



# Corruption in the Courts 3

by **Sovereignty International** (a trust)

C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437

Fort Worth, Texas

ZIP CODE EXEMPT 18 USC § 1342

engineerwin@gmail.com

Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com

Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com

www.sovereigntyinternational.info

# Overview

- Recently I was subjected to assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment by the Canada Border PIGs and forced to go through one of their kangaroo courts with their show-trial because I had the audacity to think I have some rights and filed a Petition of Right against the PIGs in 2010, which Langston, (the judicial whore), dismissed because he intended to precipitate all of this

# Overview

- I had filed a Notice of Appeal, but Redmond had ordered probation and a shrink because I had the audacity to think that I had any rights, and after my sister-in-law told me how the PIGs shrink told her that if my brother did not consent to a license, that the shrink was going to declare my brother insane, I realized that they were not interested in justice, and I will never see anything just from the hands of these criminals
- This is an attempt to expose these criminals for who they are

# Overview

- All of the material provided in these videos was submitted, in writing, into their kangaroo court, which is further proof that it was prejudged from the beginning, and it didn't matter what I said or did.
- This video is in addition to another video called Peace Officers, that is already uploaded, and another upcoming video called Canada Border PIGs, and another upcoming video called Corruption in the Courts 4

# Overview

- I intend to show that;
  - Redman was NOT a judge, but was in fact impersonating a judge
  - Redman was a Clerk for the Canada Border Services Agency PIGs
  - Redman pre-judged the case
  - Redman is a foreign agent of the Vatican
  - Redman represented me without authority
  - Redman's so-called Court is a United Nations Court
  - Redman and his United Nations so-called court is de facto

# Overview

- I intend to show that;
  - The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs are de facto
  - Redman's judgment is a void judgment, and a fraud and a nullity
  - Redman perjured his oath
  - Redman breached the trust
  - JD Rooke represented me without authority
  - JD Rooke perjured his oath
  - JD Rooke breached the trust
  - Neither Redman, nor Rooke, nor any of these PIGs have a lawful valid current oath

# PIGs

- Persons In Government who intend to breach the trust, and intend to perjure their oath

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **“When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially....but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity...”** Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **“Summary proceeding. Any proceeding by which a controversy is settled, case disposed of, or trial conducted, in a prompt and simple manner, without the aid of a jury, without presentment or indictment, or in other respects out of the regular course of the common law. In procedure, proceedings are said to be summary 'when they are short and simple in comparison with regular proceedings; *e.*, in comparison with the proceedings which alone would have been applicable, either in the same or analogous cases, if summary proceedings had not been available. Sweet. And see *Phillips v. Phillips*, 8 N.J.L. 122.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 1369 [emphasis added]**

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **“summary proceeding. A nonjury proceeding that settles a controversy or disposes of a case in a relatively prompt and simple manner. — Also termed summary trial. Cf. plenary action under ACTION(4). “Summary proceedings were such as were directed by Act of Parliament, there was no jury, and the person accused was acquitted or sentenced only by such person as statute had appointed for his judge. The common law was wholly a stranger to summary proceedings.” A.H. Manchester. Modern Legal History of England and Wales, 1750–1950 160 (1980).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3809 [emphasis added]**

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- Plenary jurisdiction is a military dictatorship
- **“plenary jurisdiction.... A court's full and absolute power over the subject matter and the parties in a case.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 2495

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- Summary Proceeding is another name for the Star Chamber that was abolished by England
- **“The corrupt Star Chamber Courts of England required defendants to have counsel. Star Chamber stood for swiftness and arbitrary power, [Admiralty Maritime Law] it was a limitation on the common law.”** Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 821 [Emphasis added]

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- Redman was enforcing a statute
- **"When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially."** Thompson v. Smith 154 SE 583. [emphasis added]
- **"Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities"** Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **"...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.) [emphasis added]**

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **"A judge ceases to set as a judicial officer because the governing principals of administrative law provides that courts are prohibited from substituting their evidence, testimony, record, arguments and rationale for that of the agency. Additionally, courts are prohibited from their substituting their judgments for that of the agency." AISI v US, 568 F2d 284.**

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **"It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762. [emphasis added]**

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- Redman is an imposter putting on a charade with his kangaroo court to complete his quasi-contract
- **“IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. They are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 *Hawk. P. C. c. 5.*”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835, Volume 1, [emphasis added],

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **“IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments, are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 *Hawk. P. C. c. 7. 4 Black 62*”** William’s Law Dictionary, 1816, [emphasis added],

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **“IMPOSTORS in religion, are such as falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven, or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. They are punishable by fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 *Haw.* 7. And by the statute 9 *G.2.c.5.* all persons who pretend to use any kind of witchcraft, forcery, incantment, or conjuration; or undertake to tell fortunes; or pretend, from their skill in the occult sciences, to find out, goods that have been stolen; shall be imprisoned for a year, and once in every quarter of that year be set on the pillory.”** Burn A New Law Dictionary 1792, page 376

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **“impostor. One who pretends to be someone else to deceive others, esp. to receive the benefits of a negotiable instrument. — Also spelled imposter. [Cases: Banks and Banking 147; Bills and Notes 201, 279. C.J.S. Banks and Banking §§ 415–416; Bills and Notes; Letters of Credit §§ 29, 150–151.]” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2210 [emphasis added],**

# Representation

- Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation
  - US War of Independence
  - English Civil War with Oliver Cromwell
  - Magna Carta
- “**...Revolutions have been fought over taxation without representation.**” Whitbourne (Town) v. Whitbourne Cottage Developers Ltd., 2005 CanLII 51786 (NL-PC) – 2005-02-25 [emphasis added]

# Representation

- **“If it were true that, according to the spirit of our Constitution, the power of taxation must be limited by the right of representation, whence is derived the right to lay and collect duties, imposts, and excises, within this District? If the principles of liberty and of our Constitution forbid the raising of revenue from those who are not represented, do not these principles forbid the raising it by duties, imposts, and excises, as well as by a direct tax?” Longborough v Blake 18 U.S. 317 (1820), [emphasis added],**

# Representation

- **“the fundamental constitutional principle that there shall be no taxation without representation (see Birks, at c. 6; Hogg, at p. 55-16; and Hogg and Monahan, at pp. 246-47). [...] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law. [...] This principle of "no taxation without representation" is central to our conception of democracy and the rule of law.”**  
Kingstreet Investments Ltd. V New Brunswick (Finance), 2007 SCC 1, [2007] 1 SCR 3 – 2007-01-11 [emphasis added],

# Representation

- Now they forcibly represent you whether you like it or not
  - That is how the CRA thieves justify their thefts
  - That is how the IRS thieves justify their thefts
  - That is how the Customs thieves justify their thefts, assaults, kidnappings, and false imprisonments
  - Then they drag you into their Kangaroo court

# Representation

- They make a legal determination for you
  - They cannot make a legal determination for you, without representing you!
  - He is a taxpayer

# Representation

- It is impossible for anyone to make a legal determination for you, without representing you.
- Any bureaucrat who makes a legal determination for you, and thereby represents you, after you have FIRED them has breached the trust, and perjured their oath

# Representation

- I served Matchett, the Chief Judge, LeGrandeur, Associate Chief Judge, and Redmond with a Notice and Demand in which I FIRED them, but they intended to represent Me without authority, because they intended to get into one of their quasi-contracts to justify their CBSA PIG buddies, and continue on with the vendetta against Me because I had the audacity to think I had some rights, and filed a Petition of Right against the PIGs as case number 1006-00907 all of which is evidenced in the upcoming Canada Border PIGs video.

