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City of London

• “... we will and establish perpetual obligation and concession we will establish that from the proper and especial revenues of our aforesaid kingdoms, for all the service and customs which we ought to render for them, saving in all things the penny of St. Peter, the Roman church shall receive yearly a thousand marks sterling, namely at the feast of St. Michael five hundred marks, and at Easter five hundred marks-seven hundred, namely, for the kingdom of England, and three hundred for the kingdom of Ireland...” Concessions of England to the Pope (1213)
City of London

- Downtown London is walled in (approximately 1 - 2 square miles)
- The Imperial Parliament buildings are located in the City of London
- The City of London was never conquered by William the Conqueror (1066)
- The City of London has several gates, one of which is called Temple Bar
- The ONLY true law (800 years of jury trial decisions) schools (4 ea) in the world are in the City of London
- Inns of Court is one of those law schools
City of London

• The City of London is foreign territory to the rest of England
• During the convening of the Imperial Parliament, the Queen gives the Throne Speech
• The Queen goes to Temple Bar and requests permission to enter the foreign territory
• The Lord Mayor grants permission
• The Queen then walks 2 steps behind the Lord Mayor, with her head bowed, while she is in the City of London
Magna Carta

• After the Concessions of England to the Pope (1213) was signed, King John had to make money to pay his tribute.

• King John imposed Martial Law Rule and started to do things to raise money to pay his tribute.

• It took ONLY 2 years for the people to figure out what was happening, and the Magna Carta was the result.
Magna Carta (1215)

“The Magna Carta is not a unilateral act, emanating solely from the spontaneous will of the King, as the Charters of the predecessors of John; neither is it a treaty; for we cannot say it was concluded between two legitimate and independent sovereignties; nor between two nations, nor is it a law. The Barons do not appear in it as subjects, for they are freed from their promise of fidelity, and the King, brought captive, placed before them, submitted to the conditions which the conquerors imposed upon him. Magna Carta is therefore a contract, but resembles a treaty concluded between two nations, in that one of the parties, in virtue of the law of war, can impose its will upon the other.” Perlman v Piche and Attorney General of Canada, Intervenant, Re Habeus Corpus, 4 D.L.R. 147
Magna Carta (1215)

• “And the city of London shall have all its ancient liberties and free customs, as well by land as by water; furthermore, we decree and grant that all other cities, boroughs, towns, and ports shall have all their liberties and free customs.” Section 13

• “No village or individual shall be compelled to make bridges at river banks, except those who from of old were legally bound to do so.” Section 23
Magna Carta (1215)

- “No sheriff, constable, coroners, or others of our bailiffs, shall hold pleas of our Crown.” Section 24
- “No constable or other bailiff of ours shall take corn or other provisions from anyone without immediately tendering money therefor, unless he can have postponement thereof by permission of the seller.” Section 28
- “No sheriff or bailiff of ours, or other person, shall take the horses or carts of any freeman for transport duty, against the will of the said freeman.” Section 30
Magna Carta (1215)

• “Neither we nor our bailiffs shall take, for our castles or for any other work of ours, wood which is not ours, against the will of the owner of that wood.” Section 31

• “No bailiff for the future shall, upon his own unsupported complaint, put anyone to his "law", without credible witnesses brought for their purposes.” Section 38

• “To no one will we sell, to no one will we refuse or delay, right or justice.” Section 40
Magna Carta

- Magna Carta (1215) Chapter 39
- Magna Carta (1225) Chapter 29
- “No freeman shall be taken and imprisoned, or disseized, or exiled, or in any way destroyed, nor will we go upon him, nor send upon him, except by the lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.”
Magna Carta

-The phrase “Law of the land” in Chapter 29 means common law

“The words “by the law of the land” as here used do not mean a statute passed for the purpose of working the wrong.....This Section was taken with some modifications from a part of the 29th Chapter of the Magna Carta, which provided that no freeman should be taken or imprisoned or be disseized of his freehold etc., but by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land. Ld. Coke in his commentary upon this statute says that these words “by the law of the land” mean “by the due course and process of law”; which he afterwards explains to be, “by indictment and presentment of good and lawful men where such deeds are done in due manner or by writ original of the common law” 2 Inst. 45,50” Tayler v Porter, 4 Hill 773 (1843) New York Supreme Court.
Magna Carta

- The phrase “Law of the land” in Magna Carta means common law

“To be that statutes which would deprive a citizen of the rights of person or property without a regular trial, according to the course and usage of common law, would not be the law of the land.” (Jury) Hoke vs Henderson, 15, N.C. 15, 25 AN Dec 677.
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The Crown

• The Crown is a corporation that is domiciled in the City of London
• The Crown is owned and operated by the Vatican
• The Crown that belongs to the Queen has “ER” transposed over it (stands for “Elizabeth Regina”)
• All members of the Bar (Attorneys) are foreign agents of the Crown
Article Thirteen in Amendment

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall, without the consent of congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."

Article Thirteen in Amendment, Constitution for the United States of America [emphasis added]
Bar Members (Attorneys)

• An office of trust is one that requires an oath of office

• An office of profit is one which is paid
War of 1812

- The War of 1812 was initiated by the Crown to eliminate the true Article Thirteen in Amendment
  - One of the major engagements was when the British burned the capitol and the National Archives
  - The National Archives would have been where the ratification records would have been kept
The First US Bankruptcy

"YIELDING AND PAYING yearly, to us, our heirs and Successors, for the same, the yearly Rent of Twenty Marks of Lawful money of England, at the Feast of All Saints, yearly, forever, The First payment thereof to begin and be made on the Feast of All Saints which shall be in the year of Our Lord One thousand six hundred Sixty and five; AND also, the fourth part of all Gold and Silver Ore which, with the limits aforesaid, shall, from time to time, happen to be found." The Carolina Charter, 1663
The First US Bankruptcy

• "And provided further, that nothing herein contained shall affect the titles or possessions of individuals holding or claiming under the laws heretofore in force, or grants heretofore made by the late King George II, or his predecessors, or the late lords proprietors, or any of them." Declaration of Rights 1776, North Carolina Constitution
The First US Bankruptcy

• Treaty between the King and the Thirteen Colonies of the United States of North America, signed at Versailles July 16, 1782. ARTICLE 1 "It is agreed and certified that the sums advanced by His Majesty to the Congress of the United States under the title of a loan, in the years 1778, 1779, 1780, 1781, and the present 1782, amount to the sum of eighteen million of livres, money of France, according to the following twenty-one receipts of the above-mentioned underwritten Minister of Congress, given in virtue of his full powers, to wit:...” Source: Treaties and Other International Acts of the United States of America. Edited by Hunter Miller Volume 2 Documents 1-40 : 1776-1818 Washington : Government Printing Office, 1931.
The First US Bankruptcy

1. 28 February 1778 750,000
2. 19 May 1778 750,000
3. 3 August 1778 750,000
4. 1 November 1778 750,000
Total 3,000,000

5. 10 June 1779 250,000
6. 16 Sept 1779 250,000
7. 4 October do 250,000
8. 21 Dec 1779 250,000
Total 1,000,000

9. 29 February 1780 750,000
10. 23 May 1780 750,000
11. 21 June do 750,000
12. 5 October 1780 750,000
13. 27 November 1780 1,000,000
Total 4,000,000

The Frist US Bankruptcy

14. 15 February 1781 750,000
15. 15 May 1781 750,000
16. 15 August 1781 750,000
17. 1 August 1781 1,000,000
18. 15 November 1781 750,000
Total 4,000,000

19. 10 April 1782 1,500,000
20. 1 July 1782 1,500,000
21. 5 of the same month 3,000,000
Total 6,000,000

The First Bankruptcy

“...Amounting in the whole to eighteen millions, viz 18, 000,000.
By which receipts the said Minister has promised, in the name of Congress and in behalf of the thirteen United States, to cause to be paid and reimbursed to the royal treasury of His Majesty, on the 1st of January, 1788, at the house of his Grand Banker at Paris, the said sum of eighteen millions, money of France, with interest at five per cent per annum."

