



# ASSERTING SOVEREIGNTY

by

**Sovereignty International** (a trust)

C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437

Fort Worth, Texas

ZIP CODE EXEMPT 18 USC § 1342

engineerwin@gmail.com

[Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com](mailto:Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@GoogleGroups.com)

[Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com](mailto:Adminstrating-Your-Public-Servants@YahooGroups.com)

[www.sovereigntyinternational.info](http://www.sovereigntyinternational.info)

# OVERVIEW

- We will learn about today;
  - Who you are
  - How to assert your rights.
  - A procedure to build a case against our public servants that will stand up in court
    - They will know EXACTLY what you are doing.
    - This is EXACTLY what you want.

# OVERVIEW

- I have dealt with law and regulation all of my life
- I worked for over 35 years in aviation starting in 1976
- In the late 1980's
  - Someone told me about the 2 classes of citizens in the early 1990's, and I told them that they were crazy.
  - They produced some court cases that talked about it, and I had to look into it

# OVERVIEW

- In the mid 1990's I was in Toronto working for Bombardier on a contract, and I met Patrick Ellis
  - Patrick Ellis taught me about court procedure and how to file a lawsuit

# OVERVIEW

- I have filed several lawsuits in Ontario, and been to the Ontario Court of Appeals twice
- I filed numerous lawsuits in the United States, and I have taken them to the US Court of Appeals for the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit, the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit, the 2<sup>nd</sup> Circuit, and the DC Circuit
- I have taken 3 cases to the US Supreme Court

# OVERVIEW

- In 2004 it was recommended to me to get some Rice McLeod's material
- Rice McLeod was Attorney General for the republic of Texas
- Rice McLeod had a very down to earth way of explaining the law
- Rice McLeod really helped me to see what was going on.

# OVERVIEW

- In 2006 I moved to Texas and got involved with the republic of Texas
- I was elected to the Congress for the republic of Texas
- The Congress for the republic of Texas elected me to be speaker and I was speaker for the House of representatives for the republic of Texas for a year and a half before I had to leave Texas for a contract in New York

# OVERVIEW

- Over the years, I have learned that
  - We are under martial law
  - All courts are corporate commercial courts in business to make a profit
  - All courts are banks, and leverage the filing fees
  - All judges and prosecutors are getting royalties from every case they hear
  - There is no real justice in the courts
  - They will slap whoever violated your rights, but it will be behind the scenes.
  - The best way to deal with our public servants is administratively.

# OVERVIEW

- Over the years I learned that;
  - There are few de jure governments **operating** on the planet except for the republic of Texas
  - Almost all laws are “color of law”
  - Almost all countries are under martial law
  - They have set up everybody for slavery
    - Do you want to be a slave
    - Is there a price for your freedom that you are NOT prepared to pay?

## OVERVIEW

- The burden is on **You**, to assert your rights.
- If you do not assert your rights, you lose them.
- Anything you say can and will be used against you in a court of law

## JURISDICTION

- **“The burden is on the defendant to show the nonexistence of jurisdictional facts.”**

Russell v. Butler (Tex Civ app) 47 S.W. 406; Gilchrist v. Oil Land Co., 21 W. Va. 115.

# OVERVIEW

- Because we are under martial law, the courts “presume” everything.
- If you go on the attack, you can defeat their presumptions.
- If this procedure is followed properly the system will deal with any criminals that are exposed.

# OVERVIEW

- Because we are under martial law, if you are already under attack, it become very difficult to deal with, and it gets more difficult the further along you are in their process.
- It is much easier if you attack them before they ever see you – much, much easier

# OVERVIEW

- They have been good teachers for me, because I do to them, what they do to me – I grind them through my system.
- I presume that they are guilty and make them prove they are not

# OVERVIEW

- I started out by filing commercial liens in 2005
  - I filed a \$15,000,000.00 commercial lien against the IRS and all of the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners
    - IRS Commissioner Mark Everson resigned

# OVERVIEW

- I filed a \$500,000,000.00 commercial lien against JP Morgan Chase Bank, and all of their executive officers.
- I filed a \$500,000,000.00 commercial lien against Wilshire Credit Corporation, and all of their executive officers
- I filed a \$1,000,000,000.00 commercial lien against a law firm in Phoenix, and all of their executive officers

# OVERVIEW

- I filed a \$15,000,000.00 commercial lien against Capital One Bank and all of their executive officers
- I have sent numerous invoices
  - The UNITED STATES owes me approximately \$2,000,000,000.00

# OVERVIEW

- The reason I am telling you all of this is that I believe that commercial liens work and are valid, but you are operating in THEIR system, and they are thieves.
- There is no honor among thieves
- When you go into their commercial system, you give up your common law rights and remedies
- I started filing Criminal Complaints and I saw much better results
- I have filed hundreds of NOTICE and DEMANDs, and dozens of criminal complaints.
- Almost all of the criminal complaints may be viewed at the PINAL COUNTY RECORDER's website.

# OVERVIEW

- After filing criminal complaints, I have seen numerous people resigned, or were removed
  - 3 US Attorneys for Arizona
  - 3 US Attorneys for Texas
  - US Attorney General, Alberto Gonzales
  - US Solicitor General, Paul Clements
  - Pennsylvania Attorney General
  - Ohio Public Safety Commissioner
  - IRS Commissioner, Mark Everson
  - 2 Alberta Attorney Generals
  - US Congressmen
  - US Senators

# OVERVIEW

- I have seen positive results
  - In Ontario, after filing a lawsuit, within about 2 weeks that there was an announcement on national TV that the issue that I brought up in my lawsuit was not to happen and would not be tolerated.
  - This happened twice over 2 lawsuits
  - US Attorneys were removed
    - They will NEVER admit that it had anything to do with what you were doing

## OVERVIEW

- I have seen positive results
  - US Attorney General Alberto Gonzales resigned less than 2 weeks after I filed a Petition for a Writ of Certiorary (with a criminal complaint against him attached) with the US Supreme Court, and he said he was hiring attorneys to defend him against the US Department of Justice.

## OVERVIEW

- I have seen positive results
  - I have filed criminal complaints against a court clerk for addressing mail to me with a ZIP CODE, and the Clerk disappears, and all future mail does not include a ZIP CODE

## OVERVIEW

- This is a procedure that I developed over the last 5 years

## PROCEDURE

- I send a Registered letter to my public servant
- When my public servant violates my rights, I file a criminal complaint against him and send it to his boss.

## PROCEDURE

- After 30 days, I make up another criminal complaint naming my public servant and his boss as a co-conspirator, and accomplice after the fact, and send it to the next up the chain of command.

## PROCEDURE

- After 30 days, I make up another criminal complaint naming my public servant and his boss as a co-conspirator, and accomplice after the fact, and their bosses boss as a co-conspirator and accomplice after the fact, and send it to the next guy up the chain of command

# Procedure

- I keep going up the chain of command right up to the President of the United States, or the Queen, and I always make sure they get a copy
- I always record the criminal complaints, because after 30 days, it becomes “public policy” which is like a regulation.

# Recording Documents

- Some Counties require it to be a “Land Title Document” before you can record it.
- It is easy to make ANY document a “Land Title Document” by inserting in the top right corner of the front page the following information:

**\*\*\*Land Title Document\*\*\***

**Section 26, Township 3N, Range 32W**

**West of the Fourth Meridian**

# Recording Documents

- Technically they are all supposed to record anything, but many times they give you a hard time, depending on who is running it and whether they have a problem perjuring their oath of office.
- Some Counties will literally record anything.
- Pinal County Arizona told me that they would record a hamburger if I paid the money.

# Responses

- When I send them a NOTICE and DEMAND, usually I get no response at all.
  - That means they have acquiesced
  - If there is something that you are saying that is not true, then they have a duty to point it out

## THEY HAVE A DUTY TO RESPOND

- **"Silence can only be equated with fraud when there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or when an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading... We cannot condone this shocking conduct... If that is the case we hope our message is clear. This sort of deception will not be tolerated and if this is routine it should be corrected immediately" U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F2d 997, 299-300,**
- 
- **"Fraud: An intentional perversion of truth for the purpose of inducing another in reliance upon it to part with some valuable thing belonging to him or to surrender a legal right." Black's 5th, Edition, p 594**

# THEY HAVE A DUTY TO RESPOND

- **"When one conveys a false impression by disclosure of some facts and the concealment of others, such concealment is in effect a false representation that what is disclosed is the whole truth." State v Coddington, 662 P.2d 155, 135 Ariz. 480. ( Ariz. App. 1983)**
- **"Suppression of a material fact which a party is bound in good faith to disclose is equivalent to a false representation." Leigh v. Loyd, 244 P.2d 356, 74 Ariz. 84- (1952)**

# THEY HAVE A DUTY TO RESPOND

- **"Fraud and deceit may arise from silence where there is a duty to speak the truth, as well as from speaking an untruth."** Morrison v Acton, 198 P.2d 590, 68 Ariz. 27 ( Ariz. 1948)
- **"Where relation of trust or confidence exists between two parties so that one places peculiar reliance in trustworthiness of another, latter is under duty to make full and truthful disclosure of all material facts and is liable for misrepresentation or concealment."** Stewart v. Phoenix Nat. Bank, 64 P.2d 101, 49 Ariz. 34- ( Ariz. 1937)
- **"Concealing a material fact when there is duty to disclose may be actionable fraud."** Universal Inv. Co. v. Sahara Motor Inn, Inc., 619 P-2d 485,127 Ariz. 213- (Ariz. App. 1980)
- **"Fraud" may be committed by a failure to speak when the duty of speaking is imposed as much as by speaking falsely."** Batty v Arizona State Dental Board, 112 P.2d 870, 57 Ariz. 239. (1941),

# Responses

## ○ Positive responses

- One of the first documents I sent out was to the Pinal County Recorder in Arizona.
- She sent a very short letter back that said thank-you for your document. I have put it on file for future reference. She signed it personally, and it said, “Most respectfully” followed by the signature.

# Responses

- Positive responses
  - A judge in Texas sent me a certified copy of his oath of office and surety bond.

# Responses

- The Edmonton City Police sent me a letter acknowledging receipt of the document.



EDMONTON  
POLICE  
SERVICE

9620 - 103A AVENUE  
EDMONTON, ALBERTA  
CANADA T5H 0H7  
PH: (780) 421-3333  
www.police.edmonton.ab.ca

File: IA2009-0557  
Date: July 23, 2009

Glenn Fearn  
C/O 6340 Lake Worth Boulevard #437  
Fort Worth, Texas,  
USA

Dear Mr. Fearn:

*Re: Citizen Concern*

This will confirm that your letter was received in Professional Standards Branch on July 22, 2009.