# Selling their justus

- **“To no one will we sell, to no one will we refuse or delay right or justice” Chapter 40 Magna Carta (1215)**
- These so-called judges are selling their justice and they are refusing it if you don't pay their fee, because they intend to nullify their oath of office, and convert the case into a commercial transaction
- These so-called judges intend to convert a right into a privilege

# Selling their justus

- These so-called judges intend to represent you without authority to fabricate evidence
  - to justify their thefts, and assaults, and kidnappings,
  - to justify their denials of due process, and
  - To justify the murders of their hired thugs, who are NOT operating in their official capacity as Peace Officers as described in the video on Peace Officers

# Quasi-Contracts

**“Assumpsit - ....In its origin an action of tort, [assumpsit] was soon transformed into an action of contract, becoming afterwards a remedy where there was neither tort nor contract. Based at first only upon an express promise, it was afterwards supported upon an implied promise, and even upon a fictitious promise. Introduced as a special manifestation of the action on the case, it soon acquired the dignity of a distinct form of action, which superseded Debt, became concurrent with Account, with Case upon a bailment, a warranty, and bills of exchange, and competed with Equity in the case of the essentially equitable quasi-contracts growing out of the principle of unjust enrichment. Surely, it would be hard to find a better illustration of the flexibility and power of self-development of the Common Law.” James Barr Ames, “The History of Assumpsit,” in 3 Select Essays in Anglo-American Legal History 298 (1909).” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 379 [emphasis added]**

# Quasi Contracts

- **"Both in Roman and English law there are certain obligations which were not in truth contractual, but which the law treats as IF they were. They are contractual in law, but not in fact, being the subject-matter of a FICTITIOUS extension of the sphere of contract to cover obligations which do not in reality fall within it."**  
Salmond, Salmond on Jurisprudence, p. 642 (9th Edition, 1937, Sweet & Maxwell, Ltd. England).

# Quasi Contracts

- **"Constructive/quasi contracts are based solely upon a legal fiction or fiction of law."** Hill v. Waxberg, 237 F.2d 936.
- **"It is a well settled rule of law that he who seeks benefits of contract must also assume burdens."** Higgins v. Monckton (1938), 28 C.A.2d 723, 83 P.2d 516.
- **"Voluntary acceptance of benefit of transaction is equivalent to consent to all obligations arising from it, so far as facts are known, or ought to be known, to person accepting."** Northern Assurance Co. v. Stout (1911), 16 C.A. 548, 117 P. 617.

# Quasi Contracts

- **"A quasi contractual action presupposes acceptance and retention of a benefit by one party with full appreciation of the facts, under circumstances making it inequitable for him to retain the benefit without payment of its reasonable value."** Major-Blakeney Co. v. Jenkins (1953), 121 C.A.2d 325, 263 P.2d 655, hear den.; Townsend Pierson, Inc. v. Holly-Coleman Co. (1960), 178 C.A.2d 373, 2 Cal. Rptr. 812.

# Quasi Contracts

- Quasi Contracts are ONLY done in their kangaroo court where it is NOT a judge but a clerk impersonating a judge
- **"Constructive/quasi contracts include obligations founded on statutory duties."** Donovan v. Kansas City, 175 S. W. 2d 874; In Re United Burton Co., 140 F. 495, 502.

# Declaration of Independence

**“These truths are self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness-- That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government... But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government...The history of the present United States, Inc., is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.**

**-For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent:” Declaration of Independence (1776)**

# Taxes in Commerce ONLY

- **“Whereas taxation by the parliament of Great Britain, for the purpose of raising a revenue in his Majesty’s colonies, provinces, and plantations, in North America, has been found by experience to occasion great uneasiness and disorders...That from and after the passing of this act the King and Parliament of Great Britain will not impose any duty, tax, or assessment whatever, payable in any of the colonies, provinces, or plantations, in North America or the West Indies; except only such duties as it may be expedient to impose for the regulation of commerce...”** George III, CAP XII 1778 [emphasis added]

# Taxes and Licenses work together

- **“2. The requirement of payment for such licenses is only a mode of imposing taxes on the licensed business, and the prohibition, under penalties, against carrying on the business without license is only a mode of enforcing the payment of such taxes.**
- **5. The recognition by the acts of Congress of the power and right of the states to tax, control, or regulate any business carried on within its limits is entirely consistent with an intention on the part of Congress to tax such business for national purposes.” License Tax Cases 72 U.S. (5 Wall.) 462 (1866),**

# Taxes and Licenses work together

- **“Mark can support the existence of an implied license agreement [see *Wells' Dairy Inc. v. UL Canada Inc.* 2000 CanLII 15538 (FC), (2000), 7 C.P.R. (4th) 77 (Fed. T.D.)]. [...] stated that the Original Applicant is not an operating company; it exists for accounting purposes only - its role is to gather Canadian income, report it and pay taxes on it.” *Mueller Industries, Inc. v Mueller International Inc.* 2009 CanLII 90386 (CA TMOB) – 2009-05-13**

# Taxes and Licenses work together

- **“Evidence of control by the trade-mark's owner can support the existence of an implied license agreement [see *Well's Dairy Inc. v. UL Canada Inc.* 2000 CanLII 15538 ( [...] [35] Mr. Segal is an associate of the Toronto law firm Baker & McKenzie LLP, which specializes in tax law [§ 1].” *Hillstone Restraunt Group, Inc. v Houston Canada Inc.*, 2011 TMOB 154 (CanLII) - 2011-08-31**

# Filing Fee is a tax

- **“License, contracts, is a right given by some competent authority to do an act, which without such authority would be illegal. The instrument or writing which secures this right is also called a license. Vide Ayl.Parerg. 353; 15 Vin.Ab 92; Ang. Wat. Co. 61, 85. A license is express or implied. An express license is one in which in direct terms authorizes the performance of a certain act; as a license to keep a tavern by public authority. An implied license is one which though not expressly given, may be presumed from the acts of the party having the right to give it.” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1843 Edition, Volume 2, page 53 [emphasis added]**

# Excise Taxes

- An excise tax is a privilege tax
- **“An "excise tax" is often used as synonymous with "privilege" or "license tax". Shannon v. Streckfus S'teanters, 279 Ky. 649, 131 S.W.2d 833, 838.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 4th Edition, page 672 [emphasis added]
- **“The terms excise tax and privilege tax are synonymous. American Airways v. Wallace, D.C.Tenn., 57 F.2d 877, 880.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 4th Edition, page 672 [emphasis added]

# Excise Taxes

- Court Filing Fee is an excise tax – first they convert your right to file a lawsuit into a privilege, and then they excise tax it for the implied license
- Speeding tickets are an excise tax – first they convert your right to travel into a privilege, and then they excise tax you for the privilege of travelling over the posted speed limit
- Customs duties are excise taxes – first they convert your right to travel, then they excise tax you for the privilege of bringing your property into their corporation

# UNIDROIT

- All courts in Canada & United States are United Nations Courts under the UNIDROIT treaty, and have been for over 30 years
- All courts are de facto courts
- There is no authority to delegate anything to the United Nations in the Constitution for the United States of America, or the British North America Act

# UNIDROIT

- UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented
- Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years
- UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is **ONLY** in federal areas **ONLY**.

# UNIDROIT

- UNIDROIT covers;
  - Negotiable instruments
  - Civil procedure
  - Secured transactions
  - Legal status of women
  - Maintenance obligations
  - Contracts
  - Banking law
  - Much more – (see the website)

- [News and events](#)
- [About UNIDROIT](#)
  - [Overview](#)
  - [Membership](#)
  - [Work Programme](#)
  - [Institutional Documents](#)
    - [Statute](#)
    - [Regulations](#)
    - [Headquarters Agreement](#)
  - [Governing Council](#)
  - [Secretariat](#)
- [Meetings](#)
  - [General Assembly](#)
  - [Governing Council](#)
  - [Finance Committee](#)
  - [Studies](#)
- [Instruments](#)
  - [Agency](#)
  - [Capital Markets](#)
    - [Geneva Convention](#)
    - [Netting](#)
  - [Commercial Contracts](#)
    - [UNIDROIT Principles 2010](#)
    - [UNIDROIT Principles 2004](#)
    - [UNIDROIT Principles 1994](#)
    - [UPICC Model Clauses](#)
  - [Cultural Property](#)
    - [1995 Convention](#)
    - [Model Legislative Provisions](#)
  - [Factoring](#)
  - [Franchising](#)
    - [Model Law](#)
    - [Guide](#)
      - [First Edition 1998](#)
      - [Second Edition 2007](#)

