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Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

• “Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.”

Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

Part 1

- All Courts – 2 general kinds – 3 general types of jurisdiction
- What a kangaroo court is
- Why they are holding a kangaroo court
- Why they want you to have an attorney (liar)
- How to tell it is a kangaroo court
- What they do in a kangaroo court
- How they are Satanists
Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

• Part 2;
  • How to tell it is a kangaroo court
  • What they do in a kangaroo court
  • What has been done by others to defeat their kangaroo court without going in
  • Some things to do if they drag you in there
Kangaroo Courts are Everywhere!

• Part 3;
  • Why they want you to plea bargain
  • What happens if you do enter into a plea bargain
Point 1 – Everything is a Fraud

• All of the Courts are owned and operated by the Roman Cult
• It is a satanic religious ceremony
• They CANNOT speak the truth – they are Satanists
• It is “color of law” (a fraud) and the “appearance of justice” (a fraud)
It having pleased the Divine Providence to dispose the hearts of the most serene and most potent Prince George the Third, by the grace of God, king of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, defender of the faith, duke of Brunswick and Lunebourg, arch-treasurer and prince elector of the Holy Roman Empire etc., and of the United States of America, to forget all past misunderstandings and differences that have unhappily interrupted the good correspondence and friendship which they mutually wish to restore, and to establish such a beneficial and satisfactory intercourse, between the two countries upon the ground of reciprocal advantages and mutual convenience as may promote and secure to both perpetual peace and harmony; and having for this desirable end already laid the foundation of peace and reconciliation by the Provisional Articles signed at Paris on the 30th of November 1782, by the commissioners empowered on each part, which articles were agreed to be inserted in and constitute the Treaty of Peace proposed to be concluded between the Crown of Great Britain and the said United States, but which treaty was not to be concluded until terms of peace should be agreed upon between Great Britain and France and his Britannic Majesty should be ready to conclude such treaty accordingly; and the treaty between Great Britain and France having since been concluded, his Britannic Majesty and the United States of America, in order to carry into full effect the Provisional Articles above mentioned, according to the tenor thereof, have constituted and appointed, that is to say his Britannic Majesty on his part, David Hartley, Esq., member of the Parliament of Great Britain, and the said United States on their part, John Adams, Esq., late a commissioner of the United States of America at the court of Versailles, late delegate in Congress from the state of Massachusetts, and chief justice of the said state, and minister plenipotentiary of the said United States to their high mightinesses the States General of the United Netherlands; Benjamin Franklin, Esq., late delegate in Congress from the state of Pennsylvania, president of the convention of the said state, and minister plenipotentiary from the United States of America at the court of Versailles; John Jay, Esq., late president of Congress and chief justice of the state of New York, and minister plenipotentiary from the said United States at the court of Madrid; to be plenipotentiaries for the concluding and signing the present definitive treaty; who after having reciprocally communicated their respective full powers have agreed upon and confirmed the following articles.
The Roman Cult = The Crown

- King George signed the Definitive Treaty of Peace of 1783 as the King of England and France
- King George signed the definitive Treaty of Peace of 1783 as Arch Treasurer and Prince Elector of the Holy Roman Empire and the United States of America
- King George financed both sides of the War of Independence with Roman Cult money
- King George with cooperation of Roman Cult Jesuits deliberately created the circumstances for the War of Independence
The Roman Cult = The Crown

❖ Queen Elizabeth is the successor to King George, the tyrant

❖ Queen Elizabeth, Pope Benedict, Pope Francis, and Prime Minister Harper have been convicted by the International Tribunal into Crimes of Church and State has convicted Elizabeth Battenberg, Queen of the corporation called Canada, and others for crimes against humanity, and genocide, related to the First Nations in Canada and elsewhere
Welcome to ITCCS.ORG and The International Tribunal into Crimes of Church and State

Our Mandate: (1) To lawfully prosecute those people and institutions responsible for the exploitation, trafficking, torture and murder of children, past and present, and (2) To stop these and other criminal actions by church and state, including by disestablishing those same institutions.

