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We have Military government

- See the Texas (and other American States) are under a Military Occupation video
- See the Alberta (and other Canadian States) are under a Military Occupation video
- See the Martial Law is Here! Video

Martial Law works on Presumption

- “Whenever [the Uniform Commercial Code] creates a "presumption" with respect to a fact, or provides that a fact is "presumed," the trier of fact must find the existence of the fact unless and until evidence is introduced that supports a finding of its nonexistence.” UCC § 1-206 Presumptions [emphasis added]
Their Presumptions

- You are a US citizen / slave / cestui que trust
- You are incompetent – that is why they are “representing” you
This letter is in response to your correspondence to Alan Watson dated August 17, 2012 regarding the denial of your application for a Georgia driver’s license. As a preliminary matter, please know that the Department of Driver Services (DDS) intends no disrespect by addressing you using the conventions of formal business correspondence, and information provided to the DDS by the United States Postal Service suggests that the addressing of this letter in this fashion will expedite its delivery to you.

With regard to the content of your letter, the DDS agrees that your application for a driver’s license creates no contract between you and the State of Georgia. According to O.C.G.A. §13-1-10, “where, in the exercise of the police power, a license is issued, the license is not a contract but only a permission to enjoy the privilege for the time specified, on the terms stated; and it may be abrogated.” Unfortunately, the DDS respectfully must disagree with the balance of the contents of your correspondence.

First, various provisions of state and federal law require most drivers to possess a valid driver’s license to operate a motor vehicle, particularly O.C.G.A. §40-5-20. The exemptions from the statute are found in O.C.G.A. §40-5-21. None of the documentation provided with your letter suggests that you fall into one of the statutorily recognized exceptions. The DDS is prohibited from issuing a driver’s license to anyone whose driver’s license or driving privilege in another state is under suspension. O.C.G.A. §40-5-22(c). Operation of a motor vehicle without a valid driver’s license could be a violation of O.C.G.A. §§40-5-20 and/or 40-5-121, particularly since the contents of your lease suggest that you have been a resident of the State of Georgia for more than thirty (30) days. The term resident is defined in O.C.G.A. §40-5-1(15) as “a person who has a permanent home or abode in Georgia to which, whenever such person is absent, he or she has the intention of returning.”
The statute creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria:

(A) Any person who accepts employment or engages in any trade, profession, or occupation in Georgia or enters his or her children to be educated in the private or public schools of Georgia within ten days after the commencement of such employment or education; or

(B) Any person who, except for infrequent, brief absences, has been present in the state for 30 or more days; provided, however, that no person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.” Id.

The lease submitted with your driver’s license application was executed on September 19, 2011. Anyone who is here legally and becomes a resident must obtain a driver’s license in Georgia within thirty (30) days. O.C.G.A. 40-5-20.

While the United States Supreme Court has recognized a fundamental right to interstate travel, this right has never included a fundamental right to drive. Miller v. Reed, 176 F.3d 1202, 1206 (9th Cir. 1999); Dixon v. Love, 431 U.S. 105, 112-116 (1977). Similarly, the Georgia Supreme Court has held that “the right to operate a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this state is not a vested right, but is merely a qualified right which can be exercised by obtaining a license from the state.” Johnston v. State, 236 Ga. 370 (1976). “[I]n Georgia, a driver’s license is not an absolute right but rather is a privilege that may be revoked for cause. The right to continue the operation and to keep the license to drive is dependent upon the manner in which the licensee exercises this right. The right is not absolute, but is a privilege. While it cannot be suspended or revoked without reason, it can be constitutionally revoked or suspended for any cause having to do with public safety.” Nolen v. State, 218 Ga. App. 819, 820 (1995). Moreover, the Georgia Supreme Court explicitly rejected the argument that the driver’s license requirement established in O.C.G.A. §40-5-20 was not unconstitutional when applied to “a common law freeman exercising his right to travel on public ways.” Lebrun v. State, 255 Ga. 406 (1986).