- Meetings
- General Assembly
- Governing Council
- Finance Committee
- Studies
- Instruments
  - Agency
  - Capital Markets
    - Geneva Convention
    - Netting
  - Commercial Contracts
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    - UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    - UPICC Model Clauses
  - Cultural Property
    - 1995 Convention
    - Model Legislative Provisions
  - Factoring
  - Franchising
    - Model Law
    - Guide
      - First Edition 1998
      - Second Edition 2007

- International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964
- Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law
- Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol
- Succession
- Transnational Civil Procedure
- Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR
- Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Civil liability
    - Civil procedure
    - Company law
    - Contracts (in general)
    - Cultural property
    - Factoring
    - Forwarding agency
    - Franchising
    - Hotelkeepers
    - Insurance
    - Intellectual property
    - International sales
    - Leasing
    - Legal Status of Women
    - Maintenance obligations
    - Methodology
    - Movement of persons
    - Natural resources
    - Negotiable instruments
    - Non-legislative activities

- International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964
- Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law
- Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol
- Succession
- Transnational Civil Procedure
- Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR
- Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Consumer Protection

- Emerging markets
- Past Studies
  - Arbitration
  - Banking Law
  - Capital markets
  - Civil liability
  - Civil procedure
  - Company law
  - Contracts (in general)
  - Cultural property
  - Factoring
  - Forwarding agency
  - Franchising
  - Hotelkeepers
  - Insurance
  - Intellectual property
  - International sales
  - Leasing
  - Legal Status of Women
  - Maintenance obligations
  - Methodology
  - Movement of persons
  - Natural resources
  - Negotiable instruments
  - Non-legislative activities

# UNIDROIT covers

- Covers mandatory insurance for motor vehicles
- Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children

- 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);
- 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);
- 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);

- 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);
- 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);
- 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);
- 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);

# Right to Travel

- **"The term "Motor Vehicle" means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes on the highways in the transportation of passengers, passengers and property, or property or cargo.**
- **The term "used for commercial purposes" means the carriage of persons or property for any fare, fee, rate, charge or other consideration, or directly or indirectly in connection with any business, or other undertaking intended for profit.** 18 USC § 31 [emphasis added]

# UNIDROIT

- Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty
- As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty











## MEMBERSHIP

Membership of UNIDROIT is restricted to States acceding to the UNIDROIT Statute.

UNIDROIT's member States are drawn from the five continents and represent a variety of different legal, economic and political systems as well as different cultural backgrounds.

To find the date when a particular State became a member of UNIDROIT click on the relevant national flag.

The following 63 States are members of UNIDROIT.

MEMBER STATES	NATIONAL AUTHORITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR MEMBER STATES' RELATIONS WITH UNIDROIT
 <b>Argentina</b>	<u><a href="#">Embassy of Argentina in Italy</a></u>
 <b>Australia</b>	<u><a href="#">Attorney-General's Department</a></u>
 <b>Austria</b>	<u><a href="#">Federal Ministry of Justice</a></u>
 <b>Belgium</b>	<u><a href="#">Federal Public Service Justice</a></u>
 <b>Bolivia</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Foreign Affairs</a></u>
 <b>Brazil</b>	<u><a href="#">Embassy of Brazil in Italy</a></u>
 <b>Bulgaria</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Foreign Affairs</a></u>
 <b>Canada</b>	<u><a href="#">Justice Canada</a></u>
 <b>Chile</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Foreign Affairs</a></u>
 <b>China</b>	<u><a href="#">Ministry of Commerce, Treaty and Law Department</a></u>

	<b>Romania</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice</u>
	<b>Russian Federation</b>	<u>Ministry for Trade and Economic Development</u>
	<b>San Marino</b>	<u>Secretariat of State</u>
	<b>Saudi Arabia</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>
	<b>Slovakia</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>
	<b>Slovenia</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Slovenia</u>
	<b>South Africa</b>	<u>South African Department of International Relations and Cooperation (DIRCO)</u>
	<b>Spain</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation</u>
	<b>Sweden</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice</u>
	<b>Switzerland</b>	<u>Federal Office of Justice</u>
	<b>Tunisia</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice and Human Rights</u>
	<b>Turkey</b>	<u>Ministry of Justice General Directorate of International Law and Foreign Relations</u>
	<b>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</b>	<u>Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform</u>
	<b>United States of America</b>	<u>Department of State</u>
	<b>Uruguay</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>
	<b>Venezuela</b>	<u>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</u>

# UNIDROIT Treaty

- Texas is NOT listed
- Arizona is NOT listed
- No American State is listed
- Alberta is NOT listed
- British Columbia is NOT listed
- Ontario is NOT listed
- No Canadian province is listed
- Therefore, anything involving motor vehicles or the courts, is both commercial and federal, and therefore by consent

# UNIDROIT Treaty

- Anything in America (Canada or United States) & (federal or state) involving motor vehicles, or the courts, or the banks, or finance, falls under UNIDROIT

# Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

- **"The governments are but trustees acting under derived authority and have no power to delegate what is not delegated to them. But the people, as the original fountain might take away what they have delegated and entrust to whom they please.  
... The sovereignty in every state resides in the people of the state and they may alter and change their form of government at their own pleasure."  
--Luther v. Borden, 48 US 1, 12 Led 581.**

# Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

- **“There is no such thing as power of inherent Sovereignty in the government of the United States. In this country sovereignty resides in the People, and Congress can exercise no power which they have not, by their Constitution entrusted to it; All else is withheld.”**  
Julliard v Greenman 110 U.S. 421

# Delegated Authority

- **“A delegate cannot delegate; an agent cannot delegate his functions to a subagent without the knowledge or consent of the principal; the person to whom an office or duty is delegated cannot lawfully devolve the duty on another, unless he be expressly authorized so to do.”** 9 Coke, 77; Broom, Max. 840; 2 Kent, Comm. 633; 2 Steph. Comm. 119 [emphasis added]
- **“A delegated power cannot be again delegated.”** 2 Inst. 597; Black's, 2d. 347; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1300
- **“A deputy cannot have (or appoint) a deputy.”** Story, Ag. s.13; 9 Coke, 77; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1936

# No Delegated Authority

- There is No Delegated Authority for a corporation called United States, or any agency, department, commission, board or other entity of such a corporation

**“As used in this chapter:**

**(15) “United States” means—**

**(A) a Federal corporation;**

**(B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States; or**

**(C) an instrumentality of the United States.” 28 USC § 3002 Definitions [emphasis added]**

# No Delegated Authority

- **"It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent, H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only."** United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33 [Emphasis added]

# No Delegated Authority

- There is no delegated authority for;
  - A corporation called Federal Bureau of Investigation
  - A corporation called National Security Agency
  - A corporation called Central Intelligence Agency
  - A corporation called Department of Homeland Security
  - A corporation called Internal Revenue Service
  - A corporation called Department of the Treasury
  - An Agency called The Office of Attorney General of the United States

# No Delegated Authority

- There is no delegated authority for;
  - A municipal corporation called City of Fort Worth
  - A municipal corporation called City of Grand Prairie
  - Any municipal corporation
  - Any prison corporation
  - A corporation called Department of Public Safety in any State
  - A corporation called Texas State Police, or State Police in any State

# Canada is Bankrupt



Government of Canada  
Gouvernement du Canada

## INSTRUCTION SHEET

---

- A - Complete the application form.
- B - You must provide an original primary document according to your status in Canada. Refer to leaflet "Documents you need to Insurance Number SC-207-03-06."
- C - If the name on your primary document is different from the name you are now using, you must also submit an original support to leaflet "Documents you need to obtain a Social Insurance Number SC-207-03-06."
- D - **If you are replacing your SIN card, you must pay a \$10.00 fee (subject to change).** Make your personal cheque, bank draft payable in Canadian funds to the RECEIVER GENERAL FOR CANADA. You may pay in cash at a Human Resource Centre MAIL CASH.
- E - If you are a guardian, you must submit an original document showing proof of legal guardianship in order to sign an application for the applicant.