GUILTY! Final Verdict is Rendered in First Common Law Court Case against the Vatican and Canada for Genocide

Posted on February 25, 2013 by admin

Pope, Queen and Canadian Prime Minister found Guilty of Crimes against Humanity and Sentenced to Twenty Five Year Prison Terms -

Court Orders them to Surrender by March 4 or face Citizens’ Arrests

Brussels:

Pope Benedict will go to jail for twenty five years for his role in Crimes against Humanity, and Vatican wealth and property is to be seized, according to today’s historic verdict of the International Common Law Court of Justice.

The Brussels-based Court handed down a unanimous guilty verdict from its Citizen Jurors and ordered the arrest of thirty Defendants commencing March 4 in a Court Order issued to them today.

The verdict read in part,

“We the Citizen Jury find that the Defendants in this case are guilty of the two indictments, that is, they are guilty of committing or aiding and abetting Crimes against Humanity, and of being part of an ongoing Criminal Conspiracy”

The Jury ruled that each Defendant receive a mandatory twenty five year prison sentence without parole, and have all their personal assets seized.
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The Court went on to declare in its Order No. 022513-001,  

“"The Defendants are ordered to surrender themselves voluntarily to Peace Officers and Agents  
authorized by this COURT, having been found Guilty as charged.  

“The Defendants have seven days from the issuing of this ORDER, until March 4, 2103, to comply.  
After March 4, 2013, an International Arrest Warrant will be issued against these Defendants”.

The guilty parties include Elizabeth Windsor, Queen of England, Stephen Harper, Prime Minister of Canada, and the head officers of the Catholic, Anglican and United Church of Canada. (A complete copy of the Verdict, the Court Order and a list of the Defendants is enclosed on the accompanying you tube link).  

The guilty verdict followed nearly a month of deliberations by more than thirty sworn Citizen Jurors of the 150 case exhibits produced by Court Prosecutors. 

These exhibits detailed irrefutable proof of a massive criminal conspiracy by the Defendants' 

institutions to commit and conceal Genocide on generations of children in so-called Indian 

residential schools across Canada. 

None of the Defendants challenged or disputed a Public Summons issued to them last September; nor did they deny the charges made against them, or offer counter evidence to the Court. 

"Their silence told me a lot. Why wouldn't Innocent people defend their own reputation when accused of such horrible things?" commented one Juror, based in England. 

“These crimes were aimed at children, and were a cold and calculated plan to wipe out Indians who weren't Christians. And the defendants clearly are still covering up this crime. So we felt we had to do more than slap their wrist. The whole reign of terror by state-backed churches that are above the law has to end, because children still suffer from it" 

The Court's Judgement declares the wealth and property of the churches responsible for the Canadian genocide to be forfeited and placed under public ownership, as reparations for the families of the more than 50,000 children who died in the residential schools. 

To enforce its sentence, the Court has empowered citizens in Canada, the United States, England, Italy and a dozen other nations to act as its legal agents armed with warrants, and peacefully occupy and seize properties of the Roman Catholic, Anglican and United Church of Canada, which are the main agents in the deaths of these children. 

“This sentence gives a legal foundation and legitimacy to the church occupations that have already begun by victims of church torture around the world” commented Kevin Annett, the chief adviser to the Prosecutor's Office, who presented its case to the world. (see www.itccs.org, November 6 and January 30 postings)
The Defendants are ordered to surrender themselves voluntarily to Peace Officers and Agents authorized by this COURT, having been found Guilty as charged.

“The Defendants have seven days from the issuing of this ORDER, until March 4, 2103, to comply. After March 4, 2013, an International Arrest Warrant will be Issued against these Defendants”.

The guilty parties include Elizabeth Windsor, Queen of England, Stephen Harper, Prime Minister of Canada, and the head officers of the Catholic, Anglican and United Church of Canada. (A complete copy of the Verdict, the Court Order and a list of the Defendants is enclosed on the accompanying you tube link).

The guilty verdict followed nearly a month of deliberations by more than thirty sworn Citizen Jurors of the 150 case exhibits produced by Court Prosecutors.

These exhibits detailed irrefutable proof of a massive criminal conspiracy by the Defendants' Institutions to commit and conceal Genocide on generations of children in so-called Indian residential schools across Canada.

None of the Defendants challenged or disputed a Public Summons issued to them last September; nor did they deny the charges made against them, or offer counter evidence to the Court.