With regard to your concerns about the requirement for collecting your social security number, the DDS has not compelled you to obtain a social security number in violation of your religious beliefs. Rather, you presented your card voluntarily in conjunction with your application for a Georgia driver’s license. This requirement is based upon federal laws enacted by Congress to facilitate the collection of child support payments from non-custodial parents and in the interest of homeland security. 42 U.S.C. §666(a)(13)(A); 49 U.S.C. §30301 note; 6 C.F.R. §37.01, et seq.
Federal law now requires all states to collect social security numbers when issuing such credentials. *Id.* The only exception to the requirement is for individuals who are not eligible for issuance of a social security number because they are aliens not authorized to work in the United States. O.C.G.A. §19-11-9.1(a.1)(1); 6 C.F.R. §37.11(e)(3).

We hope that this information is responsive to your inquiry, and we look forward to serving your licensing needs once the issue in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is resolved. Please note that you are eligible for issuance of a Georgia identification card under O.C.G.A. §40-5-100, *et seq.*, if you need state-issued documentation of your identity in the meantime. I can be reached at (678) 413-8765 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Jennifer Ammons  
General Counsel
“The statute [all statutes] creates a rebuttable presumption of residency for anyone who meets the following criteria: …. however no such person shall be considered a resident for purposes of this chapter unless such person is either a United States citizen or an alien with legal authorization from the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service.“ Jennifer Ammons, General Counsel, Georgia Department of Driver Services
“Indeed, no more than (affidavits) are necessary to make the Prima facie case.” United States V. Kis, 658 F. 2nd, 526, 536 (7th Cir. 1981); Cert Denied, 50 U.S.L.W. 2169; S. Ct March 22, 1982.

- Affidavits are commercial
  - Notarized

- Declarations are common law
  - Witnesses (2-3 minimum)
  - Can be Notarized
Affidavits & Declarations

- Considered “evidence” if done properly
- Make statements of fact
- Avoid opinion
- Avoid conclusions
Don’t forget to subscribe to this channel

Don’t forget to Like this video

Don’t forget to click the bell next to the subscribe button so that you are notified when there is a new upload

©Common Law Copyright 2017
that, i, john smith, a living breathing man, being first duly sworn, depose and say and declare by my signature that the following facts are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

all the facts stated herein are true, correct, complete, are not hearsay, are not misleading, but are admissible as evidence, if not rebutted and proven inaccurate, and if testifying, i shall so state.
i have standing capacity to act as to the lawful matters herein

i have personal, executive and documented knowledge of the facts stated herein
i have no firsthand knowledge of my date of birth except to say that i was told that i was given birth, on or about the year one thousand nine hundred and XXXXXXXX. any evidence anywhere about my birth is hearsay evidence and inadmissible evidence in any court because both of my parents, and the attending physician at the time, are now dead and i have not had an opportunity to cross examine them in court to determine the veracity of their evidence. having said that, i do remember finishing high school in the year one thousand nine hundred and seventy five
NOT a US citizen

- I am not in the military and I do not work for any government in any capacity.
- I am NOT a government employee.
- I am NOT a US citizen.
- I am NOT a cestui que trust.
- I am NOT a corporation, or other fictitious entity of any kind.
the use of any statutes, codes, rules, regulations, or court citations, within any document created by me, at any time, is only to notice that which is applicable to government officials, and is not intended, nor shall it be construed, to mean that i have conferred, submitted to, or entered into any jurisdiction alluded to thereby.
Contact Information

- My Blog is: http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profiles – sovereignliving – Sovereignty International
- Facebook
  - Community Page – Deleted due to Censorship
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International - being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Follow me on Twitter @engineerwin
i witnessed that John Doe is a government employee for the XXXX County Sheriff’s Office

i witnessed that John Doe ceased to represent the government

i witnessed that John Doe operated in his private capacity as a revenue officer

i witnessed that John Doe conspired with Mary Doe to violate my rights under the color of their so-called Laws

i witnessed that John Doe engaged in a seditious conspiracy
Lay a Foundation – Build a Case

- If somebody is operating in their private capacity, you have to show why they are operating in their private capacity.
- If somebody is operating as a revenue officer you have to show why they are operating as a revenue officer.
- If somebody is operating without any immunity, you have to show why they are operating without any immunity.
I like to use the word witness;

I like to use citations to support what I am saying

I witnessed, the wrongdoers a/k/a revenue agents XXXXXXX, and XXXXXXXX, and XXXXXXXXXXXX, are officers of the Court and are presumed to know the law

“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)
Make Positive Statements

- Do NOT leave any wiggle room for what you are saying
- i witnessed, that neither John Doe nor Mary Doe nor any of their accomplices ever informed anyone in the room of their rights.
Announcing a subscription based Youtube channel called Sovereignty International

The recommended cost of the subscription is currently US$1.99 because it avoids the advertising ONLY

The ONLY power that the N.W.O. satanists have over us is through fraud and deception, and my agenda is to expose it for all our benefit

For that reason there will be very little exclusive material on that channel

Currently publishing 5 videos a week

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCokSQqXw1y2_2hAtJxUcoNw
i believe that John Doe was operating under instructions from his supervisor, Mary Doe, as well as Betty Doe, XXXXXX County Sheriff, the XXXXXXXX County Criminal District Attorney, Jim Doe, and Joe Blow, the so-called Judge in the matter.

i believe that John Doe, conspired with Mary Doe, XXXXXXXX County Criminal District Attorney to initiate all of this
i witnessed the wrongdoers a/k/a revenue agents Mary Doe, John Doe, Jim Doe, Betty Doe, and Joe Blow in collusion with their accomplices walk away from any immunity they may have had, in order to operate in their private capacity as revenue officers under the Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966

“OATH....All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are coram non judice, and void;... 3 Inst. 165; 4 Inst. 278; 2 Roll. Abr. 277.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835 Edition, Volume 2 [emphasis added]
i witnessed John Doe turn on his emergency lights and stop me
i witnessed John Doe call on his radio for back-up and a few minutes later Jane Doe showed up
John Doe, and Jane Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that the federal tax lien act of 1966 gives him the right to presume that all registered vehicles are engaged in commerce [citation]
John Doe and Jane Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that the courts have ruled that the right to make presumptions is not a means of escaping constitutional restrictions [citation]
when John Doe was asked if there was a breach of the peace, i witnessed John Doe say “no”
when John Doe was asked if he had a lawful court order to stop me, i witnessed John Doe say “no”
John Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that 18 USC § 31 says that a motor vehicle is ONLY carrying passengers or property for hire [citation]
when John Doe was asked if he had evidence that i was carrying passengers or property for hire, i witnessed John Doe say “no”
John Doe and Jane Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that an unlawful arrest is an assault (citation)
i witnessed John Doe and Jane Doe assault me
John Doe and Jane Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that when they
goes outside their responsibility, they cease to represent the government,
and are operating in their private capacity as revenue officers under the
federal tax lien act of 1966 [citation]

John Doe and Jane Doe threatened to take me to jail if i did not give them a
drivers license

John Doe and Jane Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that 18 USC § 242
makes it a felony for them to subject me to the deprivation of any of my
rights under the color of law [citation]

John Doe and Jane Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that under Article
5 in Amendment i have the right not to give evidence against myself

i witnessed John Doe, and Jane Doe subject me to the deprivation of my
right not to give evidence against myself under color of their codes in
violation of 18 USC § 242

John Doe and Jane Doe knew, knows, or ought to know that 18 USC § 241
makes it a felony for them to conspire to threaten, coerce, or intimidate me
in the free exercise of my rights under the color of law [citation]

I witnessed John Doe conspire with Jane Doe to threaten, intimidate, and
oppress me in the free exercise of my rights in violation of 18 USC § 241
If the statute says; “threaten intimidate or coerce in the free exercise of rights” then you have to use that exact same terminology

The whores want to help their satanist order follower PIGs get away with it because it brings them so much business
For great custom websites, domain names, and hosting go to:

https://CubeYard.com

Use coupon code CY172 for 20% off your first order

CubeYard.com – your source for websites, domain names, and hosting
“Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any inhabitant of any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, …….. shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; ……….” 18 USC § 242 Violating Rights under Color of Law
“If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; ... They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; ...”

