



Corruption in the Courts 4

by **Sovereignty International** (a trust)

C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437

Fort Worth, Texas

ZIP CODE EXEMPT 18 USC § 1342

engineerwin@gmail.com

Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com

Administrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com

www.sovereigntyinternational.info

PIGs in Canada

- **PIG** – **Persons In Government** who intend to breach the trust, and perjure their oath

Color of Law

- ❖ **I have several Youtube videos that explain how these judicial whores use their fictitious color of law as justification for their assaults, kidnappings, false imprisonments, thefts, and murders**
- ❖ **BAR Members**
- ❖ **Canada Border PIGs**
- ❖ **Bankrupt Corporate (so-called) Governments**
- ❖ **Fire the United Nations Judicial Whores in Canada**
- ❖ **We are Under Martial Law Rule**

PIGs in Canada

- ❖ **The International Criminal Court of Justice had convicted Elizabeth Battenberg, Queen of the criminal corporation called Canada, and others for crimes against humanity, related to the First Nations in Canada and elsewhere**
- ❖ **When the last person in a First Nation Indian Tribe dies they announce it in Parliament because there is a trust fund, and when there are no beneficiaries, the thieves get to keep the money for their thieving bankster buddies**

Appearance of Justice

- ❖ There are 358 court cases at CANLII that say that they have to have the “appearance of justice” and even more cases in the USA at www.Justia.com.
- ❖ The appearance of justice is NOT justice, but is a fraud
- ❖ **“prima facia” At first sight; on the first appearance; on the face of it; so far as can be judged from the first disclosure; presumably; a fact presumed to be true unless disproved by some evidence to the contrary.”** State ex rel. Herbert v. Whims, 68 Ohio App. 39, 38 N.E.2d 596, 599, 22 O.O. 110. Black's Law Dictionary 5th Edition page 1071.
[emphasis added]

Color of Law

- **“Colourable- Presenting an appearance that does not correspond with reality, or an appearance intended to conceal or deceive. *Etherington v. Wilson (1875)*, 1 Ch.D 160.”** Barron’s Canadian Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, page 51 [emphasis added]
- **“Colour of Law – Mere semblance of a legal right. An action done under colour of law is one done with the apparent authority of law but actually in contravention of law.”** Barron’s Canadian Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, page 51

Color of Law

- **“It may still be legislation affecting the classes of subjects enumerated in s. 92, and, if so, would be ultra vires. In other words, Dominion legislation, even though it deals with Dominion property, may yet be so framed as to invade civil rights within the Province; or encroach upon the classes of subjects which are reserved to provincial competence. It is not necessary that it should be a colourable device, or a pretence. If on the true view of the legislation it is found that in reality in pith and substance the legislation invades civil rights within the Province...”** Re Employment and Social Insurance Act, 1937, 1 DLR, page 687

Color of Law

"Color" means "An appearance, semblance, or simulacrum, as distinguished from that which is real. A prima facie or apparent right. Hence, a deceptive appearance, a plausible, assumed exterior, concealing a lack of reality; a disguise or pretext. See also colorable." Black's Law Dictionary, 5th Edition, on page 240.

"Colorable" means "That which is in appearance only, and not in reality, what it purports to be, hence counterfeit feigned, having the appearance of truth." Windle v. Flinn, 196 Or. 654, 251 P.2d 136, 146.

Color of Law

"Color of Law" means "The appearance or semblance, without the substance, of legal right. Misuse of power, possessed by virtue of state law and made possible only because wrongdoer is clothed with authority of state is action taken under 'color of law.'" Atkins v. Lanning. D.C.Okla., 415 F. Supp. 186, 188.

Colour

- **“Colour, color. Signifies a probable plea, but which is in fact false...”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835, Volume 1
- **“Colour of Office, color officii. Is when an act is evilly done by the countenance of an office; and always taken in the worst sense, being grounded upon corruption, to which the office is as a shadow and colour...”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835, Volume 1

Colour

- “**COLOUR.** [Lat. *color*, q. v.] In pleading. An apparent or prima facie right. "To give colour" is to admit such a right. *Steph. Pl.* 202, 203. Colour is of two kinds, implied and expressed. *Implied colour* is that which is naturally inherent in the structure of all pleas in confession and avoidance. *Id.* 206. That is, they all *admit a right* in the opposite party, but at the same time avoid it. See *Confession and avoidance.* *Express colour* is defined to be, "a feigned matter, pleaded by the defendant in an action of trespass, from which the plaintiff seems to have a good cause or action, whereas he has in truth only an appearance or colour of cause." *Bac. Abr. Trespass*, (T. 4).” *Burril A New Law Dictionary* 1871, Volume 1, page 311-312

Colour

- **“Extortion, extortio, from extorqueo, to wrest away. In a large sense, any oppression under colour of right. 2 Rol. 263; 1 Ld. Raym. 149; 4 Mod. 101; 8 Mod. 189; 1 Stra, 73, 74. It is usually applied to that abuse of public justice which consists in the unlawful taking by an officer, etc., by colour of his office, of any money, or valuable thing, from a person where none at all is due, or not so much is due, or before it is due. Co. Lit. 368; 10 Rep. 102. See til. Bribery, Fees.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835, Volume 1 [emphasis added]**

Colour

- **“Bull, bulla. A brief or mandate of the pope or bishop of Rome....that all bulls, briefs, and dispensations had or obtained from the bishop of Rome should be void. And ...if any person shall obtain from Rome any bull or writing, to absolve or reconcile such as forsake their due allegiance, or shall give or receive absolution by colour of such bull, or use or publish such bull, etc., it is high treason...”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835, Volume 1 [emphasis added]

Color of Law

“-He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:...” Declaration of Independence 1776 [emphasis added]

Color of Law

“-He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.

-For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent:

-For depriving us in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury:

-For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:

-He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.

-For protecting them, by a mock Trial...” Declaration of

Independence 1776 [emphasis added]

Color of Law

- **“Fornication, Fornicatio, 1 H. 7. 4. Whoredom, the Aft of incontinency between single persons; for if either Party be married, it is Adultery: The first offense herein was punished with Three Months Imprisonment; the second was made Felony in the late Times of Usurpation, by a pretended Act made 1650. Cap. 10. Scobells Collection.”** Cowells Law Dictionary, 1708 Edition

Color of Law

- **“Judicial Proceedings. No Judicial Proceedings commenced or prosecuted in the Stile of Oliver Lord Protector, &c. were abateable by his Majesty K, Charles the second'. re-assuming the Government; And a pretended Act of Parliament, for turning the Books of the Law, and Proceedings of Courts of Justice, into English, was declared to be in Force, by Stat. 12 Car. z. c. 3. See process.”** Jacob A New Law Dictionary 1750 Edition

Color of Law

- “Statute, (*Statutum*) ... To Statutes enacted in Parliament, there must be the Assent of the King, Lords, and Commons, without which there can be no good Act of Parliament; but there are many Acts in Force, though these three Assents are not mentioned therein, as *Dominus Rex slatuit in Parlamento*, and *Diminus Rex in Parlamento suo Statuta edit*, and *de Communi Concilio Statuit, etc. Plowd. 79. 2 Bulst. 186.* ...Jacob A New Law Dictionary, 1750 Edition [emphasis added]

Color of Law

- These Customs PIGs are representing you with the intent to criminally convert you into a corporation to facilitate their assaults, kidnappings, and false imprisonments, and I FIRED the PIGs
- “Representation”, and why “there is no taxation without representation”, is covered extensively in Corruption in the Courts 3