The information contained in the vital statistics registers and the Citizenship and Immigration Canada records can be used to validate that you provide with this application form when presenting a document originating from these sources.

**If you are employed, it is important that the name and Social Insurance Number under which you are working are identical to the name and Social Insurance Number that appear on your card. This will ensure that your Canada Pension Plan and/or Quebec Pension Plan contributions are properly credited to you.**

# No Delegated Authority

- There is no delegated authority for a corporation called Canada,
  - or a corporation called Royal Canadian Mounted Police,
  - or a corporation called Canada Border Services Agency,
  - or a corporation called Lethbridge Correctional Centre,
  - or a corporation called Calgary Remand Centre,

# No Delegated Authority

- There is no authority in Canada, or the United States, to delegate any power to the United Nations, or any United Nations agency

# No Delegated Authority

- All so-called courts in Canada and the United States, and in many other countries (63 according to the UNIDROIT website) are;
  - United Nations courts, and are
  - de facto, and are
  - thieves, and are
  - PIGs, and are
  - pirates, and in some cases are
  - murderers

# Unconstitutional Delegations

- **“Ultra vires. An act performed without any authority to act on subject. Haslund v. City of Seattle, 86 Wash.2d 607, 547 P.2d 1221, 1230..... The term has a broad application and includes not only acts prohibited by the charter, but acts which are in excess of powers granted and not prohibited, and generally applied either when a corporation has no power whatever to do an act, .... People ex rel. Barrett v. Bank of Peoria, 295 Ill.App. 543, 15 N.E.2d 333, 335. Act is ultra vires when corporation is without authority to perform it under any circumstances or for any purpose. Ultra vires act of municipality is one which is beyond powers conferred upon it by law. Charles v. Town of Jeanerette, Inc., La.App., 234 So.2d 794, 798.” Black’s Law Dictionary 6<sup>th</sup> Edition page 1522, [emphasis added]**

# De facto Law

- **“Obedience to de facto law – No person shall be convicted of an offense in respect of an act or omission in obedience to the laws for the time being made and enforced by persons in de facto possession of the sovereign power in and over the place where the act or omission occurs.”**, Section 15 of the Criminal Code of Canada

# Bankrupt

- When any corporation goes bankrupt, the creditors become the owners, and it is a *coup de tat* for the government, except that they don't tell you

THE MOST REALISTIC  
GOLF GAME



## AMR creditors prefer all-stock merger with US Airways: sources

 REUTERS

By Soyoung Kim | Reuters - Wed, 12 Dec, 2012 8:38 PM EST

NEW YORK (Reuters) - American Airlines creditors want a potential merger with US Airways Group Inc to be an all-stock deal rather than one that pays some claims in cash, three people familiar with the matter said, in a move that underscores confidence in a merged airline.

The creditors of American Airlines parent AMR Corp want to capture the full upside from a combination if the airline chooses to emerge from bankruptcy in a merger with its smaller rival, the people said this week.

Creditors in bankruptcy often want at least part of their claims paid in cash, rather than in the stock of a reorganized company with an uncertain trading value.

AMR creditors' preference for an all-stock deal could be seen as a vote of confidence in the proposed merger and the potential revenue and cost benefits from a deal that would create one of the world's largest airlines.

US Airways, in hot pursuit of its bigger rival all year, sounded out AMR creditors about how they wanted to be paid off before proposing a formal all-stock merger proposal at a meeting with the creditors committee in November, the people said.

The merger discussions among US Airways, AMR and its creditors are at an advanced stage, with a decision on whether to pursue a combination or emerge as an independent company expected as soon as January, they said.

The people asked not to be named because the matter is not public. Representatives for the creditors committee did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

AMR management prefers to exit bankruptcy as an independent airline, but events since US Airways made a formal merger offer last month indicate a deal looks more likely than before.

On Monday, the union representing AMR pilots voted to join the merger talks at the invitation of AMR creditors and said the first discussions involving the union are set to begin this week. US Airways' pilots union is also joining the discussions, a spokesman confirmed on Wednesday.

The Allied Pilots' Association, the union representing AMR pilots, is important to the discussions because they recently ratified a new labor contract granting them a 13.5 percent equity stake in a newly reorganized airline.

The APA, which also sits on the airline's nine-member unsecured creditors committee, has said it has lost faith in AMR management led by Chief Executive Tom Horton and strongly supports a merger with US Airways.

**"As the new owners of a significant percentage of the restructured airline, it's APA's responsibility to maximize the value of our investment by conducting thorough due diligence,"** pilots union president Keith Wilson said in a message posted on the union's website on Wednesday.

US Airways, in hot pursuit of its bigger rival all year, sounded out AMR creditors about how they wanted to be paid off before proposing a formal all-stock merger proposal at a meeting with the creditors committee in November, the people said.

The merger discussions among US Airways, AMR and its creditors are at an advanced stage, with a decision on whether to pursue a combination or emerge as an independent company expected as soon as January, they said.

The people asked not to be named because the matter is not public. Representatives for the creditors committee did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

AMR management prefers to exit bankruptcy as an independent airline, but events since US Airways made a formal merger offer last month indicate a deal looks more likely than before.

On Monday, the union representing AMR pilots voted to join the merger talks at the invitation of AMR creditors and said the first discussions involving the union are set to begin this week. US Airways' pilots union is also joining the discussions, a spokesman confirmed on Wednesday.

The Allied Pilots' Association, the union representing AMR pilots, is important to the discussions because they recently ratified a new labor contract granting them a 13.5 percent equity stake in a newly reorganized airline.

The APA, which also sits on the airline's nine-member unsecured creditors committee, has said it has lost faith in AMR management led by Chief Executive Tom Horton and strongly supports a merger with US Airways.

"As the new owners of a significant percentage of the restructured airline, it's APA's responsibility to maximize the value of our investment by conducting thorough due diligence," pilots union president Keith Wilson said in a message posted on the union's website on Wednesday.

US Airways declined to comment.

## STICKY ISSUE

The talks are now narrowly focused on how to integrate labor unions, indicating the negotiations are far along, the people familiar with the matter said. Detailed valuation discussions - how much of the combined carrier each side should own - are expected to come after the parties iron out labor integration issues, they said.

Under the US Airways proposal sent in November, AMR creditors would own 70 percent and US Airways shareholders 30 percent of the merged airline, which could be valued at around \$8.5 billion, sources told Reuters on Friday.

Based on US Airways' fully diluted market value of \$2.5 billion and the proposed equity split of 70 to 30, its merger proposal implies a valuation of little less than \$6 billion for its larger rival.

AMR creditors think they should own more than 70 percent of the combined company, the people familiar with the matter said. AMR management has told the creditors they believe the equity split should be as high as 80 percent in favor of AMR creditors, the people said.

An 80-20 equity split between AMR creditors and US Airways could suggest a \$10 billion valuation for AMR, based on the \$2.5 billion fully diluted market value of US Airways. It could also mean that AMR values its smaller rival at a significant discount to its trading value, which would be a tough deal to swallow for US Airways' shareholders and board.

The world's two largest airlines - Delta Air Lines Inc and United Continental Holdings Inc - have market values of \$9 billion and \$7.2 billion, respectively.

The case is In re AMR Corp et al, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York, No. 11-15463.