I have reviewed the contents of your letter and note that there are no articulated complaints of misconduct or complaints of service with respect to any member(s) of the Edmonton Police Service or in relation to the policies and procedures of the Edmonton Police Service. As such, this matter has been classified as "*Information Only*" and is now concluded.

If you have any concerns regarding this matter please contact me at 780-421-2284.

Yours truly,

Staff Sergeant Chris Boehnke  
Professional Standards Branch

cc: Mr Bryan Sarabin, Public Complaints Director  
Edmonton Police Commission

# Responses

- The US Solicitor General sent me a letter acknowledging my Notice and Demand



U.S. Department of Justice  
Office of the Solicitor General

Washington, D.C. 20530

AUG 27 2010

---

Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn  
Non-Domestic Mail  
C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437  
Fort Worth, Texas  
Zip Code Exempt  
DMM 602.1.3.e2, 18 USC § 1342

Dear Mr. Winningham:

Thank you for your correspondence received June 8, 2010 to the Office of the Solicitor General.

The task of the Office of the Solicitor General is to supervise and conduct government litigation in the United States Supreme Court. The Solicitor General determines the cases in which Supreme Court review will be sought by the government and the position the government will take before the Court. The Office also reviews all cases decided adversely to the government in the lower courts to determine whether they should be appealed and, if so, what position should be taken.

---

In your letter, you asked for the Solicitor General's signature acknowledging your requests. Due to our mission as stated above, the Office of the Solicitor General does not honor such requests. Again, thank you for your correspondence.

Sincerely,

Valerie H. Hall  
Executive Officer

Glenn Winningham; house of Fearn  
Non-Domestic Mail  
C/O 6340 Lake Worth Blvd., #437  
Fort Worth, Texas  
Zip Code Exempt  
DMM 602.1.3.e2, 18 USC § 1342


Dear Mr. Winningham:

Thank you for your correspondence received June 8, 2010 to the Office of the Solicitor General.

The task of the Office of the Solicitor General is to supervise and conduct government litigation in the United States Supreme Court. The Solicitor General determines the cases in which Supreme Court review will be sought by the government and the position the government will take before the Court. The Office also reviews all cases decided adversely to the government in the lower courts to determine whether they should be appealed and, if so, what position should be taken.

In your letter, you asked for the Solicitor General's signature acknowledging your requests. Due to our mission as stated above, the Office of the Solicitor General does not honor such requests. Again, thank you for your correspondence.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Valerie H. Hall", written over a horizontal line.

Valerie H. Hall  
Executive Officer

# Responses

## ○ Negative Responses

- The Pennsylvania Attorney General sent a letter making all sorts of legal determinations and presumptions, and said that they would enforce their law
- In March of 2010, I filed a criminal complaint and sent it to the Chief Judge of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court
- On 22 February 2011 I checked the Pennsylvania Attorney General's website and it says; **“William H. Ryan Jr. becomes acting Pennsylvania Attorney General”**

Protecting Pennsylvania Families

# PENNSYLVANIA

ATTORNEY GENERAL



[Home](#) [Complaints](#) [Consumers](#) [Crime](#) [Drugs](#) [Kids, Parents & Schools](#) [Press](#) [Seniors](#) [The Office](#) [Search](#) [Contact Us](#)



Report Internet Predators to the Child Predator Unit.



Click for details on the Prescription Monitoring Program.



The Elder Abuse Unit protects seniors against crime & fraud.



William H. Ryan, Jr. becomes Acting Pennsylvania Attorney General

02/22/2011

Consumer Protection lawsuit filed against Philadelphia used car dealership

02/18/2011

Schuylkill County attorney charged with theft in court overbilling scheme

02/17/2011

Union County man charged with sending sexually explicit webcam video to 'teen'

## YOUR MONEY

PROTECTING AGAINST SCAMS

Newsletter/email alerts





Consumers Crime Drugs Kids, Parents & Schools Press Seniors The Office Search

Internet Predators to the  
Consumer Unit.

Details on the Prescription  
Program.

House Unit protects  
against crime & fraud.



William H. Ryan, Jr. becomes Acting  
Pennsylvania Attorney General

02/22/2011

Consumer Protection laws  
Philadelphia used car dealer

02/18/2011

Schuylkill County attorney  
in court overbilling scheme



02/17/2011

Union County man charged  
sexually explicit webcam v

**MONEY**  
AGAINST SCAMS

# Responses

## ○ Negative Responses

- The Ohio Director of Public Safety, Henry Guzman, sent me a letter making all sorts of legal determinations and presumptions.
- In August 2009, I filed a criminal complaint and sent it to the Ohio Attorney General, and the Chief Judge of the Ohio Supreme Court, and the state BAR, US Attorney etc.
- On 22 February 2011, I checked the Ohio Public Safety website and it says that in January of 2011 Thomas P. Charles was appointed Ohio Director of Public Safety.
- Thomas P. Charles was formerly the Ohio Inspector General for the last 13 years.

**Ohio.gov**

**Department of  
Public Safety**

**Ohio Department of Public Safety Director's Office**

**Thomas P. Charles**

**Director, Ohio Department of Public Safety**

Thomas P. Charles is originally from Hubbard, Ohio. He began his career at the Canfield Post of the Ohio State Highway Patrol in 1963. He graduated from the academy and became a Trooper on January 10, 1964, as a member of the 63rd Academy.

