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P.I.G.s

• P.I.G. – Persons In Government who intend to breach the trust, and perjure their oaths
CBSA PIGs

• Not all peace officers who work for the Canada Border Services Agency are PIGs
  – I have dealt with CBSA officers in Ontario, and elsewhere who knew what I am talking about and agreed with me
  – The ones in particular in this case are located at Coutts, Alberta, and the district they cover, which includes all of the border crossings in Alberta and Saskatchewan
Peace Officers

• All Canada Border Services Agency officers have the words “Peace Officer” on their military uniforms, but if there is no breach of the peace, then they are not operating in their official capacity, but they are operating completely in their private capacity as described in the Peace Officers video

• I have NEVER in my life breached the peace
Breach of the Peace

“A breach of the peace takes place when either an assault is committed on an individual or public alarm and excitement is caused. Mere annoyance or insult is not enough: thus at common law a householder could not give a man into custody for violently and persistently ringing his door-bell. It is the particular duty of a magistrate or police officer to preserve the peace unbroken; hence if he has reasonable cause to believe that a breach of the peace is imminent he may be justified in committing an assault or effecting an arrest.” R.F.V. Heuston, Salmond on the Law of Torts 131 (17th ed. 1977). Black’s Law Dictionary, 8th Edition, page 565
Breach of the Peace

“Breach of the Peace. A violation of public order; the offence of disturbing the public peace. One guilty of this offence may be held to bail for his good behavior. An act of public indecorum is also a breach of the peace. The remedy for this offence is by indictment. Persons who go out on a "strike" and then linger about the place of their former employment, hooting at others taking their places, may be bound over to keep the peace; 11 Pa. Co. C. R. 481. One may disturb the peace while on his own premises by the use of violent language to a person lawfully there; 58 Ho. App. 126” Bouvier’s Law Dictionary, 1897 Edition, Volume 1, page 262
Due Process of Law

“…the reason for the initial detention, speeding & running a red light are not a breach of the peace.” Perkins v Texas, 812 S.W. 2d 326
Breach of the Peace

- Unless there is a breach of the peace, or a common law felony (breach of the peace) committed in front of a peace officer, they have nothing to say to you.
CBSA PIGs

• The CBSA PIGs had me down in their system as “Armed and Dangerous”, because I filed a Petition of Right against them 3 years ago, and in spite of the fact that I have NEVER breached the peace and because I had said that I have the right to resist their unlawful arrest as affirmed by a Canadian Judge Salhany

• “Similarly, a person cannot be convicted of resisting a peace officer in the execution of his duty unless the officer was acting strictly within the limits of his powers and duty. If the officer makes an unlawful arrest, then there is a common law right to resist that arrest.” Police Manual of Arrest, Seizure and Interrogation, 8th Edition, by The Honorable Roger E. Salhany, page 96,
PIGs in Canada

• In the Petition of Right I had said that if I am not free to go, then I am arrest, no matter what the PIGs want to call it.
• If they want to call it a detention, or something else, it is still an arrest
Due Process of Law

“The stopping of an automobile by a highway patrol officer for inspection of a driver’s license, or for any other purpose where it is accomplished by the authority of the officers, is an “arrest.” Robinson v. State, 198 S.W.2d 633, 635, 184 Tenn. 277
Due Process of Law

PIGs in Canada

• In my Petition of Right I told them that I had the right to resist an unlawful arrest with lethal force if necessary
Due Process of Law

• “An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery.” State v. Robinson, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260.

• “Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense.” State v. Mobley, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2d 100.
Due Process of Law

“One may come to the aid of another being unlawfully arrested, just as he may where one is being assaulted, molested, raped or kidnapped. Thus it is not an offense to liberate one from the unlawful custody of an officer, even though he may have submitted to such custody, without resistance.” Adams v. State, 121 Ga. 16, 48 S.E. 910.
PIGs in Canada

• “Similarly, a person cannot be convicted of resisting a peace officer in the execution of his duty unless the officer was acting strictly within the limits of his powers and duty. If the officer makes an unlawful arrest, then there is a common law right to resist that arrest.”

Lookout Notice

• They even had a Lookout Notice in their computer system, and their justification was the fact that they knew I had guns, and because I had stated that I had the right to resist an unlawful arrest, and I intended to exercise My right to resist an unlawful arrest

• They also mentioned that I had said I have a death wish, and I would rather be dead that be in a world with PIGs like these, just like Patrick Henry said;

• “Give me liberty or give me death!” Patrick Henry
CAUTIONS: ARMED & DANGEROUS

SUBJECT

Individual: PEARN, Glenn Winningen
Gender: Male

Country of Birth: Not Available
Citizenship: Not Available
DOB: 1957/09/04

Alias: No Data Entered
Description: Brown, N/A, N/A, N/A, Caucasian
moustache
Tel: No phone number provided

Identification: Other personal document IDCARD036784188, Canada, Alberta
Passport 208952994, United States, District of Columbia
Address: 6340 Lake Worth Blvd, 437 Fort Worth, TX,
76135, United States
Address: PO Box 188 Donalda, AB, T0E1H0, Canada
Address: 14 Cayuga Creek W Lethbridge, AB, Canada

CONVEYANCE

Highway: Truck, 54JBP9, Pickup, Dodge, 2008, RAM 2500, 3D7K82WAS8G141628,
TX, United States
Highway: Truck, ZUX843, Other, G.N.C., 2000, Jimmy, Gold, AB, Canada

DETAILS

Type: Firearms

Narrative: PEARN is a member of the Sovereign Citizens movement. This group is right-wing extremist group who are extremely anti-government.

PEARN has made written statements indicating that it is his right to RESIST UNLAWFUL ARREST WITH LETHAL FORCE IF NECESSARY. This includes being arrested by the police or at the border. He has also indicated that he is prepared to die and has a death wish.

The last time PEARN was dealt with at the border he declared two handguns. He was instructed to take his handguns back to the United States. It is suspected that he stored his handguns in the United States but at this time this can not be confirmed. At this time he was driving a Texas plated vehicle.

PEARN is currently residing in Lethbridge Alberta but was recently residing in Fort Worth, Texas.

PEARN recently attended provincial court in Lethbridge, Alberta to deal with a
Type: Firearms

Narrative: FEARN is a member of the Sovereign Citizens movement. This group is right-wing extremist group who are extremely anti-government.

FEARN has made written statements indicating that it is his right to RESIST UNLAWFUL ARREST WITH LETHAL FORCE IF NECESSARY. This includes being arrested by the police or at the border. He has also indicated that he is prepared to die and has a death wish.

The last time FEARN was dealt with at the border he declared two handguns. He was instructed to take his handguns back to the United States. It is suspected that he stored his handguns in the United States but at this time this can not be confirmed. At this time he was driving a Texas plated vehicle.

FEARN is currently residing in Lethbridge Alberta but was recently residing in Fort Worth, Texas.

FEARN recently attended provincial court in Lethbridge, Alberta to deal with a
lawsuit he served on many people in the Law Enforcement/Justice profession. This lawsuit was dismissed by the Judge and FEARN was ordered to pay court costs.

Due to his statements regarding a death wish, resisting arrest using lethal force, and possession of handguns in the recent past, FEARN is considered to be ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

Please use extreme caution when dealing with FEARN. Contact an Intelligence Officer upon interception. A secondary examination for firearms is strongly recommended.

Officers trained in the use of control and defensive tactics (CDT) and in possession of defensive equipment are expected to manage situations involving persons who may be prone to violence and who may be in possession of a weapon, including a firearm, up to such point that the officer believes he or she has reached the limits of his or her training and personal abilities. Where these limits have been reached, the officer shall permit the individual to proceed into Canada and immediately notify the police force of jurisdiction.

***UPDATE***
On November 30, 2010, Fearn appeared in QB Court. After reviewing the Application for the lawsuit, the judge dismissed the Application in its entirety and awarded $2650 in costs to the Respondents to be paid by Fearn. After the court hearing and outside the courtroom Fearn made comments that he has numerous firearms in the U.S. which he intended to retrieve and bring into Canada. He also made comments of being killed by police.

***FEARN entered the US at POE Sweetgrass, MT on February 15, 2011. FEARN would not provide any travel information to US CBP and was allowed to enter the US as he is a US Citizen.***

**SOURCE**
Category: (2) Two
Reliability: Completely Reliable and Available for Court
Source: CBSA - Port of Entry
Legislation: Customs Act (CA)

**CONTACT/ENTERED ON BEHALF OF**
Agency: CBSA
Name: Mark Vanden Berg
Location: Port of Coutts, AB
Telephone:

Agency: CBSA
Name: Mark Vanden Berg
Location: Coutts, AB
Telephone: [Redacted]
lawsuit he served on many people in the Law Enforcement/Justice profession. This lawsuit was dismissed by the Judge and FEARN was ordered to pay court costs.

Due to his statements regarding a death wish, resisting arrest using lethal force, and possession of handguns in the recent past, FEARN is considered to be ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

Please use extreme caution when dealing with FEARN. Contact an Intelligence Officer upon interception. A secondary examination for firearms is strongly recommended.

Officers trained in the use of control and defensive tactics (CDT) and in possession of defensive equipment are expected to manage situations involving persons who may be prone to violence and who may be in possession of a weapon, including a firearm, up to such point that the officer believes he or she has reached the limits of his or her training and personal abilities. Where these limits have been reached, the officer shall permit the individual to proceed into Canada and immediately notify the police force of jurisdiction.

***UPDATE***

On November 30, 2010, Fearn appeared in QB Court. After reviewing the Application for the lawsuit, the judge dismissed the Application in its entirety and awarded $2950 in costs to the Respondents to be paid by Fearn.
CBSA PIGs

• When I left Texas on the way to Canada, I did not want any hassle at the border so I put my guns in storage

• I travel all over the country in the travel trailer do consulting, and there is a lot of stuff in that travel trailer

• When the CBSA PIGs searched my travel trailer they found some magazines and ammunition that I had missed

• All of this was submitted in the written submission to the kangaroo court
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CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs broke open the door of my travel trailer because they are too stupid to use the keys
CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs destroyed the inside of my travel trailer deliberately, and calculatedly, because they are PIGs
CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs broke my printer
CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs broke the safe under the bed, because they are too stupid to use the key
CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs broke every external latch that they could find
CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs destroyed the vent for the refrigerator on the roof
CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs ran my battery down so bad, that they ruined it, and I have had to replace it
CBSA PIGs

- Kevin Hewson and his Canada Border Services Agency PIGs destroyed the awning and the legs are seized, and they broke the feet getting the legs retracted.
CBSA PIGs

• Kevin Hewson and his CBSA PIGs claimed that they would reimburse me for their damages but when I got an estimate from an RV dealer for the damages, $1615.00, they offered me half of what the estimate was, and I had to release Elizabeth, the bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended) from all liability.

• I did not accept their offer.

• It is NOT over!!!!
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Without Prejudice

Mr. Glenn Fearn
188 - 30 Main Street
Donalda, Alberta
T0B 1H0

February 3, 2014

Dear Mr. Fearn;

The attached release was sent to your Casa Grande, AZ address on December 13, 2013. I understand that you had not received the correspondence because you are currently residing in Canada. This is a duplicate of the original. Please see attached release.

A review of your claim for the damages caused to a 2005 Keystone Travel Trailer during a secondary examination at the port of Coutts, Alberta, on October 11, 2013, is complete.

As a result, I will be making arrangements for a cheque to be sent you in the amount of $888.77 Canadian funds. This value was determined using the quote supplied by Sunrise RV Parts and Service Inc.

If you accept this offer, I require the enclosed release to be signed by you as well as by a witness and returned to me prior to the cheque being released. You will be required to submit your Social Insurance Number to receive payment. I apologise for any inconvenience this matter has caused you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Hewson
Director
Canada Border Services Agency
Southern Alberta and Southern Saskatchewan District
As a result, I will be making arrangements for a cheque to be sent you in the amount of $888.77 Canadian funds. This value was determined using the quote supplied by Sunrise RV Parts and Service Inc.

If you accept this offer, I require the enclosed release to be signed by you as well as by a witness and returned to me prior to the cheque being released. You will be required to submit your Social Insurance Number to receive payment. I apologise for any inconvenience this matter has caused you.

Sincerely,

Kevin Hewson
Director
Canada Border Services Agency
Southern Alberta and Southern Saskatchewan District
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2nd Request

Know all persons by these presents that Mr. Glenn Fearn of #188 – 30 Main Street, Donalda, Alberta, T0B 4H0, does hereby remise, release and forever discharge Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada and Canada Border Services Agency from all manners of action, claims or demands, of whatever kind or nature that Mr. Glenn Fearn ever had, now has or can, shall or may hereafter have by reason of damage to or personal injury, or both, to a 2005 Keystone Travel Trailer, VIN 4YDF319245F706272, as a result of or in any way arising out of a secondary examination at the port of Coutts, Alberta on October 11, 2013.

It is understood and agreed that this Release shall only be effective when payment shall have been made on behalf of Her Majesty to Glenn Fearn of the sum of $998.77 (eight hundred and eighty-eight dollars and seventy-seven cents) in Canadian funds.

It is also understood that Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada does not admit any liability to Glenn Fearn by acceptance of this Release or by payment of the said sum $998.77 (eight hundred and eighty-eight dollars and seventy-seven cents) in Canadian funds.

In Witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this ______ day of ______, 2014.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the Presence of:

For the Claimant or person duly authorized for the Claimant:

Glen Fearn
Name

Signature

Phone Number (780) 224-3825

Social Insurance Number (NONE)

Witness:

Print Witness Name Above

Witness Signature

Phone Number (403) 882-0225
Release

2nd Request

Know all persons by these presents that Mr. Glenn Fearn of #188 – 30 Main Street, Donalda, Alberta, T0B 1H0, does hereby remise, release and forever discharge Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada and Canada Border Services Agency from all manners of action, claims or demands, of whatever kind or nature that Mr. Glenn Fearn ever had, now has or can, shall or may hereafter have by reason of damage to or personal injury, or both, to a 2005 Keystone Travel Trailer, VIN 4YDF319245F706272, as a result of or in any way arising out of a secondary examination at the port of Coutts, Alberta on October 11, 2013.

FOR THE TRAVEL TRAILER ONLY BECAUSE I AM GOING TO SUE YOUR ASS OFF.

It is understood and agreed that this Release shall only be effective when payment shall have been made on behalf of Her Majesty to Glenn Fearn of the sum of $888.77 (eight hundred and eighty-eight dollars and seventy-seven cents) in Canadian funds.

It is also understood that Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada does not admit any liability to Glenn Fearn by acceptance of this Release or by payment of the said sum $888.77 (eight hundred and eighty-eight dollars and seventy-seven cents) in Canadian funds.

$165.85 (One Thousand Six Hundred Dollars and Eighty-Five Cents)

In Witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this fifth day of March, 2014.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the Presence of:
Canada Border Services Agency

- There was no breach of the peace
- I FIRED them 4 years ago
- I FIRED them 3 years ago when I filed a Petition of Right in Lethbridge Court of Queen’s Bench
  - When I told the Clerk that they could not sell their justice under the Magna Carta, the Clerk said; “You will get no justice here”
- I FIRED the Chief Judicial whore and his subordinates with a Notice and Demand by Registered Mail
- I FIRED the judicial whore with a Notice and Demand by Registered Mail, which he refused
PIGs in Canada

• Further proof that the Canada Border Services Agency PIGs were representing Me, is that they seized the truck I was travelling in, and then demanded a $2500.00 excise tax (privilege tax) to get it back, for the privilege of continuing to travel with it, see the Corruption in the Courts 3 presentation, for taxation, representation, excise taxes, and licenses
Seizure Receipt
Reçu pour saisie

Name/Nom
FEARN, Glenn Winningham
1377 E Florence Blvd #147
Casa Grande, Arizona, United States, 85222, (602) 7778048

Canada Border Services Office/Bureau des services frontaliers du Canada

Couts - Commercial
Highway 4
Box 220
Couts, Alberta
Canada, T0K0N0, (403) 3443989

Seizure Date/Date de la saisie
2013/10/12

Receipt Number/Numéro de reçu
Seizing Officer/Agent de la saisie
20884
Seizure No./No de saisie
7051-13-0048

Allegation/Allégation
The said goods are seized because they have been unlawfully imported by reason of Non-Report[Sec. 12, C.A.]
That the said conveyance is seized because it was used therein.

Recap - Terms of Return/Récapitulation - Conditions de restitution

Goods/Marchandises
$0.00

Conveyance/Moyen de transport
$2,500.00

Total Amount Required/Montant total requis
$2,500.00

Total Amount Received/Montant total reçu
$2,500.00

Seizures may affect examination rates, eligibility to Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) accelerated release programs and subsequent penalty rates.

Les saisies peuvent avoir une incidence sur le nombre de vérification, l'admissibilité aux programmes de passage accéléré de l'Agence des services frontaliers du Canada (ACDSF) et sur la prise de sanctions ultérieures.
Seizure Receipt
Réçu pour saisie

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/No\m</th>
<th>FEARN, Glenn Winningham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>1377 E Florence Blvd #147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Casa Grande, Arizona, United States, 85222, (682) 7778048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canada Border Services Office/Bureau des services frontaliers du Canada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coutts - Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coutts, Alberta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada, T0K0N0, (403) 3443968</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seizure Date/Date de la saisie</th>
<th>2013/10/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receipt Number/Numéro de reçu</td>
<td>20684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizing Officer/Agent de la saisie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure No./No de saisie</td>
<td>7051-13-0048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allegation/Allégation

The said goods are seized because they have been unlawfully imported by reason of Non-Report[Sec. 12, C.A.]
That the said conveyance is seized because it was used therein.

