the *possession* and the *use*, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his *cestui que use* for the rents and emoluments of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain

# Clearfield Doctrine

- **"Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen...where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned . . . For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government."** Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363 (1943)

# Conclusion - Purpose

- This video is not intended to scare anyone, or make them feel like all is lost, because it is absolutely NOT
- We need to know what the problem is before we can know what a good solution is

# Conclusion

- **"If Ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude, better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsel or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may our posterity forget that you were ever our countrymen."** Samuel Adams, "the father of the American revolution", member of "The sons of Liberty (the group that did the Boston Tea Party)" Quoted from the debates of 1776

# Summary

- **Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants**
- **I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations that are available for a donation**
- **Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars**

# Contact Information

- My Blog is;
  - <http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com>
- Website - [www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi](http://www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi)
- Email - [engineerwin@yahoo.com](mailto:engineerwin@yahoo.com)
- Youtube profiles – sovereignliving – Sovereignty International
- Facebook
  - Community Page – Deleted due to Censorship
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International - being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants