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This video is NOT intended to be used to break up families

We should always try to work out our differences, especially if sons and daughters are involved

Sometimes it is out of our control

There are many men in Canada who are victims of the divorce industry there, whose sons and daughters were stolen and they have no access to them

Some of them have told me; “You can’t hurt me any more than has already happened. I am a stone”

This video is for those who do NOT want to be a victim of these BAAL priest BAR members and their divorce industry
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Divorce Industry – BAAL Priests

- Break up families unnecessarily
- Prison industry works with the Divorce Industry
  - Creates broken homes
- Broken homes create business for the prison industry
  - Juvenile delinquency creates future clients for the prisons
  - Creates forced clients for the pharmaceutical industry (sorcery)
- BAAL priest BAR members make money from the prison industry, and the divorce industry
It is all dependent upon the government being bankrupt – more money for the BAAL priest BAR members

It is all dependent upon eliminating common law - immunizes the BAAL priest BAR members from their just reward - death


It is all dependent upon fake money being circulated – nothing is paid for

- “At common law only gold and silver were a legal tender. (2 Inst. 577.)” McClarin v. Nesbit, 2 Nott & McC. (11 S.C.L.) 519 (1820)
When you ran down to get the marriage license, you invited the government into your marriage.

Many preachers tell you that you need a license before they will marry you.

It is obviously a satanic church.

If you want to get married in a satanic church, then expect the government (BAAL priests) to be involved in your marriage, and expect the BAAL priests to own your children.
“Marriage License – A **license** or permission granted by public authority to **persons** who intend to intermarry,…” Black’s Law Dictionary, 5th Edition page 877 [emphasis added]


“2. The requirement of payment for such licenses is only a mode of imposing taxes on the licensed business, and the prohibition, under penalties, against carrying on the business without license is only a mode of enforcing the payment of such taxes.

5. The recognition by the acts of Congress of the power and right of the states to tax, control, or regulate any business carried on within its limits is entirely consistent with an intention on the part of Congress to tax such business for national purposes.” License Tax Cases 72 U.S. (5 Wall.) 462 (1866)
“License, contracts, is a right given by some competent authority to do an act, which without such authority would be illegal. The instrument or writing which secures this right is also called a license. Vide Ayl.Parerg. 353; 15 Vin.Ab 92; Ang. Wat. Co. 61, 85. A license is express or implied. An express license is one in which in direct terms authorizes the performance of a certain act; as a license to keep a tavern by public authority. An implied license is one which though not expressly given, may be presumed from the acts of the party having the right to give it.” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1843 Edition, Volume 2, page 53 [emphasis added]
“There are three parties to a marriage contract -- the husband, the wife and the State. For this reason marriage is denominated a status, and certain incidents are attached thereto by law which may not be abrogated without the consent of the third party, the State.” Ritchie v. White, 225 N.C. 450, 35 S.E.2d 414 (N.C. 10/10/1945)
"Marriage is a civil contract, to which there are three parties: the husband, the wife, and the State" KASAL v. KASAL, 35 N.W.2d 745, 227 Minn. 529
"the State is a party of interest in every marriage contract[.]" Potter v. Potter, (1931), 101 Fla. 1199, 133 So. 94:
"The legislature, in dealing with the subject of marriage, has plenary power, as marriage differs from ordinary common law contracts, and is subject to control and regulation by the state." Blackman v. Iles, 4 N.J. 82, 89 (1950),
"Civil rights under the 14th amendment are for Federal citizens and not State Citizens; Federal citizens, as parents, have no right to the custody of their infant children except subject to the paramount right of the State." Wadleigh v. Newhall, Circuit Court N. Dist. Cal., Mar 13, 1905 [emphasis added]
UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented.

Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years.

UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.
UNIDROIT covers...