(Reporting by Soyoung Kim in New York, additional reporting by Nick Brown in New York and Karen Jacobs in Atlanta. Editing by Andre Grenon)

# Bankruptcy

- These United Nations bankster thieves make all of the rules, insurance, negotiable instruments, banking, etc,
- These United Nations bankster thieves make it mandatory so they can create a fictitious debt
- By fraud, these United Nations bankster thieves create a cestui que trust in the name of every living soul when they are given birth to be used to finance their fictitious debt, and to facilitate the slavery (bondage) of “We the People”

# Bankruptcy

- These United Nations bankster thieves then seize the corporation when it inevitably goes bankrupt, and thereby create their world wide dictatorship
- These United Nations bankster thieves get their whore buddies in the bankrupt legislatures and parliaments, (sold themselves to the bankster thieves), to hire low intelligence PIGs to populate border crossings, so they can assault people, and kidnap people, and falsely imprison people, to create business for their so-called courts, to generate business for their bankster owned and operated prisons, and generate revenue to support the fictitious debt

# Bankruptcy

- These same United Nations bankster thieves create a fictitious war on drugs, and a war on illegal immigration, and a war on terror, and a war on anything else they can think of, (all of which are really wars on “We the People”) to justify their hired thugs at the borders, and to further make business for their kangaroo so-called courts, and to make business for their bankster owned and operated prisons

# Bankruptcy

- These de facto kangaroo United Nations Courts make sure they get a conviction so they can make business for their bankster owned and operated de facto prisons
- These de facto kangaroo United Nations so-called Courts have a conviction rate that is over 97% and if you do not plea bargain it, they throw the book at you, just like they did to me
- They want a plea bargain because it is NOT subject to appeal

# Qualified Immunity

- **"Qualified immunity defense fails if public officer violates clearly established right because a reasonably competent official should know the law governing his conduct"** Jones vs Counce 7-F3d-1359-8th Cir 1993; Benitez v Wolff 985-F3d 662 2nd Cir 1993

# Qualified Immunity

- **“A plaintiff who seeks damages for violation of constitutional rights or statutory rights may overcome the defendant official’s qualified immunity only by showing that those rights were clearly established at the time of the conduct at issue.”** Davis v Scherer, 82 L.Ed. 2d 139, 151,

# Qualified Immunity

- **Qualified Immunity “protects governmental officials from liability for civil damages insofar as their conduct does not violate ‘clearly established statutory or constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known.’”** *Weise v. Casper*, 593 F.3d 1163, 1166 (10th Cir. 2010)(quoting *Pearson v. Callahan*, \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_, 129 S.Ct. 808, 815 (2009) and *Harlow v. Fitzgerald*, 457 U.S. 800, 818 (1982)).

# Judicial Immunity

❖ **"There is no common law judicial immunity."** Pulliam v. Allen, 104 S.Ct. 1970; cited in Lezama v. Justice Court, A025829.

# No Immunity

- **“Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.”** Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398

# No Immunity

- **“An officer who acts in violation of the Constitution ceases to represent the government”**. Brookfield Const. Co. v. Stewart, 284 F. Supp. 94.
- **"Ignorance of the law does not excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law."** In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100,

# No Immunity

- **“Governments descend to the level of mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen where private corporate commercial paper and securities i.e. is concerned. ...For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government.”**  
Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363-371 (1942)

# No Immunity

- **"Governments lose their immunity and descend to level of private corporations when involved in commercial activity enforcing negotiable instruments, as in fines, penalties, assessments, bails, taxes, the remedy lies in the hand of the state and its municipalities seeking remedy."**  
Rio Grande v. Darke, 167 P. 241.

# Immunity

- These so-called judges have been given immunity by statute
- Prior to 1900 they used to hang judges on a regular basis

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- Redman has no immunity, but his buddies Rooke, Whittmann, Tillman, Langston, Ethell, Battenberg, Johnston and Harper, the PIGs, will make sure that any lawsuit goes nowhere
- **“Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.”** Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202
- **“When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially”** and thus are not protected by **“qualified” or “limited immunity,”** SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404

# Kangaroo Courts in Canada

- My case with the Canada Border Services Agency PIGs was prejudged from before I even got to the border, by the judicial PIGs Rooke, Tillman, Matchett, and Redman, working for their de facto United Nations so-called court
- It was a summary trial (kangaroo court) in which I was denied my right to a trial by jury of My peers under their United Nations rules of procedure, which are designed to deny any real justice

# Kangaroo Court

- **“kangaroo court. 1. A self-appointed tribunal or mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disre-garded, perverted, or parodied. ....2. A court or tribunal characterized by unauthorized or irregular procedures, esp. so as to render a fair proceeding impossible. 3. A sham legal proceeding. • The term's origin is uncertain, but it appears to be an Americanism. It has been traced to 1853 in the American West. “Kangaroo” might refer to the illogical leaps between “facts” and conclusions, or to the hapless defendant's quick bounce from court to gallows.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, pages**

# Kangaroo Court

- **“Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868,**

# Kangaroo Courts in Canada

- I offered to plead guilty and beg forgiveness if they would give me an affidavit that said I am a “person” as defined by their statutes, and their statute was properly approved and adopted, and applies to me but they refused, as shown in the upcoming video on the Canada Border Services Agency PIGs
- These judicial PIGs intended to deny Me due process, and I was denied due process from the beginning.
- This was all under the instructions of the Elizabeth, the PIG, and Johnston, the PIG, Ethell, the PIG, and Harper the PIG, and others

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- Neither Redman, nor the CBSA PIGs had any jurisdiction
- **"Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351."**  
Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- DG Redman intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends that all of his judicial whore buddies represent Me without authority, which is why he ORDERED Me not to post to him any more letters
- Redman was NOT neutral or detached
- **“It is a fundamental right of a party to have a neutral and detached judge preside over the judicial proceedings.”** Ward v Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57, 61-62, 93 S.Ct 80, 83, 34 L.Ed. 2d 267 (1972); Tumey v Ohio, 273 U.S. 510, 5209, 47 S. Ct. 437, 440, 71 L.Ed. 749 (1927).

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs made a void judgment, and Redman the PIG made a void judgment
- **“Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.”** City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- **“Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time”** In re Estate of Steinfield, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also Steinfield v. Hoddick, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).
- **“A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree.”** Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety, 480 So. 2d 577 (Ala. Civ. App. 1985).

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- A void order results from a ‘fundamental defect’ in proceedings (Upjohn LJ in *Re Pritchard (deceased)* [1963] 1 Ch 502 and Lord Denning in *Firman v Ellis* [1978] 3 WLR 1) or from a ‘without jurisdiction’/*ultra vires* act of a public body or judicial office holder (Lord Denning in *Pearlman v Governors of Harrow School* [1978] 3 WLR 736).

# Redman was Impersonating a Judge

- A ‘without jurisdiction’/*ultra vires* act is any act which a Court did not have power to do (Lord Denning in *Firman v Ellis* [1978] QB 866).
- A void order is incurably void and all proceedings based on the invalid claim or void act are also void. Even a decision of the higher Courts (High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) will be void if the decision is founded on an invalid claim or void act, because something cannot be founded on nothing (Lord Denning in *MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd.* [1961] AC 152).

# False Imprisonment

- Based on a void judgment, the RCMP PIGs arrested Me, which is false arrest, and then falsely imprisoned Me in their Calgary Remand Centre, and their Lethbridge Correctional Centre, for 82 days, but I there is no way I will ever see justice at the hands of these Vatican Jesuit whores like Redmond the PIG, the Rooke the PIG, and Whitmann the PIG, and Tillman the PIG, which is what these PIGs intend, and Elizabeth the bitch, (no disrespect to dogs intended)

# Rooke the PIG

- Rooke the PIG, defamed Me, and slandered Me when he accused Me of being a paper terrorist in his Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571, divorce decision.
- Rooke the PIG, was operating as a Clerk because he was enforcing a statute (the Divorce Act), after being hired by the Meads' when they filed for permission to divorce
- Rooke the PIG knows that divorce falls under the United Nations under UNIDROIT

# Rooke the PIG

- JD Rooke knows that he represented Me without authority, as evidenced in the upcoming Canada Border PIGs video, when he accused Me of being a paper terrorist in his decision in Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571, which is actually slander and defamation

# PIGs in Canada

- I find that the accusation of being a paper terrorist is quite flattering, but realistically not true because if I was able to terrorize a bureaucrat into doing something contrary to his oath of office, the bureaucrat would be guilty of breach of trust.
- The simple fact of the matter is that if the bureaucrat changes his behavior because of something I have done it is because I have shown him that he is being given unlawful commands, and he realizes he has no duty to obey an unlawful command.