Color of Law

- **"In other words, Dominion legislation, even though it deals with Dominion property . . ."**
Reference Re: Employment and Social Insurance Act, 1935, [1937] 1 D.L.R. 684, Privy Council
- **"The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the United States"** US Constitution, Article 4, Section 3, Clause 2 [emphasis added]

Taxes in Commerce ONLY

❖ **“All subjects over which the sovereign power of the state extends are objects of taxation, but those over which it does not extend are exempt from taxation. This proposition may also be pronounced as self-evident. The sovereignty of the state extends to everything which exists by its authority or its permission.”** McCullough v Maryland, 17 U.S. [4 Wheat] 316 (1819). [emphasis added]

Taxes in Commerce ONLY

- ❖ **"The individual, unlike the corporation, cannot be taxed for the mere privilege of existing. The corporation is an artificial entity which owes its existence and charter powers to the state; but the individuals' rights to live and own property are natural rights for the enjoyment of which an excise cannot be imposed." Redfield v. Fisher, 292 P. 813, 135 Or. 180, 294 P.461, 73 A.L.R. 721 (1931),**

Color of Law

- A statute that is NOT properly enacted is color of law
- Even if a statute is properly enacted it ONLY affects government property
- All officers acting under Color of Law are operating under Color of Office because a statute ONLY affects government property
- All officers acting under Color of Office are pretenders and impersonating a public official, are in their private capacity, and are PIGs

Color of Law = Color of Office

- “Color of office. An act which is done by an officer under the pretence or semblance that it is within his authority, when in truth it is not, is said to be done by color of office, or, in the Latin form, *colore officii*. The phrase implies, we think, some official power vested in the actor, - he must be at least officer *de facto*; we do not understand that an act of a mere pretender to an office, or false personator of an officer, is said to be done by color of office. And it implies an illegal claim of authority, by virtue of the office, to do the act or thing in question. Burrall 11. Acker, 23 *Wend.* 606; Winter *v.* Kinney, 1 *N. Y.* 365; Decker *v.* Judson, 16 *Id.* 439, 442; Griffiths *v.* Hardenbergh, 41 *Id.* 464. It imports a design to do an act in excess of authority, Kelly *v.* McCormick, 28 *N. Y.* 318. but not necessarily an evil or corrupt intent on the officer's part, Richardson *v.* Crandall, 48 *Id.* 348.” Abbott’s Law Dictionary, Volume 1, 1879 Edition, page 242

Color of Law

- **“The fact that the laws relating to criminal procedure in this state have not been completely revised and re-codified in more than a century past and the further fact that together with the crowded condition of the calendar in both Houses, create an emergency and an imperative public necessity that the Constitutional Rule requiring bills to be read on three several days be suspended, and said Rule is hereby suspended, and that this Act shall take effect and be in force and effect from and after 12 o'clock Meridian on the 1st day of January, Anno Domini, 1966, and it is so enacted.” Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Article 54.03 Emergency Clause [emphasis added]**

Color of Law – Emergency is Justification for Nothing

- **“Emergency does not create power. Emergency does not increase granted power or remove or diminish the restrictions imposed upon power granted or reserved. The Constitution was adopted in a period of grave emergency. Its grants of power to the Federal Government and its limitations of the power of the States were determined in the light of emergency, and they are not altered by emergency.”** Home Building and Loan Association v Blaisdel, 290 US 398 (1934),

Emergency Clause

- Most bills (approximately 3/4) passed in Texas and Arizona that I have looked through, have emergency clauses

Color of Law

- I have seen defects in State Session Laws, or US Congressional Record, or Hansards in Canada
 - 2 minutes to end of session and they read the bill, and the bill is 800 pages – they read the title of the Bill ONLY
 - They use “emergency” as a justification to throw out their rules of procedure for passing legislation, and sometimes they tell you and sometimes they do not
 - Many times the Governor General, or the President knows about the defects and refuses to sign the Bill
 - Another thing they do is made their codes different than what the actual session law says

Color of Law

“[1] It is well settled that “the Code cannot prevail over the Statutes at Large, when the two are inconsistent.” *Stephan v. United States*, 319 U.S. 423, 63 S.Ct. 1135, 1137, 80 L.Ed. 1490; *Royer’s Inc. v. United States*, 3 Cir., 265 F.2d 615. The provisions of the Code are merely prima facie evidence of the law. 1 U.S.C. § 204 (a).” *American Export Lines Inc. v. United States*, 290 F.2d 925, at 929 (July 19, 1961)

Color of Law

- **“An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed.”**
Norton vs Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, p. 442,

Fictions

- “[9] Whilst there is no evidence before the Court that Mr. Staufen was born in Vancouver or, for that matter, where he was born, the law is riddled with legal fictions, it is said. The Court may do so here, Mr. Azevedo suggests, and create a legal fiction with respect to Mr. Staufen’s name and place and date of birth.” Staufen v British Columbia (Attorney General), 2001 BCSC 779 [emphasis added]
- “In An Historical Introduction to English Law and Its Institutions (3rd Ed.) by Harold Potter, the learned author, at page 302, groups the fictions used into three classes; (1) fictions used to increase the jurisdiction of the Courts;...” Staufen v British Columbia (Attorney General), 2001 BCSC 779 [emphasis added]

Fictions

- **"Both in Roman and English law there are certain obligations which were not in truth contractual, but which the law treats as IF they were. They are contractual in law, but not in fact, being the subject-matter of a FICTITIOUS extension of the sphere of contract to cover obligations which do not in reality fall within it."**
Salmond, Salmond on Jurisprudence, p. 642 (9th Edition, 1937, Sweet & Maxwell, Ltd. England).

Fictions

- **"A quasi contractual action presupposes acceptance and retention of a benefit by one party with full appreciation of the facts, under circumstances making it inequitable for him to retain the benefit without payment of its reasonable value."** Major-Blakeney Co. v. Jenkins (1953), 121 C.A.2d 325, 263 P.2d 655, hear den.; Townsend Pierson, Inc. v. Holly-Coleman Co. (1960), 178 C.A.2d 373, 2 Cal. Rptr. 812.
- **"It is a well settled rule of law that he who seeks benefits of contract must also assume burdens."** Higgins v. Monckton (1938), 28 C.A.2d 723, 83 P.2d 516.

Fictions

- **"Voluntary acceptance of benefit of transaction is equivalent to consent to all obligations arising from it, so far as facts are known, or ought to be known, to person accepting."** Northern Assurance Co. v. Stout (1911), 16 C.A. 548, 117 P. 617.
- **"Constructive/quasi contracts are based solely upon a legal fiction or fiction of law."** Hill v. Waxberg, 237 F.2d 936,
- **"Constructive/quasi contracts include obligations founded on statutory duties."** Donovan v. Kansas City, 175 S. W. 2d 874; In Re United Burton Co., 140 F. 495, 502.