Lesdites marchandises sont saisies parce qu'elles ont été illégalement importées en raison de Défaut de déclaration[art. 12 de la Loi sur les douanes]
Ledit moyen de transport est saisi parce qu'il a été utilisé à cette fin.
PIGs in Canada

• These same Canada Border PIGs use their commercial color of law statutes to steal my truck in the mid 1990’s, and I sued them then in their kangaroo Federal Court in Ontario, so these Canada Border PIGs know EXACTLY who I am and they intend to do all of this and more

• These Canada Border PIGs intend to make sure that you do NOT have the ability to defend your self, which is why they want to seize guns, and even knives, so they can commit their assaults with complete safety
PIGs in Canada

- These Canada Border PIGs are using their commercial fictitious color of law to facilitate the theft of my property and the property of millions of other people every day, and their judicial whore buddies like Tillman, Rooke, and Redmond are helping them, with their United Nations kangaroo so-called courts.

- This is EXACTLY the same thing that precipitated the Magna Carta and the War of Independence.
PIGs in Canada

- These Canada Border PIGs are working under their United Nations PIGs, which includes their de facto United Nations whores selling their justus, like Rooke, the PIG, Tillman the PIG, Redmond the PIG, all of whom are bought and paid for by Elizabeth the PIG, and her hired PIG thugs Harper the PIG, Johnston the PIG, and Ethell the PIG.

- It is the best justus system that money can buy!!!
PIGs in Canada

• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs know that their ONLY real authority is in commerce, which is why they are demanding a Social Insurance Number (SIN), so they can fabricate evidence of commercial activity, because a SIN is a number for a cestui que trust

• "... (E)very taxpayer is a cestui qui trust having sufficient interest in the preventing abuse of the trust to be recognized in the field of this court's prerogative jurisdiction ..." In Re Bolens (1912), 135 N.W. 164,
PIGs in Canada

The CBSA PIG whores Matchett, Lefevre, LeGrandeur, Hironaka, and Redman, together with the CBSA PIG whores Tillman, Rooke, and Whitmann each know that taxes are ONLY in commerce and they also know that I am NOT one of their fictitious commercial entities, and I am NOT carrying passengers or property for hire, but they intend to facilitate the theft by their Canada Border Services Agency thieves.
Taxes in Commerce ONLY

- “Whereas taxation by the parliament of Great Britain, for the purpose of raising a revenue in his Majesty’s colonies, provinces, and plantations, in North America, has been found by experience to occasion great uneasiness and disorders... That from and after the passing of this act the King and Parliament of Great Britain will not impose any duty, tax, or assessment whatever, payable in any of the colonies, provinces, or plantations, in North America or the West Indies; except only such duties as it may be expedient to impose for the regulation of commerce...” George III, CAP XII 1778 [emphasis added]
PIGs in Canada

• The CBSA PIG whores Matchett, Lefevre, LeGrandeur, Hironaka, and Redman, together with the CBSA PIG whores Tillman, Rooke, and Whitmann, intended to aid and abet the CBSA PIGs in their conversion of My rights into privileges, and their subsequent thefts with their representation and taxation, without authority

• These Canada Border PIGs are not just satisfied assaulting Me, and kidnapping Me, and falsely imprisoning Me, they sent all of that to the US Border PIGs so they can get in on it too
The Show-Trial

- The Crown PIGs (Alex Bernard) offered to settle the matter for a $10,000 fine and a guilty plea
- I counter offered that if they would provide an affidavit that I am a person as defined by the Firearms Act, and the Customs Act, that I would plead guilty and beg forgiveness
- About a month later, I also offered to plead guilty and beg forgiveness if they would provide an affidavit that I am a Person as defined by the Customs Act and the Firearms Act
Conditional Acceptance

The following Conditional Acceptance has been sent via Fax to 780-495-4915 on 18 December, 2013 to the attention to the following recipient

Public Prosecution Service
Suite 700, 10423 – 101 Street
Edmonton, Alberta T5H 0E7

and,

Alex Bernard, Counsel
Public Prosecution Service of Canada

and,

Alex Bernard, in his private capacity

and,

Canada Border Services Agency,

and,

all applicable Canada Border Services Agency officers and agents, the Minister of Public Safety for Canada, and all applicable officers and agents, the Minister of Justice for Canada, and all applicable officers and agents, the Minister of National Revenue, and all applicable officers and agents in their public and private capacities, and hereinafter known as “You”, “Your”, “CBSA”, or “Canada Border Services Agency”

For the matter of the Information # 131337321P1 and Glenn Winningenham Fearn, a private person in full capacity while not representing any legal person, hereinafter known as “I”, “Me”, “My”, or “Myself”

Notice of Conditional Acceptance

This Conditional Acceptance is my timely response to your proposal. I am more than happy to accept your proposal upon your ability to verify that your claims as described in the information, as referenced herein, are true, correct, complete, and not misleading. This Conditional Acceptance serves as a process to remove any and all unverified presumptions and assumptions that you may have made. Any reference of statutes, codes, rules, regulations, or court citations, in this document or any other document created by me, is not an indication that I seek the benefit of the jurisdiction of the reference, but is used solely to put you on Notice of a reference which is applicable to you.

Notice of Conduct Options
Conditional Acceptance

The following Conditional Acceptance has been sent via Fax to 780-495-4915 on 18 December, 2013 to the attention to the following recipient

Public Prosecution Service  
Suite 700, 10423 – 101 Street  
Edmonton, Alberta T5H 0E7

and,

Alex Bernard, Counsel  
Public Prosecution Service of Canada

and,

Alex Bernard, in his private capacity

and,

Canada Border Services Agency,

and,

all applicable Canada Border Services Agency officers and agents, the Minister of Public Safety for Canada, and all applicable officers and agents, the Minister of Justice for Canada, and all applicable officers and agents, the Minister of National Revenue, and all applicable officers and agents in their public and private capacities, and hereinafter known as “You”, “Your”, “CBSA”, or “Canada Border Services Agency”

For the matter of the Information # 131337321P1 and Glenn Winningham Fearn, a private person in full capacity while not representing any legal person, hereinafter known as “I”, “Me”, “My”, or “Myself”
Canada Border Services Agency,

and,

all applicable Canada Border Services Agency officers and agents, the Minister of Public Safety for Canada, and all applicable officers and agents, the Minister of Justice for Canada, and all applicable officers and agents, the Minister of National Revenue, and all applicable officers and agents in their public and private capacities, and hereinafter known as "You", "Your", "CBSA", or "Canada Border Services Agency"

For the matter of the Information # 131337321P1 and Glenn Winningham Fearn, a private person in full capacity while not representing any legal person, hereinafter known as "I", "Me", "My", or "Myself"

Notice of Conditional Acceptance

This Conditional Acceptance is my timely response to your proposal. I am more than happy to accept your proposal upon your ability to verify that your claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, are true, correct, complete, and not misleading. This Conditional Acceptance serves as a process to remove any and all unverified presumptions and assumptions that you may have made. Any reference of statutes, codes, rules, regulations, or court citations, in this document or any other document created by me, is not an indication that I seek the benefit of the jurisdiction of the reference, but is used solely to put you on Notice of a reference which is applicable to you.

Notice of Conduct Options

Conditional Acceptance Page 1 of 7
If you do nothing or remain silent, your silence will be taken as a REFUSAL to verify your claims as described in the information, as referenced herein, as true, correct, complete, and not misleading, and will be verified confirmation that I am not liable to the claims in the Information.

Notice to Respond

From faxed receipt of this Conditional Acceptance you have seven days [7 days] (excluding weekends) to provide a sworn affidavit under your full personal liability with the penalty of perjury for all proofs of your claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, and this will be my ACCEPTANCE that your claims are true, correct, complete, and not misleading, and will be verified confirmation that I am to confidently carry out your proposals that can be verified.

In the event after seven days [7 days] from fax receipt of this Conditional Acceptance you have responded with partial or missing proof of claims under sworn affidavit, or responded with an insufficient declaration that permits lying by omission, or do not provide any response, this will be agreed as your REFUSAL to verify your claims as true, correct, complete, and not misleading, and will be a verified confirmation that I am not liable to the claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, and will be a binding FINAL AGREEMENT, by not raising an objection, and tacit consent that you are lacking in verified proofs as required to prove your claims.

Should you require more time, you will receive a reasonable amount of extra time to respond, if you promptly send a request within seven days [7 days] from fax receipt of this Conditional Acceptance, on the condition than an equal time extension is provided to me.

Send your response via fax, with appropriate cover letter, to the following:

Glenn Winningham Fearn
C/O The UPS Store
5212 – 48th Street, #B102
Red Deer, Alberta
Fax 403-342-0226

Harm because of Unverified Presumptions

In the event that you fail to provide proof of your claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, any form of conduct from you pretending that I am legally liable to the Information, or your conduct acting on any of your unverified presumptions will carry with it my right to assess compensation.
if you do nothing or remain silent, your silence will be taken as a REFUSAL to verify your claims as described in the information, as referenced herein, as true, correct, complete, and not misleading, and will be verified confirmation that I am not liable to the claims in the Information.

Notice to Respond

From faxed receipt of this Conditional Acceptance you have seven days [7 days] (excluding weekends) to provide a sworn affidavit under your full personal liability with the penalty of perjury for all proofs of your claims as described in the information, as referenced herein, and this will be my ACCEPTANCE that your claims are true, correct, complete, and not misleading, and will be verified confirmation that I am to confidently carry out your proposals that can be verified.

In the event after seven days [7 days] from fax receipt of this Conditional Acceptance you have responded with partial or missing proof of claims under sworn affidavit, or responded with an insufficient declaration that permits lying by omission, or do not provide any response, this will be agreed as your REFUSAL to verify your claims as true, correct, complete, and not misleading, and will be a verified confirmation that I am not liable to the claims as described in the information, as referenced herein, and will be a binding FINAL AGREEMENT, by not raising an objection, and tacit consent that you are lacking in verified proofs as required to prove your claims.

Should you require more time, you will receive a reasonable amount of extra time to respond, if you promptly send a request within seven days [7 days] from fax receipt of this Conditional Acceptance, on the condition than an equal time extension is provided to me.
Should you require more time, you will receive a reasonable amount of extra time to respond, if you promptly send a request within seven days [7 days] from fax receipt of this Conditional Acceptance, on the condition than an equal time extension is provided to me.

Send your response via fax, with appropriate cover letter, to the following:

Glenn Winningham Fearn  
C/O The UPS Store  
5212 – 48th Street, #B102  
Red Deer, Alberta  
Fax 403-342-0226

Harm because of Unverified Presumptions

In the event that you fail to provide proof of your claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, any form of conduct from you pretending that I am legally liable to the information, or your conduct acting on any of your unverified presumptions will carry with it my right to assess compensation.

Conditional Acceptance Page 2 of 7
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Conditional Acceptance

I conditionally accept your offer to resolve the matter described in Information # 131211708P1 for a fine of $10,000, with no victim fine surcharge

upon proof of your claim that,

1. Canada Border Services Agency does not respect the privity of contract

2. Canada Border Services Agency is a party to this agreement

3. I am NOT a private person of full capacity

4. I am representing any legal person

5. The Magna Carta does not apply in the Canadian states

6. The Canada Customs Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General,

7. The Canada Border Services Agency Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

8. The Firearms Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

9. The Criminal Code of Canada is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

10. The Interpretation Act of Canada is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

11. The Canada Corrections and Conditional Release Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General
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12 The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General, or the Queen

13 The Canadian Human Rights Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

14 The Canadian Bill of Rights is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

15 The Canada Evidence Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

16 The Canada Prize Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

17 The Income Tax Act of Canada is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

18 The Canada Revenue Agency Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

19 The Alberta Provincial Court Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

20 The Alberta Court of Queen's Bench Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

21 The Alberta Judicature Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

22 The Alberta Interpretation Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

23 The Alberta Evidence Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

24 I am a "person" as defined by the Canada Customs Act

25 I am a "person" as defined by the Canada Border Services Agency Act

26 I am a "person" as defined by the Firearms Act

27 I am a "person" as defined by the Criminal Code of Canada

28 I am a "person" as defined by the Canada Corrections and Conditional Release Act

29 I am a "person" as defined by the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms

30 I am a "person" as defined by the Canadian Human Rights Act

31 I am a "person" as defined by the Canadian Bill of Rights

32 I am a "person" as defined by the Canada Evidence Act

33 I am a "person" as defined by the Canada Prize Act

34 I am a "person" as defined by the Income Tax Act of Canada
12 The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General, or the Queen

13 The Canadian Human Rights Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

14 The Canadian Bill of Rights is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

15 The Canada Evidence Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

16 The Canada Prize Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

17 The Income Tax Act of Canada is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

18 The Canada Revenue Agency Act is a lawful Act signed by the Governor General

19 The Alberta Provincial Court Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

20 The Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

21 The Alberta Judicature Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

22 The Alberta Interpretation Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor

23 The Alberta Evidence Act is a lawful Act signed by the Lieutenant Governor
24 I am a “person” as defined by the Canada Customs Act

25 I am a “person” as defined by the Canada Border Services Agency Act

26 I am a “person” as defined by the Firearms Act

27 I am a “person” as defined by the Criminal Code of Canada

28 I am a “person” as defined by the Canada Corrections and Conditional Release Act

29 I am a “person” as defined by the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms

30 I am a “person” as defined by the Canadian Human Rights Act

31 I am a “person” as defined by the Canadian Bill of Rights

32 I am a “person” as defined by the Canada Evidence Act

33 I am a “person” as defined by the Canada Prize Act

34 I am a “person” as defined by the Income Tax Act of Canada
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35 I am a "person" as defined by the Canada Revenue Agency Act
36 I am a "person" as defined by the Alberta Provincial Court Act
37 I am a "person" as defined by the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench Act
38 I am a "person" as defined by the Alberta Judicature Act
39 I am a "person" as defined by the Alberta Rules of Court
40 I am a "person" as defined by the Alberta Interpretation Act
41 The "armed and dangerous" statement against Me, in the Canada Border Services Agency computer system was based in law and fact
42 I am "armed and dangerous" as described in the Canada Border Services Agency computer system
43 I consented to give up My rights to Canada Border Services Agency, and agreed to be their slave
44 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT assault Me
45 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT kidnap Me
46 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT falsely imprison Me
47 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT unlawfully search the truck and travel trailer that I had with Me when I crossed the border
48 The BNA Bill does NOT create a trust
49 The BNA Bill authorizes delegation of authority to a corporation called CANADA, and other agents, like Canada Border Services Agency
50 The people of the Canadian states are NOT sovereign
51 The people of the Canadian states did NOT delegate authority to the trust called Canada
52 I was NOT denied due process as defined by the Magna Carta Chapter 29
53 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency filing charges against Me is NOT a violation of Chapter 38 of the Magna Carta (1215).
54 An indictment issued by a judge as defined by the Criminal Code of Canada is a lawful indictment as defined by the Magna Carta
35 I am a “person” as defined by the Canada Revenue Agency Act

36 I am a “person” as defined by the Alberta Provincial Court Act

37 I am a “person” as defined by the Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench Act

38 I am a “person” as defined by the Alberta Judicature Act

39 I am a “person” as defined by the Alberta Rules of Court

40 I am a “person” as defined by the Alberta Interpretation Act

41 The “armed and dangerous” statement against Me, in the Canada Border Services Agency computer system was based in law and fact

42 I am “armed and dangerous” as described in the Canada Border Services Agency computer system

43 I consented to give up My rights to Canada Border Services Agency, and agreed to be their slave

44 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT assault Me

45 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT kidnap Me

46 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT falsely imprison Me
47 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency did NOT unlawfully search the truck and travel trailer that I had with Me when I crossed the border.

48 The BNA Bill does NOT create a trust.

49 The BNA Bill authorizes delegation of authority to a corporation called CANADA, and other agents, like Canada Border Services Agency.

50 The people of the Canadian states are NOT sovereign.

51 The people of the Canadian states did NOT delegate authority to the trust called Canada.

52 I was NOT denied due process as defined by the Magna Carta Chapter 29.

53 Employees of the Canada Border Services Agency filing charges against Me is NOT a violation of Chapter 38 of the Magna Carta (1215).