- Negotiable instruments
- Civil procedure
- Civil Liability
- Secured transactions
- Legal status of women
- Maintenance obligations
- Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children
- Municipal Law
- Much more – (see the website)
International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964

Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law

Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol

Succession

Transnational Civil Procedure

Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR

Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Civil liability
- Emerging markets

- Past Studies
  - Arbitration
  - Banking Law
  - Capital markets
  - Civil liability
  - Civil procedure
  - Company law
  - Contracts (in general)
  - Cultural property
  - Factoring
  - Forwarding agency
  - Franchising
  - Hotelkeepers
  - Insurance
  - Intellectual property
  - International sales
  - Leasing
  - Legal Status of Women
  - Maintenance obligations
  - Methodology
  - Movement of persons
  - Natural resources
  - Negotiable instruments
  - Non-legislative activities
- 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);
- 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);
- 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);
· 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

· 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);

· 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);

· 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

· 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);
Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty
As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty
See UNIDROIT video for more information
"The unborn are not included within the definition of "person" as used in the 14th Amendment." Roe v. Wade US Supreme Court 410 US 13, 35L. Ed. 2d 147, 1973
Are you a “person?”

“A Sovereign is not a person as far as a Statute is concerned.”

Will v Michigan State Police
105 L. Ed. 2d 45 (1989)
A “person” is:

- “a variety of entities other than human beings.” Church of Scientology v U.S. Department of Justice, 612 F2d 417 (1979) at pg 418
- “...foreigners, not citizens....” United States v Otherson, 480 F. Supp. 1369 (1979) at pg 1373.
• A Sovereign is not a person as far as a Statute is concerned.

• "'in common usage, the term 'person' does not include the sovereign, [and] statutes employing the [word] are normally construed to exclude it.' Wilson v Omaha Tribe, 442 US 653 667, 61 L Ed 2d 153, 99 S Ct 2529 (1979) (quoting United States v Cooper Corp. 312 US 600, 604, 85 L Ed 1071, 61 S Ct 742 (1941). See also United States v Mine Workers, 330 US 258, 275, 91 L Ed 884, 67 S Ct 677 (1947)" Will v Michigan State Police, 491 US 58, 105 L. Ed. 2d 45, 109 S.Ct. 2304

• “a sovereign is not a person in a legal sense” In re Fox, 52 N. Y. 535, 11 Am. Rep. 751; U.S. v. Fox, 94 U.S. 315, 24 L. Ed. 192
“Residents, as distinguished from citizens, are aliens who are permitted to take up a permanent abode in the country. Being bound to the society by reason of their dwelling in it, they are subject to its laws so long as they remain there, and, being protected by it, they must defend it, although they do not enjoy all the rights of citizens. They have only certain privileges which the law, or custom, gives them. Permanent residents are those who have been given the right of perpetual residence. They are a sort of citizen of a less privileged character, and are subject to the society without enjoying all its advantages. Their children succeed to their status; for the right of perpetual residence given them by the State passes to their children.” The Law of Nations, Vattel, Book 1, Chapter 19, Section 213, p. 87
“One does not necessarily become a non-resident by absconding or absenting himself from his place of abode.” 52 Mo. App. 291
“Chap. 854. – An Act to establish a code of law for the District of Columbia.”

“The Legal Estate to be in Cestui Que Use” Chapter Fifty-Six in Sec. 1617, at 31 Stat. 1432

“A “citizen of the United States” is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the PCT (Public Charitable Trust), the constructive, cestui que trust of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt of the USA and US Inc.” Congressional Record, June 13 1967, pp. 15641-15646
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"... (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction."

In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164

"...it might be correctly said that there is no such thing as a citizen of the United States. ..... A citizen of any one of the States of the Union, is held to be, and called a citizen of the United States, although technically and abstractly there is no such thing."