Fictions

- “To take an instance, when a person *sui juris* has given himself in adoption, or a woman has passed under *manus*’, all their property, incorporeal and corporeal, and all that is due to them, is acquired by the adopting father or *coeinptor*, except those things which perish by a *capitis diminutio*, of which kind are an usufruct, an obligation to services on the part of freedmen contracted by oath and matters enforceable by a statutable action.” The Commentaries of Gaius and Rules of Ulpian, Translated with Notes by J. T. Abdy, L.L.D., and Bryan Walker, M.A. L.L.D., 1874, Section 83, page 198-199, [emphasis added],

Fraud

- Everything these judicial whores do is a fraud
- **“Once a fraud, always a fraud.”** 13 Vin. Abr. 539.
- **“Things invalid from the beginning cannot be made valid by subsequent act.”** Trayner, Max. 482. Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1862
- **“A thing void in the beginning does not become valid by lapse of time.”** 1 S. & R. 58. Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1866
- **Time cannot render valid an act void in its origin.** Dig. 50, 17, 29; Broom, Max. 178, Maxims of Law, Black’s Law Dictionary 9th Edition, page 1862,

Color of Law

- These BAR Members both on the bench and off the bench deliberately convert your name into the style of ALL BLOCK CAPITAL LETTERS because it is color of law
 - The name of the court
 - The name of the case
 - Put it in a box under the four-corners rule

Color of Law

- **“four corners. The face of a written instrument. The phrase derives from the ancient custom of putting all instruments (such as contracts) on a single sheet of parchment, as opposed to multiple pages, no matter how long the sheet might be. At common law, this custom prevented people from fraudulently inserting materials into a fully signed agreement. The requirement was that every contract could have only four corners.”**
Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 1940-1941

Color of Law

- **“four-corners rule. 1. The principle that a document's meaning is to be gathered from the entire document and not from its isolated parts. [Cases: Contracts 143.5; Evidence 448. C.J.S. Contracts § 314.] 2. The principle that no extraneous evidence should be used to interpret an unambiguous document.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 1941**

Necromancy

- **There is a Youtube channel called Servant King and he explains very well that these judicial whores are using necromancy (bringing the dead to life) and witchcraft which is further proof that it is a satanic religious ceremony**
- **All BAR Members are Priests of BAAL**

Catholic people

- I have many wonderful friends who happen to be catholic
- Many ways, the catholic people are victims of this satanic Vatican as much as everybody else
- History is riddled with examples of the Vatican murdering whole communities for heresy, which is defined as any belief that they do not endorse

It is all coming from the Vatican

- Corruption in the Courts 3 talks more about the Vatican link to pretended legislation
- **“Jesuits &c. Born in the Kings Dominions and ordained by the pretended Jurisdiction of Rome remaining in *England* or coming from beyond Sea into this Kingdom, and not submitting to some Bishop or Justice of Peace within three Days, and taking the Oaths, are guilty of High Treason; and Receivers, Aiders and Harbourers of them, are guilty of Felony. *Stat. 27 Eliz. c. 2.* Persons knowing Priests, *Jesuits*, &c. and not discovering them to a Justice of Peace, shall be fined and imprisoned. *22 Car. 22.*”** Jacob A ©Common Law Copyright 2011. New Law Dictionary 1750 Edition ⁴²

The Vatican

- It is well documented that the Vatican is responsible for some of the worst atrocities in history
- It is well documented that they used to have bi-sexual copulation contests in the Vatican

The Vatican

- The International Criminal Court of Justice has convicted
 - Pope Benedict, of crimes against humanity
 - Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg, Queen of Canada of crimes against humanity
- The International criminal Court of Justice has also convicted Pope Francis
- Are we surprised when all of these Catholic priests are charged with child molestation
- There are stories of dead babies being buried under convents

The Vatican

- Negotiable Instruments Law is a subset of Roman Civil Law
- Statutes are Roman Civil Law
- Municipal Law is Roman Civil Law
- These judicial whores are operating under Roman Civil Law

Roman Civil Law

- “To take an instance, when a person *sui juris* has given himself in adoption, or a woman has passed under *manus*’, all their property, incorporeal and corporeal, and all that is due to them, is acquired by the adopting father or *coeinptor*, except those things which perish by a *capitis diminutio*, of which kind are an usufruct, an obligation to services on the part of freedmen contracted by oath and matters enforceable by a statutable action.” The Commentaries of Gaius and Rules of Ulpian, Translated with Notes by J. T. Aaby, L.L.D., and Bryan Walker, M.A. L.L.D., 1874, 46

Roman Civil Law

- “By *capitus diminutio* the right of agnation is destroyed, but that of cognation is not changed: because a civil law doctrine may destroy civil law rights, but it cannot destroy those of natural law.” The Commentaries of Gaius and Rules of Ulpian, Translated with Notes by J. T. Abody, L.L.D., and Bryan Walker, M.A. L.L.D., 1874, Section 158, page 56-57, [emphasis added],

Roman Civil Law

- **“"Civil Law," "Roman Law," and "Roman Civil Law" are convertible phrases, meaning the same system of jurisprudence. That rule of action which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established peculiarly for itself; more properly called "municipal" law, to distinguish it from the "law of nature," and from international law. See Bowyer, Mod. Civil Law, 19; Sevier v. Riley, 189 Cal. 170, 244 P. 323, 325” Black's Law Dictionary, Revised 4th Edition, page 312, [emphasis added]**

Roman Civil Law

- **“STATUS, the position of an individual regarded as a Person, the constitutive elements of which were liberty, citizenship and membership. in a family. - Civ. Law”** Wharton Law Lexicon, 1860 Edition, Page 710 [emphasis added]
- **“STATUS. L. Standing: state, condition, situation. Compare Estate. A corporation has no status as a citizen outside of the jurisdiction where it was created.”** Anderson’s Law Dictionary, 1889 Edition, page 968

Roman Civil Law

- **“STATUS.- § 1. The status of a person is his legal position or condition. Thus, when we say that the status of a woman after a decree *nisi* for the dissolution of her marriage with her husband has been made, but before it has been made absolute, is that of a married woman, we mean that she has the same legal rights, liabilities and disabilities as an ordinary married woman. (Norman v. Villars, 2 Ex. D. 359.) The term is chiefly applied to persons under disability (*q. v.*) or persons who have some peculiar condition which prevents the general law from applying to them in the same way as it does to ordinary persons.....”** Rapalje A Dictionary of American and English Law, Volume 2, 1888 edition, page 1218

Roman Civil Law

- **Status - § 2.** The question of status is of importance in jurisprudence, because it is generally treated as a basis for the classification of law. according as it applies to ordinary persons (general law, normal law, law of things), or to persons having a status, i. e. a disability or peculiar legal condition, such as infants, married women, lunatics, convicts, bankrupts, aliens, public officers, &c., (particular law, abnormal law, law of persons.) See Holl. Jur. 83 *et seq.*, where the opinions of other writers are referred to and criticised. See, alao, Kuntze Excuse 369....” Rapalje A Dictionary of American and English Law, Volume 2, 1888 edition, page 1218

Roman Civil Law

- **“STATUS. Standing, state or condition. Reynolds v. Pennsylvania Oil Co., 150 Cal. 629, 89 P. 610, 612. The legal relation of individual to rest of the community. Duryea v. Duryea, 46 Idaho 512, 269 P. 987, 988. The rights, duties, capacities and incapacities which determine a person to a given class. Campb. Austin 137. A legal personal relationship, not temporary in its nature nor terminable at the mere will of the parties, with which third persons and the state are concerned. Holzer v. Deutsche Reichsbahn Gesellschaft, 159 Misc. 830, 290 N.Y.S. 181, 191. While term implies relation it is not a mere relation. De La Montanya v. De La Montanya, 112 Cal. 101, 115, 44 P. 345, 348, 32 L.R.A. 82, 53 Am.St.Rep. 165. It also means *estate*, because it signifies the condition or circumstances in which one stands with regard to his property. In the Year Books, it was used in this sense; 2 Poll. & Maitl. Hist, E. L. 11.”**
Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, Copyright 2011, page 1580