The First US Bankruptcy

• "....In Terrett v. Taylor, it was stated that the dissolution of the regal government, no more destroyed the rights of the church to possess and enjoy the property which belonged to it, than it did the right of any other corporation or individual to his or its own property. In the later case, the Chief Justice, in reference to the corporation of the college, observes that it is too clear to require the support of argument, that all contracts and rights respecting property remained unchanged by the revolution; and the same sentiment was enforce, more at length, by the other judge who noticed this point in the cause...." The Society, &c., v. The Town of New Haven. Et Al. 8 Wheat. 464; 5 Cond. Rep. 489.
Bar Members have facilitated the imposition of Martial Law Rule

- "Since March 9, 1933; the United States has been in a state of declared National Emergency . . . Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens. . . . A majority of the people of the United States have lived all of their lives under emergency rule. For 40 years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the Constitution have in varying degrees been abridged by laws brought into force by states of national emergency . . ." In Reg: U.S. Senate Report No. 93-549 dated 11/19/73 (73 CIS Serial Set S963-2 - [607 Pages])
Martial Law Rule

• BAR Members have brought us Martial Law Rule as described in the Presentation on Martial Law

• “RCW 1.16.090 Legislative declaration for civil liberties day of remembrance. The legislature recognizes that on February 19, 1942, the President of the United States issued Executive Order 9066 which authorized military rule over civilian law and lives . . .”
Bar Members have facilitated the imposition of Martial Law Rule

- "Congress (claiming its martial law "power to declare war," "suppress insurrections" and "repel invasions") imposed martial law on the United States and never discontinued it. The result was an extension of military and municipal jurisdiction of Congress. But where is the evidence of this? Look at the Thirteenth Amendment, the Civil Rights Acts, the Legal Tender Laws, the Fourteenth Amendment, etc., etc., etc..” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court
Bar Members have facilitated the imposition of Martial Law Rule

• "It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent, H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only." United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33,
Bar Members have facilitated the imposition of Martial Law Rule
Bar Members have facilitated the imposition of Martial Law Rule

“The Fourteenth Amendment is an extension of national military powers presently used in a municipal character and enforced by municipal laws, stretched far beyond their original limitations and enforced in Article I Tribunals [martial law].” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court
Bar Members have facilitated the imposition of Martial Law Rule

- Tribunals come from Canon Law and the Vatican
- Tribunals are military courts
- My upcoming presentation and video go through how the United States, and Canada, and most countries around the world, have been under Martial Law Rule for decades
Bar Members have facilitated the imposition of Martial Law Rule
“As we have said, the Federal Personal Income Tax is Collected under a Military Venue within a Martial-Law jurisdiction. Federal Reserve Notes are Military Scrip circulated within a Military Venue. The problem is the people don't understand how the entire United States is covered by a Military Venue.... Under the Social Security Act, there was brought into existence Ten Federal Regional Areas. These ten federal regional areas are the same as a military base. It is not unconstitutional to circulate "military scrip" on a military base as the base is considered to be a military venue. "Military scrip" cannot circulate in the civil jurisdiction of the several States. To get around this Constitutional bar, the Congress (via the Social Security Act), created Ten Military Venues, called Federal Regional Areas. The problem the Congress realized was, while Congress could restructure the Government agencies into these Federal Regional Areas, the people could not be identified to be within this Military Venue but by their own consent.” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court.
“The solution was to create another Military Venue which would trick the people to voluntarily accept recognition that they are within a Military Venue. Congress solved this problem by creating the ZIP CODE. The "zip code" divides the United States into Ten Military Venues called "National Areas." When a Citizen receives mail from an agency of the federal government (such as the I.R.S.), in the return address of the federal agency is the district within the regional area the letter is sent from, and on the address of the "Citizen" it was sent to is the national area [ZIP] in which he received the correspondence from the I.R.S.. In other words, the correspondence was sent from one of the federal regional areas [military venue] to one of the National Areas [another military venue]. "Taxing Districts” are established within one of the Federal Regional Areas, which places the collection of taxes under a martial law jurisdiction.“Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court
The Courts

• All US courts are territorial courts;

"The United States District Court . . . . is not a true United States court established under Const, art. 3, to administer the judicial power of the United States, but was created by virtue of the sovereign congressional faculty, granted under Article 4, § 3, of making all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory belonging to the United States."

Balzac v People of Puerto Rico, 258 U.S. 298
Martial Law within the States

• “In other words, when State martial law is imposed within the State to enforce National martial law, Congress has no reason to exercise its martial law powers. If a State has conformed to the new Order, there is no need for Congress to intervene. And if ... Citizen has not obtained the standing of a former slave by petitioning Congress for admittance to venue and jurisdiction of the Fourteenth Amendment (i.e. statutory character of person"), then Congress has no power over that individual under this Clause (Amend. 14, Sec. 5).” Dyett v Turner 439 P2d 266 @ 269, 20 U2d 403 [1968] The Non-Ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment by Judge A.H. Ellett, Utah Supreme Court,
The Courts

• “When a State forms a constitution, which is approved by Congress, it is estopped to deny its validity. The action of Congress cannot be inquired into, for the judicial is bound to follow the action of the political department. White v. Hart, 39 Ga., 306; Powell v. Boon, 43 Ala. I, 459" Luther v. Borden et al., 48 U.S. 1 (1849)
The US Supreme Court

• Rule 45 – “All process of this Court issues in the name of the President of the United States.”
Color of Law

• "it never became a law and was as much a nullity as if it had been the act or declaration of an unauthorized assemblage of individuals." Ryan v. Lynch, 68 Ill. 160
Lateran Pact

• Under the Lateran Pact, of 1929, Italy agrees to give the Vatican all of the rights of a sovereign nation, and to protect the Vatican:
  – Canada, United States, and most other nations have signed on to the Lateran Pact.
  – Under the Lateran Pact the Vatican is considered neutral territory.
BAR Members have brought us the UN

- All courts in Canada & United States are United Nations Courts under the UNIDROIT treaty, and have been for over 30 years
- All courts are de facto courts
- There is no authority to delegate anything to the United Nations in the Constitution for the United States of America, or the British North America Act (Constitution of Canada)
- See the Corruption in the Courts 3 & 4 Presentations for more information
UNIDROIT

• UNIDROIT stands for the unification of private law (law merchant) and the website says that 63 countries have adopted it, and it is designed to be automatically implemented

• Canada and United States have been signatories of the UNIDROIT treaty for over 30 years

• UNIDROIT website says nothing about Texas, or Arizona, or any of the American States, or the Canadian provinces, therefore the UNIDROIT application in the American States, and the Canadian Provinces, is ONLY in federal areas ONLY.
Attorneys & the UN

- UNIDROIT covers:
  - Negotiable instruments - Civil procedure
  - Civil Liability - Secured transactions
  - Legal status of women - Maintenance obligations
  - Contracts (in general) - Banking law
  - Transportation - Leasing
  - Franchising - Hotels
  - Insurance, and then they make it mandatory
  - Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children
  - Municipal Law
  - Much more – (see the website)
UNIDROIT

• Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty
• As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty
• See Corruption in the Courts 3 & 4 for more information
UNIDROIT Treaty