54 An indictment issued by a judge as defined by the Criminal Code of Canada is a lawful indictment as defined by the Magna Carta.
55 Ignorance of the law on the part of employees of the Canada Border Services Agency is NOT a violation of Chapter 45 of the Magna Carta (1215)

56 The Crown is NOT owned and operated by the Vatican

57 The Vatican is NOT Luciferian

58 Members of the Law Society/BAR are NOT priests of BAAL

59 This court case is NOT a satanic religious ceremony

60 A Recognizance Bond on prescribed Form 32 in the Criminal Code of Canada is lawful even if the style is in all block capital letters

61 The Crown has a lawful right to change the charges, after they have arrested Me, and held Me in their jail

62 It is perfectly appropriate to impose Roman Civil Law on everybody, without their consent

63 Statutes are NOT Roman Civil Law

64 Statutes are mandatory for everybody

65 Canada Border Services Agency employees harassing people at the border is NOT a violation of Chapter 13 of the Magna Carta (1215)

66 This case is NOT a malicious prosecution

67 I am NOT a judicial power citizen by right of blood

68 The employees of Canada Border Services Agency did NOT breach the trust

69 The seizure of the truck I was travelling in by Canada Border Services Agency is NOT a due process violation as found in the Magna Carta, Chapter 29

70 The demand for $2500.00 is NOT extortion under color of office

71 The demand for $2500.00 is NOT a violation of due process violation as found in the Magna Carta, Chapter 29

72 The seizure of the truck that I was travelling across the border in, was NOT done pursuant to the Canada Prize Act

73 I am a US citizen

74 I am a citizen of Canada
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55 Ignorance of the law on the part of employees of the Canada Border Services Agency is NOT a violation of Chapter 45 of the Magna Carta (1215)

56 The Crown is NOT owned and operated by the Vatican

57 The Vatican is NOT Luciferian

58 Members of the Law Society/BAR are NOT priests of BAAL

59 This court case is NOT a satanic religious ceremony

60 A Recognizance Bond on prescribed Form 32 in the Criminal Code of Canada is lawful even if the style is in all block capital letters

61 The Crown has a lawful right to change the charges, after they have arrested Me, and held Me in their jail

62 It is perfectly appropriate to impose Roman Civil Law on everybody, without their consent

63 Statutes are NOT Roman Civil Law

64 Statutes are mandatory for everybody

65 Canada Border Services Agency employees harassing people at the border is NOT a violation of Chapter 13 of the Magna Carta (1215)

66 This case is NOT a malicious prosecution
66 This case is NOT a malicious prosecution

67 I am NOT a judicial power citizen by right of blood

68 The employees of Canada Border Services Agency did NOT breach the trust

69 The seizure of the truck I was travelling in by Canada Border Services Agency is NOT a due process violation as found in the Magna Carta, Chapter 29

70 The demand for $2500.00 is NOT extortion under color of office

71 The demand for $2500.00 is NOT a violation of due process violation as found in the Magna Carta, Chapter 29

72 The seizure of the truck that I was travelling across the border in, was NOT done pursuant to the Canada Prize Act

73 I am a US citizen

74 I am a citizen of Canada
75 I have no common law rights as affirmed by the Magna Carta

76 Canada Border Services Agency has every right to impose Roman Civil Law upon Me

77 That in Pollock v. The Queen, reflex [1994] 1 C.T.C. 3, 94 DTC 6050, Justice Hugessen, on behalf of the Federal Court of Appeal, did not make the following comments:

"Where, however, the Minister has pleaded no assumptions, or where some or all of the pleaded assumptions have been successfully rebutted, it remains open to the Minister, as defendant, to establish the correctness of his assessment if he can. In undertaking this task, the Minister bears the ordinary burden of any party to a lawsuit, namely to prove the facts which support his position unless those facts have already put in evidence by his opponent. This is settled law."

Done at Lacombe, Alberta this 18th day of December, in the year 2013.

Glenn Winningham Fearn
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
75 I have no common law rights as affirmed by the Magna Carta

76 Canada Border Services Agency has every right to impose Roman Civil Law upon Me

77 That in Pollock v. The Queen, reflex [1994] 1 C.T.C. 3, 94 DTC 6050, Justice Hugessen, on behalf of the Federal Court of Appeal, did not make the following comments; “Where, however, the Minister has pleaded no assumptions, or where some or all of the pleaded assumptions have been successfully rebutted, it remains open to the Minister, as defendant, to establish the correctness of his assessment if he can. In undertaking this task, the Minister bears the ordinary burden of any party to a lawsuit, namely to prove the facts which support his position unless those facts have already put in evidence by his opponent. This is settled law.”

Done at Lacombe, Alberta this 18th day of December, in the year 2013.

Glenn Winningham Fearn
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
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# Fax Confirmation Report

**Date & Time:** 18-DEC-2013 01:45PM WED  
**Fax Number:** 4033420226  
**Fax Name:** The UPS Store  
**Model Name:** WorkCentre 3550

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Remote Station</th>
<th>StartTime</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Job Type</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>178D49849215</td>
<td>18-12 01:43PM</td>
<td>00'59</td>
<td>007/007</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Success</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbriviations:**
- HS: Host Send
- HPR: Host Receive
- MSP: Mailbox Save
- MP: Mailbox Print
- PL: Polled Local
- PR: Polled Remote
- MSP: Mailbox Save
- MSW: Mailbox Print

### Conditional Acceptance

The following Conditional Acceptance has been sent to you to 765-484-9015 on 18 December 2013 to the attention of the following:

- Public Safety Services  
- Alta Bar (Andrews & Co)  
- PS: Polled Send
- P: Polled Receive
- MSP: Mailbox Save
- MP: Mailbox Print

The conditions are:

- 765-484-9015
- Date: 18 December 2013

**Notice of Conditional Acceptance**

This Conditional Acceptance is not a final acceptance or rejection of your proposal. It is made to allow you to continue working on your proposal, subject to the terms described in this notice. Any reference to final acceptance or rejection is subject to the terms of the notice.

**Notice of Conduct Options**

Conditional acceptance on 18 December 2013.
Fax Confirmation Report

Date & Time : 18-DEC-2013 01:45PM WED
Fax Number : 4033420226
Fax Name : The UPS Store
Model Name : WorkCentre 3550

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Remote Station</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Job Type</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>17804954915</td>
<td>18-12 01:43PM</td>
<td>00'59</td>
<td>007/007</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Success</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations:
- HS: Host Send
- HR: Host Receive
- MS: Mailbox Save
- MP: Mailbox Print
- PL: Polled Local
- PR: Polled Remote
- WS: Waiting To Send
- EC: Error Correct
- CP: Completed
- FA: Fail
- RP: Report
- TS: Terminated by System
- TU: Terminated by User
- G3: Group3

---

Conditional Acceptance

The following Conditional Acceptance has been sent via fax to 780-495-4915 on 18 December, 2013 to
the attention of the following recipient:

Public Prosecution Service
Suite 700, 10423 – 101 Street
Edmonton, Alberta T5N 0E7

and,

Alex Bernard, Counsel
Public Prosecution Service of Canada
December 18, 2013

VIA FACSIMILE:

The UPS Store
5212 – 48th Street, #B102
Red Deer, Alberta
Fax: 403-342-0226

Attention: Glenn Winningham FEARN

Dear Sir:

RE: Your “Conditional Acceptance” Dated December 18, 2013

Thank you for the above noted correspondence, in response to my letter of early resolution setting out the Crown’s position on an early guilty plea to your charges.

I note the following from your “Conditional Acceptance”:

- Your acceptance of the Crown’s position is only in respect of the $10,000 fine. The Crown’s position also included forfeiture of the items seized following your arrest, as well as a prohibition order under s.110 of the Criminal Code;

- You have imported a number of additional conditions of your own into the Crown’s offer.

Since you have only “accepted” that part of the Crown’s position on sentence dealing with a fine amount, you have made an express rejection of the terms of the Crown’s proposal. It remains open for the Crown to take another position on sentence either in advance of trial, or upon your conviction after trial.

This matter is currently set for trial on March 3 – 6, 2014 in Lethbridge. I look forward to seeing you on those dates. In the event that you obtain counsel, do not hesitate to refer them on to me.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Alex Bernard
Counsel
Public Prosecution Service of Canada
Attention: Glenn Winningham FEARN

Dear Sir:

RE: Your “Conditional Acceptance” Dated December 18, 2013

Thank you for the above noted correspondence, in response to my letter of early resolution setting out the Crown’s position on an early guilty plea to your charges.

I note the following from your “Conditional Acceptance”:

- Your acceptance of the Crown’s position is only in respect of the $10,000 fine. The Crown’s position also included forfeiture of the items seized following your arrest, as well as a prohibition order under s.110 of the Criminal Code;

- You have imported a number of additional conditions of your own into the Crown’s offer.

Since you have only “accepted” that part of the Crown’s position on sentence dealing with a fine amount, you have made an express rejection of the terms of the Crown’s proposal. It remains open for the Crown to take another position on sentence either in advance of trial, or upon your conviction after trial.
Harm because of Unverified Presumptions

In the event that you fail to provide proof of your claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, any form of conduct from you pretending that I am legally liable to the Information, or your conduct acting on any of your unverified presumptions will carry with it my right to assess compensation from you for the amount of from you for the amount of one million Canadian dollars [$1,000,000] per day or forty thousand Canadian dollars [$40,000] per hour for any damages inflicted by you.

In the event that you fail to provide proof of your claims, as described in the Information, as referenced herein, that I am legally liable, a Notice of Default will be forthcoming, memorializing your claims as mere presumptions, and memorializing your claims as mere presumptions, and memorializing your REFUSAL to verify that your claims are true, correct, complete, and not misleading.

In the event that you fail to provide proof of your claims, as described in the Information, as referenced herein, that I am legally liable, this event shall be your FINAL AGREEMENT, by not raising an objection, and tacit consent that you are lacking in verified proofs as required, to prove that your claims are true, correct, complete, and not misleading.

In the event that you fail to provide proof of your claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, that I am legally liable, the matter is publicly settled, on and for the record.

You agree that in the event that I am to carry out your proposal, the matter is privately settled.

Conditional Acceptance

I conditionally accept your Early Resolution Offer to resolve the matter described in Information # 13121170BP1, as follows:

1. for a fine of $10,000, with no victim fine surcharge, and,

2. a forfeiture of the items seized following my arrest, and,

3. a prohibition order under s. 110 of the Criminal Code

upon proof of your claim that,

1. Canada Border Services Agency does not respect the privity of contract

2. Canada Border Services Agency is a party to this agreement

3. Canada Border Services Agency is NOT a corporation

4. I am NOT a private person of full capacity

5. I am representing any legal person

6. The Magna Carta does not apply in the Canadian states
In the event that you fail to provide proof of your claims as described in the Information, as referenced herein, that I am legally liable, the matter is publicly settled, on and for the record.

You agree that in the event that I am to carry out your proposal, the matter is privately settled.

**Conditional Acceptance**

I conditionally accept your Early Resolution Offer to resolve the matter described in Information # 131211708P1, as follows;

1. for a fine of $10,000, with no victim fine surcharge, and,

2. a forfeiture of the items seized following my arrest, and,

3. a prohibition order under s. 110 of the Criminal Code

upon proof of your claim that,

1. Canada Border Services Agency does not respect the privity of contract

2. Canada Border Services Agency is a party to this agreement

3. Canada Border Services Agency is NOT a corporation

4. I am NOT a private person of full capacity
January 2, 2014

VIA FACSIMILE:

Glenn Winningham Feun
5212 - 48th Street, #B102
Red Deer, Alberta
T4N 7C3
Fax: 403-342-0226

Dear Sir:

RE: Your Charges under the Criminal Code and the Customs Act, Information #1311337321P1

Thank you for your correspondence dated December 30, 2013. Unfortunately, your letter of December 18th and your continued insistence on importing a number of additional conditions to my offer of resolution amounts to a counter-offer and thus a rejection of any earlier position the Crown has taken.

As such, there is nothing further to accept, "conditionally" or otherwise. It now remains open for the Crown to take another position with respect to the appropriate sentence in this matter in the event of your conviction.

This matter is set for trial on March 3 - 6, 2014. I look forward to seeing you on those dates. I trust the foregoing is of assistance and I remain...

Yours truly,

Alex Bernard
Counsel
Public Prosecution Service of Canada
Dear Sir:

RE: Your Charges under the Criminal Code and the Customs Act, Information #1311337321P1

Thank you for your correspondence dated December 30, 2013. Unfortunately, your letter of December 18th and your continued insistence on importing a number of additional conditions to my offer of resolution amounts to a counter-offer and thus a rejection of any earlier position the Crown has taken.

As such, there is nothing further to accept, “conditionally” or otherwise. It now remains open for the Crown to take another position with respect to the appropriate sentence in this matter in the event of your conviction.

This matter is set for trial on March 3 – 6, 2014. I look forward to seeing you on those dates. I trust the foregoing is of assistance and I remain...

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Alex Bernard
Counsel
Public Prosecution Service of Canada
Pre-Judged Kangaroo Court

• The Crown PIGs (Alex Bernard, and his handlers Ackerl, and Mackay) did NOT respond to My counteroffer, or my offer because they knew I am not a person defined by either of their pretend Acts, which is further proof that the fix was in, and the outcome of the case was prejudged

• See the videos on Corruption in the Courts 3 & 4, to see pretend Acts, and see the video on Color of Law
Crown PIGs

• All these Crown PIGs had to do was make an affidavit that their Acts are lawful Acts, and I am a “person” as defined by their Acts, but they couldn’t do that because it would be perjury, and they knew it!
Crown PIGs

- Bernard the PIG, knew that it was all color of law because he even called it a “regulatory proceeding” in his disclosure letter, and his title shows that he is in the Regulatory and Economic Crime Section as found on page 2 of his disclosure letter.
December 16, 2013

HAND DELIVERED IN COURT

Glenn Winningham FEARN
42040 RR 18-5
Stettler, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Regina v. Glenn Winningham FEARN
Next Court Date: December 17, 2013 at 9:30 a.m. Lethbridge Provincial Court #001

Enclosed is disclosure regarding the above noted matter as provided to the Public Prosecution Service of Canada (PPSC) by Canada Border Services Agency, as described below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th># OF PAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Lookout Synopsis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This disclosure material is provided to counsel for the sole purpose of making full answer and defence in the prosecution of this particular regulatory proceeding.

The attached materials are provided in accordance with the Crown’s disclosure obligations on the following conditions:

1. The materials, their contents and any copies must be dealt with in light of the ethical obligation on defence counsel as officers of the court to act responsibly with respect to disclosure, as held by the Supreme Court in Stinchcombe, and as recognized in the Martin Report.

2. If there is a change of counsel retained by the accused, the former counsel shall deliver all disclosed material, with written notice to our office, to the new counsel with these same conditions attached to them, or return the material to the Crown forthwith at the end of that counsel’s retainer.

3. All future disclosure provided on this file is subject to these same conditions.

If counsel is unable or unwilling to comply with these conditions, counsel must forthwith return these materials to Crown Counsel. The Crown will provide private access to disclosure materials in controlled conditions.
December 16, 2013

HAND DELIVERED IN COURT

Glenn Winningham FEARN
42040 RR 18-5
Stettler, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Regina v. Glenn Winningham FEARN
Next Court Date: December 17, 2013 at 9:30 a.m. Lethbridge Provincial Court #001

Enclosed is disclosure regarding the above noted matter as provided to the Public Prosecution Service of Canada (PPSC) by Canada Border Services Agency, as described below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th># OF PAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Lookout Synopsis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This disclosure material is provided to counsel for the sole purpose of making full answer and defence in the prosecution of this particular regulatory proceeding.
This is all of the disclosure that is currently in possession of the Crown. Any additional information will be disclosed to you forthwith after receipt by PPSC.

I trust the foregoing is satisfactory.

Yours truly,

Public Prosecution Service of Canada
Regulatory and Economic Crime Section
Alberta Region – Edmonton Office

Per:

Alex Bernard
Counsel
Encl.
AB/ab
This is all of the disclosure that is currently in possession of the Crown. Any additional information will be disclosed to you forthwith after receipt by PPSC.

I trust the foregoing is satisfactory.

Yours truly,

Public Prosecution Service of Canada
Regulatory and Economic Crime Section
Alberta Region – Edmonton Office

Per:

Alex Bernard
Counsel
Encl.
AB/ab
Crown PIGs

• I included in the Petition of Right numerous statements that I am not a corporation, or involved in commerce, but these PIGs intend to assault Me and falsely imprison Me, and kidnap Me from the beginning, and they ONLY way they can justify that is in their United Nations UNIDROIT commercial jurisdiction, and their whore buddies in their so-called courts are aiding and abetting them.
JUDICIAL CENTRE OF LETHBRIDGE

Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta
Lethbridge

Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
Elizabeth Alexandra Mary; house of Windsor, Queen of Canada
Canada
Province of Alberta
Provincial Court of Alberta
City of Lethbridge
Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Chief of Police
Doug McLaughlin, Chairman of the Lethbridge Police Commission
L. Christos, Police Officer
T. Ramotowsky, Police Officer
R. Sparrow, Police Officer
Robert Coleman, Lethbridge Prosecutor
Unknown female Gail, Clerk
J.D. Rooke, Associate Chief Judge
N.C. Whitmann, Chief Judge
Unknown Judge in the Provincial Court
Tim Grubb, Provost Marshall
Alison Redford, Alberta Attorney General
Donald S. Ethell, Lieutenant Governor for Alberta
David Johnston, Governor General for Canada
Superintendent Maureen with the unknown last name
Robert Nicholson, Minister of (so-called) Justice for Canada
Vic Toews, Minister of Public Safety for Canada
Gerry Selk, Director, Port of Coutts
Steven Harper, Prime Minister of Canada

Respondents

PETITION OF RIGHT

Postal Address for Service:
Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn
C/O 880 Heritage Blvd. West Suite #131
Lethbridge, Alberta
POSTAL CODE EXEMPT
Phone 480-213-0897

NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS

You are being sued. You are a defendant. Go to the end of this document and see what you can do and when you must do it.
The Province of Alberta is a corporation, which is a sub-corporation of the municipal
corporation called Canada. The Province of Alberta has no authority whatsoever over “the
people” of Alberta, and they are nothing but an extension of the criminal corporation called
Canada which is pure admiralty maritime law and is a gang of pirates (thieves) on the high seas
of commerce looking for some prize under their Prize Act. The Province of Alberta has no
immunity whatsoever. The Province of Alberta is under military occupation by the pirates
(criminals) in Canada, and has been for decades.

Canada is a municipal corporation domiciled in the District of Columbia, and according
to their own Interpretation Act, they are pure admiralty maritime law and are nothing but a gang
of pirates (thieves) on the high seas of commerce looking for some prize according to their prize
Act. With their Prize Act, they have converted all citizens of Canada into enemies of the state, so
help me out here, I am having trouble with this,.....why would I want to be a lowlife scumbag
citizen of Canada? Canada has no immunity whatsoever. Canada has put the Province of Alberta
under military occupation and uses it to collect revenue for the owners of Canada.

David Johnston, Governor General for Canada, is the final authority for everything that
everything that goes on in the criminal corporation “Canada”. David Johnston is the head of the
criminal corporation called “Canada” and he knows that he has no authority on the land of
Alberta, and his ONLY authority is in a corporation called “Canada” which according to their
own Interpretation Act is the coastal waterways and the inland waterways. David Johnston is a
BAR member. David Johnston has an oath of office, and an Oath of Allegiance, and he is fully
liable in his personal capacity. David Johnston is being sued in his personal capacity.