Roman Civil Law

- **“STATUS - status. 1. A person's legal condition, whether personal or proprietary; the sum total of a person's legal rights, duties, liabilities, and other legal relations, or any particular group of them separately considered <the status of a landowner>.2. A person's legal condition regarding personal rights but excluding proprietary relations <the status of a father> <the status of a wife>.3. A person's capacities and incapacities, as opposed to other elements of personal status <the status of minors>.4. A person's legal condition insofar as it is imposed by the law without the person's consent, as opposed to a condition that the person has acquired by agreement <the status of a slave>.**
- **“By the status (or standing) of a person is meant the position that he holds with reference to the rights which are recognized and maintained by the law — in other words, his capacity for the exercise and enjoyment of legal rights.” James Hadley, **Introduction to Roman Law 106 (1881)**, Black's Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 4417**

Roman Civil Law

- **“LAW OF STATUS - law of status. The category of law dealing with personal or nonproprietary rights, whether in rem or in personam. • It is one of the three departments into which civil law is divided. Cf. LAW OF OBLIGATIONS; LAW OF PROPERTY.”**
Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2591
- **“LAW OF PERSONS - law of persons. The law relating to persons; the law that pertains to the different statuses of persons. • This is also commonly known as the jus personarum, a shortened form of jus quod ad personas pertinet (“the law that pertains to persons”). See JUS PERSONARUM.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2590

Roman Civil Law

- **“FREEMAN - freeman. 1. A person who possesses and enjoys all the civil and political rights belonging to the people under a free government. 2. A person who is not a slave. 3.Hist. A member of a municipal corporation (a city or borough) who possesses full civic rights, esp. the right to vote. 4.Hist. A freeholder. Cf. VILLEIN. 5.Hist. An allodial landowner. Cf. VASSAL. — Also spelled free man.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 1963

Roman Civil Law

- **“Freeman (liber homo). The distinction of a freeman from a vassal; under the feudal policy liber homo, was commonly opposed to vassus, or vassallus, the former denoting an allodial proprietor, the latter one who held of a superior. Cowel. Blount.**
- **The title of freeman is also given by any one admitted to the freedom of a corporate town, or any other corporate body, consisting, among other members, of those called freemen.”**

Williams Law Dictionary 1816 Edition,

Roman Civil Law

- **“FREEMAN. A person in the possession and enjoyment of all the civil and political rights accorded to the people under a free government. In the Roman law, it denoted one who was either born free or emancipated, and was the opposite of "slave." In feudal law, it designated an allodial proprietor, as distinguished from a vassal or feudal tenant. (And so in Pennsylvania colonial law. Fry's Election Case, 71 Pa. 308, 10 Am.Rep. 698.) In old English law, the word described a freeholder or tenant by free services; one who was not a villein. In modern legal phraseology, it is the appellation of a member of a city or borough having the right of suffrage, or a member of any municipal corporation invested with full civic rights.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 793**

Roman Civil Law

- **“Capitis deminutio is the destruction of the ‘caput’ or legal personality. Capitis deminutio, so to speak, wipes out the former individual and puts a new one in his place, and between the old and the new individual there is, legally speaking, nothing in common. A juristic personality may be thus destroyed in one of three ways: (1) by loss of the status libertatis. This is the capitis deminutio maxima; (2) by loss of the status civitatis. This is the capitis deminutio media (magna); (3) by severance from the agnatic family. This entails capitis deminutio minima.” Rudolph Sohm, The Institutes: A Textbook of the History and System of Roman Private Law 178–79 (James Crawford Ledlie trans., 3d ed. 1907).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 629₅₈
[emphasis added]**

Roman Civil Law

- **capitis deminutio maxima** (kap-i-tis dem-i-n[y]oo-shee-oh mak-si-m<<schwa>>). [Latin “maximum reduction of status”] **Roman law. The diminution of a person's legal status as a result of being reduced to slavery.**” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 629 [emphasis added]

Roman Civil Law

- **“CAPITIS DIMINUTIO. In Roman law. A diminishing or abridgment of personality; a loss or curtailment of a man's status or aggregate of legal attributes and qualifications.**
- **CAPITIS DIMINUTIO MAXIMA. The highest or most comprehensive loss of *status*. This occurred when a man's condition was changed from one of freedom to one of bondage, when he became a slave. It swept away with it all rights of citizenship and all family rights.” Black’s Law Dictionary 4th Edition, page 264 [emphasis added]**

Roman Civil Law

“Liberty ...The words of the Great Charter, c. 29, are "*Nullus liber homo capiatur, imprisonetur, vel aliquo modo destruat, nisi per legale iudicium parium suorum aut per legem terrae.* No freeman shall be taken, imprisoned, or any way destroyed, unless by the lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land." Which words, *aliquo modo destruat*, according to *Coke*, include a prohibition not only of killing or maiming, but also of torturing, (to which our laws are strangers,) and of every oppression by colour of an illegal authority. And it is enacted by *5 Edw. 3. c. 9.* that no man shall be attached by any accusation, nor forejudged of life or limb, nor shall his lands or goods be seised into the king's hands contrary to the Great Charter, and the law of the land. And again by *28 Edw. 3. c. 3.* that no man shall be put to death without being brought to answer by due process of law. *1 Comm. 133.*” Tomlin’s Law

Dictionary, 1835 Edition, Volume 2

Roman Civil Law

- **Liberty** - The right of personal liberty consists in the power of loco-motion, of changing situation, or moving one's person to whatsoever place one's own inclination may direct; without imprisonment or restraint, unless by due course of law. On this right there is at present no occasion to enlarge. For the provisions made by the laws of England to secure it, see titles *Arrest, Bail, False Imprisonment, Habeas Corpus, &c. &c.*
- **The absolute right of property**, inherent in every Englishman, consists in the free use, enjoyment, and disposal of all his acquisitions, **without any control or diminution, save only the laws of the land.**” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835 Edition, Volume 2

Roman Civil Law

- **“Capacity - The capacity of both natural and legal persons determines whether they may make binding amendments to their rights, duties and obligations, such as getting married or merging, entering into contracts, making gifts, or writing a valid will. Capacity is an aspect of status and both are defined by a person's personal law: for natural persons, the law of domicile in common law jurisdictions, and either the law of nationality or *lex patriae*, or of habitual residence in civil law states; for legal persons, the law of the place of incorporation, the *lex incorporationis* for companies while other forms of business entity derive their capacity either from the law of the place in which they were formed or the laws of the states in which they establish a presence for trading purposes depending on the nature of the entity and the transactions entered into. When the law limits or bars a person from engaging in specified activities, any agreements or contracts to do so are either voidable or void for incapacity. Sometimes such legal incapacity is referred to as *incompetence*.”** From Wikipedia the free encyclopedia [emphasis added]

Roman Civil Law

- **“Summary proceeding. Any proceeding by which a controversy is settled, case disposed of, or trial conducted, in a prompt and simple manner, without the aid of a jury, without presentment or indictment, or in other respects out of the regular course of the common law. In procedure, proceedings are said to be summary 'when they are short and simple in comparison with regular proceedings; e., in comparison with the proceedings which alone would have been applicable, either in the same or analogous cases, if summary proceedings had not been available. Sweet. And see Phillips v. Phillips, 8 N.J.L. 122.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 1369**