“Their silence told me a lot. Why wouldn't innocent people defend their own reputation when accused of such horrible things?” commented one Juror, based in England.

“These crimes were aimed at children, and were a cold and calculated plan to wipe out Indians who weren't Christians. And the defendants clearly are still covering up this crime. So we felt we had to do more than slap their wrist. The whole reign of terror by state-backed churches that are above the law has to end, because children still suffer from it”.

The Court's judgment declares the wealth and property of the churches responsible for the...
“The verdict of the Court is clearly that these criminal church bodies are to be legally and practically disestablished, and their stolen wealth reclaimed by the people. Justice has finally been served. The dead can now rest more easily.”

Court officers are delivering the Order to all the Defendants this week, including to the Canadian Prime Minister, the Queen of England and to Joseph Ratzinger, the retiring Pope Benedict who is avoiding arrest within the Vatican after resigning suddenly two weeks ago.

The citizens’ arrests of these and other Defendants will commence on March 4 if they do not surrender themselves and their assets, as per the Court Order.

These actions will be filmed and posted at www.itccs.org in the coming week, along with further updates from the Court and its Citizen Agents.

Please see the accompanying you tube video.

Issued by the Central Office,
The International Tribunal into Crimes of Church and State

25 February, 2013

Brussels
Ecclesiastical Court = Admiralty Court

• “CITATION, citatio. A summons to appear, applied particularly to process in the Spiritual Court. The Ecclesiastical Courts proceed according to the course of the civil and canon laws, by citation, libel, &c. A person is not normally to be cited to appear out of the diocese, ....By the stat. 28 H. 8. c. 9. every archbishop may cite any person dwelling in any bishop's diocese within his province for heresy, &c., ... Where persons are cited out of their diocese, ..., if when they are cited they do not appear, they are to be excommunicated, &c. The above statute was made to maintain the jurisdiction of inferior dioceses; and if any person is cited out of the diocese. ...” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835, Volume 1, [emphasis added]
Ecclesiastical court = Admiralty

• “Citation, (Citatio) A Summons to appear, applied particularly to Process in the Spiritual Court. The Ecclesiastical Courts proceed according to the Course of the Civil and Canon Laws, by Citation, Libel, &c..”

Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835, Volume 1 [emphasis added],

• “Citation. This is also the name of the process used in the English ecclesiastical, probate, and divorce courts to call the defendant or respondent before them. 3 Bl. Comm. 100. 3 Steph. Comm. 720.”

The vast majority of the disputes that the police initiate on behalf of their employer are also adjudicated by their employer, where the plaintiff, the judge, the antagonist (the police) and the only witness (also the police), all represent the same party, and, since no corpus delicti, mens rea or acts reus can be produced, doesn't technically qualify to be heard according to its own "laws". The State therefore is indistinguishable from a criminal cartel.
“COLOR OF OFFICE. A pretence of official right to do an act made by one who has no such right. 9 East 864. Such person must be at least a de facto officer; 28 Wend. 606. An act wrongfully done by an officer, under the pretended authority of his office, and grounded upon corruption, to which the office is a mere shadow of color. 41 N. Y. 464.” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1897 Edition, Volume 1, page 353, [emphasis added]

“Jesuits &c. Born in the Kings Dominions and ordained by the pretended Jurisdiction of Rome ....” Jacob A New Law Dictionary 1750 Edition

“...And a pretended Act of Parliament, for turning the Books of the Law, and Proceedings of Courts of Justice, into English, was declared to be in Force, by Stat. 12 Car. z. c. 3. See process.” Jacob A New Law Dictionary 1750 Edition
US Declaration of Independence

• “He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended legislation.” [color of law – martial law]

• “For imposing taxes on us without our consent.” [martial law]

• “For depriving us in many cases of the benefits of trial by jury.” [martial law]

• Declaration of Independence (1776) [emphasis added]
Color of Law

“Colourability - A Doctrine invoked when a statute is addressed to a matter outside jurisdiction though it bears the formal trappings of a matter within the jurisdiction of the enacting legislature.” P.W. Hogg. Constitutional Law of Canada, 3d. Ed.