# Successes

- I first started doing this when I was going through a foreclosure in Arizona
  - I decided to arrest the auctioneer when they were selling my house on the courthouse steps
  - When you witness a felony, you can make an arrest – that is the **ONLY** time anyone (including the police) can lawfully make an arrest.
  - I called the police to take custody of the felon

# Successes

- The police started building a case against me for disturbing the peace
- I sent NOTICE AND DEMANDs to the police officers, the Chief of Police, the Town Attorney, and the Judge
- The Town of Florence sent their strawman a SUMMONS
- I attached the SUMMONS and the NOTICE and DEMANDs to an Affidavit of Criminal Complaint, recorded it, and sent them a copy.

# Successes

- I sent NOTICE and DEMANDs to the Pinal County Sheriff, the Maricopa County Sheriff, the Casa Grande Chief of Police, the Arizona Attorney General, the Chief Judge of the Arizona Supreme Court, the Phoenix Chief of Police, and more.

# Successes

- They sent a bounty hunter to my work
  - Notice that no police showed up at my work.
  - It was on a Friday before the memorial day weekend in 2005, and this is because if they keep you in jail for 3 days, the International Monetary Fund pays them \$70,000.00
- My co-workers told me that a “big guy” was looking for me.

# Successes

- I left work early that day and decided to take a weeks vacation
- As I was driving out of the parking lot, I passed the bounty hunter in a yellow van that was all caged in inside.
- I was already preparing a lawsuit, so I finished the lawsuit and filed it on the following tuesday

# Successes

- I sent another NOTICE and DEMAND to the Chief Judge of the Arizona Supreme Court in which I told her
  - that there was a criminal racketeering enterprise operating on the Town of Florence
  - That they had sent their hired thugs looking for me under a warrant for the arrest of their strawman/vessel
  - I demanded that she quash the warrant for the arrest of their strawman/vessel because “We all know that the corporate commercial agents (police) are too stupid to tell the difference between a strawman/vessel and a sovereign living soul”.

# Successes

- Furthermore, Chief Judge, I have filed a lawsuit, and you are named in it, and the case number is XXXXXX and you be served with it soon enough, but if you fail to quash the warrant for the strawman/vessel, it will be further proof of your dereliction of duty to regulate your subordinates.

# Successes

- After my vacation, I went to work, and nobody ever came looking for me again.
- The Attorney General responded for the Chief Judge in the lawsuit
  - The Attorney General said it was perfectly appropriate that I petition the Chief Judge to get the warrant quashed

# Successes

- On 11 May 2009, I received a photo radar ticket from the Arizona Department of Public Safety
  - My son was driving my truck in Arizona
- I rejected their offer of contract, and sent NOTICE and DEMANDs to the Court, the Judge, and the Mayor.
  - The case disappeared

# Successes

- In December 2009, I was in a car accident in Arizona
- Nelson traffic stops

# Successes

- On 22 February, 2010, the Arizona Department of Public Safety sent their strawman GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN a Photo Radar Ticket

# Successes

- I sent NOTICE and DEMANDs to the DPS Officer, the Director of Public Safety, the Attorney General, and the Judge
- I filed a criminal complaint against all of them for perjury of oath, treason, and sedition to the constitution.
- They cancelled the Photo Radar Program.
- Arizona Attorney General, Terry Goddard is no longer in office, he was replaced by Tom Horne, a former judge in 2010.
- They sent me NOTICE that they are cancelling the strawman's drivers license.



# Maricopa County Justice Courts, Arizona

**WEST MCDOWELL JUSTICE COURT Room 200, 620 West Jackson St., Ste. 1038, Phoenix, AZ 602-3**

CASE NUMBER: CT2010096320

GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN  
1664 E FLORENCE BL 4 219  
CASA GRANDE, AZ 85122

**STATE OF ARIZONA**

vs.

Defendant

Deputy County ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY for Defendant

## MINUTE ENTRY

Date: JUNE 16, 2010

GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN:

We have received correspondence from you on June 11, 2010; Regarding your "AFFIDAVIT OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINT", your request has been denied.

If you have any further questions please contact the court at 602-372-6300

Sincerely,

DF  
Clerk



# Maricopa County Justice Courts, State of Arizona

## West McDowell Justice Court

620 W. Jackson Street, Courtroom 200  
Phoenix, AZ 85003-  
(602) 372-6300

### Payment Schedule & Coupons

GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN  
1664 E FLORENCE BL 4 219

CASA GRANDE, AZ 85122

Mail To:  
GLENN WINNINGHAM FEARN  
1664 E FLORENCE BL 4 219

CASA GRANDE, AZ 85122

#### Fee Summary

Total Fine & Fees: \$ 251.50  
Total Payments: \$ 0.00  
Balance Due: \$ 251.50

Case #: CT2010096320

#### Payment Schedule

Amount Due	Due Date
\$ 251.50	Thursday, June 17, 2010

#### Read and Initial:

- I understand that failure to comply with this payment order may result in the issuance of a **WARRANT FOR MY ARREST** and possible imprisonment until the fine is paid and/or **SUSPENSION** of my **DRIVER'S LICENSE**.
- Driving is a privilege, which can be suspended. To reinstate your **SUSPENDED DRIVER'S LICENSE**, you must pay your fine, plus a fee to the Department of Motor Vehicles. Driving on a **SUSPENDED** license is a Class 1 misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment up to six months and/or fines up to \$ 2500 or more.
- A **WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST** may be issued by the court. If arrested, you will be taken to jail and held until you post a bond and/or see the judge. Additional applicable fees may be added without notice.
- If you fail to comply you may be held in contempt of court.
- I agree to report to the court any change of address, phone, or employment within five (5) days of such change.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant Signature \_\_\_\_\_

<u>Amount Due</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
\$ 251.50	Thursday, June 17, 2010

**Read and Initial:**

- ~~I understand that failure to comply with this payment order may result in the issuance of a WARRANT FOR MY ARREST, and possible imprisonment until the fine is paid and/or SUSPENSION of my DRIVER'S LICENSE.~~
- Driving is a privilege, which can be suspended. To reinstate your SUSPENDED DRIVER'S LICENSE, you must pay your fine, plus a fee to the Department of Motor Vehicles. Driving on a SUSPENDED license is a Class I misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment up to six months and/or fines up to \$ 2500 or more.
- ~~A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST may be issued by the court. If arrested, you will be taken to jail and held until you post a bond and/or see the judge. Additional applicable fees may be added without notice.~~
- If you fail to comply you may be held in contempt of court.
- I agree to report to the court any change of address, phone, or employment within five (5) days of such change.



# Arizona Department of Transportation

## Office of Inspector General

3737 N. 7<sup>th</sup> Street - Phoenix, Arizona  
PO BOX 2100 - MD 514M; PHOENIX AZ 85001-2100

Dealer Investigation Unit - Phone: 602-712-6273 Fax: 602.241.9478

Janice K. Brewer  
*Governor*

John S. Hallikowski  
*Director*

Thomas Clinkenbeard  
*Inspector General*

July 20, 2010

### OFFICIAL REQUEST TO UPDATE LICENSE RECORD

GLENN, WINNINGHAM, FEARN  
1664 E FLORENCE BLVD STE 4-219  
CASA GRANDE AZ 85122-4779

CUSTOMER NUMBER: D01474189  
CASE NUMBER: DI-10-2147

Dear Sir or Madam:

The Office of Inspector General has discovered a discrepancy with your Arizona driver license, or non-operating identification card, record. It is important that you contact our office immediately to resolve this matter, and to prevent further problems with your license. No response to this request will result in your license being canceled pursuant to A.R.S. § 28-3301.4, or other appropriate criminal charges being filed.

Please contact the Office of Inspector General / Dealer Investigation Unit to schedule an appointment by calling: 602-712-6273; Monday through Friday between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.

On your appointment date you may need to bring with you original documents (photocopies are not acceptable) of: all driver licenses or non-operating identification cards you currently have issued to you, a certified birth certificate, social security card and any other documents to verify your name, date of birth identity or current residential address. You should also bring any old licenses you were issued including those issued by other states or countries.

If you were not born in the United States, or not a citizen of the United States of America, also be prepared to provide one, or more, of the following: Certificate of Naturalization, passport, permanent resident card, employment authorization card or other document provided by the United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement which establishes your authorized presence in the United States.

# theNewspaper.com

*A journal of the politics of driving*

Common Law Copyright © 2011

Home > Camera Enforcement > Speed Cameras > Arizona Shuts Down Freeway Speed Cameras



PRINTER-FRIENDLY



EMAIL TO A FRIEND

7/16/2010

## Related News

Australia: Appeals Court Rules Photo  
Ticket Inadmissible

## Arizona Shuts Down Freeway Speed Cameras

*Arizona freeway photo radar program meets an early end.*

## Alberta Issues

- In July of 2010, the City of Lethbridge police stopped me and gave their strawman a ticket for no drivers license.
- There is no requirement in Alberta for a loving soul to get a drivers license.
- I filed 3 criminal complaints against them and then filed a Petition of Right in the Court of Queen's Bench, with the criminal complaints attached.

## Alberta Issues

- The perjurer on the bench entered a plea for their strawman and set it down for trial
- I told them in my criminal complaints that I do NOT take orders from criminals and I will not appear for their show trial.
- I did not appear for their show-trial.
- They convicted their strawman and the fine was \$172.00 – the same as the ticket.

## Alberta Issues

- I called up the Clerk and asked if they had issued a warrant – they said “no”.
- They said it would be handled by the Motor Vehicle Department

# Alberta Issues

- If you read the bottom of the, in the fine print, Citation it says;
  - “If you fail to respond to this Offence Notice as required by law by the appearance date indicated above, or if you plead not guilty and fail to appear in person or by agent on your trial date, you will be deemed not to dispute the charge and you may be convicted of the offence in your absence without a hearing.”
  - “If you are convicted, until the fine plus any late payment charges are paid in full, you will not be allowed to obtain or renew your Driver’s Licence and/or Motor Vehicle Licence(s).”

# Alberta Issues

- This is proof that they know they have no authority.
- This is proof that it is nothing more than a commercial transaction.

# Alberta Issues

- In July 2010, on my way back from a Private Information Share at Vernon, British Columbia, I got stopped by the Calgary City Police.
- On November 28, 2010, I was stopped by an Alberta Sheriff on highway 2 near Olds.

# Why Assert Sovereignty?

- There at least two and possibly more, classes of citizenship in all common law countries.
- The government has made perfectly good laws which are technically correct 99% of the time.

# Why Assert Sovereignty?

## ○ Presumption

- Because we have all given the our servants in the government the right to presume certain things, the burden is now on us to defeat those presumptions
- The best way to defeat any presumption is to go on the attack.
- Presumptions are taken in martial law.
- The fact that they are presuming anything is proof that you are in a martial law jurisdiction, and they have no authority whatsoever.

# Why Assert Sovereignty?

- Right now we have provided the government reason to believe that we are NOT Sovereign.
  - The government is operating on that assumption based on the prima facie evidence that we ourselves provided

## Qualified Immunity

- **“protects governmental officials from liability for civil damages insofar as their conduct does not violate ‘clearly established statutory or constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known.’”** *Weise v. Casper*, 593 F.3d 1163, 1166 (10th Cir. 2010)(quoting *Pearson v. Callahan*, \_\_ U.S. \_\_, 129 S.Ct. 808, 815 (2009) and *Harlow v. Fitzgerald*, 457 U.S. 800, 818 (1982)).

# QUALIFIED IMMUNITY


- **"Qualified immunity defense fails if public officer violates clearly established right because a reasonably competent official should know the law governing his conduct"** Jones vs Counce 7-F3d-1359-8th Cir 1993; Benitez v Wolff 985-F3d 662 2nd Cir 1993
- **"A plaintiff who seeks damages for violation of constitutional rights or statutory rights may overcome the defendant official's qualified immunity only by showing that those rights were clearly established at the time of the conduct at issue."** Davis v Scherer, 82 L.Ed. 2d 139, 151.

# Why Assert Sovereignty?

- We have to build a prima facia case, that is admissible as evidence on court, that we are Sovereign.

# Forcing the Issue

- In today's world, in order to be truly Sovereign, you **MUST** be prepared to force the issue up to, and including, Court.



# Forcing the Issue

- In Rome they had slaves because they were not prepared to “live free or die.”
- In the modern world you do not have to die for your freedom, but the attitude helps.

# Forcing the Issue

## ○ Rule #1: Education

- Educate yourself!
- We are in this position because our parents and grandparents did not understand the issues.
- Study out the issues!



# Forcing the Issue

- Rule # 2: The buck stops **HERE!**
  - The ultimately responsibility rests with **YOU!**
  - You **MUST** accept responsibility for your own actions.
  - You **MUST** prepare for **YOUR** future.
  - Sovereign Citizens receive **NOTHING** from the government.



## Forcing the Issue

- **If you do nothing, then you are at least partially responsible when they violate the next guys rights**
- **All it takes for evil to succeed, is for good men to do nothing – Edmond Burke**

# Forcing the Issue

- The Important thing is: **DO SOMETHING!**
- It is not necessary to use the forms as they are.
- Make up your own if you want to.
- The following process builds a track record, and a case showing your assertion, which is admissible as evidence in court.
- They already know you are sovereign.

# Forcing the Issue

- This procedure builds a case against your public servant for multiple felonies.
- They will NEVER do anything that comes close to admitting that they committed multiple felonies
- If this procedure is done properly, the system will take care of your problem for you

# Forcing the Issue

## ○ Responses

- You will rarely get a response
- You have to learn to read between the lines if they send you a response
- If they do not send a response, then they have acquiesced

# Forcing the Issue

- How I developed this system
  - Foreclosure in Arizona
  - Disturbing the Peace
  - I have send out hundreds of Registered Letters

# FORCING THE ISSUE

## ○ NOTICE and DEMAND

- NOTICE is common law. You are giving them NOTICE. You CANNOT hold them responsible until you give them NOTICE.
- Sovereigns DEMAND
- It is not negotiable, or a request, it is a DEMAND and it is NOW!

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Step 2: NOTICE and DEMAND
  - This is called administrative procedure.
  - This is also Common Law.
  - This gives notice to the authorities about your assertion and gives them an opportunity to rebut it.
  - Defeats their PRESUMPTIONS

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Step 2: NOTICE and DEMAND
- Oath of Office acceptance – The Oath is all “we the people” have.
  - Get a copy of their oath, or give them an oath
  - The Oath of Office supercedes everything else
  - The oath of office is your trump card

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Equality under the law is paramount.
  - This is essentially saying that if you are going to send me to a mental institution, you have to go there too.
  - This defeats a normal strategy they have
- Competence, incompetence, and representation
  - They are making unlawful legal determinations for you
  - When you make application for anything, you are giving them a Power of Attorney

## FORCING THE ISSUE (CONT'D)

### ○ Copyright (optional)

- A common law copyright is one of the most powerful things you can do, but it is not mandatory for this procedure.
- A copyright is a major deterrent, since they are in business to make a profit.
- By providing NOTICE that your strawman's name is copyrighted,...if they use the name,...it is essentially an offer of contract.
- All you have to do is accept their offer of contract and send them an invoice, and then proceed against them with a commercial lien.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Make then communicate “penalty of perjury”
  - Makes it very difficult for them to communicate, since they can’t lie any more.
- Chain of command
  - Lets them know that you are going to hold everybody in their chain of command personally responsible.



# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Addresses
  - ZIP CODES and Postal Codes are a martial law jurisdiction
  - Do you live in a martial law jurisdiction?
  - 18 USC § 1342 is about using fictitious addresses and fictitious names for mailing purposes, is a felony.
- Make it very clear up front that you are not interested in being a surety or accommodation party
  - If they ever get you into one of their so-called contracts, this is another piece of proof of their perjury of oath.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- No drivers license
  - If they do not argue about this, then they have given you permission.
  - A drivers license is color of law
  - No authority to require one
  - You do not have a drivers license, even though your strawman might have one.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Picture identification
  - Make up your own picture identification
  - Record your picture identification in Arizona or elsewhere
  - In Canada, Land Titles has a miscellaneous category and that should be used for this
  - Land Titles needs to be trained using this procedure
- Are you a “person”
  - A “person” is a fictitious entity
  - A motor vehicle is commercial

# FORCING THE ISSUE

## ○ Private Vehicle

- Gives them NOTICE that you do not have a “Motor Vehicle”.
- I have seen vehicles in Arizona that have registration that is expired by many years with “private vehicle” on them.
- A friend of mine told me that a cop came up to him and said; “why is my computer telling me to leave you alone?”

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- You are not authorized to serve commercial process on me
  - This defeats them in yet another way
  - They are involved in commerce, and they have the right to presume that you want to engage in commerce with them – This defeats that presumption

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- DEMAND that they protect you.
  - United States is foreign to Texas, or California or any of the states
  - Canada is foreign to Alberta, or Saskatchewan or any of the Canadian states
  - The PROVINCE OF ALBERTA is a municipal corporation that is a sub-corporation of the municipal corporation called CANADA
  - All courts, police, law enforcement officers are in CANADA
- Their legislation is “color of law”
  - They have no authority whatsoever

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- CANADA is a municipal corporation domiciled in the District of Columbia
  - CANADA and all its officers are subject to United States Code
  - The FBI has offices in Ottawa, Toronto, and Vancouver.
- The use of Codes, rules and regulations can be an implied contract
  - If you use their codes, rules, and regulations, they can presume that you are an entity that is subject to said codes, rules and regulations.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Commercial paper payment
  - If they receive commercial paper as compensation, then they are a municipal corporation.
- Law of Nations
  - In order for a nation to exist, it takes 3 things
    - People
    - Land
    - Resources (gold or silver)
- The **ONLY** legitimate power held by any government is power that is “delegated” by “we the people”
  - If I “delegate” authority, I still retain that authority
  - If I “delegate” authority, I can revoke that delegation at any time.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- “unalienable” rights
  - “unalienable” rights cannot be alienated under any circumstances.
  - “unalienable” rights are NOT “inalienable” rights.
  - “inalienable” rights can be alienated because of a “presumed” contract.
- Contracts
  - A law merchant contract is a nullity
  - A “presumed” contract is perjury of oath
  - A common law contract is the **ONLY** valid contract and between living souls **ONLY**.
  - Everything else is a “nullity”.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- I have the right to resist unlawful arrest with lethal force if necessary
  - **“Where the officer is killed in the course of the disorder which naturally accompanies an attempted arrest this is resisted, the law looks with very different eyes upon the transaction, when the officer had the right to make the arrest, from what it does when the officer has no right. What may be murder in the first case might be nothing more than man slaughter in the other, or the facts might show that no offense had been committed.”** John Bad Elk v U.S. 177 U.S. 529

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- ANY restraint upon my liberty is an arrest
- Signed and sealed on red ink on the land
  - Red ink is what sovereigns use
  - Sovereigns use the right side of the page
- Witnesses
  - I used to use witness or get it notarized, but I do not think it matters
  - Using 26 witnesses will demonstrate that you can convene a grand jury

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Make sure you send your NOTICE and DEMAND by Registered Mail
  - Registered Mail is kept under lock and key and there is a chain of custody
- A better way to effect service is personal service by 3 or 4 people who will each make an affidavit of service.
- The objective is to build a case against them, and they know it.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

## ○ Criminal Complaint

- If they do anything other than grovel, file a criminal complaint
- Let them prove that they are honoring their oath of office
- Attach your evidence
- Send the criminal complaint to their boss
- If possible, record the criminal complaint into the public.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

## ○ Criminal Complaint

- After 30 days, add their boss (an accomplice after the fact) to the next criminal complaint and send it to the next boss up the chain of command with a **NOTICE** and **DEMAND** in which you **DEMAND** that they remove and prosecute their criminal.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

## ○ Criminal Complaint

- After 30 days, add their boss (an accomplice after the fact) to the next criminal complaint and send it to the next boss up the chain of command with a NOTICE and DEMAND in which you DEMAND that they remove and prosecute their criminal.
- Keep going up the chain of command up to and including the President.