Roman Civil Law

- **“summary proceeding. A nonjury proceeding that settles a controversy or disposes of a case in a relatively prompt and simple manner. — Also termed summary trial. Cf. plenary action under ACTION(4). “Summary proceedings were such as were directed by Act of Parliament, there was no jury, and the person accused was acquitted or sentenced only by such person as statute had appointed for his judge. The common law was wholly a stranger to summary proceedings.” A.H. Manchester. Modern Legal History of England and Wales, 1750–1950 160 (1980).” Black’s Law**

Roman Civil Law

- Plenary jurisdiction is a military dictatorship
- **“plenary jurisdiction.... A court's full and absolute power over the subject matter and the parties in a case.”** Black's Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2495

Roman Civil Law

- Summary Proceeding is another name for the Star Chamber that was abolished by England
- **“The corrupt Star Chamber Courts of England required defendants to have counsel. Star Chamber stood for swiftness and arbitrary power, [Admiralty Maritime Law] it was a limitation on the common law.”** Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 821 [Emphasis added]

Magna Carta

- The Magna Carta says that these Crown PIGs are not supposed to be causing us injury under any circumstances
- **“No freeman shall be taken and imprisoned, or disseized, or exiled, or in any way destroyed, nor will we go upon him, nor send upon him, except by the lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.”** Chapter 29, Magna Carta
- It does NOT say “except for Customs [PIGs]”!!

Magna Carta

- Chapter 13 says that there would be free customs because they were obviously tired of being assaulted, by their Customs PIGs at the time
- **“And the city of London shall have all it ancient liberties and free customs, as well by land as by water; furthermore, we decree and grant that all other cities, boroughs, towns, and ports shall have all their liberties and free customs.”** Magna Carta, Chapter 13

Magna Carta

- **“The principle that no person should be deprived of life, liberty, or property except by due process of law did not originate in the American system of constitutional law, but was contained in the Magna Charta (sometimes referred to as Chapter 29), confirmed on the 19th day of June, 1215, declared:**
- **"No freeman shall be taken, or imprisoned, or disseised, or outlawed, or exiled, or anywise destroyed; nor shall we go upon him, nor send upon him, but by lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land."**
- **It has even been said that the principle was known before Magna Charta and that it was originally designed to secure the subject against arbitrary action of the crown, and to place him under the protection of the law. It is settled beyond question that this principle came from England to America as part of the common law and has been a fundamental rule in common law. When first adopted in Magna Charta, the phrase, "law of the land," had reference to the common law and has been a fundamental rule in common law.”**¹⁶
© Common Law Copyright 2011
Am. Jur. 2d, Constitutional Law, Section 543. [emphasis added]

Magna Carta

- **“To be that statutes which would deprive a citizen of the rights of person or property without a regular trial, according to the course and usage of common law, would not be the law of the land.” (Jury) Hoke vs Henderson, 15, N.C. 15, 25 AN Dec 677 [emphasis added]**

Magna Carta

- **“The words “by the law of the land” as here used do not mean a statute passed for the purpose of working the wrong.....This Section was taken with some modifications from a part of the 29th Chapter of the Magna Carta, which provided that no freeman should be taken or imprisoned or be disseized of his freehold etc., but by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land. Ld. Coke in his commentary upon this statute says that these words “by the law of the land” mean “by the due course and process of law”; which he afterwards explains to be, “by indictment and presentment of good and lawful men where such deeds are done in due manner or by writ original of the common law” 2 Inst. 45, 50” Talyer v Porter, 4 Hill 773 (1843) New York Supreme Court, [emphasis added]**

Blackstone

- **“This law of nature, being coeval with mankind and dictated by God himself, is of course superior in obligation to any other. It is binding over all the globe in all countries, and at all times: no human laws are of any validity, if contrary to this; and such of them as are valid derive all their force, and all their authority, mediately or immediately, from this original.”** Blackstone’s Commentaries on the Laws of England (1765-1769) at number 41

Magna Carta not relevant??

- Tillman, the whore, says that the Magna Carta is no longer relevant, in some of his decisions
- That is the same thing Tillman's whore buddies said before the Magna Carta
- ❖ **“The law of England is divided into 3 parts;**
 - ❖ **The common law, which is the most general and ancient law of the realm...**
 - ❖ **Statutes or Acts of Parliament, and**
 - ❖ **Particular Customs**
- ❖ **The Common Law appeareth in the statute of Magna Carta and other ancient statutes (which for the most part are affirmations of the common law)...”** Sir Edward Coke, 1552-1634, *The First Part of the Institutes of the Laws of England*

Magna Carta not relevant?

- Tillman's whore buddies said the Magna Carta was not relevant just before
 - The Confirmatio Chartarum
 - The glorious revolution
 - The English Civil War
 - The War of Independence
- Tillman, and his handler Rooke, obviously intends to precipitate another war so his Vatican buddies can make some money!
- Tillman and Rooke and their whore buddies are bought and paid for, and they provide the best justus that money can buy!

Roman Civil Law

- They are NOT acting as a judge, but was acting to enforce a statute
- **"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially."** Thompson v. Smith 154 SE 583. [emphasis added]
- **"Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities"** Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1

No Immunity

- **“Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.”** Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202
- **“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.”** Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)
- **“An officer who acts in violation of the Constitution ceases to represent the government”.** Brookfield Const. Co. v. Stewart, 284 F. Supp. 94.

Roman Civil Law

- They are imposters putting on a charade with his kangaroo court to complete his quasi-contract
- **“IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. They are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 *Hawk. P. C. c. 5.*”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835, Volume 1, [emphasis added],

Imposters

- **“IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments, are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 *Hawk. P. C. c. 7. 4 Black 62*”** William’s Law Dictionary, 1816, [emphasis added],
- **“impostor. One who pretends to be someone else to deceive others, esp. to receive the benefits of a negotiable instrument. — Also spelled imposter. [Cases: Banks and Banking 147; Bills and Notes 201, 279. C.J.S. Banks and Banking §§ 415–416; Bills and Notes; Letters of Credit §§ 29, 150–151.]”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2210 [emphasis added],

Imposters

- **“IMPOSTORS in religion, are such as falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven, or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. They are punishable by fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 *Haw.* 7. And by the statute 9 *G.2.c.5.* all persons who pretend to use any kind of witchcraft, forcery, incantment, or conjuration; or undertake to tell fortunes; or pretend, from their skill in the occult sciences, to find out, goods that have been stolen; shall be imprisoned for a year, and once in every quarter of that year be set on the pillory.”** Burn A New Law Dictionary 1792, page 376

Void Judgments

- **"Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351." Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.**

Void Judgments

- **“Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.”** City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).

Void Judgments

- **“Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time”** In re Estate of Steinfield, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also Steinfield v. Hoddick, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).
- **“A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree.”**
Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety, 480 So. 2d 577 (Ala. Civ. App. 1985).

Void Judgments

- A void order results from a ‘fundamental defect’ in proceedings (Upjohn LJ in *Re Pritchard (deceased)* [1963] 1 Ch 502 and Lord Denning in *Firman v Ellis* [1978] 3 WLR 1) or from a ‘without jurisdiction’/*ultra vires* act of a public body or judicial office holder (Lord Denning in *Pearlman v Governors of Harrow School* [1978] 3 WLR 736).

Void Judgments

- A ‘without jurisdiction’/*ultra vires* act is any act which a Court did not have power to do (Lord Denning in *Firman v Ellis* [1978] QB 866).
- A void order is incurably void and all proceedings based on the invalid claim or void act are also void. Even a decision of the higher Courts (High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) will be void if the decision is founded on an invalid claim or void act, because something cannot be founded on nothing (Lord Denning in *MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd.* [1961] AC 152).