Superintendent Maureen is a Canada Customs and Border Protection Services Agency
officer with the rank of Captain at the Port of Coutts who refused to provide her last name. She
surrounds herself with all sorts of hired thugs who have no name tag at all, or have nothing but a
number because she knows she is a criminal and wants to hide her identity. Superintendent
Maureen and her hired thugs are all military police operating under the color of law on the land
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corporation called Canada. The Province of Alberta has no authority whatsoever over “the
people” of Alberta, and they are nothing but an extension of the criminal corporation called
Canada which is pure admiralty maritime law and is a gang of pirates (thieves) on the high seas
of commerce looking for some prize under their Prize Act. The Province of Alberta has no
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Canada is a municipal corporation domiciled in the District of Columbia, and according
to their own Interpretation Act, they are pure admiralty maritime law and are nothing but a gang
of pirates (thieves) on the high seas of commerce looking for some prize according to their prize
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citizen of Canada? Canada has no immunity whatsoever. Canada has put the Province of Alberta
under military occupation and uses it to collect revenue for the owners of Canada.
21 David Johnston, Governor General for Canada, is the final authority for everything that goes on in the criminal corporation “Canada”. David Johnston is the head of the criminal corporation called “Canada” and he knows that he has no authority on the land of Alberta, and his ONLY authority is in a corporation called “Canada” which according to their own Interpretation Act is the coastal waterways and the inland waterways. David Johnston is a BAR member. David Johnston has an oath of office, and an Oath of Allegiance, and he is fully liable in his personal capacity. David Johnston is being sued in his personal capacity.

22 Superintendent Maureen is a Canada Customs and Border Protection Services Agency officer with the rank of Captain at the Port of Coutts who refused to provide her last name. She surrounds herself with all sorts of hired thugs who have no name tag at all, or have nothing but a number because she knows she is a criminal and wants to hide her identity. Superintendent Maureen and her hired thugs are all military police operating under the color of law on the land
of Alberta, and she is fully liable in her personal capacity. Superintendent Maureen is being sued in her personal capacity ONLY.

Robert Nicholson, is the Attorney General for the criminal corporation called Canada and the Minister for (so-called) Justice. As a lawyer, Robert Nicholson has an Oath of Office and an Oath of Allegiance. Robert Nicholson is a BAR member. Robert Nicholson is the highest “Law Enforcement Officer” in Canada, and it is job to “Enforce” his martial law dictatorship on all of “the people” north of the 49th parallel. Robert Nicholson knows that he is a pirate (thief) operating on the high seas of commerce looking for some prize under his Prize Act, and he is fully liable in his personal capacity. Rob Nicholson is being sued in his personal capacity ONLY.
24 Vic Toews is the Minister of Public Safety for the international criminal corporation called Canada. As Minister of Public Safety, Vic Toews primary job is to make sure that his criminal corporation called Canada is protected and he couldn’t care less about the people of Canada. In fact he wants to make sure that the people of Canada are as defenseless as possible so he and his martial law shock troops can violate the rights of everyone they come in contact with complete impunity. As a lawyer, a BAR member, and an officer of the Court, Vic Toews has an oath of office, and is fully liable in his personal capacity. Vic Toews is being sued in his personal capacity ONLY.

25 Gerry Selk is the Director of the Port of Coutts. The Port of Coutts is a maritime port on the land of Alberta at their so-called border crossing between the land of Alberta and the land of Montana. It is NOT the border crossing between Canada and the United States like his hired thugs at his maritime port claim, because Canada has no land, and the United States is ten miles square between Virginia and Maryland, several thousand miles to the east. Gerry Selk has an Oath of Office, and an Oath of Allegiance, and likes to perjure it as much as he can get away with, and he is fully liable in his personal capacity. Gerry Selk is being sued in his personal capacity ONLY.
Stephen Harper is the Prime Minister of Canada and he has appointed Vic Toews, and Robert Nicholson in their Ministerial positions, therefore, he is a ringleader of this criminal racketeering enterprise, together with the criminal in the Governor General's Office, and he is fully liable in his personal capacity. Stephen Harper is being sued in his personal capacity ONLY.

THE FACTS

I am competent in My affairs and the matters described herein.

No "person" (which includes all officers of this Court), is competent in any of My affairs. All officers of this court were previously notified, and are hereby notified AGAIN, that if they think they are representing Me, they are FIRED!

All officers of this Court have an Oath of Office and an Oath of Allegiance, which is to the Queen, and since the Queen has an Oath of Office and has a duty to protect My rights, so does every officer, of every court, have a duty to protect My rights.
30 In addition to the monetary damages that shall accrue, if any officer of this court makes a legal determination for Me, or represents Me, or violates My copyrighted property in any way, they will have perjured their Oath of Office, and their Oath of Allegiance, and they shall be guilty of the Queen’s Criminal Code Sections; 122 Breach of Trust by Public Officer, 59 (3) Seditious Conspiracy, 59 (4) Seditious Intention, 34 (1) an unprovoked assault, 30 Breach of Peace, and 46 (2) Treason, as well as the common law offenses of Criminal Conversion of My name, Criminal Conversion of My citizenship, Extortion under Color of Office, Malfeasance, Misfeasance, and Conspiracy to commit these crimes.

31 I **Claimed (DEMANDED)** in the past, and I continue to (DEMAND) **Claim the Right** to complete and unfettered access to all of My Magna Carta secured rights, not to be injured in any way, unless it is by a jury of My peers or the law of the land, which means by the due process of the common law and **NOT SOME STATUTE**!
I, Me, My, or Myself, also known as Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, do not have any assets. Persons and corporations have assets and I am neither. Assets are listed on a balance sheet, which shows assets and liabilities for accounting purposes for a corporation. The same holds true for income and expenses, which are also for corporations, and are part of bookkeeping by accountants where they show income versus expenses for the corporation. I am not saying I do not have property, because I do have property, but the property I have is not an asset, and I have no income or expenses because I am not a citizen of CANADA, corporation, or other fictitious entity.

I, Me, My, or Myself, also known as Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, am a sovereign living soul as described in the Petition. I, Me, My, or Myself, also known as Glenn Winningham;
I, Me, My, or Myself, also known as Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, do not have a Social Insurance Number. Only Citizens of CANADA, vessels, corporations, and fictitious entities, have social insurance numbers, or any sort of identifying number which is issued by their master, the government. My master is God, and the government is My servant, and all of My servants have an Oath of Office, and an Oath of Allegiance, to the Queen, and the Queen has an Oath of Office that she will protect Me, and My property, therefore, they all have a duty to protect Me and My property (My rights) and I DEMAND that they honor that oath.

I do not have a spouse, since a spouse is a person, and my wife is not a person either. I have a wife and have five (5) wonderful children but none of them are persons, and I do not have a spouse. We are all sovereign living souls.

I have no "residence", and I do not "reside". I am an inhabitant of the land of Texas, currently travelling on the land of Alberta. I am not a corporation, or other fictitious entity, but I
Motion for Prohibition

• “PROHIBITION A writ to forbid any court to proceed in any cause there depending, on suggestion that the cognizance thereof belongeth not to the court. F. N. B. 39.

• The writ of prohibition is the remedy provided by the common law, against the encroachment of jurisdiction; where one is called coram non judice, to answer in a court that has no legal cognizance of a cause; which is enumerated by Blackstone among the grievances cognizable by the courts of common law. See 3 Comm. cap. 7.”

Tomlins Law Dictionary 1835, Volume 2
Court of Queen’s Bench Criminal Rules
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Rules Pursuant to Section 424 of The Criminal Code with Respect to Mandamus, Certiorari, Habeas Corpus and Prohibition

Civil rules apply

825 For the purposes of this Part, the Rules in civil cases mutatis mutandis, apply in all matters not herein provided for.

AR 390/68 s825

Order issues in place of writ

826 An order in the nature of mandamus, prohibition, certiorari or habeas corpus may be granted upon application by notice of motion returnable before the court or before the Appellate Division.

(2) The writs of mandamus, prohibition, certiorari and habeas corpus shall not be issued, but all necessary provisions shall be made in the order.

AR 390/68 s826
Alberta Rules of Court

- Alberta Courts are all United Nations Courts under UNIDROIT
- See Corruption in the Courts 3 & 4 for UNIDROIT, and Delegation of Authority
- These Canada Border Services Agency PIGs had no intention of granting a common law remedy
- It was a kangaroo court from the beginning
- I filed a Motion for an ORDER of Prohibition in the Court of Queen’s Bench on 16 December 2013
Motion for an ORDER of Prohibition

• On 13 January, 2014, the case was heard by Tillman
• Tillman was brought in from Calgary (under instructions from his handler Rooke), because I had already served Notices on the Lethbridge whores
• Tillman said he had not read any of the material I had submitted
  – 1006-00907 was over 350 pages
  – I had filed 1400 pages of documents into the Provincial so-called court
• Tillman even used the phrase “color of law”
Order of Prohibition

• The case was pre-judged from the beginning

• In his decision, Tillman even used the phrase “OPCA” which was created by his handler Rooke in his Meads v Meads 2012 ABQB 571 case where he was acting as a Clerk under statutory authority under the United nations UNIDROIT, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video

• I Filed a Notice of Appeal with the whores selling their justus in the Alberta Court of (so-called) Appeals in Calgary
Alberta (so-called) Court of Appeals

• When I got the Court of Appeals, I told the Clerk I wanted to file a Notice of Appeal
• The Clerk demanded the excise tax/filing fee
• I told the Clerk that the Supreme Court had ruled that there was no taxation without representation, and “if you think you are representing me you are FIRED!”, no go ahead and file the Notice and Appeal
• The Clerk still demanded the excise tax/filing fee
Alberta (so-called) Court of Appeals

• I told the Clerk, “OK, get one of your whores down here that wants to sell their so-called justus in violation of the Magna Carta, and let’s have a hearing!”

• The Clerk said they would look into it and they took my Notice of Appeal, and went away for a few minutes

• The Clerk came back in a few minutes and said they were working on it but it would be a few more minutes

• A few minutes later the Clerk came back and said; “you know what, I am going to go ahead and file this thing.”
Appeal Number: 1401-0938A
Queen's Bench Number: 131453342X1

Alberta Court of Appeals

Between:

Glenn Winningham Fearn

and

Canada Border Services Agency,
a/k/a Regina the bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)

Petitioner

Respondent

Address for Service and Contact
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
POSTAL CODE EXEMPT

Notice of Appeal

I, Me, My, or Myself, also known as Glenn Winningham Fearn, do hereby provide notice that I intend to appeal the decision of the Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial where Tillemans, dated 13 January 2014, filed 15 January 2014, and mailed 16 January 2014, to his Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial where buddies selling their so-called justice, which is actually Justus, in the Alberta Court of so-called Appeals.

1. I fully comprehend that the decision is this case was already decided 3 years ago, and,
2. I fully comprehend how they intend to represent Me without authority, and use that unauthorized and unlawful representation to fabricate evidence of their pre-judgment, and justify the theft of My property, and justify their hired thugs assaults, and kidnappings, and false imprisonments, and murders, and,
3. I fully comprehend how their whore buddies Tillemans, and Langston, and Rooke, are going to get promoted by their whore buddies to the Court of so-called Appeals, for bringing them so much business, and,
4. I fully comprehend how they intend to aid and abet their Customs thugs to continue to assault (unlawfully arrest) people at the so-called border, in Violation of Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 13, and,
5. I fully comprehend how they intend to deny Me a jury of My peers in violation of Magna Carta (1215) Chapters 20 and 39, and,
6. I fully comprehend how they intend to aid and abet their hired thugs to hold pleas, in violation of Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 24, and,
7. I fully comprehend how they intend to violate Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 40 by selling their so-called justice, and if I do NOT pay their excise taxes that they call filing fees, and transcripts, copies, binding, etc., that they intend to deny their Justus, and,
8. I fully comprehend how they intend to aid and abet their hired thugs at the border and elsewhere to put Me to their "law", without credible witnesses, and on their word alone,
Notice of Appeal

I, Me, My, or Myself, also known as Glenn Winningham Fearn, do hereby provide notice that I intend to appeal the decision of the Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whore Tilleman, dated 13 January 2014, filed 15 January 2014, and mailed 16 January 2014, to his Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whore buddies selling their so-called justice, which is actually Justus, in the Alberta Court of so-called Appeals.

I fully comprehend that the decision is this case was already decided 3 years ago, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to represent Me without authority, and use that unauthorized and unlawful representation to fabricate evidence of their pre-judgment, and justify the theft of My property, and justify their hired thugs assaults, and kidnappings, and false imprisonments, and murders, and,
I fully comprehend how their whore buddies Tilleman, and Langston, and Rooke, are going to get promoted by their whore buddies to the Court of so-called Appeals, for bringing them so much business, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to aid and abet their Customs thugs to continue to assault (unlawfully arrest) people at the so-called border, in Violation of Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 13, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to deny Me a jury of My peers in violation of Magna Carta (1215) Chapters 20 and 39, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to aid and abet their hired thugs to hold pleas, in violation of Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 24, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to violate Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 40 by selling their so-called justice, and if I do NOT pay their excise taxes that they call filing fees, and transcripts, copies, binding, etc., that they intend to deny their Justus, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to aid and abet their hired thugs at the border and elsewhere to put Me to their "law", without credible witnesses, and on their word alone,
in violation of Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 38, when they each know that their
government employee buddies are NOT credible witnesses. and.
I fully comprehend how they intend to deny Me due process, as defined by the Magna
Carta (1215) Chapter 39 and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to violate Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 45 by
imposing their Roman Civil Law on Me, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to further deny Me any real justice in violation of
Magna Carta (1215) Chapters 52, and Chapter 55, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to deny Me the right to bring this before a common
law Grand Jury, as required by Magna carta (1215), Chapter 61, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores intend to impose
their Roman Civil Law, and they further intend to deny Me any of My God given common
law rights under natural law, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores intend to make war
on Me in EXACTLY the same way that precipitated the War of Independence, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores intend to impose
their regulatory proceedings on Me when I have NOT consented to their so-called
contract, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores are not even
remotely interested in any real justice, and intend to use their color of law, fictitious,
pretend, rules against Me to deprive Me of any justice, which is why they have 1200
pages of rules, because they are intended to be used to deprive people of justice, which
is just another reason why it is called Justus, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to send their hired thugs out, based on fictitious,
color of law, pretend legislation, to assault Me, and kidnap Me, and falsely imprison Me,
so they can justify dragging Me into their satanic religious ceremony administered by
Vatican Jesuit masonic whores selling their Justus, because it makes so much
business, and.

Signed and sealed this fourteenth day in February, in the year, two Thousand and Fourteen.

Glenn Winningham Fearn, sui juris
private person at common law,
holder of the office of "the people"
Judicial Power Citizen by right of blood
Inhabitant of the land of Alberta
With full responsibility for My actions
under YHWH’s law as found in the Holy Bible and no other
With a postal address of:
Non-Domestic Mail
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
POSTAL CODE EXEMPT
Telephone: 780-224-5893
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Carta (1215) Chapter 39 and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to deny Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 45 by
imposing their Roman Civil Law on Me, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to further deny Me any real justice in violation of
Magna Carta (1215) Chapters 52, and Chapter 55, and,
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law Grand Jury, as required by Magna carta (1215), Chapter 61, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores intend to impose
their Roman Civil Law, and they further intend to deny Me any of My God given common
law rights under natural law, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores intend to make war
on Me in EXACTLY the same way that precipitated the War of Independence, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores intend to impose
their regulatory proceedings on Me when I have NOT consented to their so-called
contract, and,
I fully comprehend how these Vatican Jesuit Masonic judicial whores are not even
remotely interested in any real justice, and intend to use their color of law, fictitious,
pretend, rules against Me to deprive Me of any justice, which is why they have 1200
pages of rules, because they are intended to be used to deprive people of justice, which
is just another reason why it is called Justus, and,
I fully comprehend how they intend to send their hired thugs out, based on fictitious,
color of law, pretend legislation, to assault Me, and kidnap Me, and falsely imprison Me,
so they can justify dragging Me into their satanic religious ceremony administered by
Vatican Jesuit masonic whore selling their Justus, because it makes so much
business!, and,
The Show-Trial

• When I got to Redmond’s show-trial, they had the Coat of Arms (war symbol) on the wall, and the judicial whore had his military uniform on

• Redmond intended that I be forced to abandon the Appeal, to his case, and the Appeal in the so-called Court of Appeals with his requirement to see his shrink buddy

• Redmond’s buddies Rooke, Whittmann, Tillman, Ethell, Frazer, Matchett, LeGrandeur, Johnston, Harper, and Battenberg, are all very happy

• None of these judicial whores are competent to do justice as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video
The Show-Trial

• The outcome of the Show-Trial was decided before I even got to the border

• I FIRED the judicial whore, Redman in the show-trial

• The judicial whore ordered Me NOT to send any more letters to judges (Notice and Demands)
  – Proof that they intend to represent Me without authority

• The Judicial whore ordered Me to undergo a psychiatric examination
  – They intend to get their bought and paid for Alberta Health Care shrinks to declare me insane because I have the audacity to think I have some rights
The Show-Trial

• “Give me liberty or give me death” Patrick Henry,

• after he witnessed a man flogged to death for refusing to take a license
The Show-trial

• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs put their conviction in the US System so the US border PIGs could harass me at the border too!
Transportation Security Agency PIGs

• Now the TSA PIGs have send me a letter saying that I am a threat, and they have revoked My Airframe and Powerplant License

• They are in conspiracy with these Canada Border PIGs, and because of the show-trial, in their kangaroo court
PIGs in Canada

- Rob Nicholson is named in a Criminal Complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321, and Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2012-022428

- Rob Nicholson is named in the Petition of right which was filed in the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010

- Rob Nicholson has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the Fire the Whores in Canada video

- Rob Nicholson is bought and paid for

- Rob Nicholson, former Minister of Justice for Canada, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada
• Peter Mackay is the successor to Rob Nicholson
• Peter Mckay has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video
• Peter Mackay intends to represent Me without authority as described in the BAR Member video
• Peter Mckay is bought and paid for
• Peter Mackay, Minister of Justice is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
• The Public Prosecution Service is subordinates to Peter Mackay the PIG
Attorney General Subordinates

• The proof of service shows that Bernard and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 598 CA on 11 February 2014

• Alex Bernard has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the Fire the Whores in Canada video

• Alex Bernard is bought and paid for, and intends to represent Me without authority as described in the BAR Member video

• Alex Bernard, Crown Prosecutor is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

• Alex Bernard is a subordinate to Peter MacKay the PIG
PIGs in Canada

- Alex Bernard’s former boss Larry R.A. Ackerl got his quid pro quo for holding his show trial and his kangaroo court when Peter Mackay, the PIG appointed Ackerl to the Court of Queen’s Bench, as evidenced in the Fire the Whores in Canada presentation.