• Texas is NOT listed
• Arizona is NOT listed
• No American State is listed
• Alberta is NOT listed
• British Columbia is NOT listed
• Ontario is NOT listed
• No Canadian province is listed
• Therefore, anything involving motor vehicles or the courts, is both commercial and federal, and therefore by consent
• News and events
• About UNIDROIT
  ○ Overview
  ○ Membership
  ○ Work Programme
  ○ Institutional Documents
    ▪ Statute
    ▪ Regulations
    ▪ Headquarters Agreement
  ○ Governing Council
  ○ Secretariat
• Meetings
  ○ General Assembly
  ○ Governing Council
  ○ Finance Committee
  ○ Studies
• Instruments
  ○ Agency
  ○ Capital Markets
    ▪ Geneva Convention
    ▪ Netting
  ○ Commercial Contracts
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    ▪ UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    ▪ UPICC Model Clauses
  ○ Cultural Property
    ▪ 1995 Convention
    ▪ Model Legislative Provisions
  ○ Factoring
  ○ Franchising
    ▪ Model Law
    ▪ Guide
      ▪ First Edition 1998
Meetings
  - General Assembly
  - Governing Council
  - Finance Committee
  - Studies

Instruments
  - Agency
  - Capital Markets
    - Geneva Convention
    - Netting
  - Commercial Contracts
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2010
    - UNIDROIT Principles 2004
    - UNIDROIT Principles 1994
    - UPICC Model Clauses
  - Cultural Property
    - 1995 Convention
    - Model Legislative Provisions
  - Factoring
  - Franchising
    - Model Law
    - Guide
      - First Edition 1998
      - Second Edition 2007
Overview - UIRIDAT - International Institute for the Unification of Private Law - Institut International pour l'Unification du droit prive

- International Sales
  - ULIS 1964
  - ULFC 1964
- Leasing
  - Convention
  - Model Law
- Security Interests
  - Cape Town Convention
  - Aircraft Protocol
  - Rail Protocol
  - Space Protocol
- Succession
- Transnational Civil Procedure
- Transport
  - CCV
  - CMR
- Work in Progress / Studies
  - Current Studies
    - Contract Farming
    - Transnational Civil Procedure
    - Emerging markets
  - Past Studies
    - Arbitration
    - Banking Law
    - Capital markets
    - Civil liability
    - Civil procedure
    - Company law
    - Contracts (in general)
    - Cultural property
    - Factoring
    - Forwarding agency
    - Franchising
    - Holders
    - Insurance
    - Intellectual property
    - International sales
    - Leasing
    - Legal Status of Women
    - Maintenance obligations
    - Methodology
    - Movement of persons
    - Natural resources
    - Negotiable instruments
    - Non-legislative activities

http://www.unidroit.org/about-unidroit/overview
International Sales
- ULIS 1964
- ULFC 1964

Leasing
- Convention
- Model Law

Security Interests
- Cape Town Convention
- Aircraft Protocol
- Rail Protocol
- Space Protocol

Succession

Transnational Civil Procedure

Transport
- CCV
- CMR

Work in Progress / Studies

Current Studies
- Contract Farming
- Transnational Civil Procedure
- Emerging markets

Past Studies
- Arbitration
- Banking Law
- Capital markets
- Civil liability
Emerging markets

Past Studies
- Arbitration
- Banking Law
- Capital markets
- Civil liability
- Civil procedure
- Company law
- Contracts (in general)
- Cultural property
- Factoring
- Forwarding agency
- Franchising
- Hotelkeepers
- Insurance
- Intellectual property
- International sales
- Leasing
- Legal Status of Women
- Maintenance obligations
- Methodology
- Movement of persons
- Natural resources
- Negotiable instruments
- Non-legislative activities

http://www.unidroit.org/about-unidroit/overview
UNIDROIT covers

• Covers mandatory insurance for motor vehicles
• Anything related to marriage, divorce, and children
1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);

1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);

1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);
- 1955 Benelux Treaty on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

- 1956 Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road (CMR) (UN/ECE);

- 1958 Convention concerning the recognition and enforcement of decisions relating to maintenance obligations towards children (Hague Conference on Private International Law);

- 1959 European Convention on Compulsory Insurance against Civil Liability in respect of Motor Vehicles (Council of Europe);

- 1962 European Convention on the Liability of Hotel-keepers concerning the Property of their Guests (Council of Europe);
Right to Travel

• "The term "Motor Vehicle" means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes on the highways in the transportation of passengers, passengers and property, or property or cargo.

• The term "used for commercial purposes" means the carriage of persons or property for any fare, fee, rate, charge or other consideration, or directly or indirectly in connection with any business, or other undertaking intended for profit."

18 USC § 31 [emphasis added]
Speed Signs and Drivers Licenses are Commercial

- Texas Trans. Code § 201.904. Speed Signs. The department shall erect & maintain on the highways & roads of this state appropriate signs that show the maximum lawful speed for commercial motor vehicles, truck tractors, truck trailers, truck semi-trailers & motor vehicles engaged in the business of transporting passengers for compensation or hire (buses).
Speed Signs and Drivers Licenses are Commercial

• “...the reason for the initial detention, speeding & running a red light are not a breach of the peace.” Perkins v Texas, 812 S.W. 2d 326
UNIDROIT

• Canada and United States are signatories to the UNIDROIT Treaty
• As of this date 63 countries have signed onto the UNIDROIT Treaty
MEMBERSHIP

Membership of UNIDROIT is restricted to States acceding to the UNIDROIT Statute.

UNIDROIT’s member States are drawn from the five continents and represent a variety of different legal, economic and political systems as well as different cultural backgrounds.

To find the date when a particular State became a member of UNIDROIT click on the relevant national flag.

The following 63 States are members of UNIDROIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER STATES</th>
<th>NATIONAL AUTHORITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR MEMBER STATES’ RELATIONS WITH UNIDROIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>🇦🇷 Argentina</td>
<td>Embassy of Argentina in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇦🇺 Australia</td>
<td>Attorney-General’s Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇦🇹 Austria</td>
<td>Federal Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇧🇪 Belgium</td>
<td>Federal Public Service Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇧🇴 Bolivia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇧🇷 Brazil</td>
<td>Embassy of Brazil in Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇧🇬 Bulgaria</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇨🇦 Canada</td>
<td>Justice Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇨🇱 Chile</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🇨🇳 China</td>
<td>Ministry of Commerce, Treaty and Law Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Ministry/Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>Ministry for Trade and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>Secretariat of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Slovenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>South African Department of International Relations and Cooperation (DIRCO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Federal Office of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice and Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice General Directorate of International Law and Foreign Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland</td>
<td>Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Department of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNIDROIT Treaty

• Anything in America (Canada or United States) & (federal or state) involving motor vehicles, or the courts, or the banks, or finance, is actually federal, and falls under UNIDROIT
Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

• "The governments are but trustees acting under derived authority and have no power to delegate what is not delegated to them. But the people, as the original fountain might take away what they have delegated and entrust to whom they please. ... The sovereignty in every state resides in the people of the state and they may alter and change their form of government at their own pleasure." -Luther v. Borden, 48 US 1, 12 Led 581.
Constitution is a Trust Indenture with delegated authority

• “There is no such thing as power of inherent Sovereignty in the government of the United States. In this country sovereignty resides in the People, and Congress can exercise no power which they have not, by their Constitution entrusted to it; All else is withheld.” Julliard v Greenman 110 U.S. 421
Delegated Authority

• “A delegate cannot delegate; an agent cannot delegate his functions to a subagent without the knowledge or consent of the principal; the person to whom an office or duty is delegated cannot lawfully devolve the duty on another, unless he be expressly authorized so to do.” 9 Coke, 77; Broom, Max. 840; 2 Kent, Comm. 633; 2 Steph. Comm. 119 [emphasis added]