Oaths

- **“OATH....All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are *coram non judice*, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 *Inst.* 165; 4 *Inst.* 278; 2 *Roll. Abr.* 277.”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835 Edition, Volume 2 [emphasis added],

Oaths

- **"All vows, obligations, oaths, anthems, whether called Konan, konas, or by any other name, by which we may be bound, from this day of atonement unto the next... we do repent. May they be deemed absolved, forgiven, annulled and void, and made of no effect. They shall not bind us nor have any power over us. The vows shall not be reckoned vows; the obligations shall not be obligatory, nor the oaths be oaths."**Jewish Encyclopedia volume 8, on page 539, and also in the "REVISED FESTIVAL PRAYERS," published in 1919 by the Hebrew Publishing Company, New

Oaths

- **“Whenever you see any of our signs made by a brother Mason, and especially the grand hailing sign of distress, you must always be sure to obey them, even at the risk of your life. If you're on a jury, and the defendant is a Mason and makes the grand hailing sign, you must obey it; you must disagree with your brother jurors, if necessary, but you must be sure not to bring the Mason guilty, for that would bring disgrace upon our order. You must conceal all crimes of your brother Masons except murder and treason, and these at your own option, and should you be summoned as a witness against a brother Mason, be always sure to shield him. Prevaricate, don't tell the truth in this case, keep his secrets, forget the important points. It may be perjury to do this true, but you are keeping your obligations.”** Page 183 of the Masonic Handbook © Common Law Copyright 2011

Oaths

- **“Perjury – In municipal law perjury is defined by be willful false swearing to a material matter, when an oath has been administered by lawful authority. The violation of vows or promissory oaths taken before one who is not legally authorized to administer then, that is to say, one who is not a magistrate, does not involve the crime of perjury. Such is the technical definition of the law; but the moral sense of mankind does not assent to such a doctrine, and considers perjury, as the root of the word indicates, the doing of that which one has sworn not to do, or the omitting to do that which he has sworn to do. The old Romans seem to have taken a sensible view of the crime of perjury. Among them oaths were not often administered, and, in general, a promise made under oath had no more binding power in a court of justice than it would have had without the oath. False swearing was with them a matter of conscience, and the person who was guilty of it was responsible to the Diety alone...”** An Encyclopedia of Freemasonry, 1916 Edition, Volume II, page 555-556

Jesuit Oath

- **Superior speaks: “My son, heretofore you have been taught to act the dissembler: among Roman Catholics to be a Roman Catholic, and to be a spy even among your own brethren; to believe no man, to trust no man. Among the Reformers, to be a reformer; among the Huguenots, to be a Huguenot; among the Calvinists, to be a Calvinist; among other Protestants, generally to be a Protestant, and obtaining their confidence, to seek even to preach from their pulpits, and to denounce with all the vehemence in your nature our Holy Religion and the Pope; and even to descend so low as to become a Jew among Jews, that you might be enabled to gather together all information for the benefit of your Order as a faithful soldier of the Pope.**

Jesuit Oath

- **You have been taught to insidiously plant the seeds of jealousy and hatred between communities, provinces, states that were at peace, and incite them to deeds of blood, involving them in war with each other, and to create revolutions and civil wars in countries that were independent and prosperous, cultivating the arts and the sciences and enjoying the blessings of peace. To take sides with the combatants and to act secretly with your brother Jesuit, who might be engaged on the other side, but openly opposed to that with which you might be connected, only that the Church might be the gainer in the end, in the conditions fixed in the treaties for peace and that the end justifies the means.**

Jesuit Oath

- **...You have received all your instructions heretofore as a novice, a neophyte, and have served as co-adjurer, confessor and priest, but you have not yet been invested with all that is necessary to command in the Army of Loyola in the service of the Pope. You must serve the proper time as the instrument and executioner as directed by your superiors; for none can command here who has not consecrated his labors with the blood of the heretic; for "without the shedding of blood no man can be saved." Therefore, to fit yourself for your work and make your own salvation sure, you will, in addition to your former oath of obedience to your order and allegiance to the Pope, repeat after me---**“

Jesuit Oath

- **"1, _ now, in the presence of Almighty God, the Blessed Virgin Mary, the blessed Michael the Archangel, the blessed St. John the Baptist, the holy Apostles St. Peter and St. Paul and all the saints and sacred hosts of heaven, ...**
- **...I furthermore promise and declare that I will, when opportunity present, make and wage relentless war, secretly or openly, against all heretics, Protestants and Liberals, as I am directed to do, to extirpate and exterminate them from the face of the whole earth; and that I will spare neither age, sex or condition; and that I will hang, waste, boil, flay, strangle and bury alive these infamous heretics, rip up the stomachs and wombs of their women and crush their infants' heads against the walls, in order to annihilate forever their execrable race.**

Jesuit Oath

- **That when the same cannot be done openly, I will secretly use the poisoned cup, the strangulating cord, the steel of the poniard or the leaden bullet, regardless of the honor, rank, dignity, or authority of the person or persons, whatever may be their condition in life, either public or private, as I at any time may be directed so to do by any agent of the Pope or Superior of the Brotherhood of the Holy Faith, of the Society of Jesus.**
- **In confirmation of which, I hereby dedicate my life, my soul and all my corporal powers, and with this dagger which I now receive, I will subscribe my name written in my own blood, in testimony thereof; and should I prove false or weaken in my determination, may my brethren and fellow soldiers of the Militia of the Pope cut off my hands and my feet, and my throat from ear to ear, my belly opened and sulphur burned therein, with all the punishment that can be inflicted upon me on earth and my soul be tortured by demons in an eternal hell forever!**

Jesuit Oath

- **All of which, I, __, do swear by the Blessed Trinity and blessed Sacraments, which I am now to receive, to perform and on my part to keep inviolable; and do call all the heavenly and glorious host of heaven to witness the blessed Sacrament of the Eucharist, and witness the same further with my name written and with the point of this dagger dipped in my own blood and sealed in the face of this holy covenant."** Taken from the book Subterranean Rome by Charles Didier, translated from the French and published in New York in 1843. Dr. Alberto Rivera escaped from the Jesuit Order in 1967, and he describes his Jesuit oath in exactly the same way as it appears in this book. The Jesuit Oath of Induction is also recorded in the Congressional Record of the U.S.A. (House Bill 1523, Contested election case of Eugene C. Bonniwell, against Thos. S. Butler, Feb. 15, 1913, pp. 3215-3216).

United States NOT Christian

- **“As the government of the United States of America is not in any sense founded on the Christian religion – as it has in itself no character of enmity against the laws, religion or tranquility of Musselmen – and as the said states never have entered into any war or act of hostility against any Mahometan nation, it is declared by the parties, that no pretext arising from religious opinions shall ever produce an interruption of the harmony existing between the two countries.”**
Article XI, Treaty of Peace and Friendship between the United States of America, and the Bey and Subjects of Tripoli, of Barbary, November 4, 1796, 8 Stat. 154⁹⁶

Estoppel Certificates

- I have filed several Criminal Complaints under oath against these Canada Border PIGs and their handlers in the Canadian Federal corporate so-called government, and Provincial corporate so-called government
- **“ESTOPPEL - estoppel (e-stop-<<schwa>>l), n.1. A bar that prevents one from asserting a claim or right that contradicts what one has said or done before or what has been legally established as true. [Cases: Estoppel 52–59. C.J.S. Estoppel §§ 2–4, 58–64, 66–81, 83–89, 120–121, 153–155, 157, 159–160, 167.]”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition page 1662

Estoppel

- **“ESTOPPEL CERTIFICATE - estoppel certificate.1. A signed statement by a party (such as a tenant or a mortgagee) certifying for another's benefit that certain facts are correct, as that a lease exists, that there are no defaults, and that rent is paid to a certain date. • A party's delivery of this statement estops that party from later claiming a different state of facts.” Blacks’ Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 1665**

Estoppel

- **“estoppel by silence. Estoppel that arises when a party is under a duty to speak but fails to do so. — Also termed estoppel by standing by; estoppel by inaction. [Cases: Estoppel 95. C.J.S. Estoppel § 99.]”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 1664
- **“The principles of estoppel apply against the state as well as individuals.”** Cal. v. Sims, 32 C3d 468.