18 USC § 241 Conspiracy to Violate Rights under Color of Law
Sec. 20.02. UNLAWFUL RESTRAINT. (a) A person commits an offense if he intentionally or knowingly restrains another person.

(c) An offense under this section is a Class A misdemeanor, except that the offense is:

(d) It is no offense to detain or move another under this section when it is for the purpose of effecting a lawful arrest or detaining an individual lawfully arrested.
Sec. 39.03. OFFICIAL OPPRESSION. (a) A public servant acting under color of his office or employment commits an offense if he:

1. intentionally subjects another to mistreatment or to arrest, detention, search, seizure, dispossession, assessment, or lien that he knows is unlawful;

2. intentionally denies or impedes another in the exercise or enjoyment of any right, privilege, power, or immunity, knowing his conduct is unlawful; or

(b) For purposes of this section, a public servant acts under color of his office or employment if he acts or purports to act in an official capacity or takes advantage of such actual or purported capacity.

(d) An offense under this section is a Class A misdemeanor,…
Sec. 39.06. MISUSE OF OFFICIAL INFORMATION. (b) A public servant commits an offense if with intent to obtain a benefit or with intent to harm or defraud another, he discloses or uses information for a nongovernmental purpose that:

(1) he has access to by means of his office or employment; and

(2) has not been made public.

(d) In this section, "information that has not been made public" means any information to which the public does not generally have access, and that is prohibited from disclosure under Chapter 552, Government Code.

(e) Except as provided by Subsection (f), an offense under this section is a felony of the third degree.

(f) An offense under Subsection (a)(3) is a Class C misdemeanor.
Sec. 71.01. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter,

(a) "Combination" means three or more persons who collaborate in carrying on criminal activities, although:

(1) participants may not know each other's identity;
(2) membership in the combination may change from time to time; and
(b) "Conspires to commit" means that a person agrees with one or more persons that they or one or more of them engage in conduct that would constitute the offense and that person and one or more of them perform an overt act in pursuance of the agreement. An agreement constituting conspiring to commit may be inferred from the acts of the parties.

(c) "Profits" means property constituting or derived from any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, from an offense listed in Section 71.02.
(d) "Criminal street gang" means three or more persons having a common identifying sign or symbol or an identifiable leadership who continuously or regularly associate in the commission of criminal activities.
Sec. 71.02. ENGAGING IN ORGANIZED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY.

(a) A person commits an offense if, with the intent to establish, maintain, or participate in a combination or in the profits of a combination or as a member of a criminal street gang, the person commits or conspires to commit one or more of the following:

(1) murder, ...aggravated robbery, robbery, theft, aggravated kidnapping, kidnapping, aggravated assault,... deadly conduct, assault punishable as a Class A misdemeanor, or unauthorized use of a motor vehicle;
If they are constantly going to their radio to talk to their supervisor, then tie their supervisor into it.

Sec. 71.023. DIRECTING ACTIVITIES OF CRIMINAL STREET GANGS.
(a) A person commits an offense if the person, as part of the identifiable leadership of a criminal street gang, knowingly finances, directs, or supervises the commission of, or a conspiracy to commit, one or more of the following offenses by members of a criminal street gang:
Sec. 71.03. DEFENSES EXCLUDED. It is no defense to prosecution under Section 71.02 that:

1. one or more members of the combination are not criminally responsible for the object offense;
2. one or more members of the combination have been acquitted, have not been prosecuted or convicted, have been convicted of a different offense, or are immune from prosecution;
3. a person has been charged with, acquitted, or convicted of any offense listed in Subsection (a) of Section 71.02; or
4. once the initial combination of three or more persons is formed there is a change in the number or identity of persons in the combination as long as two or more persons remain in the combination and are involved in a continuing course of conduct constituting an offense under this chapter.
These people are Satanists
They are NOT even remotely interested in anything to do with Justice
If you do not use their words they will deny justice
It gets their satanist order followers off the hook, they can do it again
to the best of my knowledge these are the laws and codes that John Doe, Mary Doe, William, and Jim Doe, and all of their accomplices, did violate. These may not be all conclusive or complete, these are just the ones I know of to the best of my belief and knowledge.
You must have full liability to make an affidavit effective.