• “A delegated power cannot be again delegated.” 2 Inst. 597; Black's, 2d. 347; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1300

• “A deputy cannot have (or appoint) a deputy.” Story, Ag. s.13; 9 Coke, 77; 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1936
No Delegated Authority

• There is No Delegated Authority for a corporation called United States, or any agency, department, commission, board or other entity of such a corporation

“As used in this chapter:

(15) “United States” means—

(A) a Federal corporation;

(B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States; or

(C) an instrumentality of the United States.” 28 USC § 3002 Definitions [emphasis added]
No Delegated Authority

• There is no delegated authority for:
  – A corporation called Federal Bureau of Investigation
  – A corporation called National Security Agency
  – A corporation called Central Intelligence Agency
  – A corporation called Department of Homeland Security
  – A corporation called Internal Revenue Service
  – A corporation called Department of the Treasury
  – An Agency called The Office of Attorney General of the United States
No Delegated Authority

• There is no delegated authority for;
  – A municipal corporation called City of Fort Worth
  – A municipal corporation called City of Grand Prairie
  – Any municipal corporation
  – Any prison corporation
  – A corporation called Department of Public Safety in any State
  – A corporation called Texas State Police, or State Police in any State
No Delegation of Authority

• “The word “Canada” as used in s. 91(1) [of the Constitution Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict.) c.3], does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit, but refers to the juristic federal unit.” Word and Phrases Judicially Decided in Canadian Courts
No Delegation of Authority

• “The usual form of juristic person and the only one....at common law is a corporation.”
Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1915
No Delegated Authority

• There is no delegated authority for a corporation called Canada,
  – or a corporation called Royal Canadian Mounted Police,
  – or a corporation called Canada Border Services Agency,
  – or a corporation called Lethbridge Correctional Centre,
  – or a corporation called Calgary Remand Centre,
No Delegated Authority

• "It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent, H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 - Joint Resolution To Suspend The Gold Standard and Abrogate The Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only."

United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993 Vol. 33 [Emphasis added]
Canada is Bankrupt

INSTRUCTION SHEET

A - Complete the application form.

B - You must provide an original primary document according to your status in Canada. Refer to leaflet "Documents you need to obtain a Social Insurance Number SC-207-03-06."

C - If the name on your primary document is different from the name you are now using, you must also submit an original supporting document. Refer to leaflet "Documents you need to obtain a Social Insurance Number SC-207-03-06."

D - If you are replacing your SIN card, you must pay a $10.00 fee (subject to change). Make your personal cheque, bank draft or credit card payable in Canadian funds to the RECEIVER GENERAL FOR CANADA. You may pay in cash at a Human Resource Centre or by MAIL CASH.

E - If you are a guardian, you must submit an original document showing proof of legal guardianship in order to sign an application on behalf of the applicant.

The information contained in the vital statistics registers and the Citizenship and Immigration Canada records can be used to validate that you provide with this application form when presenting a document originating from these sources.

If you are employed, it is important that the name and Social Insurance Number under which you are working are identical to the name and Social Insurance Number that appear on your card. This will ensure that your Canada Pension Plan and/or Quebec Pension Plan contributions are properly credited to you.
Bankrupt

• When any corporation goes bankrupt, the creditors become the owners, and it is a coup de tat for the government, except that they don’t tell you
AMR creditors prefer all-stock merger with US Airways: sources

By Soyoung Kim | Reuters — Wed, 12 Dec, 2012 8:38 PM EST

NEW YORK (Reuters) - American Airlines creditors want a potential merger with US Airways Group Inc to be an all-stock deal rather than one that pays some claims in cash, three people familiar with the matter said, in a move that underscores confidence in a merged airline.

The creditors of American Airlines parent AMR Corp want to capture the full upside from a combination if the airline chooses to emerge from bankruptcy in a merger with its smaller rival, the people said this week.

Creditors in bankruptcy often want at least part of their claims paid in cash, rather than in the stock of a reorganized company with an uncertain trading value.

AMR creditors' preference for an all-stock deal could be seen as a vote of confidence in the proposed merger and the potential revenue and cost benefits from a deal that would create one of the world's largest airlines.

US Airways, in hot pursuit of its bigger rival all year, sounded out AMR creditors about how they wanted to be paid off before proposing a formal all-stock merger proposal at a meeting with the creditors committee in November, the people said.

The merger discussions among US Airways, AMR and its creditors are at an advanced stage, with a decision on whether to pursue a combination or emerge as an independent company expected as soon as January, they said.

The people asked not to be named because the matter is not public. Representatives for the creditors committee did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

AMR management prefers to exit bankruptcy as an independent airline, but events since US Airways made a formal merger offer last month indicate a deal looks more likely than before.

On Monday, the union representing AMR pilots voted to join the merger talks at the invitation of AMR creditors and said the first discussions involving the union are set to begin this week. US Airways' pilots union is also joining the discussions, a spokesman confirmed on Wednesday.

The Allied Pilots' Association, the union representing AMR pilots, is important to the discussions because they recently ratified a new labor contract granting them a 13.5 percent equity stake in a newly reorganized airline.

The APA, which also sits on the airline's nine-member unsecured creditors committee, has said it has lost faith in AMR management led by Chief Executive Tom Horton and strongly supports a merger with US Airways.

"As the new owners of a significant percentage of the restructured airline, it's APA's responsibility to maximize the value of our investment by conducting thorough due diligence," pilots union president Keith Wilson said in a message posted on the union's website on Wednesday.
US Airways, in hot pursuit of its bigger rival all year, sounded out AMR creditors about how they wanted to be paid off before proposing a formal all-stock merger proposal at a meeting with the creditors committee in November, the people said.

The merger discussions among US Airways, AMR and its creditors are at an advanced stage, with a decision on whether to pursue a combination or emerge as an independent company expected as soon as January, they said.

The people asked not to be named because the matter is not public. Representatives for the creditors committee did not immediately respond to requests for comment.

AMR management prefers to exit bankruptcy as an independent airline, but events since US Airways made a formal merger offer last month indicate a deal looks more likely than before.

On Monday, the union representing AMR pilots voted to join the merger talks at the invitation of AMR creditors and said the first discussions involving the union are set to begin this week. US Airways' pilots union is also joining the discussions, a spokesman confirmed on Wednesday.

The Allied Pilots' Association, the union representing AMR pilots, is important to the discussions because they recently ratified a new labor contract granting them a 13.5 percent equity stake in a newly reorganized airline.

The APA, which also sits on the airline's nine-member unsecured creditors committee, has said it has lost faith in AMR management led by Chief Executive Tom Horton and strongly supports a merger with US Airways.

"As the new owners of a significant percentage of the restructured airline, it's APA's responsibility to maximize the value of our investment by conducting thorough due diligence," pilots union president Keith Wilson said in a message posted on the union's website on Wednesday.
US Airways declined to comment.

STICKY ISSUE

The talks are now narrowly focused on how to integrate labor unions, indicating the negotiations are far along, the people familiar with the matter said. Detailed valuation discussions - how much of the combined carrier each side should own - are expected to come after the parties iron out labor integration issues, they said.

Under the US Airways proposal sent in November, AMR creditors would own 70 percent and US Airways shareholders 30 percent of the merged airline, which could be valued at around $8.5 billion, sources told Reuters on Friday.

Based on US Airways' fully diluted market value of $2.5 billion and the proposed equity split of 70 to 30, its merger proposal implies a valuation of little less than $6 billion for its larger rival.

AMR creditors think they should own more than 70 percent of the combined company, the people familiar with the matter said. AMR management has told the creditors they believe the equity split should be as high as 80 percent in favor of AMR creditors, the people said.