Constructive Notice

- The documents are recorded into the public, at the County Recorders office, or part of a lawsuit that they were served with, and is on file at the courthouse
- Copies of the recorded documents were served on the respondents

Constructive Notice

- **“constructive notice. Notice arising by presumption of law from the existence of facts and circumstances that a party had a duty to take notice of, such as a registered deed or a pending lawsuit; notice presumed by law to have been acquired by a person and thus imputed to that person. — Also termed legal notice.”** Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 3369
- **“record notice. Constructive notice of the contents of an instrument, such as a deed or mortgage, that has been properly recorded.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3370

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Criminal Corporation called Canada which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322, 37 pages total
- Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Rob Nicholson, Vic Toews, and hired thugs which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-022428, 86 pages total
- Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint Alberta Court of Appeals which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321, 116 pages total

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Alberta Solicitor General which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324, 129 pages total
- Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada & hired thugs which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-061565, 74 pages total

Criminal Complaint 2012-061565

Twenty-two. Attached to the Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada Notice and Demand 113011 in the package sent by Registered Mail RR 569 486 784 US was;

- a. the Petition of Right, that was filed in the Alberta Court of queen's Bench as case number 1006-00907,
- b. the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Criminal Corporation called Canada which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322,
- c. the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint and Claim of Right – Lethbridge City Police which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066323,

true copies of all of which were attached thereto, all of which were incorporated therein by reference in their entirety,

and in the package sent by Registered Mail RR 569 486 798 US was;

- a. the Counterclaim and Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Alberta Solicitor General which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324,
- b. the Solemn Asseveration of Citizenship which is filed into the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench case number 1006-00907,
- c. the Solemn Asseveration of Criminal complaint Alberta Court of Appeals which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321.

true copies of all of which were attached thereto, all of which were incorporated therein by reference in their entirety,

and the proof of service shows that Elizabeth received this on the seventeenth day of December at 0556 in the morning, a true copy of which is attached hereto, all of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety, and further,

Criminal Complaint 2012-061565

Thirty-nine. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, and her hired thugs; Wouters, Johnston, Ethell, Redford, Langston, Fraser, Nicholson, Harper, Price, Wittmann, Coleman, McKenzie, and Toews, and their hired thugs each know Canada excludes; Alberta Saskatchewan, British Columbia or any of the provinces. Canada is ONLY Admiralty Maritime Law without Lawful authority, "In every enactment...For greater certainty, "Canada" includes the internal waters of Canada and the territorial sea of Canada;" Section 35(1) Canada Interpretation Act

and this is consistent with what the courts are saying,

"The law of Congress... do not extend into the territorial limits of the states, but have force only in the District of Columbia, and other places that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the national government." *Caha v. United States*, 152 U.S. 211 (1894),

"The exclusive jurisdiction which the United States have in forts and dock-yards ceded to them, is derived from the express assent of the states by whom the cessions are made. It could be derived in no other manner; because without it, the authority of the state would be supreme and exclusive therein," *U.S. v. Bevans*, 16 U.S. 336, 3 Wheat, at 350, 351 (1818),

but these criminals, Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, and her hired thugs; Wouters, Johnston, Ethell, Redford, Langston, Fraser, Nicholson, Harper, Price, Wittmann, Coleman, McKenzie, and Toews and their hired thugs each know they have no authority on the land of Alberta, but each of them intend to bear false witness in their oaths by shoving their "color of law" down My throat, and the throat of people they know they have no authority over, and further,

Criminal Complaint 2012-061565

One hundred ten. This is proof that these adulterers Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, and her hired thugs, Wouters, Johnston, Ethell, Redford, Langston, Fraser, Nicholson, Harper, Price, Wittmann, Coleman, McKenzie, and Toews, intend to criminally convert My citizenship, and, Me to an incorporation in order for them to facilitate the violation of My rights, by unlawfully arresting Me, assaulting Me, kidnapping Me, and to facilitate my murder when I exercise My right to resist their unlawful arrest, and each of them are engaaging in treason, sedition, and perjury of oath, all of which is under the colour of law, and further,

Criminal Complaint 2012-022428

One hundred three. These criminals, Nicholson, and Toews, and their subordinates, and hired thugs, have intentionally perjured their oaths and are engaged in treason and sedition by forcing their martial law on Me with the force of arms, and further,

One hundred four. These criminals, Nicholson and Toews and their subordinates and hired thugs are doing the EXACT same thing that precipitated the Magna Carta (1215), and the War of Independence (1776), and they intend to precipitate another revolution to facilitate the overthrow of Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, and further,

One hundred five. This is proof that these perjurers intend to criminally convert My citizenship, and My name, to facilitate the violation of My rights, by unlawfully arresting Me, assaulting Me, kidnapping Me, and even murdering Me, and engaging in treason, sedition, and perjury of oath, all of which is under the colour of law, and further,

One hundred six. Nicholson and Toews are cowards because they never do anything themselves, and they send out their hired thugs to do it for them. They are cowards and will never meet me face to face because they are such cowards, and further,

One hundred seven. Go ahead, Nicholson and Toews, send out your hired thugs to MURDER Me, make my day! I have a death wish with criminals like them in this world so the sooner the better. I will make sure and have a butcher knife available to exercise My right to defend myself from their unlawful arrest, and we all know how they like to take away everybody's guns, so they MURDER them easier, and further,

Criminal Complaint 2012-022428

One hundred nine. Rob Nicholson, Attorney General for Canada, is giving aid and comfort to the enemy, and is an imposter operating without authority, under the color of law, and none of his JUDGMENTS/ORDERS are of any force and effect, and he is in criminal violation by knowingly, intentionally, willingly conspiring with criminals, to criminally convert My address, and My citizenship, and has violated his bond and his Corporate Charter, which violation is a Breach of the Trust which was established with the people of Alberta and against the Peace and Dignity of the People of Alberta. It is ORDERED that his bond be arrested, and he be arrested and prosecuted for TREASON in a time of war, giving aid and comfort to the enemy, SEDITION, Misprison of Felony, Extortion under color of Office, theft by conversion, Criminal Conversion, perjury of oath, theft, obstruction of justice, extortion, unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, assault, murder, and racketeering, and conspiracy to commit the above mentioned crimes, and further,

Criminal Complaint 2012-022428

One hundred ten. Vic Toews, Minister for Public Safety for Canada, is giving aid and comfort to the enemy, and is an imposter operating without authority, under the color of law, and none of his JUDGMENTS/ORDERS are of any force and effect, and he is in criminal violation by knowingly, intentionally, willingly conspiring with criminals, to criminally convert My address, and My citizenship, and has violated his bond and his Corporate Charter, which violation is a Breach of the Trust which was established with the people of Alberta and against the Peace and Dignity of the People of Alberta. It is ORDERED that his bond be arrested, and he be arrested and prosecuted for TREASON in a time of war, giving aid and comfort to the enemy, SEDITION, Misprison of Felony, Extortion under color of Office, theft by conversion, Criminal Conversion, perjury of oath, theft, obstruction of justice, extortion, unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, assault, murder, and racketeering, and conspiracy to commit the above mentioned crimes, and further,