# PIGs in Canada

- JD Rooke, Associate Chief Judicial Whore, intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
- JD Rooke is using a case in which he was NOT a judge, as justification to deny due process to everybody
- JD Rooke intends to deny due process with his MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT [“OPCA”] DOCUMENTS dated 18 June 2013 pursuant to Meads v. Meads, 2012 ABQB 571

COURT COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH  
OF ALBERTA

JUDICIAL CENTRE EDMONTON



DOCUMENT

**MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL  
COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT ["OPCA"] DOCUMENTS**  
Pursuant to *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571.

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE  
AND CONTACT  
INFORMATION OF THE  
PARTY FILING THIS  
DOCUMENT

Associate Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta  
Judicial District of Edmonton  
Law Courts Building, 1A Sir Winston Churchill Square  
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

---

**DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013**

**NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE**

---

UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in *Meads v. Meads*.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. The clerk shall review the documents proposed to be filed by any suspected OPCA litigant to determine if any formal defects appear as set out in List A.

COURT COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH  
OF ALBERTA

JUDICIAL CENTRE EDMONTON

DOCUMENT

**MASTER ORDER FOR ORGANIZED PSEUDOLEGAL  
COMMERCIAL ARGUMENT ["OPCA"] DOCUMENTS**

Pursuant to *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571.

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE  
AND CONTACT  
INFORMATION OF THE  
PARTY FILING THIS  
DOCUMENT

Associate Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta  
Judicial District of Edmonton  
Law Courts Building, 1A Sir Winston Churchill Square  
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

---

DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013

NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE

---

UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION;



ADDRESS FOR SERVICE  
AND CONTACT  
INFORMATION OF THE  
PARTY FILING THIS  
DOCUMENT

Associate Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta  
Judicial District of Edmonton  
Law Courts Building, 1A Sir Winston Churchill Square  
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0R2

---

DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: JUNE 18, 2013

NAME OF JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE J.D. ROOKE

---

UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in *Meads v.*

UPON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION;

AND UPON it appearing that there are, from time to time, attempts to file documents with the Court that are in a form not known to the Court and are, or are intended to be, an abuse of the Court process, frivolous and vexatious as discussed in *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may frustrate the litigation process and Court management;

AND UPON finding that the filing of such material may jeopardize the efficient administration of the Court, may result in significant delays and additional expenses, and has deleterious effects that harm all or some parties involved;

AND UPON noting that documentary materials associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies are uniquely associated with certain formal defects;

AND UPON it appearing that the documentary materials sought to be filed have indicia associated with frivolous and vexatious OPCA litigation strategies as identified in *Meads v. Meads*.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. The clerk shall review the documents proposed to be filed by any suspected OPCA litigant to determine if any formal defects appear as set out in List A.

2. If such documents contain any formal defect as set out in List A, the clerk shall:
  - a) refuse to file the documents with the Court:
  - b) indicate on a copy of this Master Order the identified formal defects;
  - c) make a photocopy of and retain:
    - i. a copy of the Master Order with the identified formal defects; and
    - ii. the first page of the document(s) that contain the formal defect; and
  - d) return the documents to the person attempting to file same, and provide that person with a copy of the Master Order which indicates the identified formal defects.
  
3. A person who seeks to file documents that were refused by the clerk may:
  - a) challenge that action by sending:
    - A letter which states that documents requested to be filed were refused filing by the clerk pursuant to the Master Order for OPCA Documents, and a request that action be challenged; the letter must include:
      - a. the full legal name of the writer;
      - b. mailing address for reply;
      - c. the court file number, if a file number has been assigned;
      - d. the type of document refused (ie. statement of claim, statement of defence, application, affidavit, etc.); and
      - e. the reasons why the document should be filed.


- e. the reasons why the document should be filed.
- The refused documents.
  - The copy of the Master Order received from the court clerk with the identified formal defects.

to:

Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta  
6th Floor, Law Courts Building  
1A Sir Winston Churchill Square  
Edmonton, Alberta, T6J 0R2  
Attention: Associate Chief Justice Rooke

A document refusal challenge response shall be made by the Associate Chief Justice or his/her designate within 30 days, or such longer period as the Court may direct. Response communication shall be made by ordinary mail to the indicated mailing address.

- b) correct the formal defects and request the clerk file the documents absent such defects.



---

Associate Chief Justice Rooke  
Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta

## List A: Prohibited OPCA Formal Defects

Note: these defects exist in many related variant forms and combinations (see *Meads v. Meads*, at paras. 207, 210, 238-241). Variations on these prohibited formal defects are a basis to reject document filing.

**Circle any formal defect identified:**

### 1. Litigant Title and Status

The litigant claims a specific title or status:

- a “flesh and blood man”, a “flesh, blood, and bone man”, or a “living soul”
- a “freeman-on-the-land” or “freeman”
- a “free will full liability person”
- a “sovereign man”, “sovereign citizen” or “sovrán”
- a “Moor”

### 2. Names

- a. ‘Dash-colon’ format. Atypical punctuation of a person’s name by the insertion of colons or dashes before, after and among the first, middle and last name, for example:

: [first name] - [middle name] : [last name] :

[first name] - [middle name] : [last name]

- b. Family lineage. Atypical structure of a person’s name to indicate lineage:

- a. 'Dash-colon' format. Atypical punctuation of a person's name by the insertion of colons or dashes before, after and among the first, middle and last name, for example:

: [first name] - [middle name] : [last name] :

[first name] - [middle name] : [last name]

- b. Family lineage. Atypical structure of a person's name to indicate lineage:

[first name] [middle name] of the Family [last name]

[first name] [middle name] of the Clan [last name]

[first name] [middle name] of the House of [last name]

- c. Duplication and capitalization. Names of persons or parties are duplicated, with one name in all capital letters, and the other name in either lower case or lower case and upper case letters:

JOHN DOE and John Doe

JOHN DOE and john doe

- d. Copyright or Trademark. A person claims copyright or trade-mark in their name. This may be indicated by a sentence or adding copyright © and trade-mark (TM) symbols to a name

# PIGs in Canada

- JD Rooke intends to make war on anyone who considers themselves a “living soul”, or a “freeman-on-the-land”, or a “freeman”
- It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the War of Independence when they flogged a man to death for refusing to take a license, which, after having witnessing it, Patrick Henry said; “Give me liberty or give me death!”
- It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the Magna Carta
- It is tyrants like Rooke that precipitated the

# Rooke the PIG

- **“FREEMAN - freeman. 1. A person who possesses and enjoys all the civil and political rights belonging to the people under a free government. 2. A person who is not a slave. 3.Hist. A member of a municipal corporation (a city or borough) who possesses full civic rights, esp. the right to vote. 4.Hist. A freeholder. Cf. VILLEIN. 5.Hist. An allodial landowner. Cf. VASSAL. — Also spelled free man.”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 1963

# Rooke the PIG

- **“Freeman (liber homo). The distinction of a freeman from a vassal; under the feudal policy liber homo, was commonly opposed to vassus, or vassallus, the former denoting an allodial proprietor, the latter one who held of a superior. Cowel. Blount.**
  - **The title of freeman is also given by any one admitted to the freedom of a corporate town, or any other corporate body, consisting, among other members, of those called freemen.”**
- Williams Law Dictionary 1816 Edition,

# Rooke the PIG

- **“FREEMAN. A person in the possession and enjoyment of all the civil and political rights accorded to the people under a free government. In the Roman law, it denoted one who was either born free or emancipated, and was the opposite of "slave." In feudal law, it designated an allodial proprietor, as distinguished from a vassal or feudal tenant. (And so in Pennsylvania colonial law. Fry's Election Case, 71 Pa. 308, 10 Am.Rep. 698.) In old English law, the word described a freeholder or tenant by free services; one who was not a villein. In modern legal phraseology, it is the appellation of a member of a city or borough having the right of suffrage, or a member of any municipal corporation invested with full civic rights.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 793**

# Oaths

- If the Queen does not have a lawful valid current oath, then nobody has a lawful, valid current oath, and they are nothing but pirates, and thieves
- It would make sense that if they know that they have no lawful valid current oath, that they would want to keep it a secret by hiding the evidence

---

**Subject:** RE:Judicial oaths(ACR-340086)

---

**From:** AlbertaConnects@gov.ab.ca (AlbertaConnects@gov.ab.ca)

---

**To:** fearng@yahoo.com;

---

**Date:** Tuesday, January 14, 2014 3:30 PM

---

Thank you for visiting the Alberta Government feedback web site. Following is the response to your question prepared by Justice and Solicitor General :

Thank you for your inquiry regarding judicial oaths.