Ex Parte Frank Knowles, 5 Cal. Rep. 300,
"...the privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States do not necessarily include all the rights protected by the first eight amendments to the Federal constitution against the powers of the Federal government." Maxwell v Dow, 20 S.C.R. 448, at pg 455;

"The only absolute and unqualified right of a United States citizen is to residence within the territorial boundaries of the United States," US vs. Valentine 288 F. Supp. 957
“Citizenship is a political status, and may be defined and privilege limited by Congress.”
Ex Parte (NG) Fung Sing, Federal Reporter, 2nd Series, Vol. 6, Page 670 (1925)

"The term resident and citizen of the United States is distinguished from a Citizen of one of the several states, in that the former is a special class of citizen created by Congress."
U.S. v. Anthony 24 Fed. 829 (1873)
"In doing this, I shall have occasion incidentally to evince, how true it is that States and Governments were made for man, and, at the same time, how true it is that his creatures and servants have first deceived, next vilified, and, at last oppressed their master and maker." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 455

"A state like a merchant makes a contract. A dishonest state, like a dishonest merchant willfully refuses to discharge it." Chisholm v Georgia, 2 Dal. 419 at p 456
BAR Members

- It is all about slavery
- A “person” is a slave
- Either you are the King, or you are a slave, and there is nothing in between.
- If you participate in their “color of law” statutes, then you have agreed to be their slave.
- It is ONLY involuntary servitude (slavery) that is not lawful
All of this is brought to us thanks to BAR member BAAL Priests

You can learn more about BAR Member BAAL Priest Satanists
- in the BAR Members videos 1, 2, & 3
- In the Peace Officers video
- In the Martial Law is Here video
- In the Kangaroo Courts 1, 2, & 3
- In other videos
All of this is brought to us by BAR members both off the bench and on the bench.

The motivation of the de facto so-called judges is NOT for justice, but maybe, if you are lucky, for the “appearance of justice”
Intend to create business for their so-called court to:

- Justify their existence
- Create work for their BAAL priest buddies
- Collect more royalties in support of their $million retirement
- Get promoted to the Court of Appeals, or the Supreme court

Create work for their buddies by

- Making their decisions as cryptic as possible
- Forcing the issue to another hearing
- Ignoring the law, thereby forcing an appeal
Do you want to place your future in the hands of a whore that is bought and paid for by these Crown PIGs, in Canada, the US, or elsewhere?

Do you want to place yourself in the hands of a judicial whore who is intent on converting you into a ward of the court (an imbecile)?
Do you consider yourself Christian?
Do you try to follow the golden rule?
Do you treat others the way you want to be treated?
Do you think you will get any sympathy from God, on judgment day, when you have not done everything you can to put a stop to these satanic judicial whores, selling their justus
Separation of Church and State

- All judges are foreign agents of the Roman Cult (see BAR Member videos 1, 2, & 3)
- This idea of separation of church and state was really about taking Christianity out of government and displacing it with the Satanic Roman Civil Law from the Roman Cult
- All so-called court cases are actually a satanic religious ceremony by Priests of BAAL.
Under the guise of the separation of church and state they have

- Taken the Lord’s prayer out of the schools
- Taken the Christian symbols out of the courts
- Taken Christian symbols out of the schools

They have converted our Christian government into satanic government

It has all been done by court rulings from these priests of BAAL masquerading as judges
At Common Law the jury has to be your peers

At Common Law the jury calls the witnesses, questions the witnesses, determines the law and the facts in the matter, and even pronounces sentence if necessary

At Common Law the jury can disregard the law, which is called jury nullification

At Common Law the jury can do literally anything it wants
At common law there are no prisons
  - That is why common law is so severe
All prisons are commercial
Most of the people in prison are in there for victimless crimes
We need to FIRE the BAAL Priests and end the tyranny of these de facto United Nations courts and their Roman Cult judicial whores selling their justus
Our court is ONLY a jury of our peers, and we are all being denied it, so these judicial whores can populate their prisons and collect royalties
Prisons

- When governments collapse in countries like Libya, the first thing that happens is they kick all of the prisoners out of the jails.
- All jails are commercial.
What I would do

- At common law it is not called a “marriage”, it is called holy matrimony
- I would find a preacher who would marry us without a license, or
- I would make up our own vows, and
- rent a hall, and have a sign-in sheet/book, and
- both of us would say our vows to each other in front of everybody, and,
- Make up a marriage certificate and sign it with my bride, with at least 2 witnesses, and
- Make an affidavit, and attach the copy of the certificate, and the sign-in list/book and
- Record everything as a package in the County Records
NOTICE AND DECLARATION OF BIRTH

From: Address
C/O Glenn Winningham Fearn
C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166
Casa Grande, Arizona

Be it known by all people:
I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign with an address correction
C/O Glenn Winningham Fearn, C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166, Casa Grande,
Arizona do hereby Notice You of the following:

1. I am competent to deal with the matters herein.

2. No person who works for any government, or any government created entity,
   anywhere, is competent for dealing with the matters herein.