- Larry R.A. Ackerl has no lawful valid current oath as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video.

- Larry R.A. Ackerl is bought and paid for, and intends to represent Me without authority as described in the BAR Members video.

- Larry R.A. Ackerl is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG.
PIGs in Canada

• Barry Benkendorf, Lawyer, Department of (so-called) Justice for Canada is named in a criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321, and Fee Number 2011-066322

• Barry Benkendorf conspired with Tillman in open court to make sure that I could not file My Petition for a Writ of Habeus Corpus, or My Demand for a Jury Trial, or My Notice of Constitutional Question

• Barry Benkendorf has no lawful valid current oath

• Barry Benkendorf is bought and paid for

• Barry Benkendorf, Lawyer, Department of so-called Justice for Canada, is an ORDER TAKER, and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs are subordinates to Steven Blaney, the PIG, as found in the video Fire the Whores in Canada
• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs are subordinates to Steven Harper, the PIG, as found in the video Fire the Whores in Canada
• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs are subordinates to David Johnston, the PIG, as found in the video Fire the Whores in Canada
Minister of Public Safety for Canada subordinates

- The proof of service shows that Gerry Selk, Coutts Port Director, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RR 569 486 197 US on 14 July 2010
- Gerry Selk, Director, Port of Coutts, and his successor, Kevin Hewson, Director, Port of Coutts, is the ringleader of the CBSA PIGs
- Gerry Selk was named in the Petition of Right which was filed with the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010
PIGs in Canada

• Gerry Selk has no lawful valid current oath as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video

• Kevin Hewson intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Member video, and he intends to breach the trust

• Kevin Hewson has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video

• Kevin Hewson is bought and paid for

• Kevin Hewson is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• Dave Degenstein, CBSA intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust.

• Dave Degenstein, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath,

• Dave Degenstein, CBSA, is bought and paid for

• Dave Degenstein, CBSA is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

• McKenzie Meehan, CBSA intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust.

• McKenzie Meehan, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath.

• McKenzie Meehan is bought and paid for
PIGs in Canada

• McKenzie Meehan, CBSA, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

• Nathaniel Collins, CBSA intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust

• Nathaniel Collins, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath

• Nathaniel Collins, CBSA, is bought and paid for

• Nathaniel Collins, CBSA, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

• Robert Kehler, CBSA intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
PIGs in Canada

- Robert Kehler, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath
- Robert Kehler, CBSA is bought and paid for
- Robert Kehler, CBSA, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
- Matthew Patching, CBSA intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
- Matthew Patching, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath
- Matthew Patching, CBSA, is bought and paid for
- Matthew Patching, CBSA, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

• Collin Vandyke, CBSA intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• Collin Vandyke, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath
• Collin Vandyke, CBSA, is bought and paid for
• Collin VanDyke, CBSA, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
• Michael Ferguson, CBSA, intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• Michael Ferguson, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath
PIGs in Canada

• Michael Ferguson, CBSA, is bought and paid for
• Michael Ferguson, CBSA, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
• Kelly Ostafie, CBSA intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
• Kelly Ostafie, CBSA, has no lawful valid current oath
• Kelly Ostafie, CBSA, is bought and paid for
• Kelly Ostafie, CBSA, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

- Maureen Noble, CBSA Superintendent intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends to breach the trust
- Maureen Noble, CBSA Superintendent, has no lawful valid current oath
- Maureen Noble, CBSA Superintendent, is bought and paid for
- Maureen Noble, CBSA Superintendent, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
- The Port of Coutts is a maritime port on the land, and nothing more than a gang of thieves and pirates
The proof of service shows that Frank Oberle, Solicitor General for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 765 CA on 15 September 2009.

The proof of service shows that Brad Pickering, Deputy Solicitor General for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 774 CA on 15 September 2009.

Frank Oberle is named in a criminal complaint that is recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066324.
PIGs in Canada

- Frank Oberle intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Member video, and he intends to breach the trust
- Frank Oberle has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video
- Frank Oberle is bought and paid for
- Frank Oberle is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Alberta Attorney General

• The proof of service shows that Alison Redford, Attorney General for Alberta, received her Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RA 351 952 560 US on 5 June 2009

• The proof of service shows that Alison Redford, Attorney General for Alberta, and her subordinates and successors, received their Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 757 CA on 26 January 2011
PIGs in Canada

• Alison Redford is named in a Criminal Complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066321, and Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2011-066323

• Alison Redford is named in the Petition of Right which was filed with the Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta as case number 1006-00907 on 4 November 2010
PIGs in Canada

- Alison Redford intends to represent Me without authority, and she intends to breach the trust.
- Alison Redford was promoted to Premier as quid pro quo, for sending Nancy McCurdy to conspire with Langston to get my Petition of Right dismissed.
- Alison Redford has no lawful valid current oath as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video.
- Alison Redford is bought and paid for.
- Alison Redford is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG.
PIGs in Canada

• Nancy McCurdy, Lawyer, Alberta Department of (so-called) Justice is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2011-066321

• Nancy McCurdy intends to represent Me without authority, and she intends to breach the trust

• Nancy McCurdy has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video

• Nancy McCurdy is bought and paid for

• Nancy McCurdy is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Alberta Solicitor General

- The proof of service shows that Jonathan Denis, Solicitor General for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 728 875 CA on 3 December 2013
- Jonathan Denis is a lawyer and the Solicitor General oversees all prisons in Alberta
- Jonathan Denis knows that all prisons in Alberta are de facto, and all courts in Alberta are de facto
PIGs in Canada

• Jonathan Denis intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Members video, and he intends to breach the trust
• Jonathan Denis has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video
• Jonathan Denis is bought and paid for, and provides the best justus that money can buy
• Jonathan Denis is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Chief Crown Prosecutor

- Proof of service shows that William Wister, Chief Crown Prosecutor, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 728 867 CA on 5 December 2013
- William Wister is a lawyer, an officer of the court, and presumed to know the law
- William Wister knows that all prisons in Alberta are de facto, and all courts in Alberta are de facto
PIGs in Canada

- William Wister intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Members video, and he intends to breach the trust
- William Wister intends to engage in the theft of My property
- William Wister has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video
- William Wister is bought and paid for, and provides the best justus that money can buy
- William Wister is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that JD Langston, Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 500 290 709 CA on 5 January 2011
PIGs in Canada

• JD Langston is named in the criminal complaints which are recorded with the Pinal County Recorder at Fee Number 2012-061565, and Fee Number 2011-066322, and Fee Number 2011-066321

• JD Langston intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR member video, and he intends to breach the trust

• JD Langston has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video

• JD Langston is bought and paid for, was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and provided the best justus that money can buy!

• JD Langston, judicial whore, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
PIGs in Canada

- The proof of service shows that WA Tillman, Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench for Alberta, received his Notice and Demand on 8 November 2013, at the same time JD Rooke received his, because WA Tillman is a subordinate to JD Rooke.

- I did a Motion for a Writ of Prohibition under their color of law United Nations UNIDROIT rules – see Corruption in the Courts 3.

- A Writ of Prohibition is a common law Writ that prohibits a lower court from proceeding, and WA Tillman was the judicial whore that dismissed the Motion for a Writ of Prohibition.
PIGs in Canada

- During the show-hearing WA Tillman even admitted that the Customs Act is “color of law” and the Firearms Act is “color of law”, and he even admitted that he had not read my 1200 pages of written submissions, which is proof that the case was pre-judged from the beginning.

- Benkendorf the PIG, asked Tillman the PIG, to prohibit me from doing any further filings, which Tillman did.

- WA Tillman intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR member video, and he intends to breach the trust.
PIGs in Canada

• Because of Tillman’s ORDER I was prevented from filing a Demand for a Trial by Jury, a Petition for a Writ of Habeus Corpus, and several Notices of Constitutional Questions (challenging the constitutionality of):
  – the Firearms Act,
  – the Canada Customs Act,
  – the Criminal Code of Canada,
  – the Canada Border Services Agency Act,
  – the Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench Act,
  – the Alberta Provincial Court Act,
  – the Alberta Evidence Act,
  – the Alberta Judiciary Act,
January 16, 2014

VIA REGULAR MAIL

Glen Winningham Fearn
C/O 30 Main Street, #188
Donalda, Alberta
Postal Code Exempt

Dear Sir:

RE: Glen Winningham (Fearn)

Please find enclosed a filed copy of the Order of Justice Tilleman.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Barry Benkendorf
Counsel
Prairie Region
Department of Justice Canada
BB/ch
Encl.
COURT FILE NUMBER 131453342X101001

COURT COURT OF QUEEN’S BENCH OF ALBERTA

JUDICIAL CENTRE LETHBRIDGE

PETITIONER GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN

RESPONDENT CANADA CUSTOMS

DOCUMENT ORDER

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE Barry Benkendorf
AND CONTACT Counsel
INFORMATION OF Department of Justice Canada
PARTY FILING THIS 300, EPCOR Tower
DOCUMET 10423 - 101 Street
Edmonton, Alberta T5H 0E7
Phone: 780-495-7101
Fax: 780-495-8491
File: 2-156427

DATE ON WHICH ORDER WAS PRONOUNCED: MONDAY JANUARY 13th, 2014

NAME OF MASTER/JUDGE WHO MADE THIS ORDER: JUSTICE W.A. TILLEMAN

LOCATION OF HEARING: LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA, CANADA.

UPON THE APPLICATION of GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN for various forms of relief in respect to his ongoing prosecution in the Provincial Court of Alberta on charges arising October 11, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as the “Customs’ Incident”); AND UPON reviewing the documents as filed; AND UPON having heard representations of the Applicant GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN; AND UPON having heard representations of Counsel for the Public Prosecution Service of Canada; AND UPON having heard representations from Counsel from the Attorney General of Canada on behalf of “Canada Customs”;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Application of Glenn Winningham Fearn is hereby dismissed;
UPON THE APPLICATION of GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN for various forms of relief in respect to his ongoing prosecution in the Provincial Court of Alberta on charges arising October 11, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as the “Customs’ Incident”); AND UPON reviewing the documents as filed; AND UPON having heard representations of the Applicant GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN; AND UPON having heard representations of Counsel for the Public Prosecution Service of Canada; AND UPON having heard representations from Counsel from the Attorney General of Canada on behalf of “Canada Customs”;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Application of Glenn Winningham Fearn is hereby dismissed;
2. Glenn Winningham Fearn is precluded from filing any further documents in the Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta in relation to the Customs’ Incident unless he first receives leave of a Justice of the Court of Queen’s Bench;

3. Should the Respondent wish to preclude Glenn Winningham Fearn from pursuing any other Actions in the Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta, the Respondent will have to bring such an application, on notice, for such relief as it deems fit;

4. There shall be no costs arising from the within Application;

5. Rule 9.4(2)(c) is dispensed with.

Justice of the Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta
PIGs in Canada

• Tillman, Rooke, and their Vatican Crown PIG buddies in Canada use their UNIDROIT, 1200 pages of rules to deny due process, and their Notice of Constitutional Question is a prime example, because you can’t challenge the constitutionality of one of their fictitious laws, unless you provide Notice first.

• If you do NOT provide Notice, they pretend it didn’t happen.

• WA Tillman, the PIG conspired in open court with Benkendorf, the PIG, the deny Me due process, and represent Me without authority, and breach the trust
PIGs in Canada

• In his written decision, WA Tillman, the PIG, even used the terminology **OPCA (Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument)** which was coined by his boss JD Rooke, which is further proof that he was following ORDERS, and further proof that the case was pre-judged from the beginning

• WA Tillman has no lawful valid current oath

• WA Tillman is bought and paid for, was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and provided the best justus that money can buy!

• WA Tillman, the judicial whore, is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that A Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, received her Notice and Demand by Express Mail LT 618 030 517 CA on 8 December 2010

• I can provide proof of Service but it is redundant, and I am trying to shorten this presentation.

• The proof of service shows that A Gail Vickery, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and her subordinates and successors, received her Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 728 782 CA on 8 November 2013
PIGs in Canada

• A Gail Vickery knows that she has conspired with Post Office Clerks to destroy evidence of service of my documents, which is also mail fraud, as evidenced in the Fire the Whores in Canada presentation

• A Gail Vickery intends that she and her subordinates do represent Me without authority, and she intends to breach the trust

• A Gail Vickery has no lawful valid current oath

• A Gail Vickery is bought and paid for, was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and provided the best justus that money can buy!

• A Gail Vickery, Chief Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

- The proof of service shows that TJ Matchett, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, received his Notice and Demand on 8 November 2013, when A. Gail Vickery received hers because TJ Matchett is the successor to A. Gail Vickery.

- I can provide proof of Service but it is redundant, and I am trying to shorten this presentation.

- The proof of service shows that TJ Matchett, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail _RW 573 729 690 CA_ on 6 January 2014.

- I can provide proof of Service but it is redundant, and I am trying to shorten this presentation.
PIGs in Canada

• TJ Matchett, Chief Provincial judicial whore intends that he and his subordinates do represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Member video, and he intends to breach the trust

• TJ Matchett has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video

• TJ Matchett is bought and paid for, was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and provided the best justus that money can buy!

• TJ Matchett, Chief Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that AH Lefevre received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 686 CA on 3 January 2014

• AH Lefevre knows that he has conspired with Post Office Clerks to destroy evidence of service of my documents, which is also mail fraud, as evidenced in the Fire the Whores in Canada presentation
PIGs in Canada

• AH Lefevre, Deputy Chief Provincial so-called Judge intends that he and his subordinates do represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Members video, and he intends to breach the trust

• AH Lefevre has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video

• AH Lefevre is bought and paid for, was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and provided the best justus that money can buy!

• AH Lefevre, Deputy Chief Provincial judicial whore is a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that JN LeGrandeur, Associate Chief Judge for Lethbridge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, was received by Registered Mail RW 573 728 836 CA on 5 December 2013

• I can provide proof of Service but it is redundant, and I am trying to shorten this presentation.

• JN LeGrandeur intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Members video
PIGs in Canada

• JN LeGrandeur intends to breach the trust
• JN LeGrandeur intends to perjure his oaths
• JN LeGrandeur has no lawful valid current oath, as described in the upcoming Fire the Whores in Canada video
• JN LeGrandeur is bought and paid for, was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and provided the best justus that money can buy!
• JN LeGrandeur, Associate Chief Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER and a PIG
Judicial Whores

• The proof of service shows that TG Hironaka, so-called Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors, received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 584 CA on 12 February, 2014, and refused it, as evidenced by the proof that is in the Fire the Whores in Canada presentation

• TG Hironaka is a subordinate to JN LeGrandeur, Assistant Chief Judicial whore, Provincial Court of Alberta

• TG Hironaka is operating under the supervision and control of his bosses, LeGrandeur, Lefevre, and Matchett
PIGs in Canada

- TG Hironaka intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Member video
- TG Hironaka intends to breach the trust
- TG Hironaka has no lawful valid current oath
- TG Hironaka pre-judged my case and represented Me without authority by entering a plea without my consent
- TG Hironaka was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and is bought and paid for, and provides the best justus that money can buy!
- TG Hironaka, Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER, and a PIG
Judicial Whores

- The proof of service shows that DG Redman, Judicial whore, Provincial Court of Alberta, and his subordinates and successors received his Notice and Demand by Registered Mail RW 573 729 536 CA on 12 February, 2014, and refused it as evidenced by the proof that is in the Fire the Whores in Canada presentation.

- DG Redman is a subordinate to JN LeGrandeur, Assistant Chief Judicial whore, Provincial Court of Alberta.