An 80-20 equity split between AMR creditors and US Airways could suggest a $10 billion valuation for AMR, based on the $2.5 billion fully diluted market value of US Airways. It could also mean that AMR values its smaller rival at a significant discount to its trading value, which would be a tough deal to swallow for US Airways' shareholders and board.

The world's two largest airlines - Delta Air Lines Inc and United Continental Holdings Inc - have market values of $9 billion and $7.2 billion, respectively.

The case is In re AMR Corp et al, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York, No. 11-15463.

Corporate State Governments

- I have proof of
  - Indiana
  - Texas
- All the rest
- “There has been created a fictional federal State (of) xxxxxx within a state. See Howard v. Sinking Fund of Louisville, 344 U.S. 624, 73 S.Ct. 465, 476, 97 L.Ed. 617 (1953)”;
  Schwarts v. O'Hara TP School District, 100 A 2d. 621, 625, 375, Pa. 440
INDIANA & CO.
3,460,000 Stockholders
ENGAGED IN PROVIDING PEACE, JUSTICE, SECURITY, OPPORTUNITY, HEALTH, HIGHWAYS, EDUCATION, CONSERVATION, RECREATION.

Report for Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 1936 and Ending June 30, 1937.
PREPARED BY INDIANA GROSS INCOME TAX DIVISION
Clarence A. Jackson, Director.
INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY BOARD
M. Clifford Townsend, Governor; Peter F. Hein, Treasurer; Laurence F. Sullivan, Auditor.
INDIANA & CO.

3,460,000 Stockholders

ENGAGED IN PROVIDING PEACE, JUSTICE, SECURITY, OPPORTUNITY, HEALTH, HIGHWAYS, EDUCATION, CONSERVATION, RECREATION.
Report for Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 1936 and Ending June 30, 1937.
PREPARED BY INDIANA GROSS INCOME TAX DIVISION
Clarence A. Jackson, Director.
INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY BOARD
M. Clifford Townsend, Governor; Peter F. Hein, Treasurer; Laurence F. Sullivan, Auditor.
Every Indiana Citizen

as the owner of

INDIANA & CO.

is entitled to

shares in the stock of

and is entitled to

 dividends and benefits

of the corporation, in proportion to the number of shares owned.

this stock shall be transferred only by the books of the corporation, in favor of any person by

the endorsement and delivery thereof to the treasurer of the corporation, or to any authorized agent or representative of the corporation, or to any other person to whom the stock may be transferred by the corporate officers, or as may be provided by these by-laws.
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shares in the stock of

INDIANA & CO.

and is entitled to

 dividends and benefits

of the corporation, in proportion to the number of shares owned.

this stock shall be transferred only by the books of the corporation, in favor of any person by

the endorsement and delivery thereof to the treasurer of the corporation, or to any authorized agent or representative of the corporation, or to any other person to whom the stock may be transferred by the corporate officers, or as may be provided by these by-laws.

Every Indiana Citizen

is entitled to

shares in the stock of

INDIANA & CO.

and is entitled to

 dividends and benefits

of the corporation, in proportion to the number of shares owned.

this stock shall be transferred only by the books of the corporation, in favor of any person by

the endorsement and delivery thereof to the treasurer of the corporation, or to any authorized agent or representative of the corporation, or to any other person to whom the stock may be transferred by the corporate officers, or as may be provided by these by-laws.
Bankruptcy

• "YIELDING AND PAYING yearly, to us, our heirs and Successors, for the same, the yearly Rent of Twenty Marks of Lawful money of England, at the Feast of All Saints, yearly, forever, The First payment thereof to begin and be made on the Feast of All Saints which shall be in the year of Our Lord One thousand six hundred Sixty and five; AND also, the fourth part of all Gold and Silver Ore which, with the limits aforesaid, shall, from time to time, happen to be found." The Carolina Charter, 1663 [emphasis added]
Bankruptcy

• "And provided further, that nothing herein contained shall affect the titles or possessions of individuals holding or claiming under the laws heretofore in force, or grants heretofore made by the late King George II, or his predecessors, or the late lords proprietors, or any of them." Declaration of Rights 1776, North Carolina Constitution
Bankruptcy

"....In Terrett v. Taylor, it was stated that the dissolution of the regal government, no more destroyed the rights of the church to possess and enjoy the property which belonged to it, than it did the right of any other corporation or individual to his or its own property. In the later case, the Chief Justice, in reference to the corporation of the college, observes that it is too clear to require the support of argument, that all contracts and rights respecting property remained unchanged by the revolution; and the same sentiment was enforce, more at length, by the other judge who noticed this point in the cause...." The Society, &c., v. The Town of New Haven. Et Al. 8 Wheat. 464; 5 Cond. Rep. 489. [emphasis added]
AFTER RECORDING THIS AFFIDAVIT RETURN ORIGINAL TO: 
Daniel-Lee: Swank 
P.O. Box 855 
Huffman, Texas 77336 

AFFIDAVIT 

Liberty County 
State of Texas 

I, Daniel-Lee: Swank, affiant herein, state under Public Law 97-280 that I am competent, I have personal knowledge of the facts herein and state that the facts herein are true, correct, and not misleading. 

1. I have retrieved the attached two pages of D&B Business Background Report regarding the for profit corporate status of the JUDICIARY COURTS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS from the Dunn and Bradstreet internet website. 

Further, affiant says nothing. 

Daniel-Lee: Swank, affiant 

STATE OF TEXAS C 
COUNTY OF LIBERTY SS 

SUBSCRIBED PURSUANT TO PUBLIC LAW 97-280 
BEFORE ME ON THIS 6 DAY OF JUNE 2008. 

By Daniel-Lee: Swank, a man, personally known to me or provided to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the man who appeared before me. 

ALBERT A. THOMAS 
NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS 

My Commission Expires on: Oct-24-2010
D&B has not fully revised this report since 12-02-04 and this report, therefore, should not be considered a statement of existing fact. The information present may refer to information obtained by D&B after the last full revision date. Such information may not currently relate to this business due to possible changes in ownership, control, or legal status.

JUDICIARY COURTS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS

DUNS: 36-070-5040

DATE PRINTED: January 29, 2008

(SUBSIDIARY OF TEXAS, STATE OF, AUSTIN, TX)

PO BOX 12246 AUSTIN, TX 78711

SUPREME COURT BUILDING
AUSTIN, TX 78701
Telephone: 512 463-1312

Year Started: 1845
Control Year: 1845
This is a Headquarters location.

Employees Total: 1,049
Employees Here: 64

Top Executive: THOMAS R PHILLIPS, CHF JUSTICE

INDUSTRY

Line of Business: STATE COURT SYSTEM

Primary SIC:
9211 (STATE COURT SYSTEM)

BUSINESS HISTORY

The Corporate Details provided below may have been submitted by the management of the subject business and may not have been verified with the government agency which records such data.

BUSINESS TYPE: CORPORATION - PROFIT

DATE INCORPORATED: 00/00/1836

STATE OF INCORP: TEXAS

.2/02/04

THOMAS R PHILLIPS, CHIEF JUSTICE
DIRECTOR(S): THE OFFICER(S)
Business started 1845 by the citizens.

THOMAS R PHILLIPS. Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Texas since 1866.

---

OPERATIONS

12/02/04 Subsidiary of Texas, State Of, AUSTIN, TX started 1845 which operates as a state government. Intercompany relations: None reported by management.

As noted, this company is a subsidiary of Texas, State of (Inc.), DUNS #800-263-7595, and reference is made to that report for background information on the parent company and its management.

The state court system which includes the Supreme Court and Court of Criminal Appeals (courts of last resort), 14 Court of Appeals with 88 judges, District Court with 366 judges, Criminal District Court with 10 judges and County Level Court with 445 judges.