Regarding your inquiry about the oath taken by judges of the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta, section 5 of the Court of Queen's Bench Act provides that each judge and master in chambers must take the oath prescribed by the Oaths of Office Act. The Court of Queen's Bench Act may be viewed online at:

<http://www.qp.alberta.ca/documents/Acts/C31.pdf>

The wording of the judicial oath for judges of both the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta and the Provincial Court of Alberta is outlined in section 3 of the Oaths of Office Act. The Oaths of Office Act may be viewed online at:

<http://www.qp.alberta.ca/documents/Acts/O01.pdf>

The oaths of members of the judiciary are not public documents.

I hope this information is helpful.

On 2014-01-10 09:27:00.0 you wrote:

The Provincial Court Act Section 9.12(2) requires that the oaths of allegiance and office of provincial court judges be transmitted to the Minister of Justice or his designee. There is nothing similar in the Queen's Bench Act. Who do I contact to get a copy of a current valid lawful oath of office and oath of allegiance of a judge in Provincial Court? Who do I contact to get a copy of a current valid lawful oath of office and oath of allegiance of a judge in the Court of Queen's Bench?

# PIGs in Canada

- Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg, Queen of Canada has no lawful valid oath
  - She was not coronated on the true Stone of Destiny as required
  - [http://www.martinfrost.ws/htmlfiles/gazette/stone\\_destiny.html](http://www.martinfrost.ws/htmlfiles/gazette/stone_destiny.html)

# Queen has perjured her fictitious Oath

- John Anthony Hill case
  - [http://exopolitics.blogs.com/breaking\\_news/2011/05/uk-landmark-case.c...](http://exopolitics.blogs.com/breaking_news/2011/05/uk-landmark-case.c...)
  - <http://mtrial.org>
  - <http://jamesfetzer.blogspot.com>
  - <http://terroronthetube.co.uk/2011/05/12/muaddib-acquitted/>

## BREAKING NEWS

[Home](#)

[Archives](#)

[Profile](#)

[Subscribe](#)

05/28/2011

### U.K.: Landmark Case Could Stymie Legal System - Queen not valid monarch

---



### Landmark Case Could Stymie Legal System

Written by Debra Siddons

Friday, 27 May 2011 07:51

If everyone began using this defence tomorrow, in all of the Commonwealth courts and in the United States, the entire legal system could be brought to its knees in a matter of weeks if not days."

For those of you who have been following the John Anthony Hill (JAH) Case, it is great to be able to share that he was acquitted, on the 12th of May 2011, of the ridiculous and politically-motivated charge of attempting to "pervert the course of justice". For those of you less familiar with this landmark case, John Anthony Hill is the Producer of the documentary film "7/7 Ripple Effect". For more details about this extraordinary case and the trial itself, please visit the following links:-

<http://mtrial.org>

<http://jamesfetzter.blogspot.com>

<http://terroronthetube.co.uk/2011/05/12/mauaddib-acquitted/>

7/7/ Ripple effect <http://iforjustice.co.uk/77/>

There are two very important precedents that were established with this case that need to be studied in detail. There was a preliminary argument presented to the court to challenge both the jurisdiction and the sovereignty of Elizabeth Battenberg/Mountbatten, which was based on two distinct points. The first point being she was knowingly, and with malice aforethought, coronated on a fake stone in 1953 and thus has never been lawfully crowned.

There are those who may wish to argue that this point is irrelevant, as Judge Jeffrey Vincent Pegden did at the trial, wrongly thinking the Coronation is just a ceremony because she has been pretending to be the monarch for over 58 years. In actual fact the Coronation is a binding oath and a contract, requiring the monarch's signature. Which brings us to the second point.

## BREAKING NEWS

[Home](#)

[Archives](#)

[Profile](#)

[Subscribe](#)

05/28/2011

**U.K.: Landmark Case Could Stymie Legal System - Queen not valid monarch**

---



### Landmark Case Could Stymie Legal System

Written by Debra Siddons

Friday, 27 May 2011 07:51

If everyone began using this defence tomorrow, in all of the Commonwealth courts and in the United States, the entire legal system could be brought to its knees in a matter of weeks if not days."

**For those of you who have been following the John Anthony Hill (JAH) Case, it is great to be able to share that he was acquitted, on the 12th of May 2011, of the ridiculous and politically-motivated charge of attempting to "pervert the**

---

# Oaths

- **“All oaths must be lawful, allowed by the common law, or some statute; if they are administered by persons in a private capacity, or not duly authorized, they are *coram non iudice*, and void; and those administering them are guilty of a high contempt, for doing it without warrant of law, and punishable by fine and imprisonment. 3 *Inst.* 165; 4 *Inst.* 278; 2 *Roll. Abr.* 277.”** Tomlin’s Law Dictionary 1835 Edition, Volume 2

# The Show-Trial

- When I went in to the show-trial, I asked the judge for his name, and had a pen so I could write it down, and the coward refused to give his name.
- It turned out later on the news that his name was D. G. Redman, and I had served on him a Notice and Demand, which he refused.
- The reason he refused the Notice and Demand was because he intended to keep his presumptions, because the case was pre-judged from the beginning, in his kangaroo court.

# Kangaroo Court

- Under the directions of Matchett, the Chief Judge, and LeGrandeur, the Associate Chief Judge, Redmond's buddy Hironaka, in conspiracy with Bernard, the Vatican Crown BAR member PIG, entered a plea for me without my consent, so they could proceed with their show-trial, and their kangaroo court, and their denial of due process, and justify the assaults of their CBSA PIG buddies, and the kidnappings, and the false imprisonment

# Kangaroo Court

- **"Not every action by any judge is in exercise of his judicial function. It is not a judicial function for a Judge to commit an intentional tort even though the tort occurs in the Courthouse, when a judge acts as a Trespasser of the Law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject matter jurisdiction and The Judge's orders are void, of no legal force or effect"! Yates Vs. Village of Hoffman Estates, Illinois, 209 F.Supp. 757 (N.D. Ill. 1962)**

# Kangaroo Court

- **“brutum fulmen”**: **“An empty noise; an empty threat. A judgment void upon its face which is in legal effect no judgment at all, and by which no rights are divested, and from which none can be obtained; and neither binds nor bars anyone. Dollert v. Pratt-Hewitt Oil Corporation, Tex.Civ.Appl, 179 S.W.2d 346, 348. Also, see Corpus Juris Secundum, “Judgments” §§ 499, 512 546, 549. Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition**

# Rooke the PIG

- Rooke, the PIG, knows that he is the one who is the real terrorist
- **“Terrorism - *noun* – 2 A system of government that seeks to rule by intimidation.”** Funk and Wagnal’s New Practical Standard Dictionary (1946),

# Servant King

- There is a Youtube profile called Servant King
- Servant King explains how a court case is a satanic religions ceremony
- Servant King explains how a court case is witchcraft

# Mark Passio – Natural Law

- Former Satanist Priest
- Pillars of Satanism – forms of mind control
  - Survival
    - highest law of satanism
  - Moral Relativism
    - No such thing as right and wrong
    - we just make up with is right or wrong
  - Social Darwinism
    - Certain classes of society think they are most fit to rule
    - postulates the survival of the most socially ruthless
    - Ultimate responsibility is self preservation