- DG Redman is operating under the supervision and control of his boss JN LeGrandeur.
PIGs in Canada

• When I showed up at their show-trial, I asked for Redman’s name and he refused to give it, and then I said; “If you think you are representing Me you are fired”, and I left

• DG Redman intends to represent Me without authority, as described in the BAR Members video

• DG Redman intends to get his “shrink” buddies working for Alberta Healthcare to declare Me insane to compel Me to be his slave, and discredit anyone who thinks they have any rights, and for deliberate political repression
PIGs in Canada

• DG Redman intends to represent Me without authority, and he intends that all of his judicial whore buddies represent Me without authority, which is why he ORDERED Me not to post to him any more letters

• Redman was NOT neutral or detached

• “It is a fundamental right of a party to have a neutral and detached judge preside over the judicial proceedings.” Ward v Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57, 61-62, 93 S.Ct 80, 83, 34 L.Ed. 2d 267 (1972); Tumey v Ohio, 273 U.S. 510, 5209, 47 S. Ct. 437, 440, 71 L.Ed. 749 (1927).
The Show-trial

- Redman’s kangaroo court was de facto, just like the Canada Border Services Agency is de facto as described in the Presentation Corruption in the Courts 3
- Redman’s kangaroo court is really a United Nations Court operating under UNIDROIT as described in the BAR Members video
- Redman was NOT a judge, but was a Clerk working for the CBSA PIGs, and was bought and paid for, and provided the best justus that money can buy!
De facto Law

• “Obedience to de facto law – No person shall be convicted of an offense in respect of an act or omission in obedience to the laws for the time being made and enforced by persons in de facto possession of the sovereign power in and over the place where the act or omission occurs.”, Section 15 of the Criminal Code of Canada
Provincial Court is an Inferior Court

• “The Court does not have jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate on a claim or counterclaim (a) in which the title to land is brought into question, (b) in which the validity of any devise, bequest or limitation is disputed, (c) for malicious prosecution, false imprisonment, defamation, criminal conversation or breach of promise of marriage, (d) against a judge, justice of the peace or peace officer for anything done by that person while executing the duties of that office, or (e) by a local authority or school board for the recovery of taxes, other than taxes imposed in respect of the occupancy of or an interest in land that is itself exempt from taxation.” Provincial Court Act, Chapter P-31, Part 1.2 General Judicial Matters, Section 9.6 Jurisdiction (2)
Provincial Court is an Inferior Court

“Also nothing shall be intended to be within the jurisdiction of an inferior court, but what is expressly so alleged: and if part of the cause arises within the inferior jurisdiction, and part thereof without it, the inferior court ought not to hold plea. 1 Lev. 104; 2 Rep. 16. See tit Abatement, I. 1. An inferior court, not of record, cannot impose a fine, or imprison: but the courts of record at Westminster may fine, imprison, and amerce. 1 1 Rep. 43.; The king, being the supreme magistrate of the kingdom, and intrusted with the executive power of the law, all courts, superior or inferior, ought to derive their authority from the crown; Staundf. 54; " 1835 Tomlins Law Dictionary, [emphasis added]
Redman the PIG

• ""When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administrating or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially….but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a “ministerial” and not a “discretionary capacity…” Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.
Redman the PIG

- Redman intended to deny Me any common law rights including my right to due process in his kangaroo court with his summary proceeding, and that was also intended by;
  - Harper the PIG, Mackay the PIG, Johnston the PIG,
  - Battenberg the PIG, Redford the PIG, Bernard the PIG,
  - Benkendorf the PIG, Denis the PIG, Ethell the PIG,
  - Roeke the PIG, Matchett the PIG, LeGrandeur the PIG,
  - Hironaka the PIG, Whittmann the PIG, Tillman the PIG
  - Nicholson the PIG, McCurdy the PIG, Lefevre the PIG
  - Langston the PIG, Wister the PIG, Toews the PIG
  - McCurdy the PIG, and the CBSA PIGs
Redman the PIG

• “kangaroo court. 1. A self-appointed tribunal or mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disre-garded, perverted, or parodied. • Kangaroo courts may be assembled by various groups, such as prisoners in a jail (to settle disputes between inmates) and players on a baseball team (to “punish” teammates who commit fielding errors). 2. A court or tribunal characterized by unauthorized or irregular procedures, esp. so as to render a fair proceeding impossible. 3. A sham legal proceeding. • The term's origin is uncertain, but it appears to be an Americanism. It has been traced to 1853 in the American West. “Kangaroo” might refer to the illogical leaps between “facts” and conclusions, or to the hapless defendant's quick bounce from court to gallows.”

Redman the PIG

“Summary proceeding. Any proceeding by which a controversy is settled, case disposed of, or trial conducted, in a prompt and simple manner, without the aid of a jury, without presentment or indictment, or in other respects out of the regular course of the common law. In procedure, proceedings are said to be summary 'when they are short and simple in comparison with regular proceedings; e., in comparison with the proceedings which alone would have been applicable, either in the same or analogous cases, if summary proceedings had not been available. Sweet. And see Phillips v. Phillips, 8 N.J.L. 122.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition, page 1369 [emphasis added]
Redman the PIG

• “Kangaroo court. Term descriptive of a sham legal proceeding in which a person's rights are totally disregarded and in which the result is a foregone conclusion because of the bias of the court or other tribunal.” Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition, page 868,
Redman the PIG

• “summary proceeding. A nonjury proceeding that settles a controversy or disposes of a case in a relatively prompt and simple manner. — Also termed summary trial. Cf. plenary action under ACTION(4). “Summary proceedings were such as were directed by Act of Parliament, there was no jury, and the person accused was acquitted or sentenced only by such person as statute had appointed for his judge. The common law was wholly a stranger to summary proceedings.” A.H. Manchester. Modern Legal History of England and Wales, 1750–1950 160 (1980).” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 3809 [emphasis added]
Redman the PIG

- Plenary jurisdiction is a military dictatorship
Redman the PIG

- Summary Proceeding is another name for the Star Chamber that was abolished by England
- “The corrupt Star Chamber Courts of England required defendants to have counsel. Star Chamber stood for swiftness and arbitrary power, [Admiralty Maritime Law] it was a limitation on the common law.” Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806, 821 [Emphasis added]
• Redman was NOT acting as a judge, but was acting to enforce a statute

• "When acting to enforce a statute and its subsequent amendments to the present date, the judge of the municipal court is acting as an administrative officer and not in a judicial capacity; courts administering or enforcing statutes do not act judicially, but merely ministerially." Thompson v. Smith 154 SE 583. [emphasis added]

• "Ministerial officers are incompetent to receive grants of judicial power from the legislature, their acts in attempting to exercise such powers are necessarily nullities" Burns v. Sup., Ct., SF, 140 Cal. 1

©Common Law Copyright 2011
Redman the PIG

• Tillman, the whore, and his handler, Rooke the whore, both became clerks to aid and abet Redman enforce his Roman Civil Statute

• "...judges who become involved in enforcement of mere statutes (civil or criminal in nature and otherwise), act as mere "clerks" of the involved agency..." K.C. Davis, ADMIN. LAW, Ch. 1 (CTP. West's 1965 Ed.)

• All of this was under instructions Elizabeth, the bitch (no disrespect to dogs intended)
Redman the PIG

- "A judge ceases to set as a judicial officer because the governing principals of administrative law provides that courts are prohibited from substituting their evidence, testimony, record, arguments and rationale for that of the agency. Additionally, courts are prohibited from their substituting their judgments for that of the agency." AISI v US, 568 F2d 284.
Redman the PIG

• "It is the accepted rule, not only in state courts, but, of the federal courts as well, that when a judge is enforcing administrative law they are described as mere 'extensions of the administrative agency for superior reviewing purposes' as a ministerial clerk for an agency..." 30 Cal 596; 167 Cal 762.
Redman the PIG

• Redman has no immunity, but his whore buddies Rooke the PIG, Whittmann the PIG, Tillman the PIG, Langston the PIG and other PIG buddies, like Ethell, Battenberg, Johnston and Harper will make sure that any lawsuit goes nowhere

• “Judge loses his absolute immunity from damage actions only when he acts in clear absence of all jurisdiction or performance of an act which is not judicial in nature.” Schucker v. Rockwood, 846 F.2d 1202

• “When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially” and thus are not protected by “qualified” or “limited immunity,” SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404
Redman the PIG

• “Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a constitutional right, for they are deemed to know the law.” Owens v Independence 100 S.C.T. 1398 (Ezra 7:23-26)

Redman the PIG (Imposter)

- Redman is an imposter putting on a charade with his kangaroo court to complete his quasi-contract
- “IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. The are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 Hawk. P. C. c. 5.” Tomlin’s Law Dictionary, 1835, Volume 1, [emphasis added],
Redman the PIG (Imposter)

• “IMPOSTORS, religious. Those who falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven; or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments, are punishable by the temporal courts with fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 Hawk. P. C. c. 7. 4 Black 62” William’s Law Dictionary, 1816, [emphasis added],
Redman the PIG (imposter)

“IMPOSTORS in religion, are such as falsely pretend an extraordinary commission from heaven, or terrify and abuse the people with false denunciations of judgments. They are punishable by fine, imprisonment, and infamous corporal punishment. 1 Haw. 7. And by the statute 9 G.2.c.5. all persons who pretend to use any kind of witchcraft, forcery, inchantment, or conjuration; or undertake to tell fortunes; or pretend, from their skill in the occult sciences, to find out, goods that have been stolen; shall be imprisoned for a year, and once in every quarter of that year be set on the pillory.” Burn A New Law Dictionary 1792, page 376
Redman the PIG (imposter)

• “impostor. One who pretends to be someone else to deceive others, esp. to receive the benefits of a negotiable instrument. — Also spelled imposter. [Cases: Banks and Banking 147; Bills and Notes 201, 279. C.J.S. Banks and Banking §§ 415–416; Bills and Notes; Letters of Credit §§ 29, 150–151.]” Black’s Law Dictionary 8th Edition, page 2210 [emphasis added],
Redman the PIG

- Neither Redman, nor the CBSA PIGs had any jurisdiction
- "Where there is no jurisdiction there is no judge; the proceeding is as nothing. Such has been the law from the days of the Marshalsea, 10 Coke 68; also Bradley v. Fisher, 13 Wall 335,351." Manning v. Ketcham, 58 F.2d 948.
Redman the PIG

• The Canada Border Services Agency PIGs made a void judgment, and Redman the PIG made a void judgment

• “Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed.” City of Lufkin v. McVicker, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).
Redman the PIG

• “Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time” In re Estate of Steinfield, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also Steinfeld v. Hoddick, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).

• “A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree.” Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety, 480 So. 2d 577 (Ala. Civ. App. 1985).
Redman the PIG

Redman the PIG

• A ‘without jurisdiction’/ultra vires act is any act which a Court did not have power to do (Lord Denning in *Firman v Ellis* [1978] QB 866).

• A void order is incurably void and all proceedings based on the invalid claim or void act are also void. Even a decision of the higher Courts (High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) will be void if the decision is founded on an invalid claim or void act, because something cannot be founded on nothing (Lord Denning in *MacFoy v United Africa Co. Ltd.* [1961] AC 152).
PIGs in Canada

• DG Redman is working under instructions passed down from Battenberg, Johnston, Ethell, Harper, Redford, Whittmann, Rooke, Matchett, and LeFevre

• Redman, the PIG, represented Me further by demanding an excise tax called a victim fine surcharge tax, which is further proof of the PIGs intent to represent Me without authority

• I did NOT consent to their show-trial, or their kangaroo court, as evidenced by the fact that their magistrate, A. Dhaliwal, the PIG, wrote “refused” on their judgments and ORDERs, when I was supposed to approve them with my signature
CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA

AND

APPENDIX A

A. L. K. Y. K.

197
Amended

PROBATION ORDER
Ordonnance de Probation

CANADA
PROVINCE OF ALBERTA/Province d'Alberta

ENFORCEMENT AGENCY/organisme chargé de l’application de la loi: CBS
POLICE FILE NO/No du dossier de police:

SITTING IN/Siégeant en: PROVINCIAL COURT
AT/à: LETHBRIDGE
BEFORE/La Cour: JUDGE D.G. REDMAN

WHEREAS ON THE/Attenu Que le 5TH DAY OF MARCH, 2014, AT/à LETHBRIDGE
IN THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA
dans la province d'Alberta

*FRANK* GLENN, WINNINGHAM
DATE OF BIRTH/Date de naissance: 1957SEP04
OP/de 1377 E FLORENCE BLVD #147 CASA GRANDE AZ

HEREBY CALLED THE OFFENDER WAS CONVICTED UPON THE
CHARGE THAT:

ON OR ABOUT THE 11TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2013, AT OR NEAR COUTTS, ALBERTA,
GLENN WINNINGHAM FRAHN DID, WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY, IMPORT INTO CANADA
PROHIBITED DEVICES, TO WIT 10 OVER CAPACITY MAGAZINES, CONTRARY TO
SECTION 104(1) OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA.

CROWN PROCEEDS SUMMARILY

AND WHEREAS ON THE
6TH DAY OF MARCH, 2014

THE COURT ADJUDGED:
THAT THE OFFENDER BE IMPRISONED IN
ANY PRISON
FOR THE TERM OF 4.0 MONTH(S)

AND IN ADDITION THERETO,

THAT THE SAID OFFENDER PAY A VICTIM FINE SURCHARGE IN THE SUM OF 50.00 DOLLARS TO BE
APPLIED ACCORDING TO LAW AND IN DEFAULT OF PAYMENT OF THE SAID SUM ON OR BEFORE
6TH DAY OF JUNE, 2014
THAT THE OFFENDER BE IMPRISONED IN ANY PRISON
FOR THE TERM OF 4.0 MONTH(S)

AND IN ADDITION THERETO,

THAT THE SAID OFFENDER PAY A VICTIM FINE SURCHARGE IN THE SUM OF $50.00 DOLLARS TO BE APPLIED ACCORDING TO LAW AND IN DEFAULT OF PAYMENT OF THE SAID SUM ON OR BEFORE 6TH DAY OF JUNE, 2014.
NOW THEREFORE THE SAID OFFENDER SHALL, FOR THE PERIOD OF 2.0 YEAR(S)

FROM THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF HIS SENTENCE OF IMPRISONMENT COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS, NAMELY, THAT THE SAID OFFENDER SHALL KEEP THE PEACE AND BE OF GOOD BEHAVIOUR, APPEAR BEFORE THE COURT WHEN REQUIRED TO DO SO BY THE COURT, AND NOTIFY THE COURT OR PROBATION OFFICER IN ADVANCE OF ANY CHANGE OF NAME OR ADDRESS AND PROMPTLY NOTIFY THE COURT OR PROBATION OFFICER OF ANY CHANGE OF EMPLOYMENT OR OCCUPATION, AND, IN ADDITION,

1. YOU SHALL REPORT TO THE PROBATION OFFICER TWO DAY AFTER YOUR RELEASE FROM CUSTODY AND THEREAFTER, WHEN REQUIRED BY THE PROBATION OFFICER AND IN THE MANNER DIRECTED BY THE PROBATION OFFICER.

2. YOU SHALL REMAIN WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT UNLESS WRITTEN PERMISSION TO GO OUTSIDE THAT JURISDICTION IS OBTAINED FROM THE COURT OR THE PROBATION OFFICER.

3. YOU SHALL ABSTAIN FROM THE POSSESSION, CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOL OR OTHER INToxicATING SUBSTANCES, OR THE CONSUMPTION OF DRUGS EXCEPT IN ACCORDANCE WITH A MEDICAL PRESCRIPTION.

4. OUTSIDE OF HIS RESIDENCE HE SHALL NOT CARRY ON HIS PERSON OR POSSESS ANY WEAPON INCLUDING A KNIFE GAVE TO THE EXTENT NECESSARY FOR CULINARY OR WORK PURPOSES.

5. YOU SHALL ATTEND FOR ASSESSMENT AND COMPLETE, TO THE SATISFACTION OF YOUR PROBATION OFFICER, SUCH TREATMENT OR COUNSELING AS RECOMMENDED BY YOUR PROBATION OFFICER, MAY BUT NOT LIMITED TO PSYCHOLOGICAL/PSYCHIATRIC.

6. YOU SHALL NOT CONTACT OR ATTEMPT TO CONTACT ANY JUSTICE AT COURT OF APPEAL, ANY JUSTICE OF QUEEN BENCH, ANY PROVINCIAL COURT JUDGE OR ANY PROSECUTOR, ANY CANADIAN BORDER OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE, OR ANY PERSONS WHO PROVIDING SERVICES RELATING TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, EXCEPT WHERE HIS IS LEGALLY REQUIRED TO DO SO OR THRU COUNSEL OR PERSUANT TO ANY COURT ORDER.

7. HE SHALL NOT PERMIT OR ALLOW ANY ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE OR INToxicATING SUBSTANCES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CONTROLLED DRUGS AND SUBSTANCES ACT TO BE IN HIS APPROVED RESIDENCE UNLESS PRESCRIBED BY A MEDICAL DOCTOR OR DENTIST.

8. YOU SHALL ONLY RESIDE AT A RESIDENCE FOR WHICH YOU HAVE RECEIVED PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM YOUR PROBATION OFFICER.

Refused: March 25, 64
X

What...
4. OUTSIDE OF HIS RESIDENCE HE SHALL NOT CARRY ON HIS PERSON OR POSSESS ANY WEAPON INCLUDING A KNIFE SAVE TO THE EXTENT NECESSARY FOR CULINARY OR WORK PURPOSES.

5. YOU SHALL ATTEND FOR ASSESSMENT AND COMPLETE, TO THE SATISFACTION OF YOUR PROBATION OFFICER, SUCH TREATMENT OR COUNSELING AS RECOMMENDED BY YOUR PROBATION OFFICER, MAY BUT NOT LIMITED TO PSYCHOLOGICAL/PSYCHIATRIC.

6. YOU SHALL NOT CONTACT OR ATTEMPT TO CONTACT ANY JUSTICE AT COURT OF APPEAL, ANY JUSTICE OF QUEEN BENCH, ANY PROVINCIAL COURT JUDGE OR ANY PROSECUTOR, ANY CANADIAN BORDER OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE, OR ANY PERSONS WHO PROVIDING SERVICES RELATING TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, EXCEPT WHERE HIS IS LEGALLY REQUIRED TO DO SO OR THRU COUNSEL OR PURSUANT TO ANY COURT ORDER.

7. HE SHALL NOT PERMIT OR ALLOW ANY ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE OR INTOXICATING SUBSTANCES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CONTROLLED DRUGS AND SUBSTANCES ACT TO BE IN HIS APPROVED RESIDENCE UNLESS PRESCRIBED BY A MEDICAL DOCTOR OR DENTIST.
PROBATION ORDER
Ordonnance de Problation

ACCUSED: "FEAR" GLENN, WINNINGHAM

FILE-TK NO: 131337321P1-01-005 PAGE 2

***ADDITIONAL CHARGES: 104(1)CC - P10106, 104(1)CC - P10107, CC 104(1) - P10108, CA 153(A) - P10109

Dated This/Fait le 6th Day of March, 2014, at/à Lethbridge
in the province of Alberta

I / Je soussigné "Fearn" Glenn, Winningham

Hereby acknowledge that I have read over the within probvation order (that the within probation order has been read over to me) and that a copy thereof has been given to me and that I understand the contents. I further acknowledge that the provisions of section 732.2(3), 732.2(5) and 733.1 of the criminal code have been explained to me.