“Colourable - Presenting an appearance that does not correspond with reality, or an appearance intended to conceal or deceive. Etherington v Wilson (1875), 1 Ch.D. 160” Barron’s Dictionary of Canadian Law, Sixth Edition, page 51

“Colour of Law – Mere semblance of a legal right. An action done under colour of law is one done with the apparent authority of law but actually in contravention of law.” Barron’s Dictionary of Canadian Law, Sixth Edition, page 51
COLOUR OF LAW

• “It may still be legislation affecting the classes of subjects enumerated in s. 92, and, if so, would be ultra vires. In other words, Dominion legislation, even though it deals with Dominion property, may yet be so framed as to invade civil rights within the Province; or encroach upon the classes of subjects which are reserved to provincial competence. It is not necessary that it should be a colourable device, or a pretence. If on the true view of the legislation it is found that in reality in pith and substance the legislation invades civil rights within the Province…”

Re Employment and Social Insurance Act, 1937, 1 DLR, page 687
"Color" means "An appearance, semblance, or simulacrum, as distinguished from that which is real. A prima facia or apparent right. Hence, a deceptive appearance, a plausible, assumed exterior, concealing a lack of reality; a disguise or pretext. See also colorable."

"Colorable" means "That which is in appearance only, and not in reality, what it purports to be, hence counterfeit feigned, having the appearance of truth."
Windle v. Flinn, 196 Or. 654, 251 P.2d 136, 146.
"Color of Law" means "The appearance or semblance, without the substance, of legal right. Misuse of power, possessed by virtue of state law and made possible only because wrongdoer is clothed with authority of state is action taken under 'color of law.'" Atkins v. Lanning. D.C.Okl., 415 F. Supp. 186, 188.
Roman Cult Satanist PIGs

- They lie in wait for you to say the wrong thing so they can justify selling you into slavery.

- “give color, vb. Hist. To admit, either expressly or impliedly by silence, that an opponent's allegations appear to be meritorious. • In common-law pleading, a defendant's plea of confession and avoidance had to give color to the plaintiff's allegations in the complaint or the plea would be fatally defective.” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2031
Satanists

- They assault you with their criminal corporation
- “My opinion is and long has been that the mayor and aldermen of a city corporation, or the president and directors of a bank, or the president and directors of a railroad company and of other similar corporations, are the true parties that sue and are sued as trustees and representatives of the constantly changing stockholders…. A corporation, therefore, being not a natural person, but a mere creature of the mind, invisible and intangible, cannot be a citizen of a state, or of the United States, and cannot fall within the terms or the power of the above mentioned article, and can therefore neither plead nor be impleaded in the courts of the United States.” Rundle v Delaware & Raritan Canal Company 55 U.S. 80 (1852) [emphasis added]
Point 2 – They need you to Participate

• They are Satanists and they know it is all a fraud
• It is all under Roman Law
• They need you in a contract
"And the forms and modes of proceedings in causes of equity, and of admiralty, and maritime jurisdiction, shall be according to the civil law."

Wayman and another v. Southard and another, 10 Wall 1, p. 317. [Emphasis added]
Admiralty = Contract

• "In Kreble's Reports, p. 500, quoted by Brown, it is expressly said, that without a stipulation, the admiralty has no jurisdiction at all over the person." Ramsey v. Allegrie, 12 Wall 611, p. 410. [emphasis added]
Admiralty Law = Commercial Law

• “There must be uniformity in maritime law; the principles of maritime laws are applicable to commercial law, and therefore, there must be uniformity in the commercial law.” Swift v. Tyson, 16 Pet 1, (1842)
Civil Law = Roman Law

“"Civil Law," "Roman Law," and "Roman Civil Law" are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called "municipal" law, to distinguish it from the "law of nature," and from international law. See Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189 Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325"’ Black's Law Dictionary, Rev. 4th Ed. [Emphasis added]
See Martial Law video

• “Admiralty Law. The terms "admiralty" and "maritime" law are virtually synonymous.”

Black's Law Dictionary 6th Ed.
1990
Admiralty

"It is well known that in civil cases, in courts of equity and admiralty, juries do not intervene, and that courts of equity use the trial by jury only in extraordinary cases to inform the conscience of the court." Parsons v. Bedford, et al, 3 Pet 433, 479.
Admiralty Jurisdiction

• “The admiralty from the highest antiquity has exercised a very extensive criminal jurisdiction.” United States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 139.