# Mark Passio – Natural Law

- Pillars of Satanism – forms of mind control
  - Eugenics
    - elite get to determine who lives or dies
- Order followers
  - Responsible for all of the atrocities in history
  - Just follow orders without thinking about whether it is a lawful order or not
  - Willing slaves
- Moral relativism
  - 2/3 of people believe in moral relativism
  - truth is relative

# It is all coming from the Vatican

- Corruption in the Courts 4 talks more about pretended legislation
- **“Jesuits &c. Born in the Kings Dominions and ordained by the pretended Jurisdiction of Rome remaining in *England* or coming from beyond Sea into this Kingdom, and not submitting to some Bishop or Justice of Peace within three Days, and taking the Oaths, are guilty of High Treason; and Receivers, Aiders and Harbourers of them, are guilty of Felony. *Stat. 27 Eliz. c. 2.* Persons knowing Priests, *Jesuits*, &c. and not discovering them to a Justice of Peace, shall be fined and imprisoned. *22 Car. 22.*”** Jacob A ©Common Law Copyright 2011. New Law Dictionary 1750 Edition <sup>133</sup>

# Catholic people

- I have many wonderful friends who happen to be catholic
- Many ways, the catholic people are victims of this satanic Vatican as much as everybody else
- History is riddled with examples of the Vatican murdering whole communities for heresy, which is defined as any belief that they do not endorse

# Psychiatric Institutions

- Psychiatric Institutions have been routinely used by corrupt regimes, as a means of political suppression for decades.
- Courts in Canada routinely order a psychiatric examination, and they did in my case
- My brother was ordered into a mental hospital for 30 days because he did NOT trumpet the “party line”
- My brother’s wife was told by the shrink (psychiatrist), that if my brother did not take a driver’s license, that the shrink would declare my brother insane, and my brother would be permanently locked up in the mental hospital

# Psychiatric Institutions

- **“Give Me Liberty or Give Me Death”**  
Patrick Henry
- This slogan was developed when Patrick Henry witnessed someone being flogged to death for refusing to take a license
- The thief (bureaucrat) makes a legal determination – (you are a taxpayer) and thereby represents you – because not everybody is a taxpayer

# Fire the Whores Campaign

- The motivation of the de facto so-called judges is NOT for justice, but for the “appearance of justice” which is covered in Corruption in the Courts 4

# Fire the Whores Campaign

- Intend to create business for their so-called court to;
  - Justify their existence
  - Create work for their de facto buddies
  - Collect more royalties
  - Get promoted to the Court of Appeals, or the Supreme court

# Fire the Whores Campaign

- Create work for their buddies by
  - Making their decisions as cryptic as possible
  - Forcing the issue to another hearing
  - Ignoring the law, thereby forcing an appeal

# Fire the Whores Campaign

- Do you want to place your future in the hands of a United Nations whore who wants to sit on the bench and play stupid?
- Do you want to put the future of your wife and children in the hands of one of these judicial whores, that have complete immunity no matter what, because if you sue one of them you have to get one of their whore buddies to do it?
- Sure some of them want to really do justice, but are you going to take the chance that you got a good one?

# Fire the Whores Campaign

- Do you want to place your future in the hands of a whore that is bought and paid for by these Crown PIGs
- Do you want to place yourself in the hands of a judicial whore who is intent on converting you into a ward of the court (an imbecile)?

# Judgment Day

- Do you consider yourself Christian?
- Do you try to follow the golden rule?
- Do you treat others the way you want to be treated?
- Do you think you will get any sympathy from God, on judgment day, when you have not done everything you can to put a stop to these satanic judicial whores, selling their justus

# Karma – what goes around comes around

- Karma is the universal principle of “what goes around comes around”
- If we knowingly allow these judicial whores to victimize tens of thousands of people, in the name of their satanic religious ceremonies, don't you think that we will be held accountable
- Don't you think it will come back around at us?

# Karma – what goes around comes around

- Don't you think it is already coming back around at us everyday
  - When they sell their justus in their de facto so-called courts
  - When their revenue officers masquerading as police officers unlawfully arrest us for commercial crimes, like speeding
  - When they deny us due process based on one of their fictitious quazi-contracts
  - When they populate their prisons with victimless crimes

# Separation of Church and State

- All judges are foreign agents of the Vatican (see Presentation on Attorneys)
- This idea of separation of church and state was really about taking Christianity out of government and displacing it with the Satanic Roman Civil Law from the Vatican
- All so-called court cases are actually a satanic religious ceremony by Priests of BAAL.

# Separation of Church and State

- Under the guise of the separation of church and state they have
  - Taken the Lord's prayer out of the schools
  - Taken the Christian symbols out of the courts
  - Taken Christian symbols out of the schools
- They have converted our Christian government into satanic government
- It has all been done by court rulings from these priests of BAAL masquerading as judges

# At Common Law

- At Common Law the jury has to be your peers
- At Common Law the jury calls the witnesses, questions the witnesses, determines the law and the facts in the matter, and even pronounces sentence if necessary
- At Common Law the jury can disregard the law, which is called jury nullification
- At Common Law the jury can do literally anything it wants

# Prisons

- At common law there are no prisons
  - That is why common law is so severe
- All prisons are commercial
- Most of the people in prison are in there for victimless crimes
- We need to FIRE the Whores and end the tyranny of these de facto United Nations courts and their Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
- Our court is ONLY a jury of our peers, and we are all being denied it, so these judicial whores can populate their prisons and collect royalties

# Prisons

- When governments collapse in countries like Libya, the first thing that happens is they kick all of the prisoners out of the jails
- All jails are commercial

# As for Me

- My conscience is clear!
- These PIGs should have murdered Me when they had the chance, because by the time I am finished with them, they will wish they had murdered me, and I will do it all within the law!!
- Judgment day is coming and I will be there DEMANDIng justice
- I intend to see them all in Hell!
- If I am really lucky, I will get to see them do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope

# Fire the Whores Campaign

- We all need to work together to bring these whores (tyrants) to justice
- I would like to see some of these whores (tyrants) do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope
- I am glad that it is up a jury of my peers, and not me

# www.lifeleadership.com

- It is really only 3-5% of the people who do anything
- It was 3-5% of the people who precipitated the American revolution
- The bankster thieves and their cronies are 3-5% of the people

# www.lifeleadership.com

- Historically, every hundred +/- there is a major change in our freedoms
  - 1778 US Constitution – more freedoms
  - 1915 Federal Reserve – less freedoms
  - Now – yet to be seen

# www.lifeleadership.com

- Provides educational material about what the issues are
- Provides a way of bringing people together
- Provides a way of generating revenue through network marketing



[Faith](#)

**[Family](#)**

[Finances](#)

[Fitness](#)

[Following](#)

[Freedom](#)

[Friends](#)

[Fun](#)

# www.lifeleadership.com

- Some of the CDs and DVDs that are available, cover;
  - Rascals - rascal radio
  - Potential constitutional changes
    - Townships
  - Leadership development – corporate leadership
  - Financial Fitness
  - Adversity
  - Success
  - Balance in our life – spiritual, financial, etc

# www.lifeleadership.com

- They do NOT just sign you up and leave you on your own
- They want you a minimum of 10 people deep
- Their charts show you 20 deep, 30 deep, 50 deep and 75 deep
- They need you to be successful, so you can have influence – be a leader
- We need 3-5% of the people, as leaders, so we can work together to effect change towards freedom

# Meeting

- Meetings every Tuesday night in DFW at the Omni Hotel on I-635 exit east of George Bush toll road
- Other meetings

# Upcoming Events

- Canada Border PIGs
- Corruption in the Courts 4
- Fire the Whores in Texas
- City of Fort Worth PIGs
- City of Grand Prairie PIGs

# Summary

- **Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants**
- **I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations**
- **Send me an email for other copies of documents to; [engineerwin@gmail.com](mailto:engineerwin@gmail.com)**

# BAR Members

- ❖ **All BAR members are foreign agents of the Crown, and the Vatican, as described in the Presentation about Attorneys**
- ❖ **The Crown is a corporation that is owned and operated by the Vatican as found in the Presentation on Attorneys**