Dated This/Fait le 6th Day of March, 2014, at/à Lethbridge
in the province of Alberta

A. Dhaliwal

Witness
Témoin

Probationer
Probationnaire

DATE OF BIRTH/Date de naissance: 1957SEP04

reconnais par les présentes que j'ai lu cette ordonnance de probation (que cette ordonnance de probation m'a été lue), que j'en ai reçu une copie et que j'en comprends le contenu. Je reconnais aussi que les dispositions des articles 732.2(3), 732.2(5) et 733.1 du Code criminel m'ont été expliquées.

Dated This/Fait le 6th Day of March, 2014, at/à Lethbridge
in the province of Alberta

A. Dhaliwal

Witness
Témoin

Probationer
Probationnaire
PENALTY UNDER THE CRIMINAL CODE, S. 734.1 AND 734.2

1. A COPY OF THIS OFFENCE AND/OR SUMMARY ORDER;
2. AN EXPLANATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF SECTION 734.1 AND 734.2 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE;
3. AN EXPLANATION OF AVAILABLE REMEDIES REFERRED TO IN SECTION 734.1 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE AND THE PROCEDURE FOR APPLIANCE FOR ADMISSION TO SUCH REMEDIES;
4. AN EXPLANATION OF THE PROCEDURE FOR APPLIANCE UNDER SECTION 734.1 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE FOR A CHANGE IN THE TERMS OF THE ORDER.

AND REASONABLE MEANS WERE TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT THE OFFENSE UNDERSTOOD THE ORDER AND ABOVE NOTED EXPLANATIONS.
FINES AND/OR SURCHARGE ORDER

FILE NO/No de dossier: 1313373821P1-01-006

ON THE 5TH DAY OF MARCH, 2014 AT LETHBRIDGE

DATE OF BIRTH: 1957SEP04

OF

1377 E FLORENCE BLVD #147 CASA GRANDE AZ

JUDGE D.G. REIDMAN
LETHBRIDGE PROVINCIAL COURT
LETHBRIDGE

IN THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA,

036784-189
DRIVERS LICENCE NO
ALBERTA

OCCUPATION

PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT

HEREINAFTER CALLED THE OFFENDER, WAS CONVICTED ON THE CHARGE THAT:

ON OR ABOUT THE 11TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2013, AT OR NEAR COURTS, ALBERTA,

GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN DID, WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY, IMPORT INTO CANADA
PROHIBITED WEAPONS, TO WIT: 2 PUSH DAGGERS, CONTRARY TO SECTION 104(1)
OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA.

CROWN PROCEEDS SUMMARILY

AND ON THE 6TH DAY OF MARCH, 2014, THE COURT ADJUDGED THAT THE OFFENDER BE FINED AS FOLLOWS:

AMOUNT

DUE

IMPRISONMENT IN DEFAULT

VICTIM FINE: $50.00

06 JUNE 2014

0.00 DAY(S)

NOTE: SURCHARGE IS MANDATORY BY LEGISLATION

DATED THIS 6TH DAY OF MARCH, 2014, AT LETHBRIDGE

IN THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA.

[Signature]
PROVINCIAL JUDGE OR JUSTICE REGISTRAR, CLERK OF THE COURT

Refused March 25, 2014

[Signature]
VMIT VICE FINE
SURECHARGE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>DUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>06 JUNE 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: SURCHARGE IS MANDATORY BY LEGISLATION

DATED THIS 6TH DAY OF MARCH, 2014, AT LETHBRIDGE

IN THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA.

PROVINCIAL JUDGE OR JUSTICES, REGISTRAR, CLERK OF THE COURT

IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO PAY YOUR FINE AND/OR SURCHARGE, YOU MAY BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE FINE OPTION PROGRAM. IF YOU WISH TO ENTER INTO THIS FINE OPTION PROGRAM, YOU MUST DO SO IMMEDIATELY TO ENSURE THE WORK IS COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE DUE DATE SHOWN ABOVE. YOU MAY MAKE AN APPLICATION TO CHANGE ANY OF THE TERMS OF THE ORDER, OTHER THAN THE AMOUNTS OF THE FINE AND SURCHARGE BY APPEARING AT THE ABOVE COURT LOCATION. YOUR APPLICATION SHOULD BE MADE PRIOR TO THE DUE DATE OF THE FINE AND/OR SURCHARGE. IF THE FINE AND/OR SURCHARGE IS NOT SATISFIED BY THE DUE DATE:
(A) YOU MAY BE PROHIBITED FROM OBTAINING OR RENEWING ANY LICENCES OR PERMITS OR HAVE ANY EXISTING LICENCES OR PERMITS SUSPENDED;
(B) JUDGMENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU IN CIVIL COURT AND THIS FINE ORDER MAY BE ENFORCED BY CIVIL PROCEEDINGS;
(C) YOU MAY BE ORDERED TO ATTEND COURT AGAIN TO EXPLAIN WHY YOU DID NOT PAY THE FINE AND/OR SURCHARGE. WHETHER OR NOT YOU ARE ORDERED TO ATTEND COURT AGAIN, THE COURT MAY HEAR FURTHER EVIDENCE. IF THE COURT IS SATISFIED THAT OPTIONS (A) AND (B) ABOVE ARE NOT APPROPRIATE, OR THAT YOU HAVE REFUSED WITHOUT REASONABLE EXCUSE TO PAY OR DISCHARGE THE FINE, A WARRANT OF COMMITTAL MAY BE ISSUED, WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU, AND YOU MAY BE IMPRISONED FOR THE LENGTH OF TIME SHOWN ON THIS FINE AND/OR SURCHARGE ORDER.

I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE ABOVE ORDER HAS BEEN EXPLAINED TO ME;
I UNDERSTAND THIS ORDER AND A COPY HAS BEEN GIVEN TO ME.

SIGNATURE OF OFFENDER

IN RELATION TO SECTIONS 734.2 AND 737 OF THE CRIMINAL

INCLUDE YOUR FILE NUMBER WITH YOUR PAYMENT AND WRITE THE FILE NUMBER ON THE BACK OF YOUR CHEQUE OR MONEY ORDER. DO NOT SEND CASH THROUGH THE MAIL. CHEQUES OR MONEY ORDERS, IN CANADIAN FUNDS, MUST BE PAYABLE TO:

GOVERNMENT OF ALBERTA

REMIT PAYMENT TO:
LETHBRIDGE PROVINCIAL COURT
COURTHOUSE
320 - 4TH STREET SOUTH
LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA
T1J1Z8
**FINE AND/OR SURCHARGE ORDER**

**ACCUSED:** A. DHALIWAL

**PRINT NAME:** A. DHALIWAL

**SIGNATURE:** March 25, 2014

**DATE:** March 25, 2014

**FILE-TK NO.:** 131337321P1-01-006

**CLERK OF THE COURT OF QUEENS BENCH**

---

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINE AMT:</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMT PAID TO DATE:</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOP WORKED:</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINE Owing:</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL OWING:</strong></td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SURCHARGE AMT:</strong></td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMT PAID TO DATE:</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOP WORKED:</strong></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SURCHARGE OWING:</strong></td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kangaroo Court

- I already told them in the paperwork that I had nothing but contempt for them an their kangaroo so-called court, ....so good luck collecting your victims surcharge fine whores!!!!!, thieves!!!!
PIGs in Canada

• DG Redman is working under the direct supervision and instructions of LeGrandeur
• DG Redman pre-judged my case from the beginning
• DG Redman intends to breach the trust
• DG Redman intends to perjure his oath
PIGs in Canada

- DG Redman does not have a lawful valid current oath, because the person who administered the oath does not have a lawful valid current oath, because Battenberg does not have a lawful valid current oath.
- DG Redman is bought and paid for.
- DG Redman, Provincial judicial whore is an ORDER TAKER, and a PIG.
CBSA PIGs

When the CBSA PIGs first assaulted Me, they kept me in their freezing jail cell/torture chamber, with nothing on but a short sleeved shirt, for almost 24 hours, and then they held a show-hearing with a magistrate and the first thing the whore wanted to know was a birth date, and I told the whore that; “I have no first hand knowledge of a date of birth.” and the whore said; “What is the date of birth on your birth certificate?”, which is proof that she was a clerk working for the CBSA PIGs right off the bat!
• Then around midnight the following day, the CBSA PIGs “transported” me to the Lethbridge Correctional Centre, and after I was processed in there, they put me into a room.

• After a few minutes, at around 0100 AM in the morning somebody in a white shirt came in and asked me; “Why is it that so many Chiefs of Police, like the Lethbridge Chief of Police are so happy to see you in here?”
Lethbridge Correctional Centre

- I talked to this “white shirt” for about 20 minutes and told him some things and then he left, and they came to take me to my “room”
- I asked the guard who it was, and he told me that it was “the Director”
- The CEO of the Lethbridge Correctional Center came to see me in the middle of the night, like I was some celebrity
- I found out later that his name is Finnerty
After the Show-Trial

• Just before the RCMP PIGs arrested me, I filed a Claim of Trespass using Karl Lentz’s process, 1 page

• I even paid their excise tax/filing fee

• After I was released from their “hotel” I found that cowards send an unsigned letter saying that they had unfiled it because of Tillman’s ORDER, which is further proof of Tillman, the PIG’s intent, and Benkendorf the PIG’s intent, and Mackay the PIG’s intent to deny Me due process
April 7, 2014

Glenn Winningham FEARN
c/o 30 Main Street, #188
DONALDA, AB T0B 1H0

Dear Sir:

Re: Claim for Trespass (verified)

We return to you the claim that the Clerks’ office filed on March 4, 2014 – which has been UNFILED. This claim does not comply with the Rules and therefore is of no legal effect.

We also provide you with a refund of the $200 filing fee you paid at time of filing.

Regards,

Court of Queen’s Bench Management
In the Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta

Judicial District of Red Deer

In the matter of Claim for Trespass (verified)

Glenn Winningham Fearn, private person at common law

CANADA BORDER SERVICES AGENCY
Kevin Héroux, Director, in his private and public capacity
Maureen Noble, Superintendent, in her public and private capacity
Michael Ferguson, Investigator, in his private and public capacity
Scott MacCumber, Investigator, in his private and public capacity
MacKenzie Meehan, Border Services Officer, in his private and public capacity
Matthew Patching, Border Services Officer, in his private and public capacity
Mark Vandenberg, CBSA, in his private and public capacity
Alana Joan Huntley, CBSA, in her private and public capacity
Colin VanDyke, Border Services Officer, in his private and public capacity
Nathaniel Collins, Border Services Officer, in his private and public capacity
Dave Degenstein, Intelligence Officer, in his private and public capacity
Robert Kehler, Border Services Officer, in his private and public capacity
Kelly Cefalaf, Border Services Officer, in his private and public capacity
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CANADA
Peter MacKay, Minister of Justice for Canada, in his private and public capacity
Rob Nicholson, former Minister of Justice for Canada, in his private and public capacity
Barry Benkendorf, Counsel, Department of Justice Canada, in his private and public capacity
PUBLIC PROSECUTION SERVICE OF CANADA
Alex Bernard, Counsel, Public Prosecution Service of Canada, in his private and public capacity
Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg, house of Windsor, Queen of Canada, in her private and public capacity
David Johnston, Governor General of Canada, in his private and public capacity
Stephen Harper, Prime Minister of Canada, in his private and public capacity
Donald S. Ethell, Lieutenant Governor of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
Allison Redford, former Minister of Justices for Alberta, and current Premier, in her private and public capacity
J.D. Roke, Associate Chief Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
N.C. Whitmore, Chief Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
W. A. Tilleman, Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
James Langston, Judge, Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
T. J. Matchett, Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
A. H. LéFèver, Associate Chief Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
T. C. Hironaka, Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, in his private and public capacity
John Doe, Judge, Provincial Court of Alberta, who put on the show-trial, and refused to provide his name, in his personal and private capacity
Catherine Fraser, Chief Judge, Court of Appeals of Alberta, in her public and private capacity
J. B. McDonald, Judge, Court of Appeals of Alberta, in his private and public capacity

Address for Service and Contact Information of Party Filing this Document:
Glenn Winningham Fearn
C/O 30 Main Street, #188, Donalda, Alberta

POSTAL CODE EXEMPT

Claim for Trespass, page 1
I, Glenn Winningham Fearn, private person, at common law do claim;

a. the said wrongdoers trespass upon my property;
b. the trespass comes by way of assault and battery, false imprisonment, abuse of process, malicious prosecution, theft of property, damage to property, negligence, defamation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, invasion of privacy, detinue, criminal conversion, and conspiracy;
c. the trespass did and does cause harm and injury to my property;
d. the commencement of the wrong and harm began on October 11, 2013 A.D.;
e. the wrong and harm continues to this day, March 4, 2014 A.D.;
f. the wrongdoers have been given fair warning and opportunity to cure;
g. I require an order of court that the Regina vs Glenn Winningham Fearn Provincial Court of Alberta case styled as File #131211708P1-01 is to be known to the world as void from the beginning [void ab initio] and all of its prior and subsequent orders are to have no force of operation;
h. I require an order of court for the immediate restoration of my property;
i. I require an order of court for immediate restoration of my good name with written confirmation of the purging of all records/files/documents etc. held by all agencies/agents related to the trespass;
j. I require an order of court for the unconditional discharge of all charges;
k. I require compensation for the initial and continual trespass upon my property;
l. compensation due: Thirteen Million, Nine Hundred and Twenty thousand, dollars;
m. compensation due for property damage: One Thousand Six Hundred Fifteen dollars and eighty-five cents;
n. I require treble damages;

I, say here, and will verify in open court, that all herein be true

Glenn Fearn
March 4, 2014 A.D. private person at common law
Request for Interview

Date (yyyy-mm-dd) 2014-05-08

Inmate/Offender Name: Glen Firen
ORCA No.: 2014231
Centre: LCC
Unit: 2

Mark an "X" to indicate who you wish to have an interview with. Only submit one request per concern.

- [ ] Director
- [ ] Deputy Director Programs
- [ ] Deputy Director Operations
- [ ] Caseworker
- [ ] Chaplain
- [ ] Legal Aid
- [ ] Native Program Coordinator
- [ ] Offender Property
- [ ] Offender Trust Accounts
- [ ] Placement
- [ ] Records/Sentence Administration
- [ ] Recreation
- [ ] Security
- [ ] Unit Supervisor
- [ ] Visits

Briefly explain the reason for the request:

The Provincial Court of Alberta is a court of law operating under the United Nations UNPROFIT statute. Under section 15 of the Criminal Code, no one can be convicted by the mere power of law or the judgement of the Court. In this system, a valid judgement is one that is fair and just. This means it is not possible for you to be convicted unjustly. The question you have to ask yourself is whether you believe to be an example of what is being sought.

Without prejudice, all rights reserved.

Inmate/Offender Signature: [Signature]

THIS SECTION IS OFFICE USE ONLY

Comments and Action Taken:

[Blank lines for comments and actions]
Inmate/Offender Name: Glenn Feen

Centre: LCC

ORCA No.: 2014751

Date (yyyy-mm-dd): 2014-05-08

Mark an "X" to indicate who you wish to have an interview with. Only submit one request per concern.

- Director
- Deputy Director Programs
- Deputy Director Operations
- Caseworker
- Chaplain
- Legal Aid
- Native Program Coordinator
- Offender Property
- Offender Trust Accounts
- Placement
- Records/Sentence Administration
- Recreation
- Security
- Unit Supervisor
- Visits

Briefly explain the reason for the request:

The Provincial Court of Alberta is a court of record operating under the United Nations' United Nations' UNDRIT statute. Violation section 15 of the Criminal Code of Canada, nobody can be convicted by the definite power. Therefore, the judgment that I am in here violator is a valid judgment and I demand to be released immediately. The question you have to ask yourself is whether you intend to be an accomplice to exactly kidnapping, false imprisonment, or to

[Signature]

Inmate/Offender Signature: [Signature]

No assurance: CAJUL - No LURIDITY
Lethbridge Correctional Centre

• On the 28th day of April, Wister and his Crown PIGs held another show-hearing so they could justify the theft of the bail money that my brothers had paid.

• The judicial whore, who was nameless, kept interrupting me because he had pre-judged the theft, under instructions from his handler Rooke, so he could collect his royalty.
  – I asked the whore if it was a de facto court and he sat there without saying anything.
  – tried to tell the whore that he was de facto but he kept interrupting me so I could not finish what I was saying.
Lethbridge Correctional Centre

- During my stay in their “hotel” either I did not sign anything, or if I did sign anything, I turned it into an autograph by adding “without prejudice, all rights reserved, no assured value, no liability”
- I even signed their bail agreement that way
- After their show-trial, when I was in there again, for 80 days, they wanted me to work in their kitchen, and I told them I do not do slave labor, but if they wanted to negotiate a fair price, …somewhere around the normal $80.00/hour that I usually get, they would have the best kitchen worker around.
Lethbridge Correctional Centre

• They did an internal charge, and put me in solitary confinement, and held an internal show trial, and convicted me, in their internal show-trial, of refusing to work, and then they gave me 6 days of administrative segregation over my head

• Their paperwork said that I could appeal, and the appeal would be answered in 7 days

• I appealed, and 3 weeks later when I left their “hotel”, they still had not answered the appeal
Request for Interview

Date (yyyy-mm-dd) 2014-05-15

Inmate/Offender Name: Glenn Team
Centre: LCC
ORCA No.: 2014251
Unit: 7M

Mark an "X" to indicate who you wish to have an interview with. Only submit one request per concern.