• “Admiralty courts have a jurisdiction over contracts and torts and other special cases.” Waring v. Clark, 5 How. 441, 454-464; Genesse Chief v. Fitzhugh, 12 How. 443, 454. United States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 137, 142. (1933).
Admiralty = contract

• "Brown, Vol. 2, 100, lays down the rule in these terms: 'The general rule, however, at present, is, that the admiralty acts only in rem, and that no person can be subject to that jurisdiction but by his consent, expressed by his entering into a stipulation.'" Ramsey v. Allegrie, 12 Wall 611, p. 409.
Satanists and their Satanic Contracts

• "It is impossible to prove jurisdiction exists absent a substantial nexus with the state, such as voluntary subscription to license. All jurisdictional facts supporting claim that supposed jurisdiction exists must appear on the record of the court." Pipe Line v Marathon. 102 S. Ct. 3858 quoting Crowell v Benson 883 US 22
Satanists

• “Once a fraud, always a fraud.” 13 Vin. Abr. 539.

• “Things invalid from the beginning cannot be made valid by subsequent act.” Trayner, Max. 482. Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1862


• Time cannot render valid an act void in its origin. Dig. 50, 17, 29; Broom, Max. 178, Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1862
Satanists

“Ex dolo malo non oritur action. Out of fraud no action arises. Cowper, 343; Broom’s Max. 349.” Bouvier’s Maxims of Law, 1856,

and any act by any government official to conceal the fraud becomes an act of fraud;

“fraus est celare fraudem. It is a fraud to conceal a fraud. 1 Vern. 270.” Bouvier’s Maxims of Law 1856

and fraud is inexcusable and unpardonable;

“Fraus et dolus nemini patrocianari debent. Fraud and deceit should excuse no man. 3 Co. 78.” Bouvier’s Maxims of Law 1856
Satanists

• and any fraud amounts to injustice;


• “Quod alias bonum et justum est, si per vim vei fraudem petatur, malum et injustum efficitur. What is otherwise good and just, if sought by force or fraud, becomes bad and unjust. 3 Co. 78.” Bouvier’s Maxims of Law, 1856
**Point 3 – They Deny Due Process**

- They MUST Deny Due Process – they are Satanists
- You are not allowed to face your accuser – THE STATE OF XXXX, or UNITED STATES
- The Judge is a bought and paid for Clerk masquerading as a Judge – See D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts videos 1 & 2
- The Clerk cannot do anything judicial
- They assault you with the Roman Cult’s cestui que trust
- All of their “evidence” is hearsay – See D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts videos 1 & 2
- If there is a Jury, it is Trial With Jury – NOT Trial By Jury
- They issue Void Judgments - brutum fulmen – See the Void Judgments video
Trial by Jury = Justice

- Trial by jury is NOT trial with jury
- Trial by jury means that the jury calls the witnesses, questions the witnesses, determines the law and the facts, and even pronounces sentence (if necessary) & there is no appeal
- These days all courts or Admiralty
- All Appeals are taken in Admiralty
- All sentences are given in Admiralty
- “A writ of error doth not lie upon a sentence in the admiralty, but an appeal. 4 Inst. 135. 339.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 Edition under Admiralty
"The technical niceties of the common law are not regarded. . . .", 1 R.C.L. 31, p. 422. "A jury does not figure, ordinarily, in the trial of an admiralty suit. . . the verdict of the jury merely advisory, and may be disregarded by the court." 1 R.C.L. 40, p. 432. "[The] rules of practice may be altered whenever found to be inconvenient or likely to embarrass the business of the court." 1 R.C.L. 32, p. 423. "A court of admiralty. . . acts upon equitable principles." 1 R.C.L. 17, p. 416. "A libel of information [accusation] does not require all the technical precision of an indictment at common law. If the allegations describe the offense, it is all that is necessary; and if it is founded upon a statute, it is sufficient if it pursues the words of the law." The Emily v. The Caroline, 9 Wheat. 381
Fabricating Evidence

• "... (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction . ." In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164.