3. It is with great pleasure that I announce the birth of My Son, who will be known
   as Hunter Pierre; Fearn during His life here on earth.

4. Hunter Pierre; Fearn was given birth by My wife, Constance Ivy Lacey; Fearn on
   16 March, 2005, at 1240 PM, at the Casa Grande Regional Medical Center, in
   Casa Grande, Arizona, an original of Discharge Instructions Post Delivery is
   attached, and incorporated herein by reference.

5. Hunter Pierre; Fearn is not a citizen of the foreign, UNITED STATES, but He is
   an Arizona Citizen, and in due time, when He comes of age, He will take is
   rightful place as a member of the American Sovereignty.

6. Be it also known by all, that an attempt was made by Criminals, to register my
   Son, Hunter Pierre; Fearn as a UNITED STATES citizen to secure him as
   collateral for the fictitious federal debt, but it was rejected on the ARIZONA
   STATE CERTIFICATE WORKSHEET, a true copy of which is attached, and
   incorporated herein by reference.

7. If there is any attempt by any person to register my Son as a UNITED STATES
   citizen, without my express written permission, it will be a breach of trust,
NOTICE AND DECLARATION OF BIRTH

From: Address
C/O Glenn Winningham; Fearn
C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166
Casa Grande, Arizona

Be it known by all people:
I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign with an address correction
C/O Glenn Winningham Fearn, C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166, Casa Grande,
Arizona do hereby Notice You of the following:

1. I am competent to deal with the matters herein.

2. No person who works for any government, or any government created entity,
anywhere, is competent for dealing with the matters herein.

3. It is with great pleasure that I announce the birth of My Son, who will be known
as Hunter Pierre; Fearn during His life here on earth.

4. Hunter Pierre; Fearn was given birth by My wife, Constance Ivy Logistic; Fearn on
I, Me, My, Myself, a man, a living soul, a sovereign with an address correction C/O Glenn Winningham Fearn, C/O 1377 E. Florence Blvd., #147-166, Casa Grande, Arizona do hereby Notice You of the following:

1. I am competent to deal with the matters herein.

2. No person who works for any government, or any government created entity, anywhere, is competent for dealing with the matters herein.

3. It is with great pleasure that I announce the birth of My Son, who will be known as Hunter Pierre; Fearn during His life here on earth.

4. Hunter Pierre; Fearn was given birth by My wife, Constance Ivy Lacey; Fearn on 16 March, 2005, at 1240 PM, at the Casa Grande Regional Medical Center, in Casa Grande, Arizona, an original of Discharge Instructions Post Delivery is attached, and incorporated herein by reference.

5. Hunter Pierre; Fearn is not a citizen of the foreign, UNITED STATES, but He is an Arizona Citizen, and in due time, when He comes of age, He will take is rightful place as a member of the American Sovereignty.

6. Be it also known by all, that an attempt was made by Criminals, to register my Son, Hunter Pierre; Fearn as a UNITED STATES citizen to secure him as collateral for the fictitious federal debt, but it was rejected on the ARIZONA STATE CERTIFICATE WORKSHEET, a true copy of which is attached, and incorporated herein by reference.