Funds derived from tax revenues.

EMPLOYEES: 1,049 which includes officer(s), 64 employed here.

FACILITIES: Owns premises in a multi story building.

LOCATION: Central business section on main street.

BRANCHES: The department maintains 14 courts of appeal, 375 district level courts and 420 county level courts.

---

CUSTOMER SERVICE

If you need any additional information or have any questions regarding this report, please call our Customer Service Center at 1-800-234-DUNS (3867).

END OF DUN & BRADSTREET BUSINESS BACKGROUND REPORT

---

OFFICIAL RECORDS
LIBERTY COUNTY
DELIBERATE
COUNTY CLERK
RECORDING FEE $19.00
06/08/2008 09:14 AM 3 PGS
KCEDUNK, DC Receipt #0069666

JUN-6 2008
S. L. MORRIS
COUNTY CLERK
LIBERTY COUNTY, TEXAS

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF LIBERTY

Julie L. Goodwin
COUNTY CLERK
LIBERTY COUNTY, TEXAS

JUN - 6 2008
BUSINESS BACKGROUND REPORT

JUDICIARY COURTS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS

DUNS: 36-070-5040
DATE PRINTED: January 29, 2008

(Subsidiary of Texas, State of, Austin, TX)

PO Box 12240, Austin, TX 78711

Year Started: 1845
Control Year: 1845
This is a Headquarters location.

Supreme Court Building
Austin, TX 78701
Telephone: 512 463-1312

Employees Total: 1,049
Employees Here: 64

Top Executive: Thomas R Phillips, CHF Justice

INDUSTRY

Line of Business: State Court System

Primary SIC:

9211 (State Court System)
SUPREME COURT BUILDING
AUSTIN, TX 78701
Telephone: 512 463-1312

Top Executive: THOMAS R PHILLIPS, CHIEF JUSTICE

INDUSTRY

Line of Business: STATE COURT SYSTEM

Primary SIC:

9211 (STATE COURT SYSTEM)

BUSINESS HISTORY

The Corporate Details provided below may have been submitted by the management of the subject business and may not have been verified with the government agency which records such data.

BUSINESS TYPE: CORPORATION - PROFIT

DATE INCORPORATED: 00/00/1836

STATE OF INCORP: TEXAS

2/02/04

THOMAS R PHILLIPS, CHIEF JUSTICE

DIRECTOR(S): THE OFFICER(S)
Business started 1845 by the citizens.
THOMAS R PHILLIPS, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Texas since 1986.

OPERATIONS

12/02/04
Subsidiary of Texas, State Of, AUSTIN, TX started 1845 which operates as a state government. Intercompany relations: None reported by management.

As noted, this company is a subsidiary of Texas, State Of (Inc), DUNS 800-234-7595, and reference is made to that report for background information on the parent company and its management.

State court system which includes the Supreme Court and Court of Criminal Appeals (courts of last resort), 14 Court of Appeals with 80 judges, District Court with 386 judges, Criminal District Court with 10 judges and County Level Court with 445 judges.

Funds derived from tax revenues.

EMPLOYEES: 1,049 which includes officer(s). 64 employed here.
FACILITIES: Owns premises in a multi story building.
LOCATION: Central business section on main street.
BRANCHES: The department maintains 14 courts of appeal, 375 district level courts and 420 county level courts.

CUSTOMER SERVICE

If you need any additional information or have any questions regarding this report, please call our Customer Service Center at 1-800-234-DUNS (3867).

END OF DUN & BRADSTREET BUSINESS BACKGROUND REPORT
BRANCHES: The department maintains 14 courts of appeal, 375
district level courts and 420 county level courts.

CUSTOMER SERVICE

If you need any additional information or have any questions regarding this
report, please call our Customer Service Center at 1-800-234-DUNS(3867).

END OF DUN & BRADSTREET BUSINESS BACKGROUND REPORT
Corporate Texas

• Texas judicial whores demand thousands of dollars to appeal their whore buddies in the lower courts
THOMAS A. WILDER
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURTS
401 W BELKNAP
(817)884-1240
FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402

GLENN WINNINGHAM
C/O 6340 LAKE WORTH BLVD #437
FORT WORTH TX 76135-

Cause Number: 067-263565-13

March 21, 2013

GLENN WINNINGHAM
VS
C. PAGE, I.D. NO. 3877, ET AL

02/20/2013 *NOTICE OF APPEAL ($1,979.00)

Any Court Cost Paid $0.00 Unbillable to Party $0.00

Remaining Balance Due in the amount of $1,979.00

COST FOR PREPARATION OF CLERK’S RECORD FOR APPEAL

Thank you,

SANDY R. CARTER
Deputy District Clerk

FINES AND COURT COSTS MAY BE PAID IN CASH, MONEY ORDER, CASHIER’S CHECK, OR CREDIT CARD. PAYMENTS MUST BE PAYABLE TO: TARRANT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK
3RD FLOOR JUSTICE CENTER - CIVIL SECTION 8:00-5:00 MONDAY-FRIDAY
401 W BELKNAP, FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402 (817)884-1240

* AUTOMATED PHONE SYSTEM: 1-888-549-1010. ONLINE: WWW.CERTIFIEDPAYMENTS.NET
YOU WILL BE PROMPTED FOR A BUREAU CODE. THE CODE FOR CIVIL IS 2631095.
YOU WILL ALSO BE PROMPTED FOR YOUR CASE NUMBER(S).

* NOTE: PAYMENTS MADE BY CREDIT CARD MAY TAKE UP TO 2 BUSINESS DAYS TO POST TO YOUR CASE.

For additional information please visit us online at http://www.tarrantcounty.com/eDistrictClerk/site/default.asp
Click on the Collections/Payments tab.

The convenience fee for all Visa, MasterCard, Discover and American Express transactions will be 3% of the transaction amount with a $1.00 minimum.

TO INSURE PROPER CREDIT, YOU MUST INCLUDE CASE NUMBER(S) ON YOUR PAYMENT.
02/20/2013  *NOTICE OF APPEAL  ($1,979.00)

Any Court Cost Paid  $0.00  Unbillable to Party  $0.00
Remaining Balance Due in the amount of  $1,979.00
COST FOR PREPARATION OF CLERK’S RECORD FOR APPEAL

Thank you,

SANDY R CARTER
Deputy District Clerk

FINES AND COURT COSTS MAY BE PAID IN CASH, MONEY ORDER, CASHIER’S CHECK, OR CREDIT CARD
PAYMENTS MUST BE PAYABLE TO: TARRANT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK
3RD FLOOR JUSTICE CENTER - CIVIL SECTION 8:00-5:00 MONDAY-FRIDAY
401 W BELKNAP, FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402  (817)884-1240
* AUTOMATED PHONE SYSTEM: 1-888-549-1010. ONLINE: WWW.CERTIFIEDPAYMENTS.NET
YOU WILL BE PROMPTED FOR A BUREAU CODE. THE CODE FOR CIVIL IS 2631095.
YOU WILL ALSO BE PROMPTED FOR YOUR CASE NUMBER(S).
* NOTE: PAYMENTS MADE BY CREDIT CARD MAY TAKE UP TO 2 BUSINESS DAYS TO POST TO YOUR CASE.
GLENN WINNINGHAM
C/O 6340 LAKE WORTH BLVD #437
FORT WORTH TX 76135-

Cause Number: 067-263565-13

GLENN WINNINGHAM
VS
C. PAGE, I.D. NO. 3877, ET AL

08/27/2013 *NOT OF APPEAL

Any Court Cost Paid $0.00 Unbillable to Party $0.00

Remaining Balance Due in the amount of $3,887.00.