• “A “citizen of the United States” is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the PCT (Public Charitable Trust), the constructive, cestui que trust of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc.” Congressional Record, June 13 1967, pp. 15641-15646
Cestui que Trust

"...it might be correctly said that there is no such thing as a citizen of the United States. ..... A citizen of any one of the States of the Union, is held to be, and called a citizen of the United States, although technically and abstractly there is no such thing." Ex Parte Frank Knowles, 5 Cal. Rep. 300,
Cestui Que use = usufruct (trust)

“Yet still it was found difficult to set bounds to ecclesiastical ingenuity; for when they were driven out of all their former holds, they devised a new method of conveyance, by which the lands were granted, not to themselves directly, but to nominal feoffees to the use of the religious houses; thus distinguishing between the possession and the use, and receiving the actual profits, while the seisin of the lands remained in the nominal feoffee, who was held by the courts of equity (then under the direction of the clergy) to be bound in conscience to account to his cestui que use for the rents and emoluments of the estate: and it is to these inventions that our practitioners are indebted for the introduction of uses and trusts, the foundation of modern conveyancing.” Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835 edition, Volume 2 under the definition of Mortmain
They Assault you with a BAR Member

• See the D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts videos 1 & 2.
• See the BAR Members videos 1, 2, & 3
Plea Bargain does What?

- You participate in their fraud
- You give legitimacy to their fraud – you cannot object to the fraud
- You cannot appeal
- After doing a plea bargain, in state court, I have seen other agencies proceed against somebody for the same charges in federal court
Conclusion - Purpose

• This video is not intended to scare anyone, or make them feel like all is lost, because it is absolutely NOT

• We need to know what the problem is before we can know what a good solution is
Alternatives

• Make it so they do NOT want to talk to you – See the D.I.Y. Estoppel Certificates video
• File a Notice of Void Judgment into the case
• Demand a Trial by Jury
The Holy Bible

• “The end justifies the means” is satanic
• “Wo unto them that call evil good and good evil, and put darkness for light, and light for darkness...” Isaiah 5:20
Making Merchandise of you

• “If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7

• “And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.” 2 Peter 2:3
History

• “Give me liberty or give me death” Patrick Henry,
• after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license
Satanists

“By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison;” 1 Peter 3:19

“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the LORD shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth. And they shall be gathered together, as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in the prison, and after many days shall they be visited.” Isaiah 24: 21-22
Conclusion

• "It behooves every man who values liberty of conscience for himself, to resist invasions of it in the case of others: or their case may, by change of circumstances, become his own” Thomas Jefferson
"If Ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude, better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsel or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may our posterity forget that you were ever our countrymen." Samuel Adams, "the father of the American revolution", member of "The sons of Liberty (the group that did the Boston Tea Party)" Quoted from the debates of 1776
Conclusion

"When shall it be said in any country of the world, my poor are happy, neither ignorance or distress is to be found among them; my jails are empty of prisoners, my streets of beggars; the aged are not in want, the taxes not oppressive; the rational world is my friend because I am friend of its happiness. When these things can be said, then may that country boast of its constitution and government." - Thomas Paine
The Watchman

• “But if the watchman see the sword come, and blow not the trumpet, and the people be not warned; if the sword come, and take any person from among them, he is taken away in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at the watchman's hand.” Ezekiel 33:6

• Either you are part of the problem, or you are part of the solution

• You are now a watchman!

• Circulate this video far and wide!!
Other Videos

• Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
• Churchianity series
• Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
• BAR Members 1 & 2
• UNIDROIT
• Martial Law is here!
• Quasi Contracts and Roman Civil Law
• De Facto Courts
• All Courts are Ecclesiastical Courts
• DC Courts in Texas
• Jurisdiction
Summary

• Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

• I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations that are available for a donation

• Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desireable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
Summary

• If you find this useful, then you need to pay it forward
• If you don’t know what Pay it Forward means, then watch the movie
Contact Information

• My Blog is;
  • http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com

• Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi

• Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com

• Youtube profile - sovereignliving

• Facebook
  • Community Page - Sovereignty International
  • Private Group – Sovereignty International

• Yahoo Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

• Google Private Group – Administrating-Your-Public-Servants