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- Similar Statements against Vic Toews in the Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Rob Nicholson, Vic Toews & hired thugs which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-022428
- Similar statements against JCB McDonald, James H. Langston, Catherine Fraser, Alison Redford, Nancy A. McCurdy, Robert Nicholson, Vic Toews, and Barry Benkendorf in the Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Alberta Court of Appeals which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- Similar statements against Alison Redford, Barry Benkendorf, Robert Nicholson, Stephen Harper, David Johnston, J.D. Rooke, N.C. Whitmann, Tim Grubb, Tom McKenzie, Doug McLaughlin, James H. Langston, Nancy A. McCurdy, L. Christos, T. Ramotowsky, R. Sparrow, Robert Coleman, J. Delaney, Frank Oberle, Brad Pickering, and Mr. Price, in the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Criminal Corporation called Canada which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- Similar statements made against J Delaney, Frank Oberle, Brad Pickering, Ed Stelmeh, Donald S. Ethell, Stephen Harper, and David Johnston, in the Solemn Asseveration of Criminal Complaint – Alberta Solicitor General which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- Similar statements against Tom McKenzie, Doug McLaughlin, L. Christos, T Ramotowsky, R Sparrow, Robert Coleman, JD Rooke, Alison Redford, NC Whitmann, and Tim Grubb are in the Affidavit of Criminal Complaint – Lethbridge City Police 102010 which is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066323

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- Criminal complaints were attached to the Petition of Right that was filed in November of 2010
 - Affidavit of Criminal Complaint Lethbridge City Police
 - Affidavit of Criminal Complaint Lethbridge City Police 092010
 - Named Tom McKenzie, Doug McLaughlin, L. Christos, T Ramotowsky, R. Sparrow, and Robert Coleman
 - Affidavit of Criminal Complaint Lethbridge City Police 102010
 - Named Tom McKenzie, Doug McLaughlin, L. Christos, T Ramotowsky, R. Sparrow, Robert Coleman, JD Rooke, Alison Redford, NC Whitmann, and Tim Grubb
 - Affidavit of Criminal Complaint Canada Border Protection Services Agency
 - Rob Nicholson Affidavit of Criminal Complaint

Proof of Criminals in Canada

- The fact that the Canada Border PIGs had their Lookout Notice in their computer system is proof that they conspired to assault Me, and kidnap Me, and falsely imprison Me, and all of that was in the criminal complaints that were filed in
 - the Lethbridge Court of Queen’s Bench as part of the Petition of Right that I filed, as well as
 - the criminal complaints that were filed with the Pinal County Recorder
 - as described herein, and
 - the Canada Border PIGs video and
 - The Fire the United Nations Judicial Whores in Canada video

Kangaroo Courts in Canada

- My case with the Canada Border Services Agency PIGs was prejudged from before I even got to the border, by the judicial whore PIGs Rooke, Tillman, Matchett, and Redman, working for their de facto United Nations so-called court
- It was a summary trial (kangaroo court) in which I was denied my right to a trial by jury of My peers under their United Nations rules of procedure, which are designed to deny any real justice
- These judicial whore PIGs intended to deny Me due process, and I was denied due process from the beginning.
- This was all under the instructions of the PIG Elizabeth the tyrant, and the PIGs Johnston, Ethell, Harper and others

At Common Law

- At Common Law the jury has to be your peers
- At Common Law the jury calls the witnesses, questions the witnesses, determines the law and the facts in the matter, and even pronounces sentence if necessary
- At Common Law the jury can disregard the law, which is called jury nullification
- At Common Law the jury can do literally anything it wants

Fire the Whores Campaign

- The motivation of the de facto so-called judges is NOT for justice, but for the “appearance of justice” which is covered in Corruption in the Courts 4

Fire the Whores Campaign

- Intend to create business for their so-called court to;
 - Justify their existence
 - Create work for their de facto buddies
 - Collect more royalties
 - Get promoted to the Court of Appeals, or the Supreme court

Fire the Whores Campaign

- Create work for their buddies by
 - Making their decisions as cryptic as possible
 - Forcing the issue to another hearing
 - Ignoring the law, thereby forcing an appeal

Servant King

- There is a Youtube profile called Servant King
- Servant King explains how a court case is a satanic religions ceremony
- Servant King explains how a court case is witchcraft

Fire the Whores Campaign

- Do you want to place your future in the hands of a United Nations whore who wants to sit on the bench and play stupid?
- Do you want to put the future of your wife and children in the hands of one of these judicial whores, that have complete immunity no matter what, because if you sue one of them you have to get one of their whore buddies to do it?
- Sure some of them want to really do justice, but are you going to take the chance that you got a good one?

Fire the Whores Campaign

- Do you want to place your future in the hands of a whore that is bought and paid for by these Crown PIGs
- Do you want to place yourself in the hands of a judicial whore who is intent on converting you into a ward of the court (an imbecile)?

Judgment Day

- Do you consider yourself Christian?
- Do you try to follow the golden rule?
- Do you treat others the way you want to be treated?
- Do you think you will get any sympathy from God, on judgment day, when you have not done everything you can to put a stop to these satanic judicial whores, selling their justus

Karma – what goes around comes around

- Karma is the universal principle of “what goes around comes around”
- If we knowingly allow these judicial whores to victimize tens of thousands of people, in the name of their satanic religious ceremonies, don't you think that we will be held accountable
- Don't you think it will come back around at us?

Karma – what goes around comes around

- Don't you think it is already coming back around at us everyday
 - When they sell their justus in their de facto so-called courts
 - When their revenue officers masquerading as police officers unlawfully arrest us for commercial crimes, like speeding
 - When they deny us due process based on one of their fictitious quazi-contracts
 - When they populate their prisons with victimless crimes

Separation of Church and State

- All judges are foreign agents of the Vatican (see Presentation on Attorneys)
- This idea of separation of church and state was really about taking Christianity out of government and displacing it with the Satanic Roman Civil Law from the Vatican
- All so-called court cases are actually a satanic religious ceremony by Priests of BAAL.

Separation of Church and State

- Under the guise of the separation of church and state they have
 - Taken the Lord's prayer out of the schools
 - Taken the Christian symbols out of the courts
 - Taken Christian symbols out of the schools
- They have converted our Christian government into satanic government
- It has all been done by court rulings from these priests of BAAL masquerading as judges

Prisons

- At common law there are no prisons
 - That is why common law is so severe
- All prisons are commercial
- Most of the people in prison are in there for victimless crimes
- We need to FIRE the Whores and end the tyranny of these de facto United Nations courts and their Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
- Our court is ONLY a jury of our peers, and we are all being denied it, so these judicial whores can populate their prisons and collect royalties

Fire the Whores Campaign

- We all need to work together to bring these whores (tyrants) to justice
- I would like to see some of these whores (tyrants) do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope
- I am glad that it is up a jury of my peers, and not me

Upcoming Events

- Citizenship
- Color of Law
- Fire the United Nations Judicial Whores in Texas
- City of Fort Worth PIGs
- City of Grand Prairie PIGs

Summary

- **Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants**
- **I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations**
- **Send me an email for other copies of documents to; engineerwin@gmail.com**