# FORCING THE ISSUE

- Criminal Complaint – The President
  - Add the President, record the document into the public, and send him a copy.
  - Always use Registered Mail or personal service with witnesses

# Third Party Witness

- Have you ever tried to call an officer of the court a liar?
- A Third Party witness witnesses the contents of your mailing, and delivers it to the Post Office, and also certifies that there was not response.
- If you use an Officer of the Court third party witness, it puts them in a very difficult position, because they cannot say that they did NOT get your Notice.
- Once you have started to establish your lawful de jure government, you can be even more “in your face” by using officers of the court of your lawful de jure government

# FORCING THE ISSUE

## ○ Court

- When you use this procedure in an ensuing action, it essentially becomes a counter-claim
- ALWAYS take the initiative
- Go on the attack
- Show up in the judges office (chambers) a few days before the hearing – with witnesses
- Always DEMAND

## FORCING THE ISSUE

- When in court, they always ask you, “Do you understand?”
- According to Bouvier’s Law Dictionary 1856 Edition, under the Definition of Parties, it says; “Those persons who want understanding are idiots, lunatics, drunkards, and infants.”
- So, judge,...I can see you are not an infant,...are you drunk or just an idiot?

# SUMMARY

- You are in essence stating that you made a “Mistake of Law and Fact” due to the fraud, coercion, and intimidation on the part of government officials and this process is simply correcting the public record.
- You are telling them to correct their records

# ESTOPPEL

- **“ESTOPPEL - estoppel (e-stop-<<schwa>>l), n.1. A bar that prevents one from asserting a claim or right that contradicts what one has said or done before or what has been legally established as true. [Cases: Estoppel 52–59. C.J.S. Estoppel §§ 2–4, 58–64, 66–81, 83–89, 120–121, 153–155, 157, 159–160, 167.]”**  
Black’s Law Dictionary 8<sup>th</sup> Edition page 1662

# ESTOPPEL

- By getting involved in their “color of law” statutes, codes, rules, and regulations, we have “voluntarily” given them permission to “enforce” their codes, rules, and regulations on us.
- By giving them NOTICE that a different set of facts are true we take away that permission.
- Because Attorneys are running things these days, we have to make sure that we create an “evidence” trail

# ESTOPPEL

- **“COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL - collateral estoppel (e-stop-<<schwa>>l).1. The binding effect of a judgment as to matters actually litigated and determined in one action on later controversies between the parties involving a different claim from that on which the original judgment was based. 2. A doctrine barring a party from relitigating an issue determined against that party in an earlier action, even if the second action differs significantly from the first one. — Also termed issue preclusion; issue estoppel; direct estoppel; estoppel by judgment; estoppel by record; estoppel by verdict; cause-of-action estoppel; technical estoppel; estoppel per rem judicatam. Cf. RES JUDICATA.”** Black’s Law Dictionary, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 789

# ESTOPPEL

- **“estoppel by silence. Estoppel that arises when a party is under a duty to speak but fails to do so. — Also termed estoppel by standing by; estoppel by inaction. [Cases: Estoppel 95. C.J.S. Estoppel § 99.]”** Black’s Law Dictionary 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 1664

# ESTOPPEL

- **“ESTOPPEL CERTIFICATE - estoppel certificate.1. A signed statement by a party (such as a tenant or a mortgagee) certifying for another's benefit that certain facts are correct, as that a lease exists, that there are no defaults, and that rent is paid to a certain date. • A party's delivery of this statement estops that party from later claiming a different state of facts.” Blacks’ Law Dictionary 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 1665**

# ESTOPPEL CERTIFICATES

- Every paragraph in my documents is an “estoppel certificate”, and is designed to take away their “presumptions”, and put them in the position of honoring their oath of office.

# SUMMARY

- When they do not respond they have acquiesced
  - **"Silence can only be equated with fraud when there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or when an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading... We cannot condone this shocking conduct...If that is the case we hope our message is clear. This sort of deception will not be tolerated and if this is routine it should corrected immediately"** U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299-300 (1977),

# THIRD PARTY WITNESS

- Most of our public servants are just honest hard working people and you will never have a problem with them, but you never know which one is going to violate your rights, so you have to NOTICE as many as possible.
- When you use a third party witness, it becomes very difficult for them to squirm out of it.
- If you use an Officer of the Court as a third party witness, it is impossible for them to squirm out of it.

# BELLIGERENT CLAIMANT


- "The privilege against self-incrimination is neither accorded to the passive resistant, nor the person who is ignorant of his rights, nor to one indifferent thereto. It is a **fighting clause**. Its benefits can be retained **only by sustained combat**. It **can not be retained by attorney or solicitor**. It is valid only when **insisted upon by a belligerent claimant in person**. The one who is **persuaded by honeyed words or moral suasion to testify or produce documents** rather than make a last ditch stand, simply loses the protection. Once he testifies to part, he has waived his right and must on cross examination or otherwise, testify as to the whole transaction. He must **refuse to answer or produce**, and test the matter in **contempt** proceedings, or by **habeas corpus**." District Judge James Alger Fee United States v. Johnson, 76 F. Supp. 538 (at page 540) District Court, M.D. Pennsylvania Feb. 26, 1947

## LAWFUL BELLIGERENT

- “...and if we shall not have corrected the transgression ...within forty days, reckoning from the time it has been intimated to us,... the four barons aforesaid shall refer that matter to the rest of the five and twenty barons, and those five and twenty barons shall, together with the community of the whole realm, distrain and distress us in all possible ways, namely, by seizing our castles, lands, possessions, and in any other way they can, until redress has been obtained as they deem fit,...” Section 61 Magna Carta

# SUMMARY

- Christ said: “and ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free.”
  - John 8:32



# SUMMARY

- You have been empowered with knowledge.
- NEVER take anyone's word for this, study it out for yourself.

○ **KNOWLEDGE IS POWER!**



# SUMMARY

- What's the alternative?