COST FOR PREPARATION OF CLERK’S RECORD FOR LATEST APPEAL FILED IS $3887.00. IF
YOU WOULD LIKE A COPY OF THIS, IT WILL BE AN ADDITIONAL $1,360.45

Thank you,

Sandy Carter
Deputy District Clerk

FINES AND COURT COSTS MAY BE PAID IN CASH, MONEY ORDER, CASHIER’S CHECK, OR CREDIT CARD
PAYMENTS MUST BE PAYABLE TO: TARRANT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK
3RD FLOOR JUSTICE CENTER - CIVIL SECTION 8:00-5:00 MONDAY-FRIDAY
401 W BELKNAP, FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402
(817)884-1240

* AUTOMATED PHONE SYSTEM: 1-866-549-1010. ONLINE: WWW.CERTIFIEDPAYMENTS.NET
YOU WILL BE PROMPTED FOR A BUREAU CODE. THE CODE FOR CIVIL IS 2631095.
YOU WILL ALSO BE PROMPTED FOR YOUR CASE NUMBER(S).

* NOTE: PAYMENTS MADE BY CREDIT CARD MAY TAKE UP TO 2 BUSINESS DAYS TO POST TO YOUR CASE.

For additional information please visit us online at http://www.tarrantcounty.com/eDistrictClerk/site/default.asp
Click on the Collections/Payments tab.

The convenience fee for all Visa, MasterCard, Discover and American Express transactions will be
3% of the transaction amount with a $1.00 minimum.

TO INSURE PROPER CREDIT, YOU MUST INCLUDE CASE NUMBER(S) ON YOUR PAYMENT
FORT WORTH TX 76135-

Cause Number: 067-263565-13

GLENN WINNINGHAM
VS
C. PAGE, I.D. NO. 3877, ET AL

08/27/2013 *NOT OF APPEAL

Any Court Cost Paid $0.00 Unbillable to Party $0.00
Remaining Balance Due in the amount of $3,887.00

COST FOR PREPARATION OF CLERK'S RECORD FOR LATEST APPEAL FILED IS $3887.00. IF YOU WOULD LIKE A COPY OF THIS, IT WILL BE AN ADDITIONAL $1,360.45

Thank you,

SANDY CARTER
Deputy District Clerk

FINES AND COURT COSTS MAY BE PAID IN CASH, MONEY ORDER, CASHIER'S CHECK, OR CREDIT CARD.
PAYMENTS MUST BE PAYABLE TO: TARRANT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK
3RD FLOOR JUSTICE CENTER - CIVIL SECTION 8:00-5:00 MONDAY-FRIDAY
401 W BELKNAP, FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402
(817)884-1240

* AUTOMATED PHONE SYSTEM: 1-866-549-1010. ONLINE: WWW.CERTIFIEDPAYMENTS.NET
YOU WILL BE PROMPTED FOR A BUREAU CODE. THE CODE FOR CIVIL IS 2631095.
YOU WILL ALSO BE PROMPTED FOR YOUR CASE NUMBER(S).

* NOTE: PAYMENTS MADE BY CREDIT CARD MAY TAKE UP TO 2 BUSINESS DAYS TO POST TO YOUR CASE.
MANDATE

THE STATE OF TEXAS

TO THE 67TH DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT, COUNTY GREETING:

Before our Court of Appeals on the 16th day of June A.D. 2014, the cause upon appeal to revise or reverse the judgment between

GLENN WINNINGHAM, HOUSE OF FEARN, Appellant(s)

and

CITY OF FORT WORTH, LAETITIA COLEMAN BROWN, SARAH J. FULLENWIDER, OFFICER C. PAGE, NINF A MARES, JAMES D. ROGERS, JEFFREY HALSTEAD, AND WILLIAM RUMULY, Appellee(s)

was determined; and therein our said Court of Appeals made its order in these words:

This appeal has been considered by the Court. Because the Court finds that appellant has failed in his duty to prosecute this appeal with diligence, it is the judgment of this Court that the appeal is dismissed. It is further ordered that the City of Fort Worth, Laetitia Coleman Brown, Sarah J. Fullenwider, Officer C. Page, Ninf A Mares, James D. Forgers, Jeffry Halstead, and William Rumuly are awarded judgment against Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, for the City of Fort Worth's, Laetitia Coleman Brown's, Sarah J. Fullenwider's, Officer C. Page's, Ninf A Mares's, James D. Forgers's, Jeffry Halstead's, and William Rumuly's appellate costs that were paid, if any, by the City of Fort Worth, Laetitia Coleman Brown, Sarah J. Fullenwider, Officer C. Page, Ninf A Mares, James D. Forgers, Jeffry Halstead, and William Rumuly; and all unpaid appellate court cost, if any, is taxed against Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn. A copy of this judgment will be certified by the Clerk of this Court and delivered to the trial court clerk for enforcement.

WHEREFORE WE COMMAND YOU to observe the order of our said Court of Appeals in this behalf, and in all things to have it duly recognized, obeyed and executed.

WITNESS the Hon. TOM GRAY, Chief Justice of our said Court of Appeals for the Tenth District of Texas, with the seal thereof annexed, at the City of Waco, the 16th day of June A.D. 2014.

SHARP ROESSLER, CLERK

By: [Signature]

Nell Hegelfeld, Deputy Clerk
CITY OF FORT WORTH, LAETITIA COLEMAN BROWN, SARAH J. FULLENWIDER, OFFICER C. PAGE, NINFA MARES, JAMES D. ROGERS, JEFFREY HALSTEAD, AND WILLIAM RUMULY, Appellee(s)

was determined; and therein our said Court of Appeals made its order in these words:

This appeal has been considered by the Court. Because the Court finds that appellant has failed in his duty to prosecute this appeal with diligence, it is the judgment of this Court that the appeal is dismissed. It is further ordered that the City of Fort Worth, Laetitia Coleman Brown, Sarah J. Fullenwider, Officer C. Page, Ninfa Mares, James D. Forgers, Jeffry Halstead, and William Rumuly are awarded judgment against Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn, for the City of Fort Worth’s, Laetitia Coleman Brown’s, Sarah J. Fullenwider’s, Officer C. Page’s, Ninfa Mares’s, James D. Forgers’s, Jeffry Halstead’s, and William Rumuly’s appellate costs that were paid, if any, by the City of Fort Worth, Laetitia Coleman Brown, Sarah J. Fullenwider, Officer C. Page, Ninfa Mares, James D. Forgers, Jeffry Halstead, and William Rumuly; and all unpaid appellate court cost, if any, is taxed against Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn. A copy of this judgment will be certified by the Clerk of this Court and delivered to the trial court clerk for enforcement.

WHEREFORE WE COMMAND YOU to observe the order of our said Court of Appeals in this behalf, and in all things to have it duly recognized, obeyed and executed.

WITNESS the Hon. TOM GRAY, Chief Justice of our said Court of Appeals for the Tenth District of Texas, with the seal thereof annexed, at the City of Waco, the 16th day of August, 2013.
BILL OF COSTS
TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, TENTH DISTRICT, AT WACO

No. 10-13-00345-CV
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City of Fort Worth, Laetitia Coleman Brown, Sarah J. Fullenwider, Officer C. Page, Ninfa Mares, James D. Rogers, Jeffrey Halstead, and William Rumuly

(No. 067-263565-13 IN 67TH DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Fee</th>
<th>Charges</th>
<th>Paid</th>
<th>By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REQUIRED TEXAS.GOV EFILING FEE</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>WRITTEN OFF</td>
<td>WINNINGHAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIGENT</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>WRITTEN OFF</td>
<td>WINNINGHAM</td>
</tr>
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<td>SUPREME COURT CHAPTER 51 FEE</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>WRITTEN OFF</td>
<td>WINNINGHAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILING</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>WRITTEN OFF</td>
<td>WINNINGHAM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balance of costs owing to the Tenth Court of Appeals, Waco, Texas: $195.00

Court costs in this cause shall be paid as per the Judgment issued by this Court.