7. If there is any attempt by any person to register my Son as a UNITED STATES citizen, without my express written permission, it will be a breach of trust,
Children

- If you have “children” the state can steal them
- "Civil rights under the 14th amendment are for Federal citizens and not State Citizens; Federal citizens, as parents, have no right to the custody of their infant children except subject to the paramount right of the State." Wadleigh v. Newhall, Circuit Court N. Dist. Cal., Mar 13, 1905 [emphasis added]
“Citation, (Citatio) A Summons to appear, applied particularly to Process in the Spiritual Court. The Ecclesiastical Courts proceed according to the Course of the Civil and Canon Laws, by Citation, Libel, &c..”, Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835, Volume 1 [emphasis added],

“Citation. This is also the name of the process used in the English ecclesiastical, probate, and divorce courts to call the defendant or respondent before them. 3 Bl. Comm. 100. 3 Steph. Comm. 720.” Black’s Law Dictionary 2nd Edition, at page 202
“IN PERSONAM-IN REM.- § 3. Judgments.-So a judgment or decree is said to be *in rem* when it binds third persons; *such is the sentence of a Court of Admiralty on a question of prize, or a decree of nullity or dissolution of marriage* (2 Sm. Lead. Cas. 699 j Castrique fl. Imrie, L. R. 4 H. L. 414; *Bee JUDGMENT,* or a decree of a court in a foreign country as to the status of a person domiciled there. Doglioni". Crispin, L. R. 1 H. L. 801.” Rapalje A Dictionary of American & English Law, Volume 1, 1883 Edition, page 639

All marriages are contracts = Admiralty
“Admiralty courts have a jurisdiction over contracts and torts and other special cases.” Waring v. Clark, 5 How. 441, 454-464; Genesse Chief v. Fitzhugh, 12 How. 443, 454. United States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137, 137, 142. (1933).
At common law the wife and minor children are the property of the husband and father.

“It is however, true that in all common-law countries it has always and consistently been held that the wife and minor children take the nationality of the husband and father. That is common-law doctrine.” In Re Page 12 F (2d) 135.
In the census’ in the 1800’s, you will see “wife”, 3 sons” “2 daughters” listed as property by the husband
“WHEN a man hath taken a wife, and married her, and it come to pass that she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath found some uncleanness in her: then let him write her a bill of divorcement, and give it in her hand and send her out of his house. 2 And when she is departed out of his house, she may go and be another man's wife.” Deuteronomy 24: 1-2
Notice and Declaration of Divorce

Mary Jane Smith, I hereby divorce myself of you. You are free to go and marry another man.

Under penalty of perjury

Notarized

Recorded with County Recorder
Bill of Divorcement

- Res judicata
- Already decided
- BAAL Priests do not have jurisdiction
- I would put them into estoppel – see the Estoppel Certificates video
- I would file a Criminal Complaint against the BAAL priest
"Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time," and "Jurisdiction, once challenged, cannot be assumed and must be decided." Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co. 395 F 2d 906, 910

"Defense of lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter may be raised at any time, even on appeal." Hill Top Developers v. Holiday Pines Service Corp. 478 So. 2D, 368 Fla a DCA 1985)
"...[H]owever late this objection [to jurisdiction] has been made, or may be made in any cause, in an inferior or appellate court of the United States, it must be considered and decided, BEFORE any court can move ONE FURTHER STEP IN THE CAUSE; as any movement is necessarily the exercise of jurisdiction." Rhode Island Massachusetts, 37 U.S. 657, 718, 9 L.Ed. 1233 (1838)
"Once challenged, jurisdiction cannot be assumed, it must be proved to exist." Stuck v. Medical Examiners 94 Ca 2d 751. 211 P2d 289

"There is no discretion to ignore that lack of jurisdiction." Joyce v. US, 474 F2d 215

"the burden of proving jurisdiction rests upon the party asserting it." Bindell v City of Harvey, 212 Ill.App.3d 1042, 571 N.E.2d 1017 (1st Dist. 1991)

"Court must prove on the record, all jurisdiction facts related to the jurisdiction asserted." Lantana v. Hopper, 102 F. 2d 188; Chicago v. New York 37 FSupp. 150
““When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”” Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464 [emphasis added]
"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762

"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)
Clerks Masquerading as Judges

- A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is not competent to do anything judicial like issue orders, or warrants.
- A Clerk Masquerading as a Judge is operating in his private capacity, and has no immunity.
- "Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1
“OATH....All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are *coram non judice,* and void; .... 3 *Inst.* 165; 4 *Inst.* 278; 2 *Roll. Abr.* 277.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835 Edition, Volume 2 [emphasis added],
“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)

“Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.” Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202
When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially” and thus are not protected by “qualified” or “limited immunity,” SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404
“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868
No sanction can be imposed absent proof of jurisdiction. Standard v. Olsen, 74 S.Ct. 768.

"Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351." Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.

After 11 years, filed a 1 page lawsuit to get his "property" back,
CPS stole his son at birth
After 11 years he got his "property" back.
It is NEVER over until you say it is over!!!
He has Youtube videos and a website
Summary

- If you have even a hint that your wife is going to drag you before one of these BAAL priests make up a Bill of Divorcement, and get it notarized and recorded with the County Recorder.

- If she files for divorce, then it is already decided (res judicata), and do NOT give the BAAL priests jurisdiction!

- As far as your sons and daughters are concerned, (you do not have “children”) they are your “property”.

- Karl Lentz did not even call his son “his son”. He had a picture and said he required his property and showed the picture.
“The end justifies the means” is satanic

“Wo unto them that call evil good and good evil, and put darkness for light, and light for darkness…” Isaiah 5:20
“If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and maketh merchandise of him, or selleth him; then that thief shall die; and thou shalt put evil away from among you.” Deuteronomy 24:7

“And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.” 2 Peter 2:3
History

- “Give me liberty or give me death” Patrick Henry,
- after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license
“By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison;” 1 Peter 3:19

“And it shall come to pass in that day, that the LORD shall punish the host of the high ones that are on high, and the kings of the earth upon the earth. And they shall be gathered together, as prisoners are gathered in the pit, and shall be shut up in the prison, and after many days shall they be visited.” Isaiah 24: 21-22
"It behooves every man who values liberty of conscience for himself, to resist invasions of it in the case of others: or their case may, by change of circumstances, become his own"  Thomas Jefferson
"If Ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude, better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsel or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may our posterity forget that you were ever our countrymen." Samuel Adams, "the father of the American revolution", member of "The sons of Liberty (the group that did the Boston Tea Party)" Quoted from the debates of 1776
"When shall it be said in any country of the world, my poor are happy, neither ignorance or distress is to be found among them; my jails are empty of prisoners, my streets of beggars; the aged are not in want, the taxes not oppressive; the rational world is my friend because I am friend of its happiness. When these things can be said, then may that country boast of its constitution and government."

- Thomas Paine
“But if the watchman see the sword come, and blow not the trumpet, and the people be not warned; if the sword come, and take any person from among them, he is taken away in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at the watchman's hand.” Ezekiel 33:6

Either you are part of the problem, or you are part of the solution.

You are now a watchman!

Circulate this video far and wide!!
Bankster Thieves 1, 2, & 3
Churchianity series
Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments
BAR Members 1 & 2
UNIDROIT
Martial Law is here!
Quasi Contracts and Roman Civil Law
De Facto Courts
All Courts are Ecclesiastical Courts
DC Courts in Texas
Jurisdiction
Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations that are available for a donation

Donations to support this work are appreciated. I prefer gold or silver coin, but as an extremely less desirable alternative I can accept IOUs (Federal Reserve Notes, Paypal gifts, checks, money orders, etc) send me an email for particulars
Summary

- If you find this useful, then you need to pay it forward
- If you don’t know what Pay it Forward means, then watch the movie
- Send me your success stories and I will put them in my blog
Contact Information

- My Blog; http://sovereigntyinternational.wordpress.com
- Website - www.sovereigntyinternational.fyi
- Email - engineerwin@yahoo.com
- Youtube profile - sovereignliving
- Facebook
  - Community Page - Deleted
  - Private Group – Sovereignty International – being deleted
- Yahoo Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants
- Google Private Group – Administering-Your-Public-Servants