If you have any reservations, you nullify the signature.

Sovereigns ALWAYS sign on the right side of the page.

I always sign in red ink and I say it is “signed and sealed in red ink on the land of Texas”.
Notary

- Acknowledgement = witness
- Jurat = under oath
- An Acknowledgement is OK, but a JURAT is better because the Notary is supposed to put you under oath before signing the document
Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
Churchianity series
Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
BAR Members 1, 2, & 3
D.I.Y. How NOT to Volunteer for the Selective Service
Martial Law is here!
D.I.Y. No Income Tax
D.I.Y. Estoppel Certificates
Everything is an Illusion
Void Judgments
D.I.Y. Kangaroo Courts 1, - 9
Do NOT

- You CANNOT make statements that give you an out
- You CANNOT be wishy washy (either it is, or it isn’t)
- You CANNOT put it in a [box] because it is NOT there
- You CANNOT make any reservations on your signature
- Do NOT sign on the left side of the page
The Pennsylvania Attorney General sent a letter making all sorts of legal determinations and presumptions, and said that they would enforce their law.

In March of 2010, I filed a criminal complaint and sent it to the Chief Judge of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court and the US Attorney.

The US Attorney sent me a letter stating that they were referring the matter to the FBI.

On 22 February 2011 I checked the Pennsylvania Attorney General’s website and it says; “William H. Ryan Jr. becomes acting Pennsylvania Attorney General”
Report Internet Predators to the Child Predator Unit.

Click for details on the Prescription Monitoring Program.

The Elder Abuse Unit protects seniors against crime & fraud.

02/22/2011
Consumer Protection lawsuit filed against Philadelphia used car dealership

02/18/2011
Schuylkill County attorney charged with theft in court overbilling scheme

02/17/2011
Union County man charged with sending sexually explicit webcam video to 'teen'
Net Predators to the
How House Unit protects
Williams H. Ryan, Jr. becomes Acting Pennsylvania Attorney General
Consumer Protection lawsuit against Philadelphia used car dealership
Schuylkill County attorney accused in court overbilling scheme
Union County man charged with sexually explicit webcam video
US Attorney General Alberto Gonzales resigned less than 2 weeks after I filed a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari (with a criminal complaint against him attached) with the US Supreme Court, and he said he was hiring attorneys to defend him against the US Department of Justice.
The Ohio Director of Public Safety, Henry Guzman, sent me a letter making all sorts of legal determinations and presumptions.

In August 2009, I filed a criminal complaint and sent it to the Ohio Attorney General, and the Chief Judge of the Ohio Supreme Court, and the state BAR, US Attorney etc.

On 22 February 2011, I checked the Ohio Public Safety website and it says that in January of 2011 Thomas P. Charles was appointed Ohio Director of Public Safety.

Thomas P. Charles was formerly the Ohio Inspector General for the last 13 years.
After filing criminal complaints, I have seen numerous people resigned, or were removed

- 3 US Attorneys for Arizona
- 3 US Attorneys for Texas
- US Attorney General, Alberto Gonzales
- US Solicitor General, Paul Clements
- Pennsylvania Attorney General
- Ohio Public Safety Commissioner
- IRS Commissioner, Mark Everson
- 2 Alberta Attorney Generals
- US Congressmen
- US Senators
Conclusion

- A properly done affidavit will build a case against someone
- You have to build a case and you have to make the right arguments
- If done properly, an Affidavit can be very powerful
- I have had numerous people removed by submitting affidavits
- With a few minor changes to your word document, and now you have a lawsuit