- Director
- Deputy Director Programs
- Deputy Director Operations
- Caseworker
- Chaplain
- Legal Aid
- Native Program Coordinator
- Offender Property
- Offender Trust Accounts
- Placement
- Records/Sentence Administration
- Recreation
- Security
- Unit Supervisor
- Visits
- Appeal Adjunct

Briefly explain the reason for the request:

This is an appeal of the findings and punishment for the charge of [specify charge].

[Redacted text]

Signature: [Inmate/Offender Signature]

This section for Office Use Only:

Comments and Action Taken:

[Blank lines]

222
Briefly explain the reason for the request:

This is an appeal of the findings and punishment from the show-cause hearing on 7 May 2010 on the following grounds:

- Lethbridge Correctional Centre Corporation's associated regulations are unconstitutional delegation of authority and therefore defective
- Lethbridge Correctional Centre regulations are color of law and a denial of due process
- Regulations are not published or not readily available for review, are in contract - death to court - VCO judgement - discrimination - slow labor

I WANT CASE DISMISSED UNDER A FINDING OF INNOCENT

Inmate/Offender Signature
Lethbridge Correctional Centre

• After about 10 days I sent a Request for Interview to Finnerty and suggested that the appeal had mysteriously disappeared, because it was not answered within the 7 days advertised.
May 26, 2014

Glenn Fearn
Orca # 2014251
C/O Lethbridge Correctional Center

Dear Mr. Fearn:

I am responsible for the processing of appeals of Disciplinary Hearings submitted by inmates of Alberta Correctional Services facilities.

This correspondence will confirm that on May 23, 2014, I received your inquiry into the status of your appeal, dated May 22, 2014.

I can confirm that your request for appeal has been sent to an Appeal adjudicator. The matter is currently under review and you will be notified once a decision has been made.

Sincerely,

Stacey Bronsch
Quality Assurance Analyst

Date provided to inmate: 14/05/27

Officer issuing to inmate: Signature

cc: Dale Finnerty

Print name
Inmate/Offender Name: Glenn Fearn
Centre: LCC

ORCA No.: 2014251
Unit: 7W

Mark an "X" to indicate who you wish to have an interview with. Only submit one request per concern.

☒ Director
☐ Deputy Director Programs
☐ Deputy Director Operations
☐ Caseworker
☐ Chaplain
☐ Legal Aid

☐ Native Program Coordinator
☐ Offender Property
☐ Offender Trust Accounts
☐ Placement
☐ Records/Sentence Administration
☐ Recreation
☐ Security
☐ Unit Supervisor
☐ Visits

Briefly explain the reason for the request:

This is another appeal (END) by the Administrat (SEVERANCE imposition 03/04/2014 because it is a denial of due process. I have been charged with getting something without prejudice. All Rights Reserved. No Assign Value, Non-Consumative.

Inmate/Offender Signature:

Comments and Action Taken:

You were advised as of May 14/14 of program appeal denial, you will continue the request.

Director
May 15/14
Briefly explain the reason for the request:

This is another appeal (2ND) to the Administration Segregation imposed on 05/04/2014 because it is a denial of due process. I have been charged with nothing.

[Signature]

without prejudice. All Rights Reserved. No Assured Value. No Liability

Inmate/Offender Signature

---

THIS SECTION FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Comments and Action Taken:

You were advised on May 14/14 of the reason. Appeal denied. You will continue to be incarcerated.

Director

May 15/14
Lethbridge Correctional Centre

• While I was in their “hotel” I filed a Petition for a Writ of Habeus corpus
In the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta
Judicial District of Lethbridge

Glen Winningham Fan, Petitioner

vs

The Queen

To: Clerk of the Court
Court of Queen's Bench
The Courthouse
Lethbridge, Alberta

1. In October of 2013, the defacto Canada Border Services Agency forged the petitioner's signature on a contract.

2. In December of 2013, the defacto Provincial Court of Alberta unlawfully entered a plea of "NOT GUILTY" without the consent of the Petitioner and under the instructions of the defacto Canada Border Services Agency, thereby forging the petitioner's signature on another contract.

3. In March of 2014, the defacto Canada Border Services Agency held a show-trial in their defacto Provincial Court of Alberta before their defacto Judge D.G. Redman without the petitioner being present.

(continued on page 2)

Page 4, Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.
4. Under instructions from the defacto Canada Border Services Agency, the defacto Judge D.G. Redman in the defacto Provincial Court of Alberta forged the Petitioner's signature on another contract and issued a warrant for the Petitioner's arrest.

5. Under instructions from the defacto Canada Border Services Agency, the defacto Judge D.G. Redman in the defacto Provincial Court of Alberta convicted the Petitioner and sentenced the Petitioner to (0) ten years imprisonment.

6. The Petitioner was arrested and is currently falsely imprisoned in the Lethbridge Correctional Centre under their # for V125, under their martial law military dictatorship.

7. The Petitioner requires an Order that he be released immediately.

8. All of which is submitted under penalty of perjury.

18 April 2014
Date

Glen Wimingham Fearn private person at common law
Lethbridge Correctional Centre

• The judicial whores in Lethbridge refused to answer it, and it ended up in Tillman’s hands and he ruled on it in his decision explaining why the Claim of Trespass was unfiled

• Tillman’s only problem was that I had not attached any evidence (an affidavit)
Redman the PIG

• "Not every action by any judge is in exercise of his judicial function. It is not a judicial function for a Judge to commit an intentional tort even though the tort occurs in the Courthouse, when a judge acts as a Trespasser of the Law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject matter jurisdiction and The Judge's orders are void, of no legal force or effect"! Yates Vs. Village of Hoffman Estates, Illinois, 209 F.Supp. 757 (N.D. Ill. 1962)
Redman the PIG

• “brutum fulmen”: “An empty noise; an empty threat. A judgment void upon its face which is in legal effect no judgment at all, and by which no rights are divested, and from which none can be obtained; and neither binds nor bars anyone. Dollert v. Pratt-Hewitt Oil Corporation, Tex.Civ.Appl, 179 S.W.2d 346, 348. Also, see Corpus Juris Secundum, “Judgments” §§ 499, 512 546, 549. Black’s Law Dictionary, 4th Edition
False Imprisonment

• Based on a void judgment, the RCMP PIGs arrested Me, which is false arrest, and then falsely imprisoned Me in their Calgary Remand Centre, and their Lethbridge Correctional Centre, for 82 days, but I there is no way I will ever see justice at the hands of these Vatican Jesuit whores like Redmond the PIG, the Rooke the PIG, and Whitmann the PIG, and Tillman the PIG, which is what these PIGs intend, and Elizabeth the bitch, (no disrespect to dogs intended)
Magna Carta

- They have no right to do ANYTHING to us except by common law;

- Magna Carta Chapter 29
- “No freeman shall be taken and imprisoned, or disseized, or exiled, or in any way destroyed, nor will we go upon him, nor send upon him, except by the lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.”
- The phrase “Law of the land” in Chapter 29 means common law.

“The words “by the law of the land” as here used do not mean a statute passed for the purpose of working the wrong…..This Section was taken with some modifications from a part of the 29th Chapter of the Magna Carta, which provided that no freeman should be taken or imprisoned or be disseized of his freehold etc., but by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land. Ld. Coke in his commentary upon this statute says that these words “by the law of the land” mean “by the due course and process of law”; which he afterwards explains to be, “by indictment and presentment of good and lawful men where such deeds are done in due manner or by writ original of the common law” 2 Inst. 45,50” Tayler v Porter, 4 Hill 773 (1843) New York Supreme Court.
Magna Carta

- The phrase “Law of the land” in Chapter 29 means common law

“To be that statutes which would deprive a citizen of the rights of person or property without a regular trial, according to the course and usage of common law, would not be the law of the land.” (Jury) Hoke vs Henderson, 15, N.C. 15, 25 AN Dec 677.
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Magna Carta

• Tillman, under the directions of his handler Cooke say that the Magna Carta is out of date and doesn’t apply any more

• Tyrants have been saying that several times, prior to the War of Independence, and the Glorious Revolution

• And at other times
Magna Carta

• Chapter 13 says that there would be free customs because they were obviously tired of being assaulted, by their Customs PIGs at the time

• “And the city of London shall have all it ancient liberties and free customs, as well by land as by water; furthermore, we decree and grant that all other cities, boroughs, towns, and ports shall have all their liberties and free customs.” Magna Carta, Chapter 13
“The principle that no person should be deprived of life, liberty, or property except by due process of law did not originate in the American system of constitutional law, but was contained in the Magna Charta (sometimes referred to as Chapter 29), confirmed on the 19th day of June, 1215, declared:

"No freeman shall be taken, or imprisoned, or disseised, or outlawed, or exiled, or anywise destroyed; nor shall we go upon him, nor send upon him, but by lawful judgement of his peers or by the law of the land."

It as even been said that the principle was known before Magna Charta and that it was originally designed to secure the subject against arbitrary action of the crown, and to place him under the protection of the law. It is settled beyond question that this principle came from England to America as part of the common law and has been a fundamental rule in common law. When first adopted in Magna Charta, the phrase, "law of the land," had reference to the common law and has been a fundamental rule in common law." 16 Am. Jur. 2d, Constitutional Law, Section 543.
Due Process of Law

“...It is manifest it was not left to the legislative power to exact any process which might be devised. The [due process] article is a restraint on the legislative as well as on the executive and judicial powers of government, and cannot be so construed as to leave congress free to make any process "due process of law," by its mere will.” Murray's Lessee v. Hoboken Imp. Co., 18 How. (59 U.S.) 272,276 (1855).
Due Process of Law

What is due process of law may be ascertained by an examination of those settled usages and modes of proceedings existing in the common and statute law of England before the emigration of our ancestors. *Twining v. New Jersey*, 211 U.S. 78, 100 (1908).

The expressions 'due process of law' and 'law of the land' have the same meaning. The 'law' intended by the constitution is the common law that had come down to us from our forefathers, as it existed and was understood and administered when that instrument was framed and adopted. *State v. Doheny*, 60 Maine 504. 509 (1872).
Due Process of Law

- At common law, as a general rule, an arrest could not be made without warrant for an offense less than felony, except for a breach of the peace. 3 Cyc. 880; State v. Sims. 16 S.C. 486, [emphasis added]

- At common law an arrest could not be made of a person charged with a misdemeanor except on warrant of a magistrate, unless it involved a breach of the peace, in which case the offender might be arrested by any person present at its commission. (1 Chitty, Criminal Law, 15; Carpenter v. Mills. 29 How. Pro R. 473).
Due Process of Law

- It has already been decided that no arrest can be lawfully made without warrant, except in the cases existing at common law before our constitution was adopted. *People v. Swift*, 59 Mich. 529, 26 N.W. 694, 698 (1886). [emphasis added]
Due Process of Law

In many of these cases it seems to have been held that the authority of an officer to arrest for misdemeanor, without warrant, is limited to breaches of the peace or affrays, committed in his presence. Palmer v. Maine Cent. R. Co., 42 Atl. 800, 803, 92 Me. 399 (1899).
Due Process of Law

- At common law arrests for misdemeanors were not permissible without a warrant except for acts committed in the presence of the officer causing a breach of the peace. *Allen v. State*, 183 Wis. 323, 197 N.W. 808, 810, 811 (1924).
“At the outset I must express my shocked amazement at the contention of counsel for the minister that the claim of a resident of Alberta to a drivers license – and consequently to drive upon the highways of Alberta – is a privilege and not a right. Since time immemorial the Queen’s subjects have been free to move along the Queen’s highway provided only they kept the Queen’s peace.” J Egbert in Regina ex rel Christofferson v Minister of Highways, 28 Western Weekly Reports 38
Fire the Whores Campaign

• These judicial whores intend that their brain dead idiot low intelligence hired thugs assault us and kidnap us and falsely imprison us because it is so good for business!
Fire the Whores Campaign

• The ONLY way we will EVER get any real justice is to DEMAND our Common Law Jury of our Peers, and we need to know what that is.
  – A true common law jury does not take instructions from the judge
  – A true common law jury calls the witnesses and questions the witnesses
  – A true common law jury determines the law and the facts
  – A true common law jury can ignore any statute or law (jury nullification)
  – A true common law jury will pronounce sentence (if any)
  – A true common law jury is not subject to appeal
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Fire the Whores Campaign

• Do you want to place your future in the hands of a United Nations whore who wants to sit on the bench and play stupid?

• Do you want to put the future of your wife and children in the hands of one of these judicial whores, that have complete immunity no matter what, because if you sue one of them you have to get one of their whore buddies to do it?

• Sure some of them want to really do justice, but are you going to take the chance that you got a good one?
Fire the Whores Campaign

• Do you want to place your future in the hands of a whore that is bought and paid for by these Crown PIGs?
• Do you want to place yourself in the hands of a judicial whore who is intent on converting you into a ward of the court (an imbecile)?
• Do you consider yourself Christian?
• Do you try to follow the golden rule?
• Do you treat others the way you want to be treated?
Judgment Day

• Do you think you will get any sympathy from God, on judgment day, when you have not done everything you can to put a stop to these satanic judicial whores, selling their justus
Karma – what goes around comes around

• Karma is the universal principle of “what goes around comes around”
• If we knowingly allow these judicial whores to victimize tens of thousands of people, in the name of their satanic religious ceremonies, don’t you think that we will be held accountable
• Don’t you think it will come back around at us?
Karma – what goes around comes around

• Don’t you think it is already coming back around at us everyday
  – When they sell their justus in their de facto so-called courts
  – When their revenue officers masquerading as police officers unlawfully arrest us for commercial crimes, like speeding
  – When they deny us due process based on one of their fictitious quazi-contracts
  – When they populate their prisons with victimless crimes
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Separation of Church and State

• All judges are foreign agents of the Vatican (see Presentation on Attorneys)
• This idea of separation of church and state was really about taking Christianity out of government and displacing it with the Satanic Roman Civil Law from the Vatican
• All so-called court cases are actually a satanic religious ceremony by Priests of BAAL.
Separation of Church and State

• Under the guise of the separation of church and state they have
  – Taken the Lord’s prayer out of the schools
  – Taken the Christian symbols out of the courts
  – Taken Christian symbols out of the schools

• They have converted our Christian government into satanic government

• It has all been done by court rulings from these priests of BAAL masquerading as judges
Prisons

- At common law there are no prisons
  - That is why common law is so severe
- All prisons are commercial
- Most of the people in prison are in there for victimless crimes
- We need to FIRE the Whores and end the tyranny of these de facto United Nations courts and their Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
- Our court is ONLY a jury of our peers, and we are all being denied it, so these judicial whores can populate their prisons and collect royalties
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Fire the Whores Campaign

• We all need to work together to bring these whores (tyrants) to justice
• I would like to see some of these whores (tyrants) do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope
• I am glad that it is up a jury of my peers, and not me
• We can NEVER take the law into our own hands because that makes us worse than the whores
As for Me

• My conscience is clear, I am completely innocent of these spurious charges and they do not want a common law jury to hear it, which is why they held their de facto kangaroo court, and issued their void judgment, and were so adamant about denying Me due process
Conclusion

• It is my opinion, that well over 90% (probably 99% or more) of the people who work as peace officers are honest hard working people who are very patriotic, and take their oaths of office very seriously.

• Many of them have not been properly trained.

• Many of them are under pressure to generate revenue.

• The burden is on us to educate them.
What can we do?

• Lay a proper foundation so they cannot claim ignorance.
• Demand a common law court
• Know what a true common law court is, so you can tell if they really give you a common law court
• Complain to the judicial council, (council of whores) and make sure you bring up the right issues
• Complain to politicians, etc.
What can we do?

- Make Youtube videos and circulate them far and wide!
- Realize that it is NEVER over, until you say it is over!
- Never, ever, ever, ever, give up!!!
What can I do?

• Always remember, “We the people” are the ones who are really in control
  – NOT a gang of Vatican judicial whores selling their justus
  – NOT their hired thugs
  – NOT the Canada Border PIGs
Conclusion

• Judgment day is coming for these Canada Border Services Agency PIGs and their judicial whore PIG handlers, and I am looking forward to it!
• I am looking forward to seeing some of them do that little dance they do at the end of a common law rope!
• There are common law courts springing up all over, and these judicial whores are going to be brought to some real justice!!!!
It is really only 3-5% of the people who do anything

It was 3-5% of the people who precipitated the American revolution

The bankster thieves and their cronies are 3-5% of the people
Historically, every hundred +/- there is a major change in our freedoms
- 1778 US Constitution – more freedoms
- 1915 Federal Reserve – less freedoms
- Now – yet to be seen
www.lifeleadership.com

• Provides educational material about what the issues are
• Provides a way of bringing people together
• Provides a way of generating revenue through network marketing
Some of the CDs and DVDs that are available, cover;

- Rascals - rascal radio
- Potential constitutional changes
  - Townships
- Leadership development – corporate leadership
- Financial Fitness
- Adversity
- Success
- Balance in our life – spiritual, financial, etc
They do NOT just sign you up and leave you on your own

They will put people under you, as a team effort

They want you a minimum of 10 people deep

Their charts show you 20 deep, 30 deep, 50 deep and 75 deep

They need you to be successful, so you can have influence – be a leader

We need 3-5% of the people, as leaders, so we can work together to effect change towards freedom
Meeting

• Meetings every Tuesday night in DFW at the Omni Hotel on I-635 exit east of George Bush toll road at 8:00 PM

• Other meetings
Upcoming Events

- Corruption in the Courts 3
- Corruption in the Courts 4
- Fire the Whores in Texas
- City of Fort Worth PIGs
- City of Grand Prairie PIGs
Summary

• Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

• I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations

• Send me an email for other copies of documents to; engineerwin@gmail.com