1. SHARRI ROESSLER, CLERK OF THE TENTH COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the cost bill of THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS, showing the charges and payments, in the above numbered and styled cause, as the same appears of record in this office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, witness my hand and the Seal of the COURT OF APPEALS for the Tenth District of Texas, this June 16, 2014.

SHARRI ROESSLER, CLERK

By: Nell Hegefeld, Deputy Clerk
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I, SHARRI ROESSLER, CLERK OF THE TENTH COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the cost bill of THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS, showing the charges and payments, in the above numbered and styled cause, as the same appears of record in this office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, witness my hand
Judicial Whores in Texas

- I will go into the Judicial Whores in Texas more thoroughly in the video and presentation entitled Fire the Whores in Texas
- All Courts in Canada and USA (state/provincial and federal) are actually federal courts under UNIDROIT
- Anything to do with divorce, children, motor vehicles, banking, negotiable instruments, travel, and guns is regulated by the United Nations under UNIDROIT
No Delegated Authority

- There is no authority in Canada, or the United States, to delegate any power to the United Nations, or any United Nations agency
Unconstitutional Delegations

• “Ultra vires. An act performed without any authority to act on subject. Haslund v. City of Seattle, 86 Wash.2d 607, 547 P.2d 1221, 1230..... The term has a broad application and includes not only acts prohibited by the charter, but acts which are in excess of powers granted and not prohibited, and generally applied either when a corporation has no power whatever to do an act, .... People ex rel. Barrett v. Bank of Peoria, 295 Ill.App. 543, 15 N.E.2d 333, 335. Act is ultra vires when corporation is without authority to perform it under any circumstances or for any purpose. Ultra vires act of municipality is one which is beyond powers conferred upon it by law. Charles v. Town of Jeanerette, Inc., La.App., 234 So.2d 794, 798.” Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition page 1522, [emphasis added],

©Common Law Copyright 2011
De facto Law

• “Obedience to de facto law – No person shall be convicted of an offense in respect of an act or omission in obedience to the laws for the time being made and enforced by persons in de facto possession of the sovereign power in and over the place where the act or omission occurs.”, Section 15 of the Criminal Code of Canada
Attorneys brought us the Bankruptcy

• These United Nations bankster thieves make all of the rules, insurance, negotiable instruments, banking, etc,

• These United Nations bankster thieves make it mandatory so they can create a fictitious debt

• By fraud, these United Nations bankster thieves create a cestui que trust in the name of every living soul when they are given birth to be used to finance their fictitious debt, and to facilitate the slavery (bondage) of “We the People”
Attorneys brought us the Bankruptcy

• These United Nations bankster thieves then seize the corporation when it inevitably goes bankrupt, and thereby create their world wide dictatorship

• These United Nations bankster thieves get their whore buddies in the bankrupt legislatures and parliaments, (sold themselves to the bankster thieves), to hire low intelligence PIGs to populate border crossings, so they can assault people, and kidnap people, and falsely imprison people, to create business for their so-called courts, to generate business for their bankster owned and operated prisons, and generate revenue to support the fictitious debt.
Attorneys brought us the Bankruptcy

- These same United Nations bankster thieves create a fictitious war on drugs, and a war on illegal immigration, and a war on terror, and a war on anything else they can think of, (all of which are really wars on “We the People”) to justify their hired thugs at the borders, and to further make business for their kangaroo so-called courts, and to make business for their bankster owned and operated prisons
Attorneys brought us the Bankruptcy

- These de facto kangaroo United Nations Courts make sure they get a conviction so they can make business for their bankster owned and operated de facto prisons

- These de facto kangaroo United Nations so-called Courts have a conviction rate that is over 97% and if you do not plea bargain it, they throw the book at you, just like they did to me

- They want a plea bargain because it is NOT subject to appeal
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Attorneys Are Responsible

• These United Nations bankster thieves have created literally millions of their codes rules and regulations, none of which apply to any living soul, for the specific purpose to enslaving everybody in their military dictatorship

• These United nations bankster thieves deliberately and calculatedly have circumvented our own lawful de jure courts and replaced them with their de facto kangaroo courts because they intend to deny us due process, and they intend to populate their prisons, unless (of course) we are filthy rich and can hire one of their Vatican Jesuit BAR member buddies
Bar Members (Attorneys)

- The crimes of Bar members are too numerous to list

- Bar members have converted our nation from the Christian nation that it was intended to be, ... into a nation of hedonists and devil worshipers
  - They have taken God out of our schools, and our courts
  - The number 1 Export of the United States is entertainment
BAR Members are Responsible

• BAR Members have infiltrated every level of government, including Congress, the Legislatures, and even the Whitehouse

• These Traitors have sold us to these bankster thieves, so they can get rich, and they do it again, and again, every day

• Why do you think Obama (BAR Member) claims the right to assassinate US citizens? – it is because he intends to eliminate anyone who opposes him even if he has to murder them, and Eric Holder (BAR Member) is helping him!
Conclusion

• Is there any wonder why Christ had such complete and utter contempt for the attorneys of his day?
What Can We Do?

• We can Refuse to participate in their de facto system
• We can educate ourselves about what a common law jury is, and what the law of the land is
• We can educate ourselves so we know when our rights are being violated
• We can educate our public servants, because many of them do not know, any more than we do
• We can educate other people by circulating this video, and any other way possible
• We can DEMAND a common law Jury of Our peers
What can We do?

• We can work with our friends and neighbors to re-establish our common law juries and our common law de jure courts
• We can work with our friends and neighbors to get the United Nations out of America, and Canada, and anywhere that wants to be free
• The United Nations is owned and operated by the bankster thieves and their Vatican handlers
• It is really only 3-5% of the people who do anything
• It was 3-5% of the people who precipitated the American revolution
• The bankster thieves and their cronies are 3-5% of the people
• Historically, every hundred +/- there is a major change in our freedoms
  – 1778 US Constitution – more freedoms
  – 1915 Federal Reserve – less freedoms
  – Now – yet to be seen
www.lifeleadership.com

• Provides educational material about what the issues are
• Provides a way of bringing people together
• Provides a way of generating revenue through network marketing
• Some of the CDs and DVDs that are available, cover;
  – Rascals - rascal radio
  – Potential constitutional changes
    • Townships
  – Leadership development – corporate leadership
  – Financial Fitness
  – Adversity
  – Success
  – Balance in our life – spiritual, financial, etc
They do NOT just sign you up and leave you on your own

They want you a minimum of 10 people deep

Their charts show you 20 deep, 30 deep, 50 deep and 75 deep

They need you to be successful, so you can have influence – be a leader

We need 3-5% of the people, as leaders, so we can work together to effect change towards freedom
Meeting

- Meetings every Tuesday night in DFW at the Omni Hotel on I-635 exit east of George Bush toll road
- Other meetings
Upcoming Events

• Canada Border PIGs
• Corruption in the Courts 4
• Fire the Whores in Texas
• City of Fort Worth PIGs
• City of Grand Prairie PIGs
Summary

• Copies of these documents can be found at My private group at Yahoo called Administrating-Your-Public-Servants

• I have Youtube videos that are videos of Private Information Shares that show these and other court citations

• Send me an email for other copies of documents to; engineerwin@gmail.com
BAR Members

- All BAR members are foreign agents of the Crown, and the Vatican, as described in the Presentation about BAR Members.
- The Crown is a corporation that is owned and operated by the Vatican as found in the Presentation on